1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
2	Tok III.	DIDIKICI OF COLUMNIA
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal Action	
4	Plaintiff,	No. 1:21-cr-0075
5	vs.	Washington, DC May 23, 2022
6	MATTHEW RYAN MILLER,	2:16 p.m.
7	Defendant.	2.10 p.m.
8		/
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING	
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RANDOLPH D. MOSS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
14	For the Government:	U.S. Attorney's Office 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1800
15		Phoenix, AZ 85004
16	For the Defendant:	EDUARDO BALAREZO
17		Balarezo Law 400 Seventh Street, NW, Suite 306
18		Washington, DC 20004
19		
20		
21		
22	Court Reporter:	JEFF M. HOOK
23	Court Reporter:	Official Court Reporter
24		U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts 333 Constitution Avenue, NW
25		Room 4700-C Washington, DC 20001

PROCEEDINGS

DEPUTY CLERK: This is criminal action 21-75, the United States of America v. Matthew Ryan Miller. The defendant is present and in the courtroom. Counsel, starting with the Government, followed by defendant and followed by probation, please approach the podium and identify yourself for the record.

MS. SCHESNOL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Good to see you in person. Jacqueline Schesnol representing the United States. For the record, with me at counsel table is Assistant United States Attorney Troy Edwards, and FBI Special Agent Tang.

THE COURT: Welcome.

MR. BALAREZO: Good morning, Your Honor. Eduardo
Balarezo on behalf of Matthew Miller.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. MOSES-GREGORY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Renee Moses-Gregory on behalf of probation.

THE COURT: Thank you for being here. So we're here for the sentencing this afternoon of Matthew Ryan Miller who has pleaded guilty to count two of the superseding indictment, obstruction of an official proceeding and aiding and abetting in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1512(c)(2) and 2; and the lesser included offense of count three of the superseding indictment, which is

assaulting, resisting or impeding certain officers during -using a dangerous weapon in violation of 18 U.S.C. section
111(a)(1).

I have received and reviewed the presentence report and the sentencing recommendation from the probation office; the sentencing memoranda from -- memorandum from the Government; the sentencing memorandum from the defendant; the various letters submitted on the defendant's behalf; the exhibits submitted by the Government. I've reviewed all of the videos and looked at each of the still photographs that were submitted.

Let me start by asking whether the Government has any other documents or materials they would request that I consider today?

MS. SCHESNOL: Your Honor, when the Government orally make its sentencing recommendation, I do have 14 exhibits. They are from the sentencing memorandum that you have indicated you've already reviewed. I will be very brief with those so they are not additional. But I will be using those in court with Your Honor's permission.

THE COURT: That's fine, you're welcome to do that.

MS. SCHESNOL: Thank you.

THE COURT: And Mr. Balarezo, anything further that you're going to ask me to review today?

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, beyond what we included in the sentencing memorandum, there will be no further exhibits. However, I wanted to ask the Court's indulgence for a brief bench conference electronically before we get started.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Sidebar bench conference placed under separate cover)

THE COURT: Mr. Balarezo, anything other than what we just discussed that you would want to present?

MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So Mr. Miller, the sentencing proceeding today is going to proceed in four steps. I know you're anxious just to get to the bottom line, but it's important that we get -- we go through this process, because I need to make sure that we have agreement about everything. And if there's not agreement, I need to know where there's disagreement so I can resolve those disagreements before I actually impose the sentence today.

So the first step for today's hearing is for me to determine whether you've reviewed the presentence report, and resolve any objections that may exist with respect to the presentence report. The second step is to determine the guidelines that are applicable in your case based on your criminal history, and considering any mitigating or aggravating factors. The third step is for me to hear from

the Government, to hear from your counsel, to hear from you, if you'd like to address the Court, and to hear from anyone else you want me to hear from. And then the final step is for the Court to fashion a just and fair sentence in light of the factors Congress has specified in a statute, which is 18 U.S.C. section 3553(a). And as part of that last step, the Court will actually impose the sentence along with any other required consequences.

So let's start with the presentence report. I received the final presentence report and sentencing recommendation from the probation office in this matter on July 6th, 2022 (sic).

Ms. Schesnol, does the Government have any objections to any of the factual materials set forth in the presentence report?

MS. SCHESNOL: No.

THE COURT: I know there's an issue with respect to the guidelines, but anything with respect to the factual material?

MS. SCHESNOL: Nothing with respect to the factual basis.

THE COURT: Mr. Balarezo, does the defense have any objections with respect to any of the factual materials set forth in the presentence report?

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, not factual. We did

have --

THE COURT: You can come to the podium.

MR. BALAREZO: I apologize. Your Honor, we had an objection to the final presentence report when there was an indication of Mr. Miller's affiliation with the Proud Boys. As the presentence report itself indicates, there's no evidence that -- the Government doesn't have any evidence that Mr. Miller engaged in the activities that he did on January 6th, 2021 as part of the Proud Boys. And therefore, we don't even believe it's part of the relevant conduct and should not be included in the presentence report.

THE COURT: Do you dispute the factual accuracy of the assertion?

MR. BALAREZO: We do not.

THE COURT: So it strikes me as something that doesn't need to be stricken from the presentence report if you're not challenging the factual accuracy of it. I'm happy to hear from you or the Government with respect to whether it's anything that I should consider in sentencing. I don't believe the Government has featured it or mentioned it at all.

MR. BALAREZO: That's correct, the Government has not. The reason we would like it to be removed from the presentence report is that it may affect his classification once he gets to the BOP, if he is alleged to have been a --

I don't want to say a gang, but a member of some kind of organization that is involved in nefarious activities.

That's the reason why. And especially since it has nothing to do with his conduct on the day in question.

THE COURT: But isn't that in fact the sort of thing that is not inappropriate for the Bureau of Prisons to know? And I'm not saying that I have a view that it should affect his security classification. But it may be that it's valuable information, and that they might not want to incarcerate him somewhere where there may be people who are antagonistic to the Proud Boys in ways that could lead to conflicts.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, Mr. Miller's association was limited and tangential. And as I said, the issue that we have is the relevance of it to his sentencing today. We understand that the Court may not take it into account, because even the Government agrees that it's not part of his conduct. But we're just concerned, again, that it may increase a classification level for him.

THE COURT: I don't think it's part of his conduct, but I guess I'm wondering -- and I'd like to hear from the Government about it. I'm not sure I would reach the conclusion that it's utterly irrelevant. And the reason I say that is that -- and I don't think this is going to happen, but if Mr. Miller were to stand up in front of me

today and say: Look, I was just a curious 21-year-old who just wanted to go downtown and observe the events that were going on. I just got swept up by a crowd, when I had never participated in anything like that in my life, and had no views, anything like that. Basically, I was a tourist who was downtown. And as a tourist who was downtown, I got -- I was overcome by what happened down there in a way that was completely spur of the moment and had nothing to do with who I am.

It strikes me as having attended Proud Boys events in the past is not hugely relevant to that perhaps, but it may not be utterly irrelevant to that.

MR. BALAREZO: Very well.

THE COURT: What's the Government's view with respect to whether the Court should strike that from the presentence report?

MS. SCHESNOL: As Your Honor noted, while the Government is not making a point of it being relevant conduct, it's not totally irrelevant. And certainly, BOP should be able to consider it in the classification.

Mr. Miller did have several images on his phone of other Proud Boys. He was near other Proud Boys the night before the -- before January 6th. He attended other Proud Boys rallies in the past in November and December of 2020. Other Proud Boys were certainly near him during some of his

conduct at the Capitol.

Because the Government has no evidence, such as text messages or phone exchanges or other things like that, showing any kind of coordination, we did not feel it appropriate to suggest that it was part of some pre-planned conduct. But as Your Honor noted, it's not entirely irrelevant.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. So I'm going to deny the request to strike that from the presentence report. As I said, it's not something that I am likely to place much weight on for purposes of sentencing. But I don't think it's utterly irrelevant, and I also don't think it's inaccurate.

Mr. Balarezo, is there anything else that you object to in the presentence report, in the factual aspects of it?

MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Miller, are you fully satisfied with the assistance of your lawyer in this case? You can pull the microphone towards you if you like.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I am.

THE COURT: Have you had enough time to review the presentence report with him?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you have enough time to talk with

him about it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I'm going to then accept the facts as stated in the presentence report as my findings of fact for purposes of the sentencing today. The presentence report lays out the probation office's calculation of the advisory guidelines range that applies in this case. I'll summarize what's there. I know there is one issue that I do need to resolve.

The Court first separately calculates the offense levels corresponding to each count to which Mr. Miller has pleaded guilty. With respect to count two, before turning to the guidelines, Congress has imposed a statutory maximum sentence for obstruction of an official proceeding in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1512(c)(2), and that maximum is 20 years imprisonment. As part of Mr. Miller's plea agreement, the parties have agreed that under section 2J1.2A, the base offense level for obstruction of an official proceeding in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1512(c)(2) is 14. And the PSR also indicates that the base offense level is 14. The parties agree that the offense involved causing, or threatening to cause, physical injury to a person or property in order to obstruct the administration of justice, and that an eight-level enhancement is appropriate for that reason.

And then there's a dispute with respect to whether the enhancement should apply, because Mr. Miller has pleaded guilty to an offense that resulted in substantial interference with the administration of justice which would lead to a three-level increase under section 2J1.2B2 of the guidelines. And according to the presentence report, the probation office did not apply that three-level enhancement because the record lacks justification as to how the defendant's personal conduct caused a premature or improper termination of a felony investigation, an indictment, verdict or any judicial recommendation based upon perjury, false testimony or other false evidence, or the unnecessary expenditure of substantial governmental or court resources.

Mr. Miller argues in his sentencing memorandum that that enhancement ought not apply. The Government argues in its sentencing memorandum that the enhancement should apply. And I note that the plea agreement includes the enhancement, and the parties agreed to including that term in the plea agreement itself. So if the Government is right on this one, the total adjusted offense level for count two is 25. And if the defense is right, then the adjusted offense level is 22.

Both parties agree that Mr. Miller is entitled to a two-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, and an additional one-level reduction for timely notifying the

authorities of his intention to plead guilty. So if the Government is right, then the total offense level is 22, which would include the enhancement for substantial interference. And if the defense is right, then the total offense level is 19 without that enhancement. The parties agree that Mr. Miller has no applicable criminal history points, and so he is in criminal history category one. That all means that if the Government is right, that the offense level is 22 and the guidelines range is between 41 and 51 months. And if the defense is right, the total offense level is 19, and the guidelines range is between 30 and 37 months.

I'm happy to hear from the parties now, so why don't we go ahead and start with Ms. Schesnol.

MS. SCHESNOL: Thank you, Your Honor. To begin -and I will apologize in advance to Mr. Balarezo if I
misspeak on his behalf. But it is my understanding that the
parties do not have a dispute as to whether or not the
three-level enhancement applies. I believe in his
sentencing memorandum, Mr. Balarezo lays out the guidelines
as calculated by the probation officer. And because the
parties did agree in the plea agreement to those guidelines,
if that is not the agreement, then the Government would
consider that a breach of the plea agreement.

So it is the Government's position that the

parties have no dispute, it is the calculation as done by the probation office. And the Government does believe that the three-level enhancement pursuant to 2J1.2B2 does apply. While the probation officer certainly has a very difficult job, especially in these cases, the likes of which we have not seen before, the lack of the three-level enhancement recommendation is inconsistent with the position that the probation department has taken in similarly situated cases.

As pointed out in both my objection as well as my sentencing memorandum, the probation department recommended the three-level enhancement pursuant to 2J1.2B2 in the Rubenacker case, Fairlamb, Chansley, Wilson and Hodgkins. And the citations to all of those are in my sentencing memorandum. And the Court applied the three-level enhancement in every one of those cases with the exception of Mr. Rubenacker, only because Mr. Rubenacker has not yet been sentenced. He's due to be sentenced this Thursday, May 26th.

THE COURT: Although was this question argued in any of those cases or addressed? I didn't address it in Hodgkins because no one presented the issue to me.

MS. SCHESNOL: Correct, I was going to point out that Your Honor did find that it applied in Hodgkins. I do not believe it was argued in any of those cases, because the parties had agreed to it and the probation department had

recommended it. So there was nothing to recommend -- to argue in those instances.

Furthermore, the Government submits that for the probation department to recommend the eight-level enhancement pursuant to 2J1.2B1B, but not the three-level enhancement, defies logic.

THE COURT: It's inconsistent, I understand that.

MS. SCHESNOL: We believe it is inconsistent.

Namely, because B1B has the extra requirement of causing, or threatening to cause, physical injury to a person or property. So we could see an instance, such as in Hodgkins, where the three-level enhancement applied but the eight-level enhancement did not apply. It is the Government's position that the three-level enhancement is essentially subsumed by the eight-level enhancement if, if pursuant to application note one -- which defines substantial interference with the administration of justice, there is an unnecessary expenditure of substantial

And it is the Government's position -- and again, we believe it's the defense's position, that there was an unnecessary expenditure of substantial government resources. Namely, the certification of the electoral college votes was delayed approximately six hours, and to date, the damage to the Capitol is in excess of \$2.7 million.

government or court resources.

1 So for all those reasons -- and I can answer any questions if Your Honor has any, the Government does believe 2 that the three-level enhancement under 2J1.2B2 does apply. 3 And again, I apologize if I am misstating the defense's 4 5 position, but I believe that is the defense's position as well. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from 8 Mr. Balarezo, then. 9 MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, I hate to say that the Government is right, but in this situation, because of the 10 11 plea agreement where Mr. Miller agreed that the enhancement 12 applied, we're in a position where we could only submit on 13 what the probation office has calculated and rely on their 14 expertise for the calculation. 15 THE COURT: All right, thank you. I don't know if 16 the probation office wants to be heard or if probation has 17 said everything it has to say on the issue in its 18 submission. 19 MS. MOSES-GREGORY: Your Honor, we will rely on 20 our response to the objection. 21 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 22 MS. MOSES-GREGORY: Thank you. 23

THE COURT: So I've given this question some thought. First off, I accept the parties' representations that this is a term of the plea agreement. And to the

24

25

extent that it's a close question, I think that it's the sort of thing that the parties can stipulate to in a plea agreement. I accept the parties' representations in the plea agreement, but I do have an independent responsibility to determine the proper guidelines calculation.

My view is, although a close question, that the enhancement does apply. The question is really less of what the commentary note -- or application note one says than just what the meaning of the phrase administration of justice is. If one is -- if you're reading the guidelines perhaps in the way that one would read a statute, you might say that there is some uncertainty as to what the -- whether the term administration of justice applies to the Congress' certification of the election results.

If one looks at Webster's Third New International Dictionary, administration in this sense means to mete out, and justice means fair treatment. And you can argue it either way, I think, with respect to whether what Congress was doing was meting out fair treatment. One could say that the Congress was sitting in an adjudicative role, and that it was adjudicating in some very, very limited sense, subject to very substantial constraints, the results of the election.

My opinion in the Montgomery case does include some language and discussion of how the proceedings before

the Congress are analogous to the administration of justice.

In that way, Judge Friedrich I think reached the same conclusion in one of her cases. As well, I believe Judge Bates has said the same thing.

Black's Law Dictionary refers to administration as the proper functioning and integrity of a court or other tribunal in proceedings before it in accordance with the rights guaranteed to the parties. And, again, there I think it's not entirely clear how that fits in this context.

Although, as I said, I think that a portion of my analysis in Montgomery supports the view that what was occurring before the Congress and that was obstructed falls within that definition.

But I also think that one can't quite read the guidelines precisely the way one would read a statute, with parsing words with that same level of detail. Because this entire part of the guidelines, part J, is offenses involving the administration of justice. The sentencing commission decided to include in part J -- which applies to offenses involving the administration of justice, obstruction of Congress. And given the fact that the sentencing commission concluded that that provision appropriately fell within part J, I think it's fair to read the phrase administration of justice for purposes of the enhancement broadly enough to cover the statutory provision that the sentencing commission

decided to include in part J.

Which then just leaves the question of whether the interference was substantial or not. And there, the application note says that substantial interference with the administration of justice includes a premature or improper termination of a felony investigation, not present here; an indictment, verdict or any judicial determination based upon perjury, false testimony or other false evidence, not present here; or the unnecessary expenditure of substantial governmental or court resources. And I'm not sure that I would be prepared to categorize any damage to -- or all the damage to the Capitol complex on that day as necessarily falling within that context. Because I think there does need to be a nexus between the expenditure and the interference with the administration of justice.

But I think the fact that the proceedings certifying the presidential election were delayed by several hours; and that the entire United States Congress sat until after midnight -- and I believe it was 1:00 o'clock in the morning, proceeding; and all the attendant costs that come with the entire Congress sitting in this extraordinary session, along with the enormous law enforcement presence that was required to allow them to do that, I think amply supports the finding that the interference here was substantial. So that is my finding.

I guess the other thing I just would add is I do agree with the Government's comment that if one were to conclude that the interference here was not with the administration of justice for purposes of the B2 enhancement, then I think the same reasoning would apply with respect to the B1B enhancement, which is that eight-point enhancement which no one has raised as an issue. So I do conclude that the enhancement applies here. It's not to say that the Court would not, and will not, consider a variance for various reasons in this case. But I do conclude the enhancement applies. Therefore, it's the Court's conclusion that the total offense level is 22, and that the guidelines applicable here are between 41 and 51 months.

Under 18 U.S.C. section 3583, the Court may also impose a term of supervised release of up to three years. And under section 5D1.2A2 of the guidelines, the recommended term of supervised release is between one and three years. Under 18 U.S.C. section 3561(c)(1), the defendant is eligible for one to five years of probation because count two is a class C felony, and count three is a class D felony.

I should say, I skipped fairly quickly over the guidelines calculation with respect to count three. And I did so simply because of the way the grouping provisions

work under the guidelines, that the Court takes the higher guidelines range. And that's the one that applies with respect to count two.

Under section B -- 5B1.1 of the guidelines,
Mr. Miller is not eligible for probation. But of course,
that is an advisory provision, it's not binding on the
Court. The maximum fine for each offense is \$250,000. The
guidelines recommend a fine of between \$15,000 and \$150,000.
As part of the plea agreement, Mr. Miller has agreed to pay
restitution to the Architect of the Capitol in the amount of
\$2,000. There's also a mandatory special assessment of \$100
for each count.

Ms. Schesnol, anything else you want me to add or to clarify with respect to the criteria?

MS. SCHESNOL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Balarezo?

MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So before I discuss the particular sentencing factors in this case, I just want to put on the record that the probation office has recommended a sentence of 12 months and a day imprisonment, two years of supervised release, no fine, \$2,000 in restitution and \$100 special assessment for each count. That recommendation is based solely on the information that is set forth in the presentence report, and it does propose a downward variance.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That variance, though, I should note, is from the guidelines range that was as the probation office calculated it, which would have been a range of between 30 and 37 months.

Although it's still a fairly substantial variance that the probation office is recommending.

I now must consider the factors that Congress has set forth in 18 U.S.C. section 3553(a). And I have to ensure that the Court imposes a sentence that's sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of sentencing. Those purposes include the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law and to provide just punishment for the offense. The sentence should also afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from future crimes of the defendant and promote rehabilitation. In addition to the guidelines and policy statements, I must consider the nature and circumstances of the offense; the history and characteristics of the defendant; the need for the sentence imposed; the guidelines range; the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct; and the types of sentences available.

So would the Government like to be heard with respect to the section 3553(a) factors, and more generally

with respect to sentencing?

MS. SCHESNOL: Yes, Your Honor. So if the Court will bear with me while I get my technology up and running, the Government does have 14 exhibits. As I mentioned earlier, all of these exhibits have already been provided to the Court and defense counsel previously. And it is my understanding that the defense has no objection to the administration of these exhibits. So with the Court's permission, I will proceed.

On January 6th, 2021, as brave members of the Metropolitan and Capitol Police, just steps from where we sit here today, protected the Capitol, what did Mr. Miller do? While already on restricted grounds, he encouraged others to get closer to the Capitol building. This is a video, and it does have sound. I apologize, we practiced this twice, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No worries.

MS. SCHESNOL: I do apologize, Your Honor, we tested this twice.

THE COURT: It's okay.

(Video played)

MS. SCHESNOL: So as you can see, Your Honor -- and that was Exhibit 6 from the Government's sentencing memo, while on restricted grounds, Mr. Miller is standing on a wall waving his arms saying, "Come on," over and over.

And as police began their hand-to-hand combat, what did Mr. Miller do? He hurled a full beer can approximately 30 yards in the direction of police.

(Video played)

MS. SCHESNOL: As police continued to shield the building that housed our lawmakers and their staff, what did Mr. Miller do? He used a barrier that was intended to keep people away from the Capitol building, and he used that as a ladder to climb up and get ever closer to the Capitol building.

(Video played)

THE COURT: Do you have any idea what that plank that they passed up was used for?

MS. SCHESNOL: I do not know, Your Honor. And then Mr. Miller was able to actually get himself onto the Lower West Terrace. As Your Honor can see here, there's a yellow square around Mr. Miller. And that's the Lower West Terrace of the Capitol building.

And as the day wore on and police, who were vastly outnumbered by rioters, were being crushed in the Lower West Terrace tunnel, what did Mr. Miller do?

(Video played)

MS. SCHESNOL: Inside that tunnel is where law enforcement, police officers were protecting the doors into the Capitol. And Mr. Miller, for at least two minutes, was

encouraging people, putting up his hand in the air, "One, two, three, push." The crowd began to chant heave-ho.

They're literally pushing against the police officers trying to protect that entrance of the Capitol. The Court can see

Mr. Miller is circled in blue, how close he is to the threshold of the Lower West Terrace tunnel.

And what else did Mr. Miller do as the day went on? He decided to throw batteries into the tunnel at police officers. And then from his very closest point to the tunnel where the medieval battery had been raging for hours at that point, he unleashed the contents of a fire extinguisher directly onto the police in the Lower West Terrace tunnel.

(Video played)

MS. SCHESNOL: I'm going to back that up and play it again, Your Honor.

(Video played)

MS. SCHESNOL: And as Your Honor noted, you've watched that video in full, and I will make a reference to it again later without playing it. Here's a still frame shot showing Mr. Miller unleashing the contents of that fire extinguisher directly onto the police guarding the tunnel. As Your Honor can see, moments before the fire extinguisher is unleashed, Your Honor can see the police in the Lower West Terrace tunnel before the fire extinguisher is

deployed, and then the plume of fire extinguisher chemical that washes over the police guarding the Capitol. Officers who were in the Lower West Terrace tunnel described the attack as, quote, nothing short of brutal. This is in the Government's sentencing memorandum at page 11, quotes from Officers Gonell, Fanone, Dunn and Hodges. Many officers were injured, bleeding and fatigued, but they continued to hold the line.

Today we deal with a crime of violence and a crime against law enforcement officers and the democracy they risked their lives to protect on January 6th. A crime of this magnitude demands an equally serious sentence for Defendant Miller, and the Government submits there is no reason to vary outside of the applicable guidelines. A sentence of 51 months reflects the nature of the offense, the characteristics of the defendant, the seriousness of the offense and promotes respect for law. The sentence will provide both specific as well as general deterrence, and will avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities.

With regard to the nature of the offense, the events of January 6th are unprecedented, barely imaginable, the likes of which we would expect to see in a third world banana republic. We watched our TVs in dismay as thousands descended onto the Capitol. And we watched in great horror as we learned of the brutality that hundreds and hundreds of

police officers endured that day. Defendant Miller was in the thick of it all working his way closer and closer to the Capitol, growing increasingly more violent as the day went on, and agitating others to do the same. And the defendant's attacks were not made in isolation. He assaulted officers along with other rioters attacking from all sides. And every one of the defendant's attacks made it harder for officers to defend themselves, and increased the chance that one of the other rioters' attacks would succeed.

Also as described in the sentencing memo provided by the Government on page 14, Sergeant Gonell describes, quote, the officers were subjected to a medieval battle fighting hand-to-hand, inch-by-inch to prevent an invasion of the Capitol. Even though Defendant Miller didn't go inside the Capitol, his attack on the officers in the Lower West Terrace tunnel deprived those officers the ability to go inside the Capitol to help stop the rioters who did get inside the building, who went onto the Senate floor, into Speaker Pelosi's office and others. Because those officers were fighting outside at the Lower West Terrace tunnel door to keep Miller and others in the mob from getting in, they could not go inside and help.

As Your Honor noted when sentencing Defendant
Hodgkins, quote, because of the defendant's actions and
others that day, the members of the United States Congress

were forced to flee their respective chambers, an extraordinary event under any circumstances. The mob's objective to was to stop the constitutional and statutory duty to declare the person elected president. They, the mob, were prepared to break the law to prevent Congress from performing its constitutional duty. As Your Honor went on to state, democracy requires cooperation of the governed. The damage caused by the defendant and others goes way beyond the several-hour delay of the certification. It is damage that will persist in this country for decades. The gravity of this offense, of Mr. Miller's conduct, should be met with the imposition of a 51-month sentence.

To discuss the characteristics of the defendant, Defendant Miller positions himself in his sentencing memo as a young man whose, quote, prefrontal cortex of his brain was not fully formed on January 6th, 2021. It was noted in the presentence report that Mr. Miller was 21 at the time of offense. I believe he was 22. If they noted his birthday correct, being born in November 1998, he would have been 22 in November of 2020. So he was approximately six weeks into being the age of 22.

And 22 is not a child. As a society, we have collectively decided that 22 is old enough to drive a car; it's old enough to rent a hotel room, as Mr. Miller did the night of January 5th. It's old enough to be treated as an

adult in our criminal justice system. Twenty-two is old enough to serve on jury duty. It's old enough to hold local public office. In many states, 22 is old enough to buy a gun. Twenty-two is certainly old enough to vote. And 22 is old enough to lay down one's life in military service. In our --

THE COURT: All of that is true, but there is a difference between a 22-year-old and a 30-year-old or a 40-year-old committing a crime. And there is some truth to the materials that the defense points to with respect to the development of the frontal cortex and the development of judgment. And I think we've all known 22 year olds, we've all been -- or most of us have been 22. I think probably everyone in this courtroom has been 22 at some point in time. And people's judgment is just not as good at 22 as it is at 30 years of age.

The defense also says that Mr. Miller was quite drunk. And I realize that's not a grounds for a departure under the guidelines, and it's not an excuse that would absolve one of criminal liability. But I do wonder in my mind, as I think about this case, how I compare a drunk 22-year-old to some of these other folks who were stone sober, in their 40s, who knew perhaps a lot better than Mr. Miller did; and were perhaps less impressionable, less likely to be moved by

inflammatory and false rhetoric of the president of the United States.

So I do wonder whether Mr. Miller's young age and perhaps drunkenness at the time are factors that I should at least consider in sentencing.

MS. SCHESNOL: Certainly Your Honor can consider anything deemed appropriate. May I submit that many of us in this courtroom at the age of 22 were going off to law school, joining the FBI academy, engaging in their profession.

THE COURT: No, no, I understand that. I also understand that we have 22-year-olds who are laying their life on the line to protect our nation, and all of the other things that you referred to. But just the truth of the matter, though, is that somebody who is 22 is more capable of doing something that is just -- I'm trying to choose my words carefully here, but dumb and highly regrettable; and just really doing something that they, one would hope, will look back on later in their life and say that was one of the stupidest things I've ever done. Whereas it's harder to say that of somebody who is 40.

And I'm not saying that it absolves Mr. Miller of responsibility for his actions that day, because he was an adult and he was responsible for his actions. I'm just saying and asking you to respond -- because this is

something that's on my mind, I just want to be transparent with you, that I'm dealing with somebody here who is younger than most of the defendants in these cases; was more perhaps impressionable; was more prone to get carried away by rhetoric, false statements, the emotions of a crowd who was around him, and too many cans of beer beforehand.

I'm interested in your reaction, because it does strike me that that is something that is relevant to my thinking about the sentencing. Quite frankly, if Mr. Miller was older and perhaps had not been drinking -- although the age is more important to my mind I think, the numbers that come to my mind would be higher under those circumstances.

MS. SCHESNOL: Sure. I appreciate the Court's candidness and allowing me a chance to respond. As the Court noted, while Mr. Miller was younger than many of the people who were at the Capitol on January 6th, all those people engaged in the same conduct as well. And again, our criminal justice system has made the determination that we treat people who are 22 at the time of their crime as an adult.

Furthermore, the Government submits that

Mr. Miller wasn't so much getting swept up in the crowd as

that he was -- he was one of the agitators in the crowd on

two occasions. One on that low wall where he was

encouraging everyone to come over, and then second when he

was up on the Lower West Terrace encouraging everyone to push. So the Government submits that he was having other people caught up in his rhetoric as opposed to the other way around.

The other thing I will note for the Court is that when we had the opportunity to chat with Mr. Miller last week in preparation for sentencing, as agreed to in the plea agreement, it was noted that Mr. Miller did not go to the rally on the Ellipse. So I don't know how much Mr. Miller was caught up in statements made by elected officials.

THE COURT: So I was confused by that, I'm glad you brought that up. I'll be interested to hear what Mr. Balarezo has to say about this. I thought there were conflicting things said about that in the various sentencing memoranda. There were some statements that said he did go to the rally, and some statements I think that suggested that he did not. So I would be interested in knowing whether he attended the rally or not.

But also, I'm not talking about just the rhetoric at the rally, I'm talking about the rhetoric that took place for weeks in advance of the rally as well.

MS. SCHESNOL: Sure. The Government finds the rhetoric that went on for months very problematic, indeed. That being said, there were tens of thousands of people who did go to the rally. Again, we thought Mr. Miller had, but

based on our discussions with him, it appears he didn't.

And of those tens of thousands of people who went to the rally on the Ellipse, they didn't all go to the Capitol.

And of the thousands that did go to the Capitol, they did not all engage in violence.

So while that -- the rhetoric was out there for all of the public for months and months and months, not everyone was susceptible to it. And with --

THE COURT: And I'm not disagreeing with you at all about that. Mr. Miller has already gone to jail, he's going to jail. The question really is just how long he should go to jail considering all the factors that Congress has specified and the balancing the Court has to engage in. It does just strike me -- and I'll hear from the defense, and I'll give you a chance to come back if you'd like to. But it does strike me that his relatively young age compared to the others involved in this is one factor that I need to take into consideration that may suggest to me that there may be reasons why the guidelines -- or why a variance would be appropriate in his case because of his age and perhaps because of the drinking.

And another thing I guess, just for the sake of transparency in putting this out there so you can respond to all of this, is Mr. Miller's conduct while on pretrial release was exemplary as far as I can tell. He did

everything that he should do. He went to work, he worked hard, he contributed and got very glowing reviews from those who he was working for in a way in which it was a valuable opportunity for him to be on pretrial release. Because he could then show to the Court that he's someone who is capable of being a contributing member of society.

MS. SCHESNOL: And again, I do appreciate your candidness. I actually have plans to address all of that.

THE COURT: Great.

MS. SCHESNOL: Of course, Your Honor will sentence Mr. Miller as Your Honor deems appropriate after hearing and considering everything. Even taking everything into consideration Your Honor has stated, the Government does still believe that 51 months is appropriate. I will continue to do my best to attempt to convince you.

THE COURT: Please do. And I should say -- I don't mean to cut you off, and also, I don't mean to suggest in any way that any of the conduct that occurred that day is in any way excusable, that it was anything short of repugnant. And when I watched those videos and saw those law enforcement officers who were in that tunnel, fighting for their lives I suspect is what they thought -- and they may well have been fighting for their lives. And when I saw the crowds outside doing the heave-ho against those law enforcement officers -- who were doing their best to protect

the Capitol of the United States from attack, and saw the heave-ho and saw Mr. Miller leading in that heave-ho to press this massive crowd against these law enforcement officers who were putting their lives on the line to protect their nation, to protect the Capitol, to protect the members of Congress, it was deeply, deeply disturbing.

So I'm not -- in raising this, I'm not suggesting at all that I don't think that this was horrific, what happened that day. And I've spoken before about the assault on democracy that occurred that day, and how utterly mind blowing it is what happened that day. I'm just trying to put this all in a balance.

And as you know, and as the Government knows because it's been making a lot of these judgments itself, there's a very large continuum from people who wandered onto Capitol grounds and did nothing wrong and went home to people who committed ghastly crimes that day. And I just have to figure out, using my best judgment, where Mr. Miller falls on that continuum. And I think that his age and perhaps drunkenness may weigh somewhat in that balance.

MS. SCHESNOL: And again, I do appreciate your transparency. I will do -- I will go on to do my best to convince you why the Government's position is the appropriate one. I'll get back to his age for a moment. So as Your Honor pointed out, as Mr. Miller points out, he has

all the support from family and friends. That's great. And he said in his sentencing memo that his parents instilled traditional values in him, such as treating others with respect and giving to those in need. It's the Government's position that because of that support, because he was taught those values, he knew better. He knew better than to do what he did on January 6th. And then he did go on to engage in extraordinarily serious and ghastly crimes on January 6th, the likes of which we have never seen.

On January 6th, he knew better than to go on restricted grounds of the Capitol, but he did it anyway. And he knew better than to throw projectiles at police, but he did it anyway. And he knew better than to encourage and lead others into violence, but he did that anyway, at least twice. He for sure knew better than to spray a fire extinguisher directly on to brutally battled police doing their job -- as the Court noted, protecting the Capitol, the center of our government and democracy. But he did choose to do those actions even though he had been taught better.

To address Mr. Miller's point in his sentencing memo that he has no prior criminal history. Certainly he doesn't, and that has been accounted for with a criminal history category of one. He points out that he didn't plan his crimes in advance. That has also been accounted for in the crimes with which he was charged. Other people have

been charged with conspiracy, and Mr. Miller has not. So that has already been taken into account by his criminal history and where he ends up in terms of the charges against him and the final offense guidelines.

Some supporters of Mr. Miller describe him as, quote, a caring and understanding person, as someone who treats everyone with dignity and respect. And he wants credit for being that type of person. But the Government submits that then he can't have it both ways. He can't say that I'm this wonderful person who treats everyone with dignity and respect at the tender age of 22, yet 22 is so young and easily impressionable and things like that. The Government submits it's one or the other. If he is going to get credit for all his good character traits at the tender age of 22, then he does need to also be held responsible for the very egregious conduct and crimes in which he engaged.

And I'd like to point out, we've all been doing this long enough that we have seen people in our courtrooms all across the country who essentially never stood a chance; who didn't have good role models; who didn't have people to teach them good values; who didn't have the opportunity for an education and employment. But Mr. Miller had all those things and yet still chose to repeatedly batter police and attack our democracy. I don't know what Mr. Miller will come before you and say today. I presume he will express

remorse and regret. But the Government submits to you that actions actually speak louder than words.

What are his actions? Some people turned themselves in after January 6th. Mr. Miller did not. While he did eventually plead guilty and take responsibility -- and he is being given credit for that, he didn't plead guilty immediately. We have seen many other guilty pleas entered prior to Mr. Miller's. He did not cooperate with the Government pre-plea agreement, as some people did. And as Your Honor pointed out, he did what was required of him on pretrial release, and he did perform exemplary. But the Government submits that served to keep him out of custody pretrial.

Ultimately, he's trying to minimize his conduct, saying that other people in the crowd were agitators, when he was the agitator. And he does try to minimize his conduct, blaming it on beer and marijuana. That's not taking responsibility. That's not demonstrating real remorse. That's deflecting responsibility.

With regard to the seriousness of the offense and promoting respect for the law, everything about Defendant Miller's actions defied respect for the law on January 6th, 2021. Only a sentence that reflects the gravity of his actions will ultimately promote respect for the law. While he's been described as a good person and hard working, he

didn't demonstrate any of that on January 6th. Who demonstrated those qualities on January 6th and more? The lawmakers and their staff who hid for hours as the riot ensued, and yet still had the bravery and courage to reconvene at approximately 8:00 p.m. and work until 3:00 in the morning the next day to count the electoral college vote.

Who demonstrated a benefit to the community? The janitorial staff who cleaned up the mess that the rioters made, and the members of the Architect of the Capitol who worked for the next two weeks to make sure that the Capitol building was ready for the inauguration on January 20th.

Who demonstrated a benefit to the community? The hundreds and hundreds of police officers who were immeasurably outnumbered by the mob that day when they fought for hours on end in a medieval type battle to protect and serve.

Those people demonstrated generosity, work ethic, willing to lend a hand in their commitment to the community.

As set forth in the sentencing memo, Officer

Fanone described MPD Commander Kyle: "Despite the confusion and stress of the situation, observing the commander's leadership, protecting a place I cared so much about, was the most inspirational moment of my life. The bravery he and others showed that day are the best examples of duty, honor and service." In his sentencing memo, Defendant

Miller said fortunately he didn't hurt anyone. First, that certainly wasn't for lack of trying. And second, it's not entirely accurate.

Officers who were in the tunnel at the time that
Defendant Miller sprayed the fire extinguishers were all
interviewed, and they described that chemical from the fire
extinguisher as burning their skin or eyes, and needing to
use water to rinse themselves off which took them away from
being able to protect the Capitol at the lower west tunnel.
And in our sentencing memo we submitted an exhibit, No. 15,
that shows one of the Capitol police officer's helmet to
show how it was covered in the chemicals. Mr. Miller's
conduct caused the police to let their guard down allowing
others to beat and brutalize them. And we cannot even begin
to imagine the psychological trauma inflicted on the
workers, both inside and outside the Capitol.

I spoke with an MPD officer who was in the tunnel at about 4:10 to 4:15. I don't believe he was in the tunnel at the time of the fire extinguisher. But just to give you an idea of what the officers went through, when I was talking to that officer, I said: "How did you go on? I mean, physically how did you have the strength to fight for hours on end?" And his response to me was: "What choice did we have?"

Your Honor, we believe a 51-month sentence

promotes respect for the law, and it also will provide both specific and general deterrence. If Defendant Miller is not sentenced commensurate with the gravity of his crimes, then what message will that send? What message will that send to Mr. Miller in particular and the public generally? The message will be that if you have the benefit of a good upbringing and every advantage to succeed, then when you commit serious acts of violence you will only suffer mild consequences.

As Your Honor stated when sentencing Defendant Hodgkins, when you quoted President Reagan: "In the eyes of many in the world, this every four-year ceremony we accept as normal is nothing less than a miracle." Without a sentence to deter Miller and others, that miracle will be harder and harder to achieve. That miracle will become more and more tarnished. As Your Honor said, we are now all fearful about the next attack in a way we never were, and it makes us question whether our democracy is less secure than we had previously believed. Let's ensure that Mr. Miller, and others like him, are deterred from committing this type of brutality and attack on the democracy we saw January 6th by imposing a 51-month sentence.

This sentence would also avoid an unwarranted sentencing disparity. As I mentioned, tens of thousands of people came into Washington, D.C. on January 6th, but they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

did not all go to the Capitol. And for the thousands that did go to the Capitol, they did not all engage in violence. Defendant was wasn't agitated by others, he was the agitator; he sought out the violence. He encouraged others to do the same. He watched officers being assaulted in the tunnel, and instead of being horrified and turning away, he chose to draw himself closer. He tries to draw a distinction between himself and some others who were sentenced along the lines of what the Government asked for here, specifically citing Thompson and Creek. He says the difference is he didn't enter the Lower West Terrace tunnel or engage in hand-to-hand combat. Your Honor, what is being called a tunnel is essentially an entrance with an arched ceiling approximately 15 feet long. To say that he didn't enter the tunnel is essentially a distinction without a difference.

As you could see in the exhibits, Mr. Miller was just feet from the threshold, and he threw objects at the police. He counted one, two, three, push in the heave-ho effort, and ultimately sprayed a fire extinguisher directly into that tunnel. That's essentially hand-to-hand combat, maybe even worse because of the weapons that he used. And it allowed other rioters to assault police when the police's defenses were compromised.

In the Thompson case, as pointed out in the

Government's sentencing memo, Mr. Thompson was sentenced to 46 months. And as noted, that was largely because Mr. Thompson turned himself in, which Mr. Miller did not. And Mr. Thompson provided information at multiple debriefs prior to entering into a plea agreement, which is another thing Mr. Miller did not do. So it is the Government's position that Mr. Miller's sentence should be greater than Defendant Thompson's. Also, with regard to Creek, that can also be distinguished from Defendant Miller, because Creek did not use a deadly or dangerous weapon as Mr. Miller did. And Creek was not charged with the 1512 obstruction of an official proceeding.

THE COURT: Although Creek did punch an officer, at least on more than one occasion, knocked the officer to the ground where the officer then was kicked as he tried to get up off the ground. That was pretty disturbing conduct there as well.

MS. SCHESNOL: Agreed. Your Honor, the Government does not suggest that any of the conduct of the people who are charged with crimes was anything less than abhorrent.

And while --

THE COURT: I guess I have to say, I don't know the answer to whether, if I were a law enforcement officer, I would feel more or less threatened with someone shooting a fire extinguisher and throwing some batteries at me or

punching me and knocking me to the ground where I'm then kicked on the ground by a mob. But that was a 27-month sentence, so I'm trying to get in my mind how one balances these and figures out where Mr. Miller fits on the continuum.

MS. SCHESNOL: Yes, and while punching and kicking an officer is never acceptable, is certainly not okay, ghastly indeed, Mr. Miller did use a dangerous or deadly weapon with the fire extinguisher. So for that reason, we do believe that a greater sentence is warranted.

THE COURT: It's probably fair to call it a dangerous weapon than a deadly weapon. I suppose if you like put it directly in someone's face and they couldn't breathe, maybe it could kill them. But as used in this fashion, it wasn't a deadly weapon, it was a dangerous weapon.

MS. SCHESNOL: Fair enough, Your Honor, yes. So the Government asked the Court to look to similarly situated defendants, Wilson and Palmer. Defendant Wilson was sentenced to 51 months for the exact same charges that Mr. Miller has pled to. Defendant Palmer -- and as Your Honor noted, you watched all the videos in their entirety, Mr. Palmer can be seen in the video picking up the very fire extinguisher that Defendant Miller puts down just seconds later, emptying the contents of that fire extinguisher and

then throwing it into the tunnel. Defendant Palmer -that's Exhibit 13 in full. Defendant Palmer pled to 111(b),
which Mr. Miller was charged with and he was able to plead
to the 111(a). Mr. Palmer was sentenced to 63 months. I
will note that is because he did not get the three levels of
acceptance of responsibility. So we are not suggesting that
63 months is appropriate. The Government's recommendation
of 51 months is a guideline sentence. And while it is at --

THE COURT: Although Palmer got the bottom end of the guidelines, and you're suggesting the high end of the guidelines, right? Palmer's guidelines was range was 63 to 78 months.

MS. SCHESNOL: Because he did not get acceptance of responsibility. If he had, then his guidelines -Defendant Palmer's guidelines would have been 46 to 57
months for the 111(a), which is the midrange of that
guideline. And so that is where the Government comes up
with the 51-month sentence. So while it's the high end of
the guidelines, here it would be a midrange under a 111(b).
And of course, Your Honor is able to consider the relevant
conduct of using the dangerous instrument. So that is how
the Government got to its recommendation.

So I will wrap up finally, I will conclude. On January 6th, 2021, Mr. Miller, a 22-year-old man, made a series of choices -- not one choice, a series. And at any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time he could have stopped his criminal conduct, he could have turned away. And in each of these choices, he brought himself closer to the Capitol and increasingly violent. Instead of being turned off by what he saw, he chose to purposefully move closer and closer to the chaos. of leaving, he made the deliberate choice to engage in the medieval battle taking place. And in the thick of the combat, he made the choice to encourage others to join in the brutality. He made the deliberate choice to participate in the attack by assaulting police -- most egregiously, ghastly and dangerously assaultive conduct, by picking up a fire extinguisher and spraying the contents from very close range directly into the Lower West Terrace tunnel onto the police officers guarding the Capitol, the police trying to protect the men and woman who work inside the Capitol, and the police defending our democracy.

And for those reasons, the Government submits that the appropriate sentence for his -- for Defendant Miller's multiple crimes is 51 months incarceration, three years of supervised release, \$2,000 in restitution and the required special assessment. Thank you for your time and attention.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Mr. Balarezo.

MR. BALAREZO: Thank you, Your Honor. Matthew Miller completely repudiates his conduct of January 6th, 2021. Prior to that time, within months, he had been

following the news. He had been following the lies that had been coming from President Trump at that time and others and his followers regarding a stolen election. He had gone down a path where he was associating with some unsavory characters. He was going down a path where he as alienating himself from family that had always been there for him.

On January 6th, he thought it would be cool to be part of history, and he went down there to protest. He initially did go down towards the rally that was happening on the Ellipse. However, he did not enter the rally because it was too crowded, and he hovered around the fringes where he could still hear the rhetoric and the lies that were being spewed. Unfortunately, he also went down to the Ellipse to the Mall high on marijuana and drunk on alcohol. With his judgment distorted, he did follow the crowd. He was not just a tourist --

THE COURT: How much marijuana did he smoke and how many beers did he consume?

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, we included a picture in the sentencing --

THE COURT: I saw there was a case of beer he was carrying, but I have no idea how many beers he gave to other people to drink, how many he threw at the police and how many he actually consumed himself.

MR. BALAREZO: My understanding is that he

1 consumed the great amount of that case of beer that he 2 was --3 THE COURT: Can you tell me how many beers he consumed? That makes a difference to me. 4 MR. BALAREZO: I could ask him specifically. 5 THE COURT: Yeah, I would like to know how many 6 7 beers and how much marijuana he consumed. MR. BALAREZO: Sure, if the Court will give me a 8 9 moment. 10 THE COURT: Yes, thank you. (Mr. Balarezo confers with Defendant Miller) 11 12 MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, as I noted, Mr. Miller 13 had been carrying an 18-pack of beer. He indicates that he 14 drank at least 10 of the beers, had smoked from a joint that 15 was being passed around. And also, he said that there was 16 liquor and other alcohol that was being passed around 17 amongst the crowd. So he participated in all of those 18 activities. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. BALAREZO: Now, with respect to his conduct on 21 that date, he does not recall throwing the batteries. And 22 he told the Government that when we met with them a couple 23 weeks ago. He barely recalled throwing the beer can at the 24 police. He does recall the fire extinguisher. However,

what he does recall specifically about that is when he set

25

it off, something in him clicked. Something said to him you've crossed the line, you've committed a crime, you're injuring people, and he did put the fire extinguisher down. Which, as the Government has indicated, was subsequently picked up by Mr. Palmer, fully discharged at the officers, and then he used it to assault them by throwing it at them.

Your Honor, Matthew Miller is anything but the person who appeared on January 6th. He admits that the events of January 6th were unparalleled in American history, as the Government has said, and that they were horrific for what it meant as an attack on the very foundations of our system of government. He is horrified by the videos that he saw here today. He has seen them before, but seeing them in a courtroom where he's facing prison time has really horrified him. I spoke with him as the Government was showing these videos, and he described that to me.

Now, Matthew Miller is not the Matthew Miller that was seen on January 6th. With respect to the factors that the Court needs to consider, his history and characteristics I think are the most important here. He is the second son of Michelle and Kenneth Miller, who instilled in him the traditional values of treating others with respect and giving to those in need. He should have heeded those teachings on January 6th. However, because, as I mentioned, he had been going down the wrong path associating with

various individuals that he shouldn't have, drinking, smoking, doing things he shouldn't have, he started to stray from those beliefs. He started to follow the lies on TV. He started to follow the lies of Donald Trump saying that the election was stolen.

THE COURT: How do I know that these are his views? I mean, he hasn't done anything that sort of demonstrates to me that he's seen the light here in some way and realizes that he was led astray. I understand it's your job as counsel to present the case to me in the light most favorable to your client. But what evidence do I have to support what you're saying to me, and that he realizes now that he was hanging out with the wrong people, and the alcohol had taken a grip on him, and that he was unduly influenced by watching television and hearing lies on television? How do I know that that really is how he feels today?

I mean, I've certainly had sentencings where people are sincere about that. I've had sentencings where people go out and say something -- they tell me that, I believe it, and then I read in the newspaper the next day they're saying something that's just the opposite in the newspaper.

How do I know in Mr. Miller's case that he really believes what you're telling me?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BALAREZO: Well, Your Honor, first of all, you will hear from Mr. Miller himself. And I think you will take his words to heart about how he feels today after January 6th. Additionally, as the Court already indicated, while he was on supervised release -- excuse me, on pretrial release when the Court allowed him to -- or gave him the benefit of leaving the D.C. jail and being free again, he immediately went back to the Matthew Miller that he was before. He got a job. He worked hard. He stayed home. He stayed out of trouble. He stopped drinking. He stopped smoking marijuana. That's the evidence that the Court has. I mean, I understand that it's just the words that I'm offering. But as an officer of the court, and from my conversations with Mr. Miller, I can tell you that that's what he decided to do. And the reason he decided to do it was because the Matthew Miller of January 6th is not the Matthew Miller who stands before the Court today.

Now, as the letters that we have submitted with the Court indicate, Mr. Miller is a good man. He is a hard worker. He's there for everyone. He has always supported other people. He's always been there lending a helping hand. The Government indicated that this is not a case where -- cases that normally come before the Court where individuals do not have the benefits that Mr. Miller did and committed crimes. But again, I think that serves to

demonstrate that what happened on January 6th was an aberration in Mr. Miller's life. He has never been in trouble with the law before, except for one speeding ticket that he received.

So notwithstanding the fact that he had the benefits of a good family, of good familial support, the Court can see that what happened there was just a one-off. It's not something that Mr. Miller would repeat. He has learned his lesson. He has been sitting in jail now for over four months. He sits there every night wondering and asking himself why did I act that way, why did I do what I did, why did I throw the batteries, why did I discharge the fire extinguisher. And perhaps he still has to answer that to himself, but he will -- he is on the road to completely repudiate what he did on January 6th.

was trying to be as transparent as I could with government counsel, I want to do the same with you. I think almost as equally troubling to me as the fire extinguisher -- which is pretty troubling when you see those law enforcement officers in that tunnel being gassed as they were stuck in that tunnel, the heave-ho is really troubling to me. I mean, he was leading a mob of people pushing back and forth to crush these officers who were in this tunnel. You know, it was not heave-ho against a chain link fence with nobody on the

other side of it, it was a heave-ho of a mass of humanity that he was leading that was pressing up against a handful of officers who were protecting the Capitol. That, to my mind, is almost frankly as troubling as the fire extinguisher.

I mean, I try and put myself in everyone's position as a sentencing judge. And when I try and put myself in the position of those officers against that, I can only imagine that they feared for their lives hearing this crowd going heave-ho. And they were the only barrier between this mass of people, who were inflamed beyond belief, and the United States Capitol and the members, including members who were a couple dozen feet away inside the Capitol building. And so that is also deeply, deeply troubling to me.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, and it should be. His conduct overall that day for those specific scenes of him, as the Court said, leading the crowd are completely uncalled for. I don't even know what the word would be for a situation like that. But Mr. Miller himself feels even worse, because he was raised to care about law enforcement, to respect law enforcement, to look for them in a time of trouble. Not to attack them, not to assault them. So again, his behavior on that day comes as a complete aberration to his regular law abiding life. I would

hesitate to call him a leader. He was definitely one of the individuals who was stirring up the crowd, at least in that particular video that the Court saw.

THE COURT: I think that's fair. I didn't mean to suggest that he was a leader, but he certainly was coaching the crowd on in the process and doing the one, two, three, heave-ho -- maybe not as the captain of the team, but as an active member who was coordinating the shoving back and forth against the police officers.

MR. BALAREZO: I guess you could call him a cheerleader, Your Honor, at that point; not the captain of the team, but as a cheerleader trying to get the crowd to do what he was doing himself.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BALAREZO: We would accept that. We have indicated in our sentencing memorandum that Mr. Miller never set out to act in the way that he did. He went there merely for the protest. He did not prepare, as some other defendants did, with knives and with mace and pepper spray and things of that nature. He went -- based on his inebriated state, his high condition, he acted as he did and followed the crowd. That does not excuse him in any way. But as the Court is aware of, there have been studies on mob mentality where the crowd starts acting and people just join in because they don't want to be left out, they want to be

part of the action. And that's what happened in this particular case, Your Honor.

The family members who have written to the Court almost unanimously note that Matthew is a good person who cares about others. The Court can see, again, from the number of people here -- his parents are here, friends, family to support him. They are here to show the Court that Matthew Miller has a support system; that they will do everything in their ability to make sure that he does not repeat his conduct of January 6th. Matthew Miller has suffered already. That's not to say that he shouldn't be incarcerated for a period of time. But he lost his job, which was probably the least of it. He's lost his reputation. And more importantly, now he's losing his freedom.

THE COURT: With respect to the question of unwarranted sentencing disparity, I understand there are differences between Mr. Miller and some of the others. And as I've telegraphed already, I think his age is a big part of it, and perhaps his inebriated state. Although I still don't know over what period of time he was drinking the beers and don't entirely understand that. But even accepting that, and even accepting, okay, I should consider Mr. Miller's age, and the fact that he doesn't have any significant interaction with the law otherwise, and is hard

working, the sentences in these other cases are way higher. It's not just a little bit higher than what you're asking me to impose here. You're asking me for a year and a day which, with good time, ends up being about 10 months. With the time that your client has already served, it ends up being maybe another five months.

But when you compare that sentence to the sentences in the other cases, I think the lowest sentence in a potentially similar case was the case in Judge Friedrich -- in front of Judge Friedrich which was at 27 months. But it was still a guidelines sentence, and it was actually in the middle of the guidelines. The Palmer case was 63 months. I understand that case had some factors that make it worse than this case. In the Languerand case in front of Judge Bates, he actually varied downward, but he varied downward from 46 months to 44 months. And then there are a number of cases in front of Judge Lamberth which were in the 40 plus month range.

So I guess I'm interested in hearing your thoughts on how, even considering the type of mitigation that you're talking about, I get from similar sentences that are in the 40-month range down to a year and a day in this case.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, the majority of the cases that the Government cited in their disparity argument, again, involved cases where the individuals acted in a way

that I would say is much more -- much worse than Mr. Miller. They actually engaged the police in hand-to-hand combat, as the Court indicated in the Creek case, where he punched the police officer in the face I believe and kicked him while he was down. And all the other individuals also acted in that particular way. That's not to minimize in any way

Mr. Miller's conduct here with the batteries and the beer can and the fire extinguisher.

But in this case, as the Court noted, Mr. Miller is a young man who, perhaps not the most mature at the time in question, made decisions that a normal older individual with more life experience would not have made. And in his situation, by incarcerating him for a period of 51 months as the Government recommends, it would be a sentence that's much greater than necessary under the guidelines -- or under the sentencing statute. It would not serve Mr. Miller in any way -- will not make him a better person. He has already learned his lesson, as you will hear from him directly. It will not make him not do these things again, because he's already learned.

He's indicated to the Court that he's amenable to following the Court's instructions, as he did during his release. He did everything that was expected of him. He demonstrates his respect for the law. And he has not engaged in any conduct that led him to being where he was on

January 6th. So for that reason, I think that the Court can vary downward to a sentence of 12 months and a day.

Now, Your Honor, with respect to the seriousness of the offense, there's no doubt that what happened on January 6th was a serious event. But the Court should consider Mr. Miller's individual actions and not the mob as a whole. As a whole, the mob acted in a way that was detrimental to our system of government. It shook the very foundations of government. Mr. Miller played but a very small part in that. His respect for the law has been demonstrated -- again, I hate to repeat myself, by his behavior during his release before sentencing, before he pled guilty.

Matthew has never been in trouble with the law before, Your Honor. There's nothing in his past that would indicate that he will repeat his behavior of January 6th. A federal conviction is always going to follow him. He's going to have trouble when he applies for jobs. He's going to have trouble when he applies to school. He's not going to be able to own any weapons. He understands that the consequences of his actions have already had a severe impact on him. Further incarcerating him is just going to be warehousing him without serving any purpose.

He also understands that his actions have consequences, and he's been reminded every day while he's

been over in Alexandria that his actions were not appropriate. And he promises the Court -- and I am anxious for the Court to hear him, because he did share with me what he wrote for the Court. And I think for a 22-year-old man, it is a very well-written letter. As the PSR noted, Your Honor, there is no perceived benefit to either the defendant or to society to be derived from an extensively punitive sentence. And that's what we're aiming at: A long prison sentence for Mr. Miller would not serve any purpose in this particular case. It's not going to rehabilitate him, because he doesn't need rehabilitation. What he needs is treatment for his drug addiction and for his alcohol addiction. And I think if the Court were to address those two particular issues --

THE COURT: Does he really have a drug addiction?

I think you've told me for the past year or more he hasn't used marijuana. So does he really have a drug addiction?

MR. BALAREZO: Well, Your Honor, I think if he gets treatment, it would make sure that he does not go back to it. He hasn't, from what I understand, partaken in marijuana, and he stopped drinking as he had been before. So I think if those two issues were addressed while he was in the BOP, that that would be a solution to his problem. Because that's -- again, without making excuses, the fact that he was high and drunk on January 6th was a big part of

why he acted the way he did.

And with respect to the sentencing disparities, again, Your Honor, we'd just indicate that those individuals acted in ways that were much more culpable than Mr. Miller. There are degrees of assault, if you will. But being in there in the medieval battle, as the Government called it, hand-to-hand with police officers, you can see where those individuals merit a higher sentence. Mr. Miller's acts amount to minutes, and his life should not be defined by those particular minutes where he acted that way, Your Honor. For those reasons, we think a sentence of a year and a day is sufficient, but not greater than necessary.

THE COURT: So with respect to the disparity issue, I'm looking at -- I actually went and pulled the sentencing memoranda in several of the cases, and I'm looking at the case involving Mr. Palmer. The description of Mr. Palmer's actual conduct is he cheered on the violence, at one point raising his arm up in the air in support, as rioters shoved a flagpole into the tunnel.

Mr. Palmer was still at the railing watching as one MPD officer was pulled out of the tunnel. He didn't do it himself, though.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor --

THE COURT: He threw a plank like a spear, and it landed on top of the riot police shields. Then he sprayed

the fire extinguisher that Mr. Miller had dropped. He then threw the fire extinguisher at the shields that the police officers were holding in front of them. He was still holding a long piece of scaffolding wrapped in canvas, and shoved it at the legs of the police officers. So this was underneath the door or underneath the shields he shoved this plank in. And he was sentenced to 63 months I think.

MR. BALAREZO: I believe that's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I may see some slight differences there, but I'm not sure I see huge differences between his conduct and Mr. Miller's conduct.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, again, that's an individual who was much older than Mr. Miller. He, quite frankly, should have known better. And he also engaged in much more violent conduct with the police. As I inserted into our sentencing memorandum, he not only threw a wooden plank at the officers, he sprayed the fire extinguisher; he threw the fire extinguisher at the officers; he pepper sprayed -- he was pepper sprayed; and eventually he was shot by probably a rubber bullet, which would indicate the danger with which the police officers found him, that they had to take a shot at him. He found a 4- to 5-foot pole with which he assaulted a second group of police officers. So his conduct we do believe is different from Mr. Miller's. I

think the degree to which these individuals who engaged in the hand-to-hand combat is much greater than an individual like Mr. Miller who stood back and fired the extinguisher, not to minimize --

THE COURT: That may be right, but the question is whether it's five times worse.

MR. BALAREZO: We believe it is some degree more worse than Mr. Miller's, and therefore his sentence should be commensurate with that particular thought in mind, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MR. BALAREZO: So for those reasons, we ask for the sentence as recommended in the sentencing memorandum, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So who would you like me to hear from now? Would you like me to hear from Mr. Miller? Are there others you'd like me to hear from?

MR. BALAREZO: Well, there are others, but we had that conversation at the bench. I don't know if the Court has decided.

THE COURT: So what I'm inclined to do is let me hear from Mr. Miller. If there's anything else you all want to raise, I'm happy to hear that. I'm then going to take a break to think about this. And maybe I'll ask that everyone step out of the courtroom when we take the break, I'll come

back and I can hear from the couple of individuals you'd like me to hear from. And then I'll invite everyone back in and I can impose sentence.

MR. BALAREZO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon Your Honor. As you surely know, every case has various viewpoints and narratives, and mine is no different in that regard. I stand before you today because for a time, I was weak and terribly misguided. I was at a point in my life where I was falling down the wrong path and making the wrong decisions. I was drifting away from my family and friends, hanging out with the wrong crowd, and failing due to my own addictions and indulgences. I'm ashamed to have been so swayed by my shortcomings, beliefs and naivety.

Since that day, I've felt an overwhelming sense of guilt. I put our first responders lives' in danger, and it truly weighs on me. Being the curious and explorative person I've always been, I found myself near the front line that day. Sadly, I partook in some idiotic actions that have changed my life forever. Seeing pictures and videos of my inebriated behaviors that day sends shivers down my spine, and reminds me of the precious lives I put in harm's way on that gloomy January day. It is not fair for me to blame my actions on drugs, alcohol or the momentum of the crowd. I have nobody to blame but myself. My intentions

that day were to wear a wild outfit, drink some beers and have a good time. I never thought things would go the way that they did.

I would also like to clarify the flags that I wore that day were meant to be seen as a symbol for states' rights, and protection of the people from government overreach, without any racial connotation. For anyone that interpreted them as otherwise, I truly apologize as that was not my intentions. I feel like I will spend the rest of my life striving to make amends with my family, friends and my community.

Despite the usual heartaches of incarceration,

I've come to realize it as an opportunity to reexamine

myself, formulate my goals and find my way back to God.

Over the past year, up until my recent re-incarceration, I

was constantly working to fix my life and get back on the

right path. I gained control over my past habits. I've

rekindled relationships; complied with my house arrest

terms; found a woman to love; and created plans for my

future success and responsibilities as a contributing member

of society. Throughout these three months, I've continued

to prepare as well. Whether I am writing notes for a

business plan, cooking dinner for my peers at night or

helping my bilingual friend study for his GED test, I know

that I am back on the track I was meant to be on. I've had

the opportunity to be stripped of everything that I've thought defined me. I've come to realize I'm not defined by political ideologies or my mistakes, but by the most important things in my life, the friends and family that I love.

In hindsight, my political affiliation was shortsighted and baseless. Finding myself in the position of being a convicted felon, I lost important rights and some great job opportunities. With this in mind, it has only motivated me to pursue my entrepreneurial dreams and overcome the tragic situation that I've brought upon myself. Upon release, I plan to create my own business, reeducate myself and continue to chase the dreams of my childhood.

This experience will continue to shape and guide my life forever. Whether it is the thoughts and images of the officers' lives I put in danger or the tears that I saw streaming down my mother's face as federal agents took me away, they will at least keep me from making such a mistake ever again. With these events and consequences in mind, and my parents' constant reminder to do the right thing, I will reestablish my purpose in life and society. I know God is putting me where I need to be. All I can do is apologize to my family and my community, and ask for your mercy today. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else,

1 Mr. Balarezo? 2 MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Can I ask counsel to go to the phones, 4 please. (Sidebar bench conference placed under separate cover) 5 THE COURT: Okay, we're going to take a break now. 6 (Off the record at 4:04 p.m.) 7 8 (Back on the record at 4:37 p.m.) 9 THE COURT: Mr. Balarezo, was there anyone else 10 who you wanted to address the Court? 11 MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor. 12 **THE COURT:** Anything else from anyone further? 13 MS. SCHESNOL: Your Honor, I have a few comments, 14 if you'd be willing to hear them? 15 THE COURT: Yes, you're welcome to. 16 MS. SCHESNOL: Okay, thank you. I'll do my best 17 to be brief. With regard to the cheerleader analogy that 18 was made, cheerleaders don't go onto the field, they cheer 19 from the sidelines. And Defendant Miller, he was on the field. With regard to the defendant who punched and kicked 20 21 an officer, definitely terrible, but that's one officer. 22 Defendant Miller unleashing that fire extinguisher affected 23 many officers. Engaging in the heave-ho affected many 24 officers.

With regard to the intoxication, Mr. Miller wasn't

25

so intoxicated that he couldn't throw a beer can 30 yards. He wasn't so intoxicated that he couldn't use a barrier as a ladder and climb up it. He wasn't too intoxicated to say one, two, three, push over and over. And he wasn't too intoxicated to press the lever on the fire extinguisher. The Government feels that at 22 years of age, there are many 22-year-olds out there who are going to see and hear what sentences are imposed in these cases. And this should be -- the sentence imposed here should serve as a deterrence to those other 22-year-olds.

And finally, the Government believes that the guidelines are terribly important, because a sentence shouldn't really rely on whether a defendant is in Washington, D.C. or Phoenix, Arizona or is before a judge in Courtroom 8 or a judge in Courtroom 10. And for all those reasons, we are asking for the guidelines sentence that we have set forth. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Balarezo, anything further?

MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I've assessed the particular facts of this case in light of the relevant 3553(a) factors, including the sentencing guidelines, and I want to provide some remarks for the record and for you, Mr. Miller, about my consideration with regard to the proper sentence in this case, and the nature of the offense and your history and

characteristics.

Let me start with my consideration regarding the nature of the offense. This was a singular and extraordinarily disturbing event in U.S. history. And it's not so often in a sentencing when a court talks about the history of the nation in describing a crime. And I understand that a felony conviction here is something that will be on Mr. Miller's record for the rest of his life. But it's also true that the events that occurred at the Capitol on January 6th will be in the history books that our children read, our children's children read and their children's children read. It's part of the history of this nation, and it's a stain on the history of this nation.

It was not just an assault on law enforcement officers who were there protecting others. It wasn't just an assault on law enforcement officers who were protecting the United States Capitol. It was an assault on democracy itself. It was an assault that was viewed around the world by millions and millions of people who were astounded that in a country that is founded on principles of democracy, that is founded on the notions of peaceful transfer of power, that a mob could engage in the type of conduct that occurred that day. And so when I say it's a singular event in U.S. history, that is not hyperbole in any respect.

Every time I think about the events of that day, I

feel sickened by what happened that day. I listened to the videos that the Government both presented to the Court in advance and played in court today -- and I don't think I heard Mr. Miller doing this, but perhaps he did, but I didn't hear him doing it. But the crowd was shouting "USA, USA" as they were attacking the United States. And the word ironic doesn't do enough to capture what that represents. This mob -- and when you look at these pictures, it was a mob that went on and on and on attacking the United States Capitol, attacking democracy, attacking the peaceful transition of power in this country, attacking everything this country stands for. And they had the nerve to be shouting "USA, USA" as though they were cheering the country on at the moment they were attacking the country. And it is just shattering that that could occur in this nation.

And I understand Mr. Miller's argument to the Court that he was misled by lies that were told to the public about that election. But lies like that require not only someone who's willing to lie to the American public about something like that, but people who are anxious and willing to hear that lie, because it was so evidently untrue. And it took people who were so desperate or so wanted to hear that as their answer, that they were willing to put that aside. And so it's not simply that lies were told to the people who were there that day, but it's that

there were people who were hungry for that lie to feed their desires; to put aside, quite frankly, a love of country, a love of democracy in favor of political affiliation and the vote they cast which they thought should have trumped the vote of the majority of the American public.

And I was struck by one thing Mr. Miller said in, quite frankly, his moving statement to the Court. And he said that he no longer regards himself to be defined by political affiliation. And that is an important point in that I would hope that we all see ourselves defined as Americans first, and not on one team or the other in this country; and that our allegiance is to our nation and to the institutions that make this country so strong.

So that's all to say that the nature of the offense here was one of singular damage to our nation. And although Mr. Miller was not a leader in the sense that he organized others or that he was involved in advanced planning, but he was front and center in that assault. And I don't think there was any portion of the assault on the Capitol that was as dangerous as the assault that took place on the tunnel on the Lower West Terrace, with the law enforcement officers in that space trying to protect the Capitol from attack.

And Mr. Miller, in firing the extinguisher in there, I think as Ms. Schesnol just said a moment ago,

didn't attack a single officer, but attacked all the officers who were in there. And I watched the video, and they were coughing and wheezing and running back and giving up their positions guarding the Capitol because they were attacked. And you could hear the crowd cheer as Mr. Miller did this with their approval that he had launched his own separate assault on the officers. And although he did put the extinguisher down at some point, he fired it for a not insignificant period of time. And he created the example: Someone else then picked it up and fired it, and then threw it at the officers.

As I said earlier as well, I'm also perhaps equally disturbed by the heave-ho, and Mr. Miller counting "one, two, three, heave-ho, heave-ho" to press this huge crowd up against these officers, who were doing nothing more than their jobs in trying to protect the Capitol, trying to protect the people who were in the Capitol, trying to protect democracy. There was also the throwing the batteries, the throwing the beer can, all of which was deeply disturbing.

And I understand that Mr. Miller may have been intoxicated. I do think that it's the defense's burden to prove to me exactly how intoxicated he was. And I believe that he had some beers to drink. I'm not sure I'm convinced that he was so intoxicated that he couldn't exercise

independent judgment as to what he was doing that day.

Undoubtedly it perhaps reduced some of his inhibitions in his behavior, but the intoxication didn't seem to rise to the level of preventing him from actually exercising any form of judgment.

Turning to the characteristics of Mr. Miller, much of this weighs in the other direction in this case.

Sentencing is never easy, and this is a very, very difficult case to my mind. As you've probably gathered by my comments, I am moved by Mr. Miller's age. I accept the defense's argument that he was barely 22 at the time, and that his judgment was still developing. I would hope and expect that today he has better judgment than he had that day. He was also somewhat intoxicated that day, which may provide not an excuse, but a partial explanation for his behavior.

As I indicated before, I do think that

Mr. Miller's exemplary behavior while on pretrial release

weighs in his favor. And this is not just a case in which

he abided by the rules. He went above and beyond abiding by

the rules, and he showed himself to be somebody who is

looking for the opportunity to put his life back together,

and to be a contributing member of society. And so that

weighs in his favor as well. I'm also impressed by the fact

that he's had no other significant interaction with the law.

And that is another factor that I think weighs in his favor.

The comments from his employers I think are significant.

With respect to the types of sentences available, as I've indicated, I agree that the guidelines range in this case is between 41 and 51 months. The probation office has recommended a sentence of a year and a day, and the defense urges the Court to do the same. I've spent a lot of time thinking about other cases involving similar conduct. And of course each and every one of these cases is different, and each and every one has to be weighed on its own merits.

But I do think it's also extremely important to public confidence in the Judiciary and to the sentencing process that the courts avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities. I actually think when one looks at the sentencing decisions that have been made by this Court across many judges, it's remarkable how consistent the sentencing has been; and how, where I see differences, I'm able to go back and look at it, and look at the records in the case and understand the bases for these differences.

And as I said, I've gone back and actually read the sentencing memoranda in several of the cases that were cited.

I do think that one thing that makes this case different than a number of those is Mr. Miller's age.

Although the one thing I will say is that in the Languerand

case, which was in front of Judge Bates, he did vary downward a little bit in that case. And I believe the defendant in that case was 25 years old, so not a lot older, but a little bit older in that case.

I do think I also have to think about the victims in the case. And it's not just the American public that no longer can have the same confidence that tomorrow we will live in a purely democratic society that we had -- that the public had before the events, but it's also the officers who were in that tunnel and who were traumatized by the events that occurred that day. Their skin was burned, their eyes were burned by the firing of the fire extinguisher. They were undoubtedly unnerved, if not terrified, by the crowd outside surging at them, and by Mr. Miller's participation in that crowd with the heave-ho and pushing back against them in every way.

So I need to take all of these matters into consideration and strike what, to my mind, is a very tough balance between Mr. Miller's young age, lack of judgment at that age, intoxication, otherwise exemplary behavior -- and I do believe the true remorse about his behavior that day. But I also have to consider the magnitude of the events that occurred that day.

So what I'm going to do is I'm going to -- in light of Mr. Miller's age, barely 22 at the time of this,

intoxication, exemplary record, I am going to vary downward somewhat. I'm going to vary downward by two levels from a level 22 to a level 20, and I'm going to impose a term of incarceration of 33 months.

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, and in consideration of the provisions of 18 U.S.C. section 3553, as well as the advisory sentencing guidelines, it's the judgment of the Court that you, Matthew Miller, are hereby sentenced to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 33 months on counts two and three. You are further sentenced to serve concurrent terms of 24 months of supervised release on counts two and three. In addition, you're ordered to pay a special assessment of \$200 in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 3013.

While on supervision, you shall abide by the following mandatory conditions, as well as the standard conditions of supervision which are imposed to establish the basic expectations of your conduct while on supervision.

The mandatory conditions include you must not commit another federal, state or local crime. You must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. You must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. You must submit to one drug test within 15 days of placement on supervision, and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the Court. You must cooperate in the

collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.

You must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. sections 3663 and 3663A, and any other statute authorizing a sentence of restitution. You are ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$2,000 to the Architect of the Capitol. The Court has determined that you don't have the ability to pay interest, and therefore, waives any interest or penalties that may accrue on the balance.

Restitution payments shall be made to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, District of Columbia, for disbursement to the following victim:

Architect of the Capitol, Office of the Chief Officer,

Attention Kathy Sherrill, CPA, Ford House Office Building,

Room 52-205B, Washington, D.C. 20515 in the amount of \$2,000.

You shall also comply with the following special conditions of supervision. You must pay the balance of any restitution order at a rate of not less than \$100 each month. You must submit to substance abuse testing to determine if you have used a prohibited substance. You must not attempt to obstruct or tamper with the testing methods. You must complete a hundred hours of community service within 18 months of supervision. The probation officer will supervise your participation in the program by approving the program. You must provide written verification of completed

hours to the probation officer. You must provide the probation officer access to any requested financial information, and authorize the release of any financial information. The probation officer may share financial information with the United States Attorney's Office. You must not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer.

Within 60 days of your release from incarceration or placement on supervision, you'll appear before the Court for a reentry progress hearing. Prior to the hearing, the probation officer will submit a report summarizing your status and compliance with release conditions. If you're supervised by a district outside of the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, the United States Probation Office in that district will submit a progress report to the Court within 60 days of the commencement of supervision. Upon receipt of the progress report, the Court will determine if your appearance is required.

The probation office shall release the presentence investigation report to all appropriate agencies, which include the United States probation office in the approved district of residence, in order to execute the sentence of the Court. Treatment agencies shall return the presentence report to the probation office upon the defendant's completion or termination from treatment.

The Court finds you do not have the ability to pay a fine, and therefore, waives imposition of a fine in this case. The financial obligations are immediately payable to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court at 333 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20001. Within 30 days of any change of address, you shall notify the Clerk of the Court of the change until such time as the financial obligation is paid in full.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 3742, you have a right to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court if the period of imprisonment is longer than the statutory maximum or the sentence departs upward from the applicable sentencing guidelines range. If you choose to appeal, you must file an appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment.

As defined in 28 U.S.C. section 2255, you also have a right to challenge the conviction entered or sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or on a claim that you received ineffective assistance of counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the offense of conviction or in connection with sentencing. If you're unable to afford the cost of any appeal, you may request permission from the Court to file an appeal without cost to you.

Mr. Balarezo, there were two prisons you

1 recommended that I recommend? 2 MR. BALAREZO: Yes, Your Honor, Cumberland or Fort Dix. 3 THE COURT: Any objection from the Government? 4 5 MS. SCHESNOL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: So the Court will include a 6 7 recommendation. It will be up to the Bureau of Prisons, but I will recommend that Mr. Miller be incarcerated at 8 Cumberland or Fort Dix. I also will recommend that the 9 10 defendant, while incarcerated, participate in -- I'm sorry, 11 give me one moment here, the Federal Prison Industries' 12 program and the drug abuse education program. 13 Are there any other conditions the Government 14 would request? 15 MS. SCHESNOL: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Anything else from probation that you 17 would request or other conditions you would recommend? 18 MS. MOSES-GREGORY: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Anything else from the defense? 20 MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So pursuant to the D.C. 22 Circuit's decision in United States vs. Hunter, I need to 23 ask whether there are any objections to the sentence imposed 24 that have not already been noted for the record, Mr. Balarezo? 25

MR. BALAREZO: No, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Government? MS. SCHESNOL: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Anything else you want to raise today? 4 MS. SCHESNOL: Your Honor, the Government moves to 5 dismiss the outstanding counts of the indictment. 6 7 THE COURT: That motion is granted. Mr. Balarezo, anything else you want to raise? 8 9 MR. BALAREZO: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Miller, I know that -- I'm sorry. 10 (Discussion off the record) 11 12 THE COURT: Yes, the term of incarceration is 13 concurrent on both counts. Thank you. Yes, to be clear 14 about that, it is concurrent. 15 Mr. Miller, I know that this is tough medicine. Ι 16 do wish you well. I actually feel as though you are 17 somebody who will make a good contribution to society, and 18 will, when you get out, go out there and work hard and serve 19 your community and serve your family and friends. This was 20 a rough day for you -- January 6th was a rough day for the 21 nation, today is a rough day for you. I do hope that you 22 are able to move forward and have a successful life. So I wish you and your family the best, thank you. 23 24 (Proceedings adjourned at 5:02 p.m.)

25

CERTIFICATE

I, Jeff M. Hook, Official Court Reporter, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Jeff M. Hook

May 27, 2022

DATE

F	72 /25 74 /2	F-02 F11 70/24	[1] 75 /0
 \$	73/25 74/3		accrue [1] 75/8
	22-year-old [4]	5B1.1 [1] 20/4	accuracy [2] 6/12
\$100 [3] 20/11	28/8 28/22 44/24	5D1.2A2 [1] 19/17	6/17
20/22 75/18	58/4	5th [1] 27/25	accurate [1] 39/3
\$15,000 [1] 20/8	22-year-olds [3]		achieve [1] 40/15
\$15,000 [1] 20/0	22-year-01us [3]	6	
\$150,000 [1] 20/8	29/12 66/7 66/10		across [2] 36/19
\$2,000 [5] 20/11	2255 [1] 77/16	60 [2] 76/8 76/16	72/16
20/22 45/20 75/5	[23 [1] 1/5	63 [5] 44/4 44/7	act [3] 51/11 53/17
75/15	24 [1] 74/11	44/11 55/13 60/7	74/5
\$2.7 [1] 14/25	25 [2] 11/21 73/3		acted [7] 53/21
	26th [1] 13/18	8/23 22/10 25/11	55/25 56/5 57/7
14/25	27 [1] 55/10	25/21 27/16 30/16	59/1 59/4 59/10
	27-month [1] 43/2	35/7 35/9 35/10	acting [1] 53/24
\$250,000 [1] 20/7	[28 [1] 77/16	37/4 37/22 38/1	action [3] 1/3 2/2
_	2:16 [1] 1/6	38/2 40/21 40/25	54/1
0	[2]1.2A [1] 10/18		actions [14] 26/24
0075 [1] 1/4	231.2B1B [1] 14/5	48/8 48/9 48/18	29/23 29/24 35/19
	231.2B1B [1] 14/3		29/23 29/24 33/19
1	2J1.2B2 $[\bar{4}]$ 11/5	48/24 50/4 50/16	37/2 37/3 37/22
	13/3 13/11 15/3	51/1 51/15 54/10	37/24 57/6 57/21 57/24 58/1 62/10
10 [3] 47/14 55/4	3	21/T 21/2 21/TO	31/24 30/1 02/13
66/15	را	58/25 67/10 79/20	62/24
11 [1] 25/5	30 [4] 12/11 21/3		active [1] 53/8
111 [5] 3/3 44/2	28/16 77/6	7	active [1] 33/8 activities [3] 6/8
44/4 44/16 44/19		75 [1] 2/2	
119 F91 20/21 F7/2	30 yards [2] 23/3		7/2 47/18
12 [2] 20/21 57/2	66/1	78 [1] 44/12	acts [2] 40/8 59/8
13 [1] 44/2	30-year-old [1]	8	actual [1] 59/17
14 [6] 3/16 10/20	28/8		actually [14] 4/18
10/21 22/4 26/11	3013 [1] 74/14	 85004 [1] 1/15	5/7 23/15 33/8 37/2
77/14	306 [1] 1/17	8:00 p.m [1] 38/5	46/24 55/12 55/15
15 [2] 39/10 74/23	33 [2] 74/4 74/10		56/2 59/14 71/4
15 feet [1] 41/14	33 [2]	Α	
115 Teel [1] 41/14	333 [2] 1/24 77/5	shammatian [2] [1/2	72/14 72/20 79/16
1512 [4] 2/24 10/15		aberration [2] 51/2	add [2] 19/1 20/13
10/20_42/11	21/25 66/21 74/7	52/25	addiction [4] 58/12
18 [13] 2/23 3/2	3561 [1] 19/19	abetting [1] 2/23	58/13 58/15 58/17
5/6 10/15 10/19	3583 [1] 19/15		addictions [1]
19/15 19/19 21/7		abide [1] 74/15	
		abided [1] 71/20	62/12
74/6 74/14 75/2	3663A [1] 75/3		addition [2] 21/16
75/23 77/9	37 [2] 12/11 21/3	abiding [2] 52/25	74/12
18-pack [1] 47/13	3742 [1] 77/9	71/20	additional [3] 3/19
1800 [1] 1/14	3:00 in [1] 38/5	ability [4] 26/16	11/25 76/6
19 [2] 12/5 12/11			Additionally [1]
1984 [1] 74/5	4	able [8] 8/20 23/15	FO /4
	40 F27 1 /14 20 /21	20 /0 44 /2 44 /20	50/4
	40 [3] 1/14 29/21	33/3 44/3 44/20 57/30 73/10 70/33	address [7] 5/2
1:00 [1] 18/19	55/18	57/20 72/18 79/22	13/20 33/8 35/20
1:21-cr-0075 [1]	40-month [1] 55/22	about it [1] 7/22	58/13 65/10 77/6
1/4	40-year-oĪd¯[1]	above [2] 71/20	address those [1]
•	28/9	80/5	58/13
2	400 [1] 1/17	above-entitled [1]	
20 [2] 10/16 74/3		80/5	addressed [2] 13/20
	40s [1] 28/23		58/22
	41 [3] 12/9 19/13	absolve [1] 28/20	adequate [1] 21/14
20004 [1] 1/18	72/5	absolves [1] 29/22	adjourned [1] 79/24
2020 [2] 8/24 27/20	44 [1] 55/16	abuse [2] 75/19	adjudicating [1]
2021 [6] 6/9 22/10	46 [3] 42/2 44/15	78/12	16/21
27/16 37/23 44/24	55/16	academy [1] 29/9	adjudicative [1]
45/25	4700-C [1] 1/24	accept [6] 10/3	16/20
2022 [2] 1/5 5/12		15/24 16/3 40/12	
20515 [1] 75/14	4:04 p.m [1] 65/7	53/15 71/10	adjusted [2] 11/20
	4:10 to [1] 39/18		11/22
205B [1] 75/14	4:15 [1] 39/18	acceptable [1] 43/7	administration [15]
20th [1] 38/12	4:37 p.m [1] 65/8	acceptance [3]	10/24 11/4 14/17
21 [1] 27/17	5	11/24 44/6 44/13	16/9 16/13 16/16
21-75 [1] 2/2	<u> </u>	accepting [2] 54/23	17/1 17/5 17/18
	5-foot [1] 60/23	54/23	17/20 17/23 18/5
[22 [25] 11/22 12/2	51 [9] 12/9 19/13	access [1] 76/2	
12/9 19/12 27/18	05/15 00/14 40/00		18/15 19/4 22/8
	25/15 33/14 43/20	- 4 /4 4 - F /O	admits [1] 48/8
27/19 27/21 27/22	44/8 45/19 56/13	74/14 75/2	adult [3] 28/1
27/23 28/3 28/4	72/5	according [1] 11/6	29/24 30/20
28/12 28/13 28/14	51-month [4] 27/12		advance [4] 12/16
28/15 29/8 29/15	39/25 40/22 44/18	36/2	31/21 35/24 68/3
30/19 36/11 36/11	52-205B [1] 75/14		advanced [1] 69/17
36/15 66/6 71/11	57 [1] 44/15	35/24	advantage [1] 09/1/
50, 13 00, 0 71, 11	~' [+]	55/2:	advantage [1] 40/7
1			
•	•		

۸	69/12	32/20 33/11 33/14	attacks [3] 26/5
A	allow [1] 18/23	34/24 44/7 45/18	26/7 26/9
advisory [3] 10/7	allowed [2] 41/23		attempt [2] 33/15
20/6 74/7	50/6	appropriately [1]	75/21
affect [2] 6/24 7/8	allowing [2] 30/14	17/22	attendant [1] 18/20
affected [2] 65/22 65/23	39/13 almost [3] 51/18	approval [2] 70/6	attended [3] 8/10 8/23 31/18
affiliation [4] 6/5		76/7 approved [1]	attention [2] 45/21
64/6 69/3 69/9		approved [1] 75/24	
afford [2] 21/13	26/6 41/9	approximately [5]	Attorney [1] 2/11
77/22	although [11] 13/19	14/24 23/2 27/20	Attorney's [2] 1/14
afternoon [5] 2/8	16/6 17/10 21/4	38/5 41/14	76/5
2/16 2/17 2/20 62/5		arched [1] 41/13	authorities [1]
again [21] 7/18 14/20 15/4 17/8	54/20 69/16 70/7	Architect [4] 20/10	12/1
24/16 24/20 30/17	72/25 always [5] 46/6	38/10 75/5 75/12 area [1] 76/14	<pre>authorize [1] 76/3 authorizing [1]</pre>
31/25 33/7 34/21	50/20 50/21 57/17	argue [2] 14/2	75/4
50/7 50/25 52/24	62/18	16/17	available [3] 21/23
54/5 55/25 56/19	amenable [1] 56/21	argued [2] 13/19	72/3 77/19
57/11 58/24 59/3	amends [1] 63/10	13/24	Avenue [3] 1/14
60/13 64/19	AMERICA [2] 1/3 2/3		1/24 77/5
against [11] 24/3 25/10 33/24 34/3	American [4] 48/9	11/16	avoid [4] 21/20
36/3 51/25 52/2	68/19 69/5 73/6 Americans [1] 69/11	argument [3] 55/24	25/19 40/23 72/13 aware [1] 53/23
52/8 53/9 70/15	among [1] 21/21	Arizona [1] 66/14	away [8] 23/8 30/4
73/15	amongst [1] 47/17	arm [1] 59/18	39/8 41/6 45/2
age [19] 27/21	amount [5] 20/10	arms [1] 22/25	52/13 62/11 64/18
28/16 29/3 29/8	47/1 59/9 75/5		AZ [1] 1/15
30/11 32/16 32/20	75/14	30/6 31/4 46/11	В
34/19 34/24 36/11 36/15 54/19 54/24		47/15 47/16 67/18 arrest [1] 63/18	B1B [2] 14/9 19/6
66/6 71/10 72/24	analogous [1] 17/1 analogy [1] 65/17	ashamed [1] 62/13	B2 [1] 19/4
73/19 73/20 73/25	analysis [1] 17/10	aside [2] 68/24	back [20] 24/15
agencies [2] 76/20	antagonistic [1]	69/2	29/19 32/15 34/24
76/23	7/11	aspects [1] 9/15	50/8 51/23 53/8
Agent [1] 2/12	anxious [3] 4/13	assault [13] 34/9	58/19 61/3 62/1
agents [1] 64/17	58/2 68/20	41/23 48/6 52/23	62/2 63/14 63/16
aggravating [1] 4/25	apologize [7] 6/3 12/16 15/4 22/15	59/5 67/14 67/16 67/17 67/18 69/18	63/25 65/8 70/3 71/22 72/18 72/20
agitated [1] 41/3	22/18 63/8 64/22	69/19 69/20 70/7	73/15
	appeal [5] 77/10	assaulted [3] 26/6	balance [5] 34/12
agitator [2] 37/16	77/13 77/14 77/22	41/5 60/24	34/20 73/19 75/8
41/4	77/23	assaulting [2] 3/1	75/17
agitators [2] 30/23	appear [1] 76/9	45/10	balances [1] 43/3 balancing [1] 32/13
ago [2] 47/23 69/25	appearance [1]	assaultive [1] 45/11	BALAREZO [20] 1/16
agree [6] 10/21	APPEARANCES [1]	assertion [1] 6/13	1/17 2/15 3/24 4/8
11/23 12/6 12/22	1/12	assessed [1] 66/20	5/22 9/14 12/16
19/2 72/4	appeared [1] 48/8	assessment [4]	12/20 15/8 20/16
agreed [7] 10/17	appears [1] 32/1	20/11 20/23 45/21	31/13 45/22 47/11
11/18 13/25 15/11	applicable [5] 4/23	74/13	65/1 65/9 66/18
20/9 31/7 42/18 agreement [16] 4/15	12/6 19/13 25/14 77/12	assistance [2] 9/19 77/20	77/25 78/25 79/8 banana [1] _25/23
4/16 10/17 11/17	application [3]	Assistant [1] 2/11	Bankruptcy [1] 1/23
11/19 12/22 12/23	14/16 16/8 18/4	associating [2]	barely [4] 25/21
12/24 15/11 15/25	applied [4] 13/14	46/4 48/25	47/23 71/11 73/25
16/3 16/4 20/9 31/8	13/23 14/12 15/12	association [1]	barrier [3] 23/7
37/9 42/5	applies [9] 10/7	7/14	52/10 66/2
agrees [1] 7/17 ahead [1] 12/14	12/19 16/13 17/19	astounded [1] 67/19	base [2] 10/18 10/20
aiding [1] 2/23	19/8 19/11 20/2 57/18 57/19	astray [1] 49/9 attack [11] 25/4	based [6] 4/23
aiming [1] 58/8	apply [9] 11/2 11/7	26/15 34/1 36/24	11/11 18/7 20/23
air [2] 24/1 59/18	11/15 11/17 13/3	40/17 40/21 45/10	32/1 53/20
alcohol [5] 46/14	14/13 15/3 16/7	48/11 52/23 69/23	baseless [1] 64/7
47/16 49/14 58/12	19/5	70/1	bases [1] 72/19
62/24	appreciate [3]		basic [1] 74/18
Alexandria [1] 58/1 alienating [1] 46/5	30/13 33/7 34/21 approach [1] 2/6	70/5 attacking [7] 26/6	Basically [1] 8/5 basis [1] 5/21
alleged [1] 6/25	appropriate [11]	68/6 68/9 68/10	Bates [3] 17/4
allegiance [1]	9/5 10/25 29/7	68/10 68/11 68/14	55/15 73/1
-		, ,	

В	BOP [3] 6/25 8/19	cans [1] 30/6	Central [1] 1/14
	58/23	canvas [1] 60/4	ceremony [1] 40/12
batter [1] 36/23 batteries [6] 24/8	born [1] 27/19 both [8] 11/23 13/9	capable [2] 29/15	certain [1] 3/1
42/25 47/21 51/12	25/18 36/9 39/16	33/6 Capitol [49] 9/1	certainly [10] 8/19 8/25 13/4 28/4 29/6
56/7 70/19	40/1 68/2 79/13	14/25 18/12 20/10	35/21 39/2 43/7
battery [1] 24/10	bottom [2] 4/13		49/18 53/5
battle [4] 26/12	44/9		certification [3]
38/16 45/7 59/6	Boys [8] 6/5 6/9	23/25 24/4 25/2	14/23 16/14 27/9
battled [1] 35/16	7/11 8/10 8/22 8/22	25/24 26/3 26/14	certify [1] 80/4
bear [1] 22/3	8/23 8/25	26/15 26/17 30/16	certifying [1]
beat [1] 39/14 become [1] 40/15	brain [1] 27/15 brave [1] 22/10	32/3 32/4 34/1 34/5 34/16 35/11 35/17	18/17 chain [1] 51/25
becomes [1] 77/18	bravery [2] 38/4	38/10 38/11 39/9	challenge [1] 77/17
beer [10] 23/2 30/6	38/23	39/11 39/16 41/1	challenging [1]
37/17 46/21 47/1	breach [1] 12/24	41/2 45/3 45/14	6/17
47/13 47/23 56/7	break [4] 27/5	45/15 52/3 52/12	chambers [1] 27/1
66/1 70/19	61/24 61/25 65/6	52/14 67/10 67/17	chance [4] 26/9
	breathe [1] 43/14		30/14 32/15 36/19
beers [8] 46/18 46/22 47/3 47/7	brief [3] 3/19 4/4		change [2] 77/6
47/14 54/22 63/1	65/17 broadly [1] 17/24	75/6 75/12 captain [2] 53/7	77/7 changed [1] 62/20
70/24	brought [3] 31/12	53/11	Chansley [1] 13/12
beforehand [1] 30/6	45/2 64/11	capture [1] 68/7	chant [1] 24/2
began [2] 23/1 24/2	brutal [1] 25/4	car [1] 27/23	chaos [1] 45/5
begin [2] 12/15	brutality [3] 25/25	care [1] 52/21	character [1] 36/14
39/14 behalf [4] 2/15	40/21 45/9	cared [1] 38/22	characteristics [6]
	<pre>brutalize [1] 39/14 brutally [1] 35/16</pre>	carefully [1] 29/17 cares [1] 54/5	21/18 25/16 2//13 48/19 67/1 71/6
behavior [8] 52/24	building [9] 22/14	caring [1] 36/6	characters [1] 46/5
57/12 57/16 71/3	23/6 23/8 23/10	carried [1] 30/4	charged [5] 35/25
	23/18 26/18 38/12	carrying [2] 46/22	36/1 42/11 42/20
73/21	52/14 75/13	47/13	44/3
behaviors [1] 62/21		case [42] 4/23 9/19	
belief [1] 52/12 beliefs [2] 49/3	burden [1] 70/22	10/7 13/12 16/24 19/10 20/19 28/21	43/20 76/6
62/14	Bureau [3] 7/6 74/9 78/7	32/20 41/25 46/21	chase [1] 64/13 chat [1] 31/6
	burned [2] 73/11	47/1 49/10 49/24	cheer [2] 65/18
66/11	73/12	50/22 54/2 55/9	70/5
bench [4] 4/4 4/7	burning [1] 39/7	55/9 55/12 55/13	cheered [1] 59/17
61/19 65/5	business [2] 63/23	55/14 55/14 55/22	cheering [1] _ 68/13
benefit [5] 38/8 38/13 40/6 50/7	64/12 buy [1] 28/3	56/3 56/9 58/10	cheerleader [3] 53/11 53/12 65/17
FO /C	-	59/16 62/6 66/21 66/25 71/7 71/9	cheerleaders [1]
benefits [2] 50/24	C	71/19 72/5 72/19	65/18
51/6	calculated [3]	72/23 73/1 73/2	chemical [2] 25/1
best [7] 33/15	12/21 15/13 21/2	73/3 73/4 73/6 77/3	39/6
33/25 34/18 34/22	calculates [1]	cases [18] 13/5	chemicals [1] 39/12
38/24 65/16 79/23 better [11] 28/23	10/10 [5] calculation	13/8 13/15 13/20 13/24 17/3 30/3	Chief [1] 75/12 child [1] 27/22
35/6 35/6 35/10	10/6 13/1 15/14	50/23 55/1 55/8	childhood [1] 64/13
35/12 35/13 35/15	16/5 19/24	55/17 55/24 55/25	children [3] 67/11
35/19 56/17 60/15	call [3] 43/11 53/1	59/15 66/8 72/8	67/11 67/12
71/13	53/10	72/9 72/21	children's [2]
beyond [4] 4/1 27/9		cast [1] 69/4	67/11 67/12
52/11 71/20 big [2] 54/19 58/25	59/6 came [1] 40/25	categorize [1] 18/11	choice [5] 39/23 44/25 45/6 45/8
bilingual [1] 63/24	can [33] 4/17 6/2	category [2] 12/7	45/9
binding [1] 20/6	9/19 15/1 16/2	35/23	choices [2] 44/25
birthday [1] 27/18	16/17 22/22 23/2	caught [2] 31/3	45/2
bit [3] 55/2 73/2	23/16 24/4 24/23	31/10	choose [3] 29/16
73/4	24/24 29/6 32/23	cause [2] 10/22	35/18 77/13
Black's [1] 17/5 blame [2] 62/24	32/25 42/8 43/23 47/3 47/23 50/14	14/10 caused [3] 11/9	chose [3] 36/23 41/7 45/4
62/25	51/7 52/8 54/5 56/8	27/8 39/13	circled [1] 24/5
blaming [1] 37/17	57/1 59/7 62/1 62/3	causing [2] 10/22	Circuit's [1] 78/22
bleeding [1] 25/7	64/22 65/3 66/1	14/9	circumstances [3]
blowing [1] 34/11	70/19 73/7	ceiling [1] 41/14	21/17 27/2 30/12
blue [1] 24/5	candidness [2]	center [2] 35/18	citations [1] 13/13
books [1] 67/10	30/14 33/8	69/18	cited [2] 55/24

<u> </u>	completion [1]	4/24 32/12 33/12	11/21 19/20 19/21
С	76/25	55/20	19/24 20/3 20/12
cited[1] 72/22	complex [1] 18/12	consistent [1]	20/23 38/6
citing [1] 41/10 claim [1] 77/19	compliance [1]	72/16	counted [1] 41/19
Claim [1] ///19	76/12	conspiracy [1] 36/1	counting [1] 70/13
clarify [2] 20/14 63/4	complied [1] 63/18	constant [1] 64/20	country [10] 27/10
class [2] 19/21	comply [2] 21/9 75/16	constantly [1]	36/19 67/20 68/11 68/12 68/13 68/14
19/21	compromised [1]	Constitution [2]	69/2 69/12 69/13
classification [4]	41/24	1/24 77/5	counts [4] 74/10
6/24 7/8 7/19 8/20	concerned [1] 7/18	constitutional [2]	74/12 79/6 79/13
cleaned [1] 38/9	conclude [4] 19/3	27/3 27/6	couple [3] 47/22
clear [2] 17/9	19/8 19/11 44/23	constraints [1]	52/13 62/1
79/13 Clerk [3] 75/9 77/4	concluded [1] 17/22	16/22 consume [1] 46/18	courage [1] 38/4
77/7	17/3 19/12	consumed [4] 46/24	course [4] 20/5 33/10 44/20 72/9
clicked [1] 48/1	concurrent [3]	47/1 47/4 47/7	court [81]
client [2] 49/11	74/11 79/13 79/14		Court's [5] 4/3
55/5	condition [1] 53/21	24/21 43/25 45/12	19/12 22/8 30/13
climb [2] 23/9 66/3	conditions [7]	context [2] 17/9	56/22
close [4] 16/1 16/6	74/16 74/17 74/19	18/13	courtroom [7] 2/4
24/5 45/12 closer [8] 22/14	75/17 76/12 78/13 78/17	continue [3] 33/15 64/13 64/14	28/14 29/8 48/14 61/25 66/15 66/15
23/9 26/2 26/2 41/7	conduct [37] 6/10		courtrooms [1]
45/3 45/5 45/5	7/4 7/18 7/21 8/19	25/7 63/21	36/18
closest [1] 24/9	9/1 9/6 11/9 21/14		courts [2] 1/23
coaching [1] 53/5	21/22 27/11 30/17	34/19 43/5	72/13
collection [1] 75/1		contributed [1]	cover [3] 4/7 17/25
collectively [1]	37/14 37/17 39/13	33/2	65/5
college [2] 14/23	42/16 42/19 44/21 45/1 45/11 45/24	contributing [3] 33/6 63/20 71/23	covered [1] 39/12 CPA [1] 75/13
38/6	47/20 52/17 54/10	contribution [1]	cr [1] 1/4
COLUMBIA [2] 1/1	56/7 56/25 59/17	79/17	create [1] 64/12
75/11	60/12 60/12 60/16	control [1] 63/17	created [2] 63/19
combat [6] 23/1	60/25 67/22 72/8	controlled [2]	70/9
41/12 41/21 45/8	74/18	74/21 74/22	credit [5] 36/8
56/2 61/2 coming [1] 46/2	conference [3] 4/4 4/7 65/5	conversation [1]	36/14 37/6 76/6 76/7
Commander [1] 38/20	confers [1] 47/11	conversations [1]	Creek [6] 41/10
commander's [1]	confidence [2]	50/14	42/8 42/9 42/11
38/21	72/12 73/7	convicted [1] 64/8	42/13_56/3
commencement [1]	conflicting [1]	conviction [4]	crime [8] 25/9 25/9
76/16 commensurate [2]	31/14 conflicts [1] 7/12	57/17 67/7 77/17 77/21	25/11 28/9 30/19 48/2 67/6 74/20
40/3 61/9	confused [1] 31/11	convince [2] 33/15	crimes [10] 21/15
comment [1] 19/2	confusion [1] 38/20	34/23	34/17 35/8 35/24
commentary [1] 16/8		convinced [1] 70/24	35/25 36/16 40/3
comments [3] 65/13	10/13 16/18 16/20	cooking [1] 63/23	42/20 45/19 50/25
71/10 72/2	17/1 17/12 17/21	cool [1] 46/7	criminal [13] 1/3
commission [3] 17/18 17/21 17/25	18/18 18/21 21/6 26/25 27/5 32/12	cooperate [2] 37/8 74/25	2/2 4/24 12/6 12/7 21/14 28/1 28/20
commit [2] 40/8	34/6	cooperation [1]	30/18 35/21 35/22
74/19	Congress' [1] 16/13	27/7	36/2 45/1
commitment [1]	connection [1]	coordinating [1]	criteria [1] 20/14
38/18	77/21	53/8	crossed [1] 48/2
committed [3] 34/17 48/2 50/25	connotation [1]	coordination [1]	crowd [24] 8/3 24/2 28/25 30/5 30/22
	consequences [5]	corresponding [1]	30/23 34/3 37/15
40/20	5/8 40/9 57/21	10/11	46/15 47/17 52/10
community [7] 38/8	57/25 64/19	cortex [2] 27/15	52/18 53/2 53/6
38/13 38/18 63/11	consider [14] 3/14	28/11	53/12 53/22 53/24
64/23 75/22 79/19 compare [2] 28/21	6/19 8/20 12/24 19/9 21/6 21/17	cost [2] 77/22	62/12 62/25 68/5 70/5 70/15 73/13
55/7	29/5 29/6 44/20	77/24 costs [1] 18/20	73/15
compared [1] 32/16	48/19 54/23 57/6	coughing [1] 70/3	crowded [1] 46/11
complete [2] 52/24	73/22	counsel [8] 2/4	crowds [1] 33/24
75/22	consideration [6]	2/10 5/1 22/6 49/10	crush [1] 51/23
completed [1] 75/25		51/18 65/3 77/20	crushed [1] 23/20
completely [4] 8/8 45/24 51/14 52/18	67/2 73/18 74/6 considering [4]	count [12] 2/21 2/25 10/11 10/12	culpable [1] 59/4 Cumberland [2] 78/2
.5,2. 51,1. 52,10	Constacting [+]	2,23 10,11 10,12	
			i .

Cumber rand asc-102dy-C1-00	073-KDW Document 7	5 1 11Cd 05/21722 1 dg	2 03 01 37
С	25/13 25/16 26/1	deprived_[1] 26/16	32/1
Cumberland [1]	26/14 26/23 27/8 27/13 27/14 37/21	derived [1] 58/7 descended [1] 25/24	dismay [1] 25/23
78/9	38/25 39/5 40/2	describe [1] 36/5	disparities [4]
curious [2] 8/1	40/10 41/3 42/8	<pre>describe [1] 36/5 described [6] 25/3</pre>	21/20 25/19 59/2
62/17	42/9 43/19 43/21		72/14
currently [1] 77/18 custody [2] 37/12	43/24 44/1 44/2 44/15 45/18 47/11	39/6 48/16 describes [1] 26/11	disparity [4] 40/24
74/9	58/6 65/19 65/20	describing [1] 67/6	dispute [4] 6/12
cut [1] 33/17	65/22 66/13 73/3	description [1]	11/1 12/18 13/1
D	78/10 defendant's [6] 3/8	59/16 desires [1] 69/2	distinction [2]
D.C [7] 40/25 50/7	11/9 26/5 26/7	desperate [1] 68/22	41/8 41/15 distinguished [1]
66/14 75/14 76/13	26/24 76/24	Despite [2] 38/20	42/9
77/5 78/21	defendants [4]	63/12	distorted [1] 46/15
damage [6] 14/24 18/11 18/12 27/8	21/21 30/3 43/19 53/19	detail [1] 17/16 deter [1] 40/14	district [10] 1/1
27/10 69/15	defending [1] 45/16	determination [2]	75/10 76/13 76/15
danger [3] 60/21	defense [11] 5/22	18/7 30/18	76/22 77/4
62/16 64/16 dangerous [7] 3/2	11/21 12/4 12/10	determine [5] 4/20	disturbed [1] 70/13
42/10 43/8 43/12	22/6 22/7 28/10 28/17 32/14 72/6	4/22 16/5 75/20 76/17	disturbing [4] 34/6 42/16 67/4 70/20
43/15 44/21 69/20	78/19	determined [2]	Dix [2] 78/3 78/9
dangerously [1]	defense's [5] 14/21	74/25 75/6	DNA [1] 75/1
45/11 date [3] 14/24	15/4 15/5 70/22 71/11	deterred [1] 40/20 deterrence [4]	documents [1] 3/13 Donald [1] 49/4
47/21 80/10	defenses [1] 41/24	21/14 25/18 40/2	done [3] 13/1 29/20
	defied [1] 37/22 defies [1] 14/6	66/9	49/7
20/21 23/19 24/7 26/1 26/3 26/25	defies [1] 14/6	detrimental [1]	door [2] 26/20 60/6
29/23 33/18 34/9	defined [6] 59/9 64/2 64/2 69/8	57/8 developing [1]	doors [1] 23/24 doubt [1] 57/4
34/10 34/11 34/17	69/10 77/16	71/12	down [17] 8/7 28/5
38/6 38/15 38/24	defines [1] 14/16	development [2]	39/13 43/24 46/3
49/21 52/17 52/24 55/3 55/22 57/2	definitely [2] 53/1 65/21	28/11 28/11 Dictionary [2]	46/5 46/8 46/9 46/13 48/3 48/25
57/25 59/12 62/15	definition [1]	16/16 17/5	55/22 56/5 62/10
62/19 62/21 62/23	17/13	difference [4] 28/8	62/21 64/17 70/8
63/1 63/5 67/23	deflecting [1]	41/11 41/16 47/4	downtown [3] 8/2
67/25 68/1 68/25 71/1 71/14 71/14	37/19 degree [2] 61/1		8/6 8/6 downward [7] 20/25
72/6 73/11 73/21	61/7	72/17 72/19	55/15 55/16 57/2
73/23 79/20 79/20	degrees [1] 59/5	different [4] 60/25	73/2 74/1 74/2
79/21 days [5] 74/23 76/8	delay [1] 27/9	62/7 72/9 72/24 difficult [2] 13/4	dozen [1] 52/13
76/16 77/6 77/14	18/17	11 12 13 13 4 13 4 13 4 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	draw [2] 41/7 41/7
DC [3] 1/5 1/18	deliberate [2] 45/6	dignity [2] 36/7	dreams [2] 64/10
1/25 deadly [4] 42/10	45/9	36/11 dinner [1] 63/23	64/13
43/8 43/12 43/15	demands [1] 25/12 democracy [13]	directed [1] 75/1	drifting [1] 62/11 drink [3] 46/23
deal [1] 25/9	25/10 27/7 34/10	direction [2] 23/3	63/1 70/24
dealing [1] 30/2 debriefs [1] 42/4	35/18 36/24 40/18	71/7	drinking [6] 30/10
decades [1] 27/10	40/21 45/16 67/17 67/20 68/10 69/3	directly [7] 24/12 24/22 35/16 41/20	32/21 49/1 50/10 54/21 58/21
December [1] 8/24	70/18	43/13 45/13 56/19	drive [1] 27/23
decided [7] 17/19		disagreeing [1]	dropped [1] 60/1
18/1 24/8 27/23 50/15 50/15 61/20	demonstrate [2] 38/1 51/1	32/9 disagreement [1]	drug [6] 58/12 58/15 58/17 74/23
decision [1] 78/22	demonstrated [5]	4/17	74/24 78/12
decisions [3] 56/11	38/2 38/8 38/13	disagreements [1]	drugs [1] 62/24
62/10 72/15 declare [1] 27/4	38/17 57/11	4/17 dishunsament [1]	drunk [4] 28/18
deemed [1] 29/7	demonstrates [2] 49/8 56/24	disbursement [1] 75/11	28/21 46/14 58/25 drunkenness [2]
deems [1] 33/11	demonstrating [1]	discharge [1] 51/12	29/4 34/20
deeply [5] 34/6	37/18	discharged [1] 48/5	due [2] 13/17 62/12
34/6 52/14 52/14 70/20	deny [1] 9/9 department [4] 13/8	discuss [2] 20/18 27/13	dumb [1] 29/17 Dunn [1] 25/6
defend [1] 26/8	13/10 13/25 14/4	discussed [1] 4/9	during [4] 3/1 8/25
defendant [39] 1/7	departs [1] 77/12	discussion [2]	56/22 57/12
1/16 2/4 2/5 3/7 19/19 21/15 21/19	departure [1] 28/18	16/25 79/11	duty [4] 27/4 27/6
13/13 21/13 21/13	deployed [1] 25/1	discussions [1]	28/2 38/24
	•	•	•

E	56/25 60/15 61/1	49/11 50/11	F
earlier [2] 22/5	lengaging [2] 29/9 65/23	evidently [1] 68/21 exact [1] 43/20	face [3] 43/13 56/4
70/12	enhancement [28]	exactly [1] 70/23	64/17
easily [1] 36/12	10/25 11/2 11/7	example [1] 70/9	
easy [1] 71/8	11/15 11/16 11/18	examples [1] 38/24	facing [1] 48/14 fact [8] 7/5 10/4
EDUARDO [2] 1/16	12/3 12/5 12/19	except [1] 51/3	17/21 18/16 51/5
2/14	13/3 13/6 13/11		54/24 58/24 71/24
education [2] 36/22			factor [2] 32/17
78/12	14/12 14/13 14/14	exchanges [1] 9/3	72/1
Edwards [1] 2/11 effort [1] 41/20	14/15 15/3 15/11 16/7 17/24 19/5	excusable [1] 33/19 excuse [4] 28/19	5/5 20/19 21/6
egregious [1] 36/16	19/6 19/7 19/8	50/5 53/22 71/15	21/25 29/4 32/12
egregiously [1]	19/11	excuses [1] 58/24	48/18 55/13 66/21
45/10	enormous [1] 18/22	execute [1] 76/22	facts [2] 10/3
eight [5] 10/24	enough [14] 9/22	exemplary [5] 32/25	66/20
14/4 14/13 14/15	9/25 17/24 27/23	37/11 71/18 73/20	 factual [8] 5/14
19/7	27/24 27/25 28/2	74/1	5/18 5/20 5/23 5/25
eight-level [4]	28/2 28/3 28/4 28/5	exercise [1] 70/25	6/12 6/17 9/15
10/24 14/4 14/13 14/15	36/18 43/17 68/7	exercising [1] 71/4 exhibit [3] 22/23	failing [1] 62/12 fair [8] 5/4 16/17
eight-point [1]	ensued [1] 38/4 ensure [2] 21/8	39/10 44/2	16/19 17/23 43/11
19/7	40/19	Exhibit 13 [1] 44/2	
either [2] 16/18	enter [3] 41/11	Exhibit 6 [1] 22/23	Fairlamb [1]
58/6	41/15 46/10	exhibits [7] 3/9	 fairly [2] 19/23
elected [2] 27/4	entered [2] 37/8	3/17 4/3 22/4 22/5	21/4
31/10	77/17		falling [2] 18/13
election [6] 16/14	entering [2] 42/5	exist [1] 4/21	62/10
16/23 18/17 46/3 49/5 68/18	77/20 77/14		falls [2] 17/12 34/19
electoral [2] 14/23	enters [1] 77/14 entire [3] 17/17	71/13 expectations [1]	false [6] 11/12
38/6	18/18 18/21	74/18	11/12 18/8 18/8
electronically [1]	entirely [4] 9/6	expected [1] 56/23	29/1 30/5
4/4		expenditure [5]	familial [1] 51/6
eligible [2] 19/20	 entirety [1] 43/22	11/13 14/18 14/22	family [11] 35/1 46/6 51/6 54/3 54/7
20/5	 entitled [2] 11/23		46/6 51/6 54/3 54/7
Ellipse [4] 31/9	80/5	experience [2]	62/11 63/10 64/4
32/3 46/10 46/14 else [13] 5/3 9/14	entrance [2] 24/4	56/12 64/14	64/23 79/19 79/23
20/13 24/7 61/22	41/13 entrepreneurial [1]	expertise [1] 15/14 explanation [1]	38/20
64/25 65/9 65/12	64/10	71/15	far [1] 32/25
70/10 78/16 78/19		explorative [1]	fashion [2] 5/4
79/4 79/8	51/19 70/13	62/17	43/15
emotions [1] 30/5	especially [2] 7/3	express [1] _36/25	fatigued [1] 25/7
employers [1] 72/2	13/5		favor [4] 69/3
employment [1]	essentially [5]	58/7	71/19 71/24 72/1
36/22 emptying [1] 43/25	14/15 36/19 41/13	extent [1] 16/1	favorable [1] 49/11 FBI [2] 2/11 29/9
encourage [2] 35/13	41/15 41/21 establish [1] 74/17	extinguisher [30] 24/12 24/22 24/23	feared [1] 52/9
45/8	ethic [1] 38/17	24/25 25/1 35/16	fearful [1] 40/17
encouraged [2]	even [12] 6/10 7/17		featured [1] 6/20
22/13 41/4	26/14 33/12 35/19	42/25 43/9 43/24	federal [4] 57/17
encouraging [3]	39/14 41/22 52/19	43/25 45/12 47/24	64/17 74/20 78/11
24/1 30/25 31/1	52/20 54/22 54/23	48/3 51/13 51/19	feed [1] 69/1
end [5] 38/16 39/23 44/9 44/10 44/18	55/20	52/5 56/8 60/1 60/2	feel [5] 9/4 42/24 63/9 68/1 79/16
ends [3] 36/3 55/4	event [4] 27/2 57/5 67/4 67/23	60/18 60/19 61/3 65/22 66/5 69/24	feels [4] 49/16
55/5	events [10] 8/2	70/8 73/12	50/3 52/20 66/6
endured [1] 26/1	8/10 25/21 48/9	extinguishers [1]	feet [3] 41/14
enforcement [13]	64/19 67/9 67/25	39/5	41/18 52/13
18/22 23/24 25/10	73/9 73/10 73/22	extra [1] 14/9	fe]] [1] 17/22
33/21 33/25 34/3	eventually [2] 37/5		felon [1] 64/8
42/23 51/20 52/21	60/20	35/8 67/4	felony [5] 11/10
52/22 67/14 67/16 69/22	everyone [9] 28/14	extraordinary [2]	18/6 19/21 19/22 67/7
engage [7] 32/5	30/25 31/1 32/8 36/7 36/10 50/20	18/21 27/2 extremely [1] 72/11	felt [1] 62/15
32/13 35/7 41/2	61/24 62/2	eyes [3] 39/7 40/11	fence [1] 51/25
41/12 45/6 67/22	everyone's [1] 52/6	73/11	 few [1] 65/13
engaged [7] 6/8	evidence [7] 6/7	<u> </u>	field [2] 65/18
30/17 36/16 56/2	6/7 9/2 11/12 18/8		65/20

		- Thea out 27722 Tage	
F	Fort [2] 78/2 78/9	glad [1] 31/11	guide [1] 64/14
-	forth [8] 5/14 5/24	gloomy [1] 62/23	guideline [2] 44/8
fight [1] 39/22	20/24 21/7 38/19	glowing [1] 33/2 goals [1] 63/14	44/17
fighting [4] 26/13 26/20 33/21 33/23	51/23 53/9 66/17		guidelines [42]
	fortunately [1]	God [2] 63/14 64/21	4 /23 3/10 10// 10/12 11/6 12/0
figure [1] 34/18 figures [1] 43/4	39/1 forward [1]	goes [1] 27/8 Gonell [2] 25/6	10/13 11/6 12/9 12/11 12/20 12/22
file [2] 77/14		26/11	16/5 16/10 17/15
77/23	found [5] 21/21	good [19] 2/8 2/8	17/17 19/13 19/17
final [4] 5/3 5/10	60/22 60/23 62/18	2/14 2/16 2/17	19/24 20/1 20/2
6/4 36/4	63/19	28/15 36/14 36/20	20/4 20/8 21/1
finally [2] 44/23	foundations [2]	36/21 37/25 40/6	21/16 21/19 25/14
66/11	48/11 57/9	I 50/19 51/6 51/6	28/19 32/19 36/4
financial [5] 76/2	founded [2] 67/20	54/4 55/4 62/5 63/2	44/10 44/11 44/11
76/3 76/4 77/3 77/8	67/21	79/17	44/14 44/15 44/19
find [2] 13/23	four [3] 4/12 40/12	governed [1] 27/7	55/11 55/12 56/15
63/14	51/10	government [68]	66/12 66/16 66/22
finding [3] 18/24	four-year [1] 40/12	1/13 2/5 3/7 3/9	72/4 74/7 77/13
18/25 64/7	frame [1] 24/20	3/12 3/15 5/1 5/13	guilt [1] 62/16
findings [1] 10/4	frankly [5] 30/9	6/7_6/18_6/20_6/22	guilty [10] 2/21
finds [2] 31/22	52/4 60/15 69/2	7/17 7/22 8/18 9/2	10/12 11/3 12/1
77/1	69/7	11/15 11/19 12/2	21/22 37/5 37/7
fine [6] 3/21 20/7 20/8 20/22 77/2	free [1] 50/7	12/8 12/23 13/2	37/7 57/13 77/20
77/2	freedom [1] 54/15 Friedrich [3] 17/2	14/3 14/19 14/22 15/2 15/10 21/24	gun [1] 28/4
	55/10 55/10	22/4 25/13 26/11	Н
24/21 24/23 24/25	friend [1] 63/24	30/21 31/2 31/22	habits [1] 63/17
25/1 35/15 39/5	friends [6] 35/1	33/13 34/13 35/18	hand [17] 23/1 23/1
		36/8 36/13 37/1	24/1 26/13 26/13
	64/4 79/19	37/9 37/12 41/9	38/18 41/12 41/12
43/25 45/12 47/24	fringes [1] 46/11	42/18 43/18 44/17	41/21 41/21 50/22
48/3 51/13 51/19	front [8] 7/25	44/22 45/17 47/22	56/2 56/2 59/7 59/7
52/4 56/8 60/1 60/2		48/4 48/10 48/12	61/2 61/2
60/18 60/19 65/22	60/3 62/18 69/18		hand-to-hand [7]
66/5 73/12	73/1	55/24 56/14 57/8	23/1 26/13 41/12
fired [3] 61/3 70/8	frontal [1] 28/11	57/9 59/6 63/6 66/6	
70/10	full [4] 23/2 24/19	66/11 68/2 78/4	61/2
firing [2] 69/24 73/12	44/2 77/8	78/13 79/2 79/5	handful [1] 52/2 hanging [2] 49/13
	fully [3] 9/18 27/16 48/5	Government's [12] 8/14 12/25 14/14	62/11
	functioning [1]	14/20 19/2 22/23	happen [1] 7/25
50/1 62/16 69/11	17/6	25/5 34/23 35/4	happened [8] 8/7
	further [7] 3/24	42/1 42/6 44/7	34/9 34/11 51/1
five [3] 19/20 55/6	4/2 57/22 65/12	lgovernmental [2]	51/7 54/1 57/4 68/1
61/6	66/18 74/11 79/9	11/13 18/10	happening [1] 46/9
fix [1] 63/16	Furthermore [2]	granted [1] 79/7	happening [1] 46/9 happy [3] 6/18
flagpole [1] 59/19	14/3 30/21	gravity [3] 27/11	12/13 61/23
flags [1] 63/4	future [2] 21/15	37/23 40/3	hard [6] 33/2 37/25
flee [1] 27/1	63/20	great [5] 25/24	50/9 50/19 54/25
floor [1] 26/18	G	33/9 35/1 47/1 64/9	79/18
folks [1] 28/22		greater [6] 21/9	harder [4] 26/8
follow [4] 46/15 49/3 49/4 57/17	gained [1] 63/17 gang [1] 7/1	42/7 43/10 56/15	29/20 40/15 40/15 harm's [1] 62/22
49/3 49/4 3//1/ followed [3] 2/5	gassed [1] 51/21	59/12 61/2 Gregory [1] 2/18	hate [2] 15/9 57/11
2/6 53/22	gathered [1] 71/9	Gregory [1] 2/18 grip [1] 49/14	he consume [1]
followers [1] 46/3	gave [2] 46/22 50/6	ground [4] 42/15	46/18
following [6] 46/1	GED [1] 63/24	42/16 43/1 43/2	hear [28] 4/25 5/1
46/1 56/22 74/16	general [2] 25/18	grounds [5] 22/13	5/1 5/2 5/3 6/18
75/11 75/16	40/2	22/24 28/18 34/16	7/21 12/13 15/7
foot [1] 60/23	generally [2] 21/25	35/11	31/12 32/14 46/12
For the Defendant [1]		group [1] 60/24	50/2 56/18 58/3
1/16	generosity [1]	grouping [1] 19/25	61/15 61/16 61/17
forced [1] 27/1	38/17	growing [1] 26/3 guaranteed [1] 17/8	61/22 61/23 62/1
Ford [1] 75/13	gets [2] 6/25 58/19	guaranteed [1] 1//8	62/2 65/14 66/7
foregoing [1] 80/4 forever [2] 62/20	ghastly [4] 34/17 35/8 43/8 45/11	guard [1] 39/13	68/5 68/21 68/23 70/5
64/15	given [3] 15/23	guarding [4] 24/22 25/2 45/14 70/4	heard [3] 15/16
form [1] 71/5	17/21 37/6	guess [6] 7/21 19/1	21/24 68/4
formed [1] 27/16	giving [3] 35/4	32/22 42/22 53/10	hearing [7] 4/19
formulate [1] 63/14	48/23 70/3	55/19	33/11 49/15 52/9
	,	-, -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		•	

	F 47 20 /40		52/24 54/20 62/24
H	hope [4] 29/18	improper [2] 11/9	53/21 54/20 62/21
	69/10 71/12 79/21	18/5	ineffective [1]
hearing[3]	horrific [2] 34/8	inaccurate [1] 9/13	77/19
55/19 76/10 76/10	48/10	inappropriate [1]	inflamed [1] 52/11
heart [1] 50/3	horrified [3] 41/6	7/6	inflammatory [1]
heartaches [1]	48/12 48/15	inauguration [1]	29/1
63/12	horror [1] 25/24	38/12	inflicted [1] 39/15
heave [15] 24/2	hotel [1] 27/24	incarcerate [1]	influenced [1]
33/24 34/2 34/2	hour [1] 27/9	7/10	49/15
	hours [8] 14/24	incarcerated [3]	information [7] 7/9
52/1 52/10 53/7	18/18 24/10 38/3	54/12 78/8 78/10	20/24 42/4 76/3
65/23 70/13 70/14	38/15 39/23 75/22	incarcerating [2]	76/4 76/5 77/18
70/14 73/15	76/1	56/13 57/22	inhibitions [1]
heave-ho [15] 24/2	house [2] 63/18	incarceration [6]	71/2
33/24 34/2 34/2	75/13	45/19 63/12 63/15	initially [1] 46/9
41/19 51/22 51/25	housed [1] 23/6	74/4 76/8 79/12	injured [1] 25/7
52/1 52/10 53/7	hovered [1] 46/11	inch [2] 26/13	injuring [1] 48/3
65/23 70/13 70/14	huge [2] 60/11	26/13	injury [2]
70/14 73/15	70/14 -	inch-by-inch [1]	14/10
heeded [1] 48/23	hugely [1] 8/11	26/13	inserted [1] 60/16
held [1] 36/15	humanity [1] 52/1		inside [8] 23/23
helmet [1] 39/11	hundred [1] 75/22	include [8] 12/3	26/15 26/17 26/18
help [2] 26/17	hundreds [4] 25/25	16/24 17/19 18/1	26/22 39/16 45/15
26/22	1		
	25/25 38/13 38/14	21/10 74/19 76/21	52/13
helping [2] 50/21	hungry [1] 69/1	78/6	insignificant [1]
63/24	Hunter [1] 78/22	included [4] 2/24	70/9
Here's [1] 24/20	hurled [1] 23/2 hurt [1] 39/1	4/1 6/11 46/19	inspirational [1]
hereby [1] 74/9	nurt [1] 39/1	included a [1]	38/23
hesitate [1] 53/1	hyperbole [1] 67/24		instance [1] 14/11
hid [1] 38/3	I		instances [1] 14/2
high [5] 44/10		18/5	instead_[3] 41/6
44/18 46/14 53/21	idea [3] 23/12		45/4_45/5
58/25	39/20 46/22	52/13 66/22	instilled [2] 35/2
higher [5] 20/1	identify [1] 2/7	inconsistent [3]	48/21
30/12 55/1 55/2	ideologies [1] 64/3	13/7 14/7 14/8	institutions [1]
59/8	idiotic_[1] 62/19	increase [2] 7/19	69/13
highly [1] 29/17	images [2] 8/21	11/5	instructions [1]
himself [16] 23/15	64/15	increased [1] 26/8	56/22
27/14 41/7 41/8	imaginable [1]	increasingly [2]	instrument [1]
42/3 45/3 46/6	25/21	26/3 45/3	44/21
46/24 50/2 51/11	imagine [2] 39/15	incur [1] 76/6	integrity [1]
51/14 52/20 53/13	52/9	indeed [2] 31/23	intended [1] 23/7
59/22 69/8 71/21	immeasurably [1]	43/8	intention [1] 12/1
hindsight [1] 64/6	38/14	independent [2]	intentions [2]
history [17] 4/24	immediately [3]	16/4 71/1	62/25 63/9
12/6 12/7 21/18	37/7 50/8 77/3	indicate [4] 50/19	interaction [2]
35/21 35/23 36/3	impact [1] 57/21	57/16 59/3 60/21	54/25 71/25
46/8 48/9 48/19	impeding [1] 3/1	indicated [9] 3/18	interest [2] 75/7
66/25 67/4 67/6	important [8] 4/14	48/4 50/4 50/22´	75/8
67/10 67/12 67/13	30/11 48/20 64/4	53/16 56/3 56/21	interested [4] 30/7
67/24	64/8 66/12 69/9	71/17 72/4	31/12 31/17 55/19
ho [15] 24/2 33/24	72/11	indicates [3] 6/6	interference [8]
34/2 34/2 41/19	importantly [1]	10/20 47/13	11/4 12/4 14/17
51/22 51/25 52/1	54/14	indication [1] 6/5	18/3 18/4 18/15
52/10 53/7 65/23	impose [6] 4/18 5/7	indictment [5] 2/22	18/24 19/3
70/13 70/14 70/14	19/16 55/3 62/3	2/25 11/10 18/7	International [1]
73/15	74/3	79/6	16/15
Hodges [1] 25/6	imposed [9] 10/13	individual [4]	interpreted [1]
Hodgkins [6] 13/12	21/11 21/19 66/8	56/11 57/6 60/14	63/8
13/21 13/23 14/11	66/9 74/17 77/10	61/2	interviewed [1]
26/24 40/11	77/18 78/23	individuals [9]	39/6
hold [2] 25/8 28/2	imposes [1] 21/8	49/1 50/24 53/2	into [17] 7/16
holding [2] 60/3	imposes [1] 21/8	55/25 56/5 59/3	23/24 24/8 26/18
60/4	imposition [2]	59/8 61/1 62/1	27/20 32/18 33/12
home [2] 34/16 50/9	27/12 77/2	indulgence [1] 4/3	35/14 36/2 40/25
honor [85]		indulgence [1] 4/3	41/21 42/5 44/1
Honor's [1] 3/20	impressionable [3]	62/13	45/13 59/19 60/17
HONORABLE [1] 1/10	28/24 30/4 36/12		73/17
HOOK [3] 1/22 80/3	imprisonment [3]	Industries' [1] 78/11	intoxicated [8]
80/10	10/16 20/21 77/11	inebriated [3]	66/1 66/2 66/3 66/5
50, 10	10/10 20/21 ///11	inesi iaceu [J]	00/1 00/2 00/3 00/3

	71 /12 72 /10 74 /0] F1] 0/10	16/21
I	71/13 73/19 74/8 77/15	lawyer [1] 9/19	16/21 line [6] 4/13 25/8
intoxicated [4]		lay [1] 28/5	29/13 34/4 48/2
70/22 70/23 70/25	judgments [1] 34/14 judicial [2] 11/11	lays [2] 10/6 12/20	
71/14	18/7	lead [3] 7/11 11/5	lines [2] 41/9 76/6
		25 /14	
intoxication [4]	Judiciary [1] 72/12		link [1] 51/25
65/25 71/3 73/20	July [1] 5/12	leader [3] 53/1	liquor [1] 47/16
74/1	july 6th [1] 5/12	53/5 69/16	listened [1] 68/1
invasion [1] 26/13	jury [1] 28/2	leadership [1]	literally [1] 24/3 little [3] 55/2
investigation [3]	justice [15] 10/24	38/22	ittle_[3] 55/2
11/10 18/6 76/20	11/4 14/17 16/10	leading [4] 34/2	_73/2_73/4
invite [1] 62/2	16/13 16/17 17/1	51/23 52/2 52/18]ive [1] 73/8
Involved [5] //2	1//18 1//20 1//24	 learned [4] 25/25	lives [7] 25/11
10/22 32/17 55/25		51/9 56/18 56/20	33/22 33/23 34/4
69/17	28/1 30/18	least [9] 23/25	52/9 62/22 64/16
involving [4] 17/17	justification [1]	29/5 35/14 42/14	lives' [1] 62/16
17/20 59/16 72/8	11/8	47/14 53/2 54/13	local [2̄] 28/2
		64/18 74/24	74/20
irrelevant [5] 7/23	K	leaves [1] 18/2	logic [1] 14/6
8/12 8/19 9/7 9/12	Kathy [1] 75/13	leaving [2] 45/6	long [5] 32/11
is that [1] 7/24	keep [4] 23/7 26/21	50/7	36/18 41/14 58/8
isolation [1] 26/5	37/12 64/18	led [2] 49/9 56/25	60/4
issue [7] 5/17 7/15		left [1] 53/25	longer [3] 69/8
10/8 13/21 15/17	kicked [4] 42/15	legs [1] 60/5	73/7 77/11
19/7 59/14	43/2 56/4 65/20	lend [1] 38/18	look [7] 8/1 29/19
issues [2] 58/14	kicking [1] 43/6	lending [1] 50/21	43/18 52/22 68/8
58/22	kill [1] 43/14	less [9] 16/7 28/24	72/18 72/18
	kind [2] 7/1 9/4	28/24 28/25 40/13	looked [1] 3/10
J	knew [7] 28/23 35/6	40/44 40/43 4U/13 40/18 42/20 42/24	
JACQUELINE [2] 1/13	35/6 35/10 35/12		looking [3] 59/14
2/9	35/13 35/15	75/18	59/16 71/22
jail [5] 32/10		lesser [1] 2/24	looks [2] 16/15
	knives [1] 53/19	lesson [2] 51/9	72/14
32/11 32/12 50/7	knocked [1] 42/14	56/18	losing [1] 54/14 lost [3] 54/12
51/9	knocking [1] 43/1	letter [1] 58/5	10ST [3] 54/12
janitorial [1] 38/9	knowing [1] 31/17	letters [2] 3/8	54/13 64/8
January [37] 6/9	known [2] 28/12	50/18	lot [4] 28/23 34/14
8/23 22/10 25/11	60/15	level [31] 7/19	72/7 73/3
25/21 27/16 27/25	knows [1] 34/13	10/18 10/21 10/24	<pre>]ouder [1] 37/2</pre>
30/16 35/7 35/8	Kyle [1] 38/20	11/5 11/7 11/20	love [4] 63/19 64/5
35/10 37/4 37/22	li	11/22 11/24 11/25	69/2 69/3
38/1 38/2 38/12		12/2 12/5 12/9	low [1] 30/24
40/21 40/25 44/24	lack [3] 13/6 39/2		lower [14] 23/16
45/24 46/7 48/8	73/19	13/6 13/11 13/14	23/17 23/20 24/6
48/9 48/18 48/24	[acks [1] 11/8	14/4 14/5 14/12	24/12 24/24 25/3
50/4 50/16 51/1	ladder [2] 23/9	14/13 14/14 14/15	26/15 26/20 31/1
51/15 54/10 57/1	66/3	15/3 17/16 19/12	39/9 41/11 45/13
57/5 57/16 58/25	Lamberth [1] 55/17	71/4 74/3 74/3	69/21
62/23 67/10 79/20	landed [1] 59/25	levels [3] 10/11	lowest [1] 55/8
January 20th [1]	language [1] 16/25	44/5 74/2	
38/12	Languerand [2]	lever [1] 66/5	М
January 5th [1]	55/14 72/25	liability [1] 28/20	mace [1] 53/19
27/25	large [1] 34/15	lie [3] 68/19 68/21	
JEFF [3] 1/22 80/3	largely [1] 42/2	69/1	73/22
80/10	last [2] 5/6 31/6		majority [2] 55/23
job [6] 13/5 35/17	later [3] 24/20	49/3 49/4 49/15	69/5
49/10 50/9 54/12	29/19 43/25	68/17 68/18 68/24	makes [3] 40/18
64/9	launched [1] 70/6	life [19] 8/4 28/5	47/4 72/23
jobs [2] 57/18	law [30] 1/17 17/5	29/13 29/19 38/23	making [5] 8/18
70/16	18/22 21/12 23/23	51/2 52/25 56/12	34/14 58/24 62/10
join [2] 45/8 53/24	25/10 25/17 27/5	59/9 62/9 62/20	64/18
joining [1] 29/9	29/8 33/21 33/24	63/10 63/16 64/4	Maii [1] 46/14
joint [1] 47/14	34/3 37/21 37/22	64/15 64/21 67/8	man [5] 27/15 44/24
judge [11] 1/11	37/24 40/1 42/23	71/22 79/22	50/19 56/10 58/4
17/2 17/3 52/7 55/9	51/3 51/20 52/21	light [5] 5/4 49/8	mandatory [3] 20/11
55/10 55/15 55/17	52/22 52/25 54/25	49/10 66/21 73/25	74/16 74/19
66/14 66/15 73/1	56/24 57/10 57/14	likely [3] 9/10	many [17] 25/6 28/3
judges [1] 72/16	67/14 67/16 69/21	28/25 28/25	29/7 30/6 30/15
judges [1] 72/10 judgment [11] 28/12		20/23 20/23 1ikes [3] 13/5	37/7 40/12 46/18
28/15 34/18 46/15	lawmakers [2] 23/6		46/22 46/23 46/24
71/1 71/5 71/12	38/3	25/22 35/9	47/3 47/6 65/23
• ±/ ± • ±/ J • ±/ ±4	1 30/3	limited [2] 7/14	71/3 71/0 03/43
	1 '		
	,		

М	mentioned [4] 6/20	moments [1] 24/23	Mr. Rubenacker [2]
	22/4 40/24 48/24	momentum [1] 62/24	13/16 13/16
many [3] 65/23 66/6 72/16	mercy [1] 64/23 merely [1] 53/17	Montgomery [2] 16/24 17/11	Mr. Thompson [3] 42/1 42/3 42/4
marijuana [7] 37/17	merit [1] 59/8	month [8] 27/12	Ms. [4] 5/13 12/14
46/14 46/17 47/7	merits [1] 72/10	39/25 40/22 43/2	20/13 69/25
50/11 58/17 58/21	mess [1] 38/9	44/18 55/18 55/22	Ms. Schesnol [4]
marijuana he [1] 47/7	message [3] 40/4 40/4 40/6	75/19 months [36] 12/10	5/13 12/14 20/13 69/25
mass [2] 52/1 52/11	messages [1] 9/3	12/12 19/14 20/21	much [14] 9/10
massive [1] 34/3	met [2] 27/12 47/22	21/3 25/15 31/23	30/22 31/9 38/22
materia] [1] 5/19	mete [1] 16/16	32/7 32/7 32/7	46/17 47/7 56/1
materials [4] 3/13 5/14 5/23 28/10	methods [1] 75/21	33/14 42/2 43/20 44/4 44/7 44/8	56/1 56/15 59/4
matter [3] 5/11	meting [1] 16/19 metropolitan [2]	44/12 44/16 45/19	60/14 60/16 61/2 71/6
29/15 80/5	22/11 76/14	45/25 51/10 55/4	multiple [2] 42/4
matters [1] 73/17	Michelle [1] 48/21	55/6 55/11 55/13	45/19
MATTHEW [16] 1/6 2/3 2/15 2/20 45/23	microphone [1] 9/20	55/16 55/16 56/13	must [16] 21/6
48/7 48/17 48/17	middle [1] 55/12 midnight [1] 18/19	57/2 60/7 63/21 72/5 74/4 74/10	21/17 74/19 74/20 74/21 74/22 74/25
50/8 50/16 50/17	midrange [2] 44/16	74/11 75/23	75/2 75/17 75/19
54/4 54/8 54/10	44/19	more [19] 21/25	75/20 75/22 75/25
57/14 74/8	might [2] 7/9 16/11	26/3 29/15 30/3	76/1 76/6 77/14
mature [1] 56/10 maximum [4] 10/13	mild [1] 40/8 military [1] 28/5	30/4 30/11 38/2 40/15 40/16 42/14	myself [9] 52/6 52/8 57/11 62/18
10/15 20/7 77/11	MILLER [119]	42/24 54/14 56/1	62/25 63/14 64/7
may [22] 1/5 4/21	Miller's [29] 6/5	56/12 58/16 59/4	64/11 64/13
6/24 7/8 7/10 7/16	7/13 10/16 27/11	60/16 61/7 70/15	ln
7/19 8/12 13/18 19/15 29/7 32/18	29/3 32/24 35/20 37/8 37/22 39/12	morning [3] 2/14 18/20 38/6	naivety_[1] 62/14
32/19 33/23 34/20	42/7 45/18 49/24	Moses [1] 2/18	Namely [2] 14/9
60/10 61/5 70/21	51/2 54/24 56/7	Moses-Gregory [1]	14/23
71/14 75/8 76/4	57/6 59/8 60/12	2/18	narratives [1] 62/7
77/22 May 26th [1] 13/18	60/25 61/8 67/8 68/16 71/10 71/18	MOSS [1] 1/10 most [8] 28/13 30/3	nation [9] 29/13 34/5 67/6 67/13
maybe [5] 41/22	72/24 73/14 73/19	38/23 45/10 48/20	67/13 68/15 69/12
43/14 53/7 55/6	73/25	49/10 56/10 64/3	69/15 79/21
61/24	million [1] 14/25		nature [7] 21/17
me a [1] 47/8 mean [9] 33/17	millions [2] 67/19 67/19	motion [1] 79/7 motivated [1] 64/10	25/15 25/20 53/20 66/25 67/3 69/14
33/17 39/22 49/7	mind [12] 28/21	move [2] 45/5 79/22	near [31 8/22 8/25
49/18 50/12 51/22	30/1 30/11 30/12	moved [3] 28/25	62/18
52/6 53/4	34/10 43/3 52/4	28/25 71/10	necessarily [1]
meaning [1] 16/9 means [3] 12/8	61/9 64/9 64/19 71/9 73/18	moves [1] 79/5 moving [1] 69/7	18/12 necessary [3] 21/9
16/16 16/17	mine [1] 62/7	MPD [3] 38/20 39/17	56/15 59/12
meant [3] 48/11	minimize [4] 37/14	59/20	need [16] 4/15 4/16
63/5 63/25	37/16 56/6 61/4	Mr. [144]	6/16 10/9 18/14 21/10 21/19 21/20
medicine [1] 79/15 medieval [5] 24/10	minutes [3] 23/25 59/9 59/10	Mr. Balarezo [16] 3/24 4/8 5/22 9/14	32/17 35/4 36/15
26/12 38/16 45/7	miracle [3] 40/13	12/16 12/20 15/8	48/23 58/11 64/22
59/6	40/14 40/15	20/16 31/13 45/22	73/17 78/22
member [5] 7/1 33/6 53/8 63/20 71/23	misguided [1] 62/9	65/1 65/9 66/18	needing [1] 39/7 needs [2] 48/19
members [7] 22/10	misled [1] 68/17 misspeak [1] 12/17	77/25 78/25 79/8 Mr. Miller [90]	58/11
$26/25 \ 34/\overline{5} \ 38/10$	misstating [1] 15/4	Mr. Miller's [27]	nefarious [1] 7/2
52/12 52/13 54/3	mistake [1] 64/18	6/5 7/13 10/16	nerve [1] 68/12
memo [9] 22/24 26/10 27/14 35/2	mistakes [1] 64/3 mitigating [1] 4/24	27/11 29/3 32/24 35/20 37/8 39/12	new [3] 16/15 76/6 77/18
35/21 38/19 38/25	mitigating [1] 4/24 mitigation [1]	42/7 49/24 51/2	news [1] 46/1
39/10 42/1	55/20	54/24 56/7 57/6	newspaper [2] 49/21
memoranda [4] 3/6	mob [11] 26/21 27/5	59/8 60/12 60/25	49/23
31/15 59/15 72/21 memorandum [13] 3/6	38/15 43/2 51/23	61/8 67/8 68/16	next [4] 38/6 38/11 40/17 49/21
3/7 3/17 4/2 11/14	53/23 57/6 57/7 67/22 68/8 68/9	71/10 71/18 72/24 73/14 73/19 73/25	40/17 49/21 nexus [1] 18/14
11/16 12/20 13/10	mob's [1] 27/2	Mr. Palmer [5]	night [4] 8/22
13/14 25/5 53/16	models [1] 36/20	43/23 44/4 48/5	27/25 51/10 63/23
60/17 61/13 men [1] 45/15	moment [7] 8/8 34/24 38/23 47/9	59/16 59/20 Mr. Palmer's [1]	nobody [2] 51/25 62/25
mentality [1] 53/24		59/17	normal [2] 40/13
, , , , , , , , ,			

	21 /10 25 /15 25 /17	24/24 25/22 26/12	20/25 51/10 54/21
N	21/18 25/15 25/17 25/20 27/11 27/18	34/24 35/23 36/13 39/11 41/19 42/14	30/25 51/10 54/21 58/1 63/15 63/17
normal [1] 56/11	36/4 37/20 57/4	43/3 44/25 51/3	66/4 66/4
normally [1] 50/23 North [1] 1/14	66/25 67/3 69/15	51/7 53/1 53/6	overall [1] 52/17
North [1] 1/14	77/21	59/18 59/20 65/21	overcome [2] 8/7
note [9] 11/17 14/16 16/8 16/8	offenses [2] 17/17 17/19	66/4 69/6 69/11	64/11
	offering [1] 50/13	69/15 70/14 72/9 72/10 72/14 72/23	overreach [1] 63/7 overwhelming [1]
54/4	office [20] 1/14	72/25 74/23 78/11	62/15
noted [15] 8/17 9/6	3/6 5/11 11/7 13/2	one's [1] 28/5	own [5] 57/20 62/12
24/18 26/23 27/16	15/13 15/16 20/20	lone-level [1] 11/25	64/12 70/6 72/10
27/18 30/15 31/8	21/2 21/5 26/19	one-off [1] 51/7	P
35/17 42/2 43/22	28/3 72/5 75/12	only [9] 13/16	•
47/12 56/9 58/5 78/24	75/13 76/5 76/14 76/19 76/21 76/24	15/12 37/23 40/8 52/9 52/10 60/17	p.m [5] 1/6 38/5 65/7 65/8 79/24
notes [1] 63/22	office's [1] 10/6	64/9 68/19	pack [1] 47/13
notify [1] 77/6 notifying [1] 11/25		onto [8] 23/15	page [2] 25/5 26/11 paid [1] 77/8
notifying [1] 11/25	13/4 38/19 39/17	24/12 24/22 25/24	paid [1] 77/8
notions [1] 67/21	39/21 42/13 42/14	26/18 34/15 45/13	Palmer [11] 43/19
notwithstanding [1] 51/5	42/15 42/23 43/7 50/13 56/4 59/21	65/18 open [1] 76/6	43/21 43/23 44/1 44/2 44/4 44/9 48/5
November [3] 8/24	65/21 65/21 70/1	opinion [1] 16/24	55/12 59/16 59/20
27/19 27/20	75/1 75/12 75/23	opportunities [1]	Palmer's [3] 44/11
November 1998 [1]	76/1 76/2 76/4 76/7	64/9	44/15 59/17
27/19	76/11	opportunity [6]	parents [2] 35/2
number [3] 54/6 55/17 72/24		31/6 33/4 36/21	54/6 parents' [1] 64/20
numbers [1] 30/11	officers [46] 3/1 23/24 24/3 24/9	63/13 64/1 71/22 opposed [1] 31/3	parsing [1] 17/16
NW [3] 1/17 1/24	25/2 25/6 25/6	opposite [1] 49/22	part [19] 5/6 6/9
77/5	25/10 26/1 26/6	orally [1] 3/16	6/10 7/18 7/20 9/5
0	26/8 26/12 26/15	order [3] 10/23	10/16 17/17 17/17
	26/16 26/19 33/21	75/18 76/22	17/19 17/22 18/1
o'clock [1] 18/19 object [1] 9/15	33/25 34/4 38/14 39/4 39/20 41/5	ordered [2] 74/13 75/4	20/9 46/8 54/1 54/19 57/10 58/25
objection [5] 6/4	45/14 48/5 51/20	organization [1]	67/12
13/9 15/20 22/7	51/24 52/3 52/8	7/2	partaken [1] 58/20
78/4	53/9 59/7 60/3 60/5	organized [1] 69/17	partial [1] 71/15
objections [4] 4/21		others [25] 22/14	participate [2]
5/14 5/23 78/23 objective [1] 27/3	60/24 65/23 65/24	26/4 26/19 26/21 26/25 27/8 32/17	45/9 78/10 participated [2]
objects [1] 41/18	67/15 67/16 69/22 70/2 70/7 70/11	35/3 35/14 38/24	8/4 47/17
obligation [1] 77/8	70/15 73/9	39/14 40/14 40/20	participation [2]
obligations [1]	officers' [1] 64/16	41/3 41/4 41/8 45/8	73/14 75/24
77/3	official [6] 1/23	46/2 48/22 54/5	particular [10]
observe [1] 8/2 observing [1] 38/21	2/22 10/14 10/19	54/18 61/17 61/18	20/18 40/5 53/3 54/2 56/6 58/10
obstruct [2] 10/23	42/12 80/3 officials [1] 31/10	67/15 69/17 otherwise [3] 54/25	58/14 59/10 61/9
75/21	often [1] 67/5	63/8 73/20	66/20
obstructed [1]	old [16] 8/1 27/23	ought [1] 11/15	parties [12] 10/17
17/12	27/24 27/25 28/1	ourselves [1] 69/10	10/21 11/18 11/23
obstruction [5] 2/22 10/14 10/18		out [29] 10/6 12/20	12/5 12/13 12/18
17/20 42/11	28/8 28/8 28/9 28/22 44/24 58/4	13/9 13/22 16/16 16/19 32/6 32/23	12/22 13/1 13/25 16/2 17/8
occasion [1] 42/14	73/3	34/18 34/25 34/25	parties' [2] 15/24
occasions [1] 30/24	older [5] 30/10	35/23 36/17 37/10	16/3
occur [1] 68/15	56/11 60/14 73/3	37/12 41/4 41/25	partook [1] 62/19
occurred [6] 33/18 34/10 67/9 67/23	73/4	43/4 49/13 49/20	passed [3] 23/13
73/11 73/23	olds [4] 28/12 29/12 66/7 66/10	50/10 53/17 53/25 59/21 61/25 62/11	47/15 47/16 past [6] 8/11 8/24
occurring [1] 17/11	once [1] 6/25	66/7 79/18 79/18	57/15 58/16 63/15
off [10] 15/24 29/8	one [56] 10/8 11/20	outfit [1] 63/1	63/17
33/17 39/8 42/16	11/25 12/7 13/15	outnumbered [2]	path [5] 46/4 46/5
45/4 48/1 51/7 65/7	13/21 14/16 16/8	23/20 38/15	48/25 62/10 63/17
79/11 offense [29] 2/24	16/10 16/11 16/15 16/19 17/3 17/14	outside [6] 25/14 26/20 33/24 39/16	pay [5] 20/9 74/13 75/7 75/17 77/1
10/10 10/18 10/21	17/15 19/2 19/7	73/14 76/13	payable [1] 77/3
10/21 11/3 11/20	19/18 19/20 20/2	outstanding [1]	payments [1] 75/9
11/22 12/2 12/5	24/1 26/7 26/9	79/6	peaceful [2] 67/21
12/8 12/10 19/12	28/20 29/18 29/19	over [12] 19/23	68/10
20/7 21/11 21/13	30/23 30/24 32/17	22/25 22/25 25/2	peers [1] 63/23
	L	L	<u> </u>

Perosi s Gamerica III			
Р	Plaintiff [1]	55/9 power [2] 67/22	75/23 76/1 76/2 76/4 76/7 76/11
Pelosi's [1] 26/19	63/23 64/12	68/11	76/14 76/19 76/21
penalties [1] 75/8 people [37] 7/10	plank [4] 23/12	practiced [1] 22/15	
23/8 24/1 30/16	59/24 60/7 60/18 planned [1] 9/5	pre [2] 9/5 37/9 pre-planned [1] 9/5	problem [1] 58/23 problematic [1]
30/17 30/19 31/3	planning [1] 69/18	pre-plea [1] 37/9	31/23
	plans [2] 33/8	precious [1] 62/22	proceed [2] 4/12
34/17 35/25 36/18 36/20 37/3 37/9	63/19 play [1] 24/15	precisely [1] 17/15 prefrontal [1]	22/9 proceeding [6] 2/23
37/15 38/17 40/25	played [8] 22/21	27/15	4/12 10/14 10/19
42/19 46/23 48/3 49/13 49/19 49/20	23/4 23/11 23/22	premature [2] 11/9	18/20 42/12
50/21 51/23 52/11	24/14 24/17 57/9 68/3	18/5 preparation [1]	<pre>proceedings [5] 16/25 17/7 18/16</pre>
53/24 54/6 63/6	playing [1] 24/20	31/7	79/24 80/5
67/19 68/20 68/22 68/25 69/1 70/17	plea [14] 10/16 11/17 11/19 12/22	prepare [2] 53/18 63/22	process [3] 4/14 53/6 72/13
people's [1] 28/15	12/24 15/11 15/25	prepared [2] 18/11	profession [1]
pepper [3] 53/19	16/2 16/4 20/9 31/7	27/5	29/10
60/19 60/20 perceived [1] 58/6	37/9 42/5 77/20 plead [4] 12/1 37/5	presence [1] 18/22	program [4] 75/24
lperform [1] 37/11	37/6 44/3	18/6 18/9 49/10	progress [3] 76/10
performing [1] 27/6	pleaded [3] 2/21	<pre>presented [2] 13/21</pre>	76/15 76/17
perhaps [15] 8/11 16/11 28/23 28/24	10/12 11/2 pleas [1] 37/7	68/2 presentence [23]	prohibited [1] 75/20
29/4 30/3 30/10	please [3] 2/6	3/4 4/20 4/22 5/9	projectiles [1]
	33/16 65/4	5/10 5/15 5/24 6/4	35/12 promises [1] 58/2
70/12 71/2	pled [3] 43/21 44/2 57/13	8/16 9/9 9/15 9/23	promises [1] 58/2 promote [3] 21/12
period [5] 54/12	plume [1] 25/1	10/4 10/5 11/6	21/15 37/24
54/21 56/13 70/9 77/11	plus [1] 55/18 podium [2] 2/6 6/2	20/25 27/17 76/19 76/23	<pre>promotes [2] 25/17 40/1</pre>
	point [13] 8/18	president [4] 27/4	promoting [1] 37/21
perjury [2] 11/11	13/22 19/7 24/9	29/1 40/11 46/2	prone [1] 30/4
18/8 permission [3] 3/20	24/11 28/14 35/20 36/17 53/11 59/18	presidential [1] 18/17	proper [3] 16/5 17/6 66/24
22/9 77/23	62/9 69/9 70/8	press [3] 34/3 66/5	
persist [1] 27/10 person [12] 2/9	pointed [4] 13/9	70/14	14/11
10/23 14/10 27/4	34/25 37/10 41/25 points [4] 12/7	pressing [1] 52/2 presume [1] 36/25	<pre>propose [1] 20/25 protect [15] 21/14</pre>
36/6 36/8 36/10	28/10 34/25 35/23	pretrial [6] 32/24	24/4 25/11 29/13
37/25 48/8 54/4 56/17 62/18	pole [1] 60/23 police [37] 22/11	33/4 37/11 37/13 50/5 71/18	33/25 34/4 34/5 34/5 38/16 39/9
personal [1] 11/9	23/1 23/3 23/5	pretty [2] 42/16	45/15 69/22 70/16
Phoenix [2] 1/15	23/19 23/24 24/3	51/20	70/17 70/18
66/14 phone [2] 8/21 9/3	24/8 24/12 24/22 24/24 25/2 26/1	prevent [2] 26/13 27/5	protected [1] 22/12 protecting [6]
phones [1] 65/3	35/12 35/16 36/23	preventing [1] 71/4	23/24 35/17 38/22
photographs [1] 3/10	38/14 39/11 39/13 41/19 41/23 45/10	previously [2] 22/6 40/19	52/3 67/15 67/16 protection [1] 63/6
phrase [2] 16/9	45/14 45/14 45/16	principles [1]	protest [2] 46/8
17/23	46/23 47/24 53/9	67/20	53/18
physical [2] 10/22 14/10	56/2 56/4 59/7 59/25 60/2 60/5	prior [5] 35/21 37/8 42/5 45/25	Proud [8] 6/5 6/9 7/11 8/10 8/22 8/22
physically [1]	60/16 60/22 60/24	76/10	8/23 8/25
39/22 picked [2] 48/5		prison [3] 48/14	prove [1] 70/23
70/10	policy [1] 21/16	58/8 78/11 prisons [4] 7/6	provide [7] 21/12 25/18 40/1 66/22
picking [2] 43/23	64/6 69/3 69/9	74/9 77/25 78/7	71/15 75/25 76/1
45/11 picture [1] 46/19	portion [2] 17/10 69/19	probably [5] 28/13 43/11 54/13 60/21	provided [3] 22/5 26/10 42/4
pictures [2] 62/20	position [14] 12/25	71/9	provision [3] 17/22
68/8 piece [1] 60/4	13/7 14/14 14/20	probation [34] 2/6	17/25 20/6
place [5] 9/10	14/21 15/5 15/5 15/12 34/23 35/5	2/18 3/5 5/11 10/6 11/7 12/21 13/2	provisions [2] 19/25 74/6
31/20 38/22 45/7	42/7 52/7 52/8 64/7	13/4 13/8 13/10	PSR [2] 10/20_58/5
69/20 placed [2] 4/7 65/5	positions [2] 27/14 70/4	13/25 14/4 15/13 15/16 15/16 19/20	psychological [1] 39/15
placement [2] 74/23		20/5 20/20 21/2	public [10] 21/14
76/9	potentially [1]	21/5 72/5 75/1	28/3 32/7 40/5
	<u>I</u>	<u>l</u>	

2.79 12/11 20/2				
Description Color	D	12/9 12/11 20/2	64/21	representing [1]
S8/19 69/5 72/12 73/6 73/9 20 pull of [2] 59/14 75/18 re [1] 63/15 refers [1] 17/5 refer		21/2 21/3 21/20	reexamine [1] 63/13	2/9
Table 13 720 February 13 73 73 74 74 74 74 74 7	public [6] 68/18	44/11 45/13 55/18	reference [1] 24/19	represents [1] 68/7
Table 13 720 February 13 73 73 74 74 74 74 74 7	68/19 69/5 72/12		referred [1] 29/14	republic [1] 25/23
pulled [2] 59/14 59/21 punch [1] 42/13 punched [2] 59/14 59/21 punch [1] 42/13 punched [2] 59/14 punch [1] 42/13 punched [2] 56/3 punching [2] 43/1 punching [2] 43/1 punching [2] 43/1 punching [3] 57/23 58/9 64/21 purpose [3] 57/23 58/9 64/21 purpose [3] 57/23 58/9 64/21 purpose [3] 9/11 10/5 17/24 19/4 45/5 purpose [6] 9/11 10/5 17/24 19/4 45/6 47/2 1/2 1/2 pursue [1] 64/10 pursue [1			refers [1] 17/5	repudiate [11 51/15
purled [2] 59/4 purched [2] 56/3 purched [2] 43/1 d3/6 purching [2] d3/1 d3/6 purching [3] d3/6 purching	nuli [11] 9/20	re [1] 63/15		renudiates [1]
Say21				
punched [2] 56/3 65/20 punching [2] 43/1 43/6 43/6 punching [2] 43/1 21/13 38/9 64/21 purpose [3] 57/23 purpose [3] 57/24 41/19 66/4 43/9 50/15 57/1 purpose [4] 64/10 push [4] 24/2 31/2 purpose [3] 57/23 purpose [4] 64/10 push [4] 24/2 31/2 purpose [4] 14/2 purpose [4] 64/10 push [4] 24/2 31/2 purpose [4] 64/10 push [4] 24/2 31/2 purpose [5] 9/10 push [4] 24/2 31/2 purpose [6] 9/11 purpose [6] 9/				
punched [2] 56/3 reaction [1] 30/7 read [9] 16/11 30/7 read [9] 16/11 30/7 read [9] 16/11 30/7 read [9] 16/11 54/6 37/20 57/20 57/20 57/20 57/20 78/20	13/21 hungh [1] 42/12			
S5/20 punching [2] 43/1 43/6 78/20 7		reach [I] //22	Ketorm [1] /4/5	
punching [2] 43/1 read [9] 16/11 13/16 7/14 17/15 17/23 7/17 7/15 17/23 7/16 7/		reacned [ij 1//2	refrain [1] /4/21	
17/14 17/15 17/23 49/21 67/16 67/15 65/27 65/25 65/2				
21/13 21/13 27/20 27/2	punching [2] 43/1	read [9] 16/11		9/9 77/23 78/14
21/13 21/13 27/20 27/2	43/6	17/14 17/15 17/23	65/17 65/20 65/25	78/17
21/13 21/13 28/7 28/7 28/8 28/13	punishment [1]		66/24	
punitive [1] 58/7 purpose [3] 57/23 ready [1] 38/12 ready [1] 37/18 ready [1] 38/14 style [1]	21/13			
purpose [6] 3 57/23				required [5] 5/8
purpose [3] 57/23				
purposetully [1]	hurnosa [3] 57/23			
purposetully [1]	[Pul pose [3] 37/23			
45/5 purposes 63 9/11 10/5 17/24 19/4 19/4 21/9 21/10 21/9 2	30/9 04/21	reai [1] 3//18		
purposes [6] 9/11	purposerully [1]	rea 12e [3] 28/18		
20/5 17/24 19/4				requires [1] 27/7
21/10 21/10 21/10 29/18 32/11 48/14 29/18 32/11 48/14 24/2 31/2 58/15				
President Pres				resisting [1] 3/1
29/18 32/11 48/14 21/16 58/11 13/18 14/5 14/16 49/24 51/22 58/15 58/17 66/13 7/23 10/25 25/14 43/19 66/4 43/9 50/15 57/1 7/23 10/25 25/14 43/9 50/15 57/1 7/23 10/25 25/14 43/9 50/15 57/1 7/23 10/25 25/14 43/9 50/15 57/1 7/23 10/25 25/14 43/9 50/15 57/1 7/23 10/25 25/14 43/9 50/15 57/1 7/23 10/25 25/14 7/23 10/25		really [10] 16/7		resolve [3] ⁴ /17
13/11 14/5 14/16		29/18 32/11 48/14		4/21 10 <i>7</i> 9
74/5 77/9 78/21		49/16 49/24 51/22		
pursue [1] 64/10 reason [8] 6/23 7/3 63/18 reason [9] 1 24/2 31/2 7 23 10/25 25/14 43/9 50/15 57/1 reasoning [1] 19/5 reasons [7] 15/1 reasons				14/19 14/22 18/10
Dush [4] 24/2 31/2 41/19 66/4 7/23 16/25 25/14 reasoning [1] 19/5 reasons [7] 15/1 19/10 32/19 45/17 59/11 61/12 66/16 75/12 64/16 68/24 76/92 70/7 71/22 recail [1] 34/24 received [1] 74/21 76/12 received [1] 74/22 received [1] 74/22 received [1] 74/24 74/25 received [1] 74/24 74/25 received [1] 74/24 74/25 received [1] 74/24 74/25 recommend [7] 14/1 78/17 recommender [7] 13/1 78/17 recommender [7] 14/1 78/17 78/18 5/20 5/23 78/18 51 10/12 11/1 16/18 19/6 78/18 51 10/12 11/1 16/18 19/6 78/18 51 10/12 11/1 16/18 19/6 78/18 5/10 5/15 78/18 5/20 5/23 78/18 51 10/12 11/1 16/18 19/6 78/18 5/20 5/23 78/11 45/20 78/11	nursue [1] 64/10			respect [38] 4/21
43/9 50/15 57/1 32/16 32/16 32/16 32/16 32/16 32/17 32/16 32/16 32/17 32/16 32/17 32/16 32/17 32				5 /17 5 /18 5 /20 5 /22
pushing [3] 24/3 reasons [7] 15/1 19/5 put [13] 20/19 19/10 32/19 45/17 34/12 43/13 48/3 59/11 61/12 66/16 68/24 69/2 70/7 71/22 recalled [1] 47/21 76/3 76/8 76/13 32/23 34/4 64/22 puts [1] 43/24 receipt [1] 76/17 putting [4] 24/1 received [4] 3/4 77/19 putting [4] 24/1 received [4] 3/4 77/19 received [4] 3/4 78/17 30/9 60/14 28/17 30/9 78/17 20/23 44/7 44/22 78/7 recommended [7] 13/10 14/11 19/17 20/20 61/13 72/6 reminded [1] 64/20 responsibilities [1] 68/22 remorse [3] 37/1 37/19 73/21 removed [1] 62/22 remorse [3] 37/1 37/19 73/21 removed [3] 63/20 75/27 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/19 75/18 75/19 75/18 75/19 75/18 75/19 75/19 75/18 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/19 75/	1 1 1 1 66 / 1 24 / 2 31 / 2			
S1/23 73/15 reasons [7]			32/10	
put [13] 20/19 34/12 43/13 48/3 52/6 52/7 62/16 62/22 64/16 68/24 69/2 70/7 71/22 puts [1] 43/24 putting [4] 24/1 receipt [1] 76/17 received [4] 3/4 putting [4] 12/4 receipt [1] 76/17 received [4] 3/4 quite [6] 17/14 28/17 30/9 60/14 28/17 30/9				
S2/6 52/7 62/16 62/22 64/16 68/24 67/27 70/7 71/22 puts [1] 43/24 47/25 76/12 76/19 47/20 48/18 48/22 puts [1] 43/24 receipt [1] 76/17 received [4] 3/4 32/23 34/4 64/22 5/10 51/4 77/19 recommend [7] 14/1 14/4 20/8 78/17 78/8 78/8 78/17 recommendation [9] 3/5 3/16 5/11 11/11 13/10 14/1 19/17 recommended [7] 14/1 13/10 14/1 19/17 recommended [7] 14/1 13/17 recommended [7] 13/10 14/1 19/17		reasons [7] 15/1	19/18 20/22 32/25	
S2/6 52/7 62/16 62/22 64/16 68/24 67/27 70/7 71/22 puts [1] 43/24 47/25 76/12 76/19 47/20 48/18 48/22 puts [1] 43/24 receipt [1] 76/17 received [4] 3/4 32/23 34/4 64/22 5/10 51/4 77/19 recommend [7] 14/1 14/4 20/8 78/17 78/8 78/8 78/17 recommendation [9] 3/5 3/16 5/11 11/11 13/10 14/1 19/17 recommended [7] 14/1 13/10 14/1 19/17 recommended [7] 14/1 13/17 recommended [7] 13/10 14/1 19/17		19/10 32/19 45/17	33/4 37/11 45/20	
69/2 70/7 71/22 puts [1] 43/24 putting [4] 24/1				
Pecalled [1] 47/23 Preceipt [1] 76/17 Preceipt [1] 76/17 Preceipt [1] 76/17 Preceipt [1] 76/17 Preceived [4] 3/4		recall [3] 47/21	57/12 64/12 71/18	36/7 36/11 37/21
puts [1] 43/24 putting [4] 24/1 32/23 34/4 64/22 Q qualities [1] 38/2 quickly [1] 19/23 quite [6] 17/14 28/17 30/9 60/14 69/2 69/7 quote [5] 25/4 26/12 26/24 27/15 36/6 quoted [1] 40/11 quotes [1] 24/10 racining [1] 59/20 raisee [3] 61/23 raisee [3] 61/23 ray 79/4 79/8 raisee [3] 61/23 raising [2] 34/7 ray 19/21 rally [9] 31/9 saling [2] 34/7 ray 19/21 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/12 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 received [4] 3/4 received [4] 3/4 received [4] 3/4 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 6/10 relevant [6] 6/10 recoived [1] 3/8 respective [1] 2/7 respond [3] 29/25 remarkable [1] remarks [1] 66/23 reminder [1] 66/20 reminds [1] 66/20 reminds [1] 64/20 remorse [3] 37/1 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 1/12 remores [3] 37/1 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/20 responsibility [8] restitution [8] 20/10 20/22 45/20 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/2 75/4		47/24 47/25	74/12 76/3 76/8	37/22 37/24 40/1
puts [1] 43/24 putting [4] 24/1 32/23 34/4 64/22 Q qualities [1] 38/2 quickly [1] 19/23 quite [6] 17/14 28/17 30/9 60/14 69/2 69/7 quote [5] 25/4 26/12 26/24 27/15 36/6 quoted [1] 40/11 quotes [1] 24/10 racining [1] 59/20 raisee [3] 61/23 raisee [3] 61/23 ray 79/4 79/8 raisee [3] 61/23 raising [2] 34/7 ray 19/21 rally [9] 31/9 saling [2] 34/7 ray 19/21 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/12 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 received [4] 3/4 received [4] 3/4 received [4] 3/4 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 3/7 received [6] 6/10 relevant [6] 6/10 recoived [1] 3/8 respective [1] 2/7 respond [3] 29/25 remarkable [1] remarks [1] 66/23 reminder [1] 66/20 reminds [1] 66/20 reminds [1] 64/20 remorse [3] 37/1 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 1/12 remores [3] 37/1 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 6/20 remored [1] 6/23 remored [1] 7/24 remored [1] 6/20 responsibility [8] restitution [8] 20/10 20/22 45/20 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/2 75/4	69/2 70/7 71/22	recalled [1] 47/23	76/12 76/19	47/20 48/18 48/22
Putting				
32/23 34/4 64/22 5/10 51/4 77/19 8/11 8/18 30/8 59/13 67/24 72/3 recent [1] 63/15 recommend [7] 14/1 14/2 0/8 78/1 78/8 78/9 78/17 recommendation [9] 3/5 3/16 5/11 11/11 13/2 69/2 69/7 44/22 78/7 recommended [7] 13/7 20/23 44/7 44/22 78/7 recommended [7] 13/10 14/1 19/17 20/20 61/13 72/6 78/1 remorks [1] 66/20 reminder [1] 64/20 reminder [1] 64/20 remored [1] 38/5 remored [1] 27/1 remored [1] 64/20 remored [1] 64/20 remored [1] 64/20 remored [1] 64/20 remored [1] 27/2 repeatedly [1] responsibilities [1] 38/5 record [12] 2/7 repeatedly [1] responsible [2] remored [1] 38/5 record [12] 2/7 repeatedly [1] responsible [2] remored [1] 57/15 responsible [2] repeatedly [1] responsible [2] responsible [2] repeatedly [1] responsible [2] responsible [2] responsible [2] responsible [2] responsible [2] repeatedly [1] responsible [2] res	putting [4] 24/1	received [4] 3/4		
Qualities [1] 38/2 quickly [1] 19/23 quickly [1] 19/2 quote [5] 25/4 quote [5] 25/4 quoted [1] 40/11 quoted [1] 40/11 quoted [1] 40/11 quoted [1] 25/5 quoted [1	32/23 34/4 64/22	5/10 51/4 77/19		
Procommend Total			44/20 66/21	
qualities [1] 38/2 quickly [1] 19/23 quickly [1] 19/23 quick [6] 17/14 14/4 20/8 78/17 recommendation [9] 72/16 15/19 66/13 remarkable [1] 62/16 responders [1] 62/16 remarks [1] 66/26 response [2] 15/20 39/23 reminded [1] 57/25 reminder [1] 64/20 reminder	ĮQ	recommend [7] 14/1	rely [3] 15/13	
quickly [1] 19/23 78/9 78/17 recommendation [9] remarkable [1] responders [1] 62/16 responders [2] 15/20 39/23 response [2] 15/20 39/23 responsibilities [1] 63/20 responsibility [8] 63/20 responsibility [8] 63/20 responsibility [8] 11 64/20 reminder [1] 64/20 responsibility [8] 78/19 reminder [1] 62/24 responsibility [8] 78/19 79/18 79/18 71/20 78/19 73/21 79/24 78/19 79/24 78/19 79/24 78/19 79/24 78/19	qualities [1] 38/2	14/4 20/8 78/1 78/8	15/10 66/13	30/14 32/23
quite [6] 17/14 recommendation [9] 72/16 62/16 69/2 69/7 (90/2 69/7) 13/5 3/16 5/11 11/11 remarks [1] 66/23 response [2] 15/20 26/12 26/24 27/15 36/6 44/22 78/7 recommended [7] 13/10 14/1 19/17 recommended [1] 62/16 responsibilities [1] 36/6 78/1 7ecommended [7] 13/10 14/1 19/17 72/26 78/1 remorse [3] 37/19 73/21 responsibility [8] R recommending [1] 20/20 61/13 72/6 78/1 removed [1] 62/2 responsibility [8] racial [1] 63/7 recommends [1] 78/1 removed [1] 62/23 responsibility [8] racial [1] 63/7 recommends [1] 78/1 removed [1] 62/23 responsibility [8] racial [1] 63/7 recommends [1] 78/1 removed [1] 62/24 responsibility [8] raising [1] 59/20 recommends [1] 75/11 57/11 75/15 75/24 responsible [2] raised [2] 19/7 21/0 11/8 20/20 repeatedly [1] 75/24 restitution [8] 20/10 20/22 45/20 raised [2] 19/7 79/11 80/5 75/15 5/24 6/4 6/6 6/1	quickly [1] 19/23	14/4 20/6 76/1 76/6 78/0 78/17		responders [1]
28/17 30/9 60/14 69/2 69/7 70 13/7 20/23 44/7 13/7 20/23 44/7 13/7 20/23 44/7 13/7 20/23 44/7 13/7 20/23 44/7 14/22 78/7 13/10 14/1 19/17 13/10 14/1 19/17 13/10 14/1 19/17 13/10 14/1 19/17 13/10 14/1 19/17 13/7 20/20 61/13 72/6 78/1 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/25 11/24 16/4 29/23 11/25 11/25 11/24 16/2 29/24 36/15 11/25 11/25 11/24 16/2 29/24 35/11 11/24 16/22 1/23 80/3 17/25 11/25 11/25 11/24 16/22 1/23 80/3 17/25 11/25	quite [6] 17/14	nocommondation [0]		
13/7 20/23 44/7	29/17 20/0 60/14	1 2 / 5 2 / 1 C 5 / 1 1 1 1 / 1 1	72/10 	02/10
quote [5] 25/4 44/22 78/7 recommended [7] recommended [7] reminder [1] 64/20 responsibilities [1] 63/20 quoted [1] 40/11 20/20 61/13 72/6 37/19 73/21 reminder [1] 62/22 responsibilities [1] 63/20 R recommending [1] 37/19 73/21 11/24 16/4 29/23 37/5 37/18 37/19 Racial [1] 63/7 recommending [1] 21/5 recommends [1] 78/1 recommend [1] 37/19 73/21 44/6 44/14 responsibilities [1] racial [1] 63/7 recommends [1] 78/1 recommend [1] 21/5 recommend [1] 21/24 responsibilities [1] 44/6 42/20 responsibility [8] 37/19 73/21 44/6 44/14 responsible [2] 22/24 37/18 37/19 37/18 37/19 37/18 37/19 44/6 44/14 responsible [2] 29/24 36/15 responsible [2] 29/10 20/22 45/20 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/18 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
Tecommended [7]				
36/6 quoted [1] 40/11 quotes [1] 25/5	quote [5] 25/4		reminder [1] 64/20	
quoted [1] 40/11 20/20 61/13 72/6 37/19 73/21 11/24 16/4 29/23 R recommending [1] recommending [1] 21/5 removed [1] 6/23 37/5 37/18 37/19 racial [1] 63/7 recommends [1] 21/5 recommends [1] 27/24 responsible [2] raising [1] 59/20 reconvene [1] 38/5 reconvene [1] 38/5 reconvene [1] 38/5 repeatedly [1] restitution [8] raised [2] 19/7 2/10 11/8 20/20 report [26] 3/5 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 52/21 65/7 65/8 66/23 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 80/5 75/15 5/24 6/4 6/6 75/18 restricted [3] 22/13 22/24 35/11 records [2] 21/21 72/18 records [2] 21/21 9/9 9/15 9/23 10/4 resulted [1] 11/3 review [2] 3/25 review [2] 3/25<			reminds [1] 62/22	
quotes [1] 25/5 78/1 recommending [1] recommending [1] removed [1] 6/23 37/5 37/18 37/19 R racial [1] 63/7 recommends [1] Renee [1] 2/18 responsible [2] 29/24 36/15 raging [1] 59/20 reconvene [1] 38/5 54/10 57/11 57/16 responsible [2] 29/24 36/15 raise [3] 61/23 record [12] 2/7 36/23 repeatedly [1] 36/23 restitution [8] raised [2] 19/7 65/7 65/8 66/23 4/20 4/22 5/9 5/10 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 52/21 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 78/2 75/2 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/18 75/18 75/2 75/4 75/18 75/18 78/1 78/1 78/1 78/1 78/1 78/1				
quotes [1] 25/5 78/1 recommending [1] repeat [4] 51/8 respect [2] restitution [8] recommending [1]	quoted [1] 40/11	20/20 61/13 72/6	37/19 73/21	
R recommending [1] Renee [1] 2/18 44/6 44/14 responsible [2] racial [1] 56/14 recommends [1] 56/14 repeat [4] 51/8 54/10 57/11 57/16 responsible [2] 29/24 36/15 responsible [2] 29/24 36/15 responsible [2] 29/24 36/15 respeat [4] 51/8 54/10 57/11 57/16 respeat [4] 51/8 responsible [2] 29/24 36/15 restitution [8] 20/10 20/22 45/20 75/18 restitution [8] 20/10 20/22 45/20 75/18 75/18 75/18 restricted [3] 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 records [2] 21/21 79/11 80/5 79/11 80/5 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 75/18 76/11 76/15 76/17 76/11 76/15 76/17 76/17 76/11 76/15 76/17 76/17 76/17 76/17 76/17 76/17 76/20 76/24 76/17 </td <td>quotes [1] 25/5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	quotes [1] 25/5			
racial [1] 63/7 raging [1] 24/10 railing [1] 59/20 raise [3] 61/23 79/4 79/8 raised [2] 19/7 52/21 raising [2] 34/7 59/18 railies [1] 8/24 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 21/5 recommends [1] 56/14 recomvene [1] 38/5 record [12] 2/7 2/10 11/8 20/20 65/7 65/8 66/23 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 80/5 records [2] 21/21 79/11 80/5 records [2] 21/21 72/18 reduced [1] 71/2 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 reeducate [1] 64/12 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 rent [1] 27/24 repeat [4] 51/8 54/10 57/11 57/16 rest [2] 63/9 67/8 restitution [8] 20/10 20/22 45/20 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/18 restricted [3] 79/11 80/5 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 72/17 76/11 76/15 results [2] 16/14 16/22 recults [2] 16/14 16/22 recults [2] 3/25 reciducate [1] 64/12 1/23 80/3 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4				
racial [1] 63/7 raging [1] 24/10 railing [1] 59/20 raise [3] 61/23 79/4 79/8 raised [2] 19/7 52/21 raising [2] 34/7 59/18 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 recommends [1] recommends [1] 51/8 54/10 57/11 57/16 repeatedly [1] 36/23 report [26] 3/5 report [26] 3/5 4/20 4/22 5/9 5/10 5/15 5/24 6/4 6/6 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 79/11 80/5 records [2] 21/21 72/18 reduced [1] 71/2 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 reducate [1] 64/12 repeat [4] 51/8 54/10 57/11 57/16 rest [2] 63/9 67/8 restitution [8] 20/10 20/22 45/20 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/18 restricted [3] 22/13 22/24 35/11 resulted [1] 11/3 resulted [1] 11/3 resulted [1] 11/3 refunction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 reducate [1] 64/12 review [2] 3/25 review [4] 3/4				
raging [1] 24/10 railing [1] 59/20 raise [3] 61/23 79/4 79/8 raised [2] 19/7 55/21 raising [2] 34/7 59/18 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 75/14 56/14 reconvene [1] 38/5 record [12] 2/7 2/10 11/8 20/20 report [26] 3/5 restitution [8] restitution [8] resticted [3] restricted [3] resulted [1] 11/3 reporter [3] 1/22 return [1] 76/23 review [2] 3/25 review [2] 3/25 review [4] 3/4	racial [1] 63/7			
railing [1] 59/20 raise [3] 61/23 record [12] 2/7 36/23 report [26] 3/5 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/21 65/7 65/8 66/23 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 80/5 records [2] 21/21 72/18 railing [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 records [1] 76/10 records [2] report [26] 3/5 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 5/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/8 report [3] 3/2 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/9				rest [2] 63/9 67/8
raise [3] 61/23 79/4 79/8 raised [2] 19/7 52/21 raising [2] 34/7 59/18 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 record [12] 2/7 36/23 report [26] 3/5 4/20 4/22 5/9 5/10 75/18 report [26] 3/5 4/20 4/22 5/9 5/10 75/18 report [26] 3/5 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/18 report [26] 3/5 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 79/11 80/5 75/18 restricted [3] 22/13 22/24 35/11 resulted [1] 11/3 results [2] 16/14 10/6 11/6 20/25 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 reduction [2] 1/23 80/3 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4	railing [1] 59/20			restitution [2]
79/4 79/8 raised [2] 19/7 52/21 raising [2] 34/7 59/18 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 2/10 11/8 20/20 65/7 65/8 66/23 67/8 74/1 78/24 65/7 65/8 66/23 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 80/5 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 80/5 75/15 5/24 6/4 6/6 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 79/11 80/5 6/11 6/16 6/24 8/16 79/11 80/5 75/2 75/4 75/5 75/9 75/18 restricted [3] 22/13 22/24 35/11 resulted [1] 11/3 results [2] 16/14 16/22 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 reduction [2] 1/23 80/3 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4		reconveile [1] 30/3		C3
raised [2] 19/7 52/21 raising [2] 34/7 59/18 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 65/7 65/8 66/23 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 80/5 records [2] 21/21 72/18 records [2] 21/21 72/18 reduced [1] 71/2 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 reductions [2] 3/25 reducate [1] 64/12 reducate [1] 64/12 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4				
52/21 raising [2] 34/7 59/18 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 67/8 74/1 78/24 79/11 80/5 records [2] 21/21 72/18 records [2] 21/21 72/18 reduced [1] 71/2 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 reductions [2] 1/23 80/3 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4				
raising [2] 34/7 59/18 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 79/11 80/5 records [2] 21/21 9/9 9/15 9/23 10/4 10/6 11/6 20/25 reduced [1] 71/2 27/17 76/11 76/15 16/22 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 reduction [2] 3/25 reducate [1] 64/12 reducate [1] 64/12 reducate [1] 76/10 resulted [1] 11/3 resulted [2] 16/14 16/22 return [1] 76/23 review [2] 3/25 9/22 reviewed [4] 3/4		05// 05/8 06/23		
59/18 records [2] 21/21 9/9 9/15 9/23 10/4 resulted [1] 11/3 rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 resulted [1] 11/3 resulted [1] resulted [1] 11/3 resulted [1] resulted [1] resulted [1] resulted [1] resulted [1]	J2/21 maiaina [3] 24/7			
rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 31/16 31/18 31/20 31/21 31/25 32/3 46/9 46/10 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10 rallies [1] 8/24 reduced [1] 71/2 reduced [1] 71/2 reduction [2] 11/24 Reporter [3] 1/22 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4				
rallies [1] 8/24 rally [9] 31/9 reduced [1] 71/2 76/11 76/15 76/11 76/15 76/11 76/20 76/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 76/27 76/20 76/24 76/27 76/20 76/24 76/23 76/24 76/27 76/20 76/24 76/27 76/20 76/24 76/27 76/20 76/24 76/27 76/20 76/24 76/27 76/20 76/20 76/24 76/27 76/20) 59/18			resu]ted_[1] 11/3
rally [9] 31/9 reduced [1] 71/2 27/17 76/11 76/15 16/22 reduction [2] 11/24 76/17 76/20 76/24 return [1] 76/23 76/9 46/9 46/10 reducate [1] 64/12 1/23 80/3 9/22 reentry [1] 76/10 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4				results [2] 16/14
31/16 31/18 31/20	rally [9] 31/9			
31/21 31/25 32/3 11/25 Reporter [3] 1/22 review [2] 3/25 46/9 46/10 reeducate [1] 64/12 1/23 80/3 9/22 reentry [1] 76/10 representations [2] reviewed [4] 3/4	31/16 31/18 31/20			
46/9 46/10				
RANDOLPH [1] $1/10$ reentry [1] $76/10$ representations [2] reviewed [4] $3/4$				
3 2 2 3 3 3 10 4 20				
	5 == 5 == 7 == 7		1 23/2: 10/3	3,3 3, 10 1,20

R	seconds [1] 43/24 section [17] 2/24	10/10 Sergeant [1] 26/11	sides [1] 26/7 significant [3]
reviews [1] 33/2	3/2 5/6 10/15 10/17	series [2] 44/25	54/25 71/25 72/2
rhetoric [8] 29/1	1 10/19 11/5 19/15	44/25	similar [5] 21/21
30/5 31/3 31/19 31/20 31/23 32/6	19/17 19/19 20/4 21/7 21/25 74/6	serious [4] 25/12 35/8 40/8 57/5	21/22 55/9 55/21 72/8
46/12	74/14 77/9 77/16	seriousness [4]	similarly [2] 13/8
right [19] 9/8	sections [1] 75/3	21/11 25/16 37/20	43/18
11/20 11/21 12/2 12/4 12/8 12/10	secure [1] 40/18 security [1] 7/8	57/3 serve [8] 28/2	simply [2] 19/25 68/24
15/7 15/10 15/15	seeing [2] 48/13	38/16 56/16 58/9	sincere [1] 49/19
15/21 44/11 45/22	62/20	66/9 74/11 79/18	Single [1] 70/1
53/14 61/5 63/17 64/20 77/10 77/17	seem [1] 71/3 Senate [1] 26/18	79/19 served [2] 37/12	singular [3] 67/3 67/23 69/15
rights [3] 17/8	send [2] 40/4 40/4	55/5	sit [1] 22/12
63/6 64/8	sends [1] 62/21	serves [1] 50/25	sits [1] 51/10
rinse [1] 39/8 riot [2] 38/3 59/25	sense [4] 16/16 16/21 62/15 69/16	service [3] 28/5 38/25 75/22	sitting [3] 16/20 18/21 51/9
rioters [6] 23/20		serving [1] 57/23	situated [2] 13/8
26/6 26/17 38/9	5/4 5/7 10/14 20/20	session [1] 18/22	43/18
41/23 59/19 rioters' [1] 26/9	21/8 21/11 21/13 21/19 21/20 25/12	set [8] 5/14 5/24 20/24 21/7 38/19	situation [5] 15/10 38/21 52/20 56/13
rise [1] 71/3	25/15 25/17 27/12	47/25 53/17 66/17	64/11
risked [1] 25/11	33/10 37/23 39/25	Seventh [1] 1/17	six [2] 14/24 27/20
road [1] 51/14 role [2] 16/20	40/14 40/22 40/23 42/7 43/3 43/10	several [5] 8/21 18/17 27/9 59/15	skin [2] 39/7 73/11
36/20	44/8 44/18 45/18	72/21	skipped [1]
room [3] 1/24 27/24	55/7 55/8 55/11	several-hour [1]	small [1] 57/10
75/14 rough [3] 79/20	56/14 57/2 58/8 58/9 59/8 59/11	27/9 severe [1] 57/21	smoke [1] 46/17
79/20 79/21	61/8 61/13 62/3	Ishall [6] 74/15	smoked [1] 47/14 smoking [2] 49/2
rubber [1] 60/21	66/9 66/12 66/16	75/9 75/16 76/19	50/11
Rubenacker [3] 13/12 13/16 13/16	66/24 72/6 75/4 76/22 77/10 77/12	76/23 77/6 shape [1] 64/14	sober [1] 28/23
rules [2] 71/20	77/17 78/23	share [2] 58/3 76/4	society [8] 27/22 33/6 58/7 63/21
71/21	sentenced [10]	shattering [1]	64/21 71/23 73/8
running [2] 22/3 70/3	13/17 13/17 40/3	68/15	79/17
RYAN [3] 1/6 2/3	41/9 42/1 43/20 44/4 60/7 74/9	Sherrill [1] 75/13 shield [1] 23/5	solely [1] 20/24 solution [1] 58/23
2/20	74/11	shields [3] 59/25	somebody [5] 29/15
S	sentences [6] 21/22 55/1 55/8 55/21	60/2 60/6 shivers [1] 62/21	29/21 30/2 71/21 79/17
Sadly [1] 62/19	66/8 72/3	shook [1] 57/8	someone [5] 33/5
sake [1] 32/22	sentencing [65]	shook [1] 57/8 shooting [1] 42/24 short [2] 25/4	36/6 42/24 68/19
17/16 19/5 26/4	1/10 2/20 3/5 3/6 3/7 3/16 3/17 4/2	snort [2] 25/4 33/19	70/10 someone's [1] 43/13
30/17 41/5 43/20	4/11 5/10 6/19 7/15	shortcomings [1]	somewhat [3] 34/20
51/16 51/18 72/7	9/11 10/5 11/14	62/14	71/14 74/2
73/7 sat [1] 18/18	11/16 12/20 13/10 13/13 17/18 17/21	shortsighted [1] 64/7	somewhere [1] 7/10 son [1] 48/20
satisfied [1] 9/18	17/25 20/19 21/10	shot [3] 24/21	sorry [2] 78/10
saw [10] 33/20 33/23 34/1 34/2	22/1 22/23 25/5	60/20 60/23	79/10
40/21 45/4 46/21	25/19 26/10 26/23 27/14 29/5 30/9	shouting [2] 68/5 68/13	sort [3] 7/5 16/2 49/7
48/13 53/3 64/16	31/7 31/14 35/2	shoved [3] 59/19	sought [1] 41/4
saying [8] 7/7 22/25 29/22 29/25	35/20 38/19 38/25	60/5 60/6	sound [1] 22/15
37/15 49/4 49/12	39/10 40/10 40/24 42/1 46/20 52/7	shoving [1] 53/8 show [3] 33/5 39/12	space [1] 69/22 speak [1] 37/2
49/22	53/16 54/17 56/16	54/7	Speaker [1] 26/19
scaffolding [1]	57/12 59/2 59/15	showed [2] 38/24	spear [1] 59/24
scenes [1] 52/17	60/17 61/13 66/22 67/5 71/8 72/12	71/21 showing [3] 9/4	special [6] 2/12 20/11 20/22 45/21
SCHESNOL [6] 1/13	72/13 72/15 72/17	24/21 48/16	74/13 75/16
2/9 5/13 12/14 20/13 69/25	72/21 74/5 74/7 77/13 77/21	shows [1] 39/11	specific [3] 25/18
school [2] 29/9	sentencings [2]	sic [1] 5/12 sickened [1] 68/1	40/2 52/17 specifically [3]
57/19	49/18 49/19	side [1] 52/1	41/10 47/5 47/25
second [5] 4/22 30/25 39/2 48/20	separate [3] 4/7 65/5 70/7	Sidebar [2] 4/7 65/5	specified [2] 5/5 32/13
60/24	separately [1]		speeding [1] 51/3
	' ' ' '		

	OTO TENT BOOMMENT		
S	61/3 stop [2] 26/17 27/3	19/16 19/18 20/21	26/16 26/20 31/1 41/11 45/13 69/21
spend [1] 63/9		76/13	terrible [1] 65/21
spent [1] 72/7	50/10 50/10 58/21	supervision [8]	terribly [2] 62/9
spewed [1] 46/13	stray [1] 49/2	74/15 74/17 74/18	66/12
spine [1] 62/22	streaming [1] 64/17	74/23 75/17 75/23	terrified [1] 73/13
spoke [2] 39/17	Street [1] 1/17	76/9 76/16	test [2] 63/24
48/15		support [7] 35/1	74/23
spoken [1] 34/9	stress [1] 38/21	35/5 49/12 51/6	tested [1] 22/19
spray [2] 35/15	stricken [1] 6/16	54/7 54/8 59/19	testimony [2] 11/12
53/19 sprayed [6] 39/5	strike [6] 8/15 9/9 30/8 32/14 32/16	<pre>supported [1] 50/20 supporters [1] 36/5</pre>	18/8
41/20 59/25 60/18	73/18	supports [2] 17/11	75/21
60/20 60/20	strikes [2] 6/15	18/24	tests [1] 74/24
spraying [1] 45/12	8/10	suppose [1] 43/12	thereafter [1]
spraying [1] 45/12 spur [1] 8/8		 sure [12] 4/15 7/22	74/24
square [1] 23/17	striving [1] 63/10	18/10 30/13 31/22	therefore [5] 6/9
staff [3] 23/6 38/3	strong [1] 69/13	35/15 38/11 47/8 54/9 58/19 60/11	19/11 61/8 75/7
38/9 stain [1] 67/13	struck [1] 69/6 stuck [1] 51/21	54/9 58/19 60/11 70/24	77/2 thick [2] 26/2 45/7
stand [2] 7/25 62/8		surely [1] 62/6	thinking [2] 30/9
		surging [1] 73/14	72/8
		susceptible [1]	third [3] 4/25
stands [2] 50/17	subject [1] 16/22	32/8	16/15 25/22
68/12		suspect [1] 33/22	Thompson [5] 41/10
start [4] 3/12 5/9	submission [1]	swayed [1] 62/13	41/25 42/1 42/3
12/14 67/2 started [4] 4/5	15/18 submit [6]	swept [2] 8/3 30/22 symbol [1] 63/5	42/4 Thompson's [1] 42/8
	Submit [6] 15/12 29/7 74/22 75/19	symbol [1] 63/5 system [5] 28/1	though [7] 21/1
starting [1] 2/5	76/11 76/15	30/18 48/12 54/8	26/14 29/15 35/19
starts [1] 53/24	submits [9] 14/3	57/8	59/22 68/13 79/16
state [4] 27/7	25/13 30/21 31/2	T	thought [9] 15/24
53/21 54/20 74/20	36/9 36/13 37/1	1	31/13 31/25 33/22
stated [3] 10/4	37/12 45/17	table [1] 2/10	46/7 61/9 63/2 64/2
33/13 40/10 statement [1] 69/7	submitted [5] 3/8	talk [1] 9/25	69/4 thoughts [2] 55/19
statements [5]	3/9 3/11 39/10 50/18	talking [4] 31/19 31/20 39/21 55/21	thoughts [2] 55/19 64/15
21/17 30/5 31/10	subsequently [1]	talks [1] 67/5	thousands [6] 25/23
31/15 31/16	48/4	tamper [1] 75/21	31/24 32/2 32/4
states [21] 1/1 1/3	substance [4] 74/21	Tang [1] 2/12	40/24 41/1
1/11 2/3 2/10 2/11	74/22 75/19 75/20	tangential [1] 7/14	
1/11 2/3 2/10 2/11 18/18 26/25 28/3 29/2 34/1 52/12	substantial [12]	tarnished [1] 40/16	
67/17 68/6 68/9	11/3 11/13 12/3	taught [2] 35/5 35/19	threatening [2] 10/22 14/10
75/10 76/5 76/14	14/17 14/18 14/22 16/22 18/3 18/4	teach [1] 36/21	three [26] 2/25
76/21 77/4 78/22	18/9 18/25 21/4	teachings [1] 48/24	11/5 11/7 12/19
states' [1] 63/5	subsumed [1] 14/15	team [3] 53/7 53/12	13/3 13/6 13/11
status [1] 76/12	succeed [2] 26/9	69/11	13/14 14/5 14/12
statute [5] 5/5	40/7	tears [1] 64/16	14/14 15/3 19/16
16/11 17/15 56/16 75/3	success [1] 63/20	technology [1] 22/3 telegraphed [1]	19/18 19/21 19/24
statutory [4] 10/13	successful [1] 79/22	54/19	24/2 41/19 44/5 45/19 53/6 63/21
17/25 27/3 77/11	suffer [1] 40/8	television [2]	66/4 70/14 74/10
stayed [2] 50/9	suffered [1] 54/11	49/15 49/16	74/12
50/10	sufficient [2] 21/8	telling [1] 49/25	three-level [11]
step [6] 4/19 4/22	59/12	tender [2] 36/11	11/5 11/7 12/19
4/25 5/3 5/6 61/25	suggest [5] 9/5	36/14	13/3 13/6 13/11
steps [2] 4/12 22/11	32/18 33/17 42/19 53/5	tens [3] 31/24 32/2 40/24	13/14 14/5 14/12 14/14 15/3
still [13] 3/10	suggested [1] 31/16	term [8] 11/19	threshold [2] 24/6
21/4 24/20 33/14	suggesting [3] 34/7	15/25 16/13 19/16	41/18
36/23 38/4 46/12	4476 44/10	19/18 74/3 74/10	threw [7] 41/18
51/13 54/20 55/11	Suite [2] 1/14 1/17	79/12	46/23 59/24 60/2
59/20 60/3 71/12		termination [3]	60/17 60/19 70/10
stipulate [1] 16/2	summarizing [1]	11/10 18/6 76/25	Throughout [1]
stirring [1] 53/2 stolen [2] 46/3	76/11 superseding [2]	terms [3] 36/3 63/19 74/11	63/21 throw [4] 24/8
49/5	2/22 2/25	Terrace [13] 23/16	35/12 51/12 66/1
stone [1] 28/22	supervise [1] 75/24	23/18 23/21 24/6	throwing [7] 42/25
stood [2] 36/19	supervised [7]	24/13 24/25 25/3	44/1 47/21 47/23

throwing Sascware Burner 00			
Т	73/21_80/4	under [16] 4/7	8/12 9/12 34/10
throwing[3]	truly [2] 62/17 63/8	10/17 11/5 15/3 19/15 19/17 19/19	V
48/6 70/18 70/19	Trump [2] 46/2 49/4		valuable [2] 7/9
Thursday [1] 13/17	trumped [1] 69/4	28/19 30/12 44/19	33/3
ticket [1] 51/3 timely [1] 11/25	truth [2] 28/9 29/14	56/15 56/15 65/5 underneath [2] 60/6	values [4] 35/3 35/6 36/21 48/22
times [1] 61/6	try [3] 37/16 52/6	60/6	variance [5] 19/10
today [20] 3/14	52/7	understands [2]	20/25 21/1 21/4
3/25 4/12 4/18 7/16		57/20 57/24	32/19
	29/16 34/11 37/14 39/2 43/3 45/14	undoubtedly [2] 71/2 73/13	varied [2] 55/15 55/16
50/3 50/17 62/8	51/17 52/12 60/22	unduly [1] 49/14	various [5] 3/8
64/23 68/3 71/13	70/16 70/16 70/17	Unfortunately [1]	19/10 31/14 49/1
79/4 79/21 today's [1] 4/19	tunnel [30] 23/21 23/23 24/6 24/8	46/13	62/6 vary [5] 25/14 57/2
together [1] 71/22	24/10 24/13 24/22	1/11 2/3 2/10 2/11	73/1 74/1 74/2
told [4] 47/22	24/25 25/3 26/16	18/18 26/25 29/2	vastly [1] 23/19
58/16 68/17 68/25 tomorrow [1] 73/7	26/20 33/21 39/4 39/9 39/17 39/18	34/1 52/12 67/17 68/6 68/9 75/10	verdict [2] 11/11
took [5] 31/20 39/8	39/9 39/17 39/16 41/6 41/11 41/13	76/5 76/14 76/21	18/7 verification [1]
64/17 68/22 69/20	41/15 41/21 44/1	77/4 78/22	75/25
top [1] 59/25	45/13 51/21 51/22		victim [1] 75/11
total [5] 11/20 12/2 12/4 12/10	51/24 59/19 59/21 69/21 73/10	unlawfully [1] 74/20	victims [1] 73/5 video [11] 22/15
19/12	turned [4] 37/3	unleashed [2] 24/11	22/21 23/4 23/11
totally [1] 8/19		24/24	23/22 24/14 24/17
tough [2] 73/18 79/15	turning [3] 10/12 41/6 71/6	unleashing [2] 24/21 65/22	24/19 43/23 53/3 70/2
tourist [3] 8/5 8/6	TV [1] 49/3	unnecessary [4]	video that [1] 53/3
46/16	TVs [1] 25/23		videos [7] 3/10
towards [2] 9/20 46/9	Twenty [2] 28/1 28/4	18/9 unnerved [1] 73/13	33/20 43/22 48/12 48/16 62/20 68/2
track [1] 63/25		unparalleled [1]	view [4] 7/7 8/14
traditional [2]	28/4	48/9	16/6 17/11
35/3 48/22 tragic [1] 64/11	twice [3] 22/16 22/19 35/15	unprecedented [1] 25/21	viewed [1] 67/18 viewpoints [1] 62/6
traits [1] 36/14	 two [24] 2/21 10/12	unsavory [1] 46/4	views [2] 8/5 49/7
transcript [2] 1/10	11/21 11/24 19/21	untrue [1] 68/22	violation [4] 2/23
80/4 transfer [1] 67/21	20/3 20/21 23/25 24/2 28/1 28/4	unwarranted [5] 21/20 25/19 40/23	3/2 10/15 10/19 violence [7] 25/9
transition [1]	30/24 38/11 41/19	54/17 72/13	32/5 35/14 40/8
68/11	53/6 58/14 58/22		41/2 41/4 59/18
transparency [2] 32/23 34/22	66/4 70/14 74/2 74/10 74/12 74/24	19/16 22/3 23/9 23/13 24/1 24/15	45/3 60/16
transparent [2]	77/25	30/22 31/1 31/3	vote [4] 28/4 38/7
30/1 51/17	two-level [1] 11/24		69/4 69/5
trauma [1] 39/15 traumatized [1]	type [5] 36/8 38/16 40/20 55/20 67/22	38/9 42/16 43/23 44/17 44/23 45/11	votes [1] 14/23
73/10	types [2] 21/22	48/5 52/2 53/2 55/4	W
treat [1] 30/19 treated [1] 27/25	72/3	55/5 59/18 63/15	waives [2] 75/7 77/2
treating [2] 35/3	U	66/3 70/4 70/10 70/15 78/7	wall [2] 22/25
48/22	U.S [4] 1/14 1/23	lupbringing [1] 40/7	30/24
treatment [6] 16/17 16/19 58/12 58/19	67/4 67/24 U.S.C [13] 2/23 3/2	upon [6] 11/11 18/7 64/11 64/12 76/16	wandered [1] 34/15 wants [2] 15/16
76/23 76/25	5/6 10/15 10/19	76/24	36/7
treats [2] 36/7	19/15 19/19 21/7	lupward [1] 77/12	warehousing [1]
36/10 tribunal [1] 17/7	74/6 74/14 75/3 77/9 77/16	urges [1] 72/7 USA [4] 68/5 68/6	57/23 warranted [1] 43/10
tried [1] 42/15	ultimately [3]	68/13 68/13	washes [1] 25/2
tries [1] 41/7	37/14 37/24 41/20	use [5] 39/8 42/10	Washington [8] 1/5
trouble [6] 50/10 51/3 52/23 57/14	unable [1] 77/22 unanimously [1]	43/8 66/2 74/22 used [8] 23/7 23/8	1/18 1/25 40/25 66/14 75/14 76/13
57/18 57/19	54/4	23/13 41/22 43/14	77/5
troubling [5] 51/19	unavailable [1]	48/6 58/17 75/20	watched [7] 24/19
51/20 51/22 52/4 52/15	77/18 uncalled [1] 52/18	using [4] 3/2 3/20 34/18 44/21	25/23 25/24 33/20 41/5 43/22 70/2
Troy [1] 2/11	uncertainty [1]	34/16 44/21 usual [1] 63/12	watching [2] 49/15
true [4] 28/7 67/9	16/12	utterly [4] 7/23	59/20
	<u> </u>	l .	<u> </u>

W	wonder [2] 28/20	
water [1] 39/8 waving [1] 22/25	29/3 wonderful [1] 36/10 wondering [2] 7/21	
way [30] 8/7 16/11 16/18 17/2 17/15 19/25 26/2 27/8	51/10 wooden [1] 60/17 word [2] 52/19 68/6	
31/3 33/3 33/18 33/19 40/17 49/8 51/11 51/16 53/17	words [5] 17/16 29/17 37/2 50/3	
53/22 55/1 55/25 56/6 56/6 56/17	50/12 wore [2] 23/19 63/4 work [6] 20/1 33/1	
57/7 59/1 59/10 62/23 63/2 63/14 73/16	38/5 38/17 45/15 79/18 worked [3] 33/1	
ways [3] 7/11 36/9 59/4	38/11 50/9 worker [1] 50/20	
weak [1] 62/8 weapon [7] 3/2 42/10 43/9 43/12	workers [1] 39/16 working [5] 26/2 33/3 37/25 55/1	
43/12 43/15 43/16 weapons [2] 41/22	63/16 world [3] 25/22	
57/20 wear [1] 63/1 webster's [1] 16/15	40/12 67/18 worries [1] 22/17 worse [6] 41/22	
week [1] 31/7 weeks [4] 27/20	52/21 55/14 56/1 61/6 61/8	
31/21 38/11 47/23 weigh [1] 34/20 weighed [1] 72/10	wrap [1] 44/23 wrapped [1] 60/4 writing [1] 63/22	
weighs [5] 62/17 71/7 71/19 71/24	written [3] 54/3 58/5 75/25	
72/1 weight [1] 9/11 welcome [3] 2/13	wrong [6] 34/16 48/25 49/13 62/10 62/10 62/12	
3/21 65/15 well-written [1]	wrote [1] 58/4	
58/5 west [14] 23/16 23/17 23/20 24/6	yards [2] 23/3 66/1 year [18] 8/1 28/8	
24/12 24/25 25/3 26/16 26/20 31/1 39/9 41/11 45/13	28/8 28/9 28/12 28/22 29/12 40/12	
69/21 what's [2] 8/14	44/24 55/3 55/22 58/4 58/16 59/11 63/15 66/7 66/10	
10/8 wheezing [1] 70/3 whereas [1] 29/20	72/6 years [9] 10/16 19/16 19/18 19/20	
while it [1] 44/8 who's [1] 68/19	20/21 28/16 45/19 66/6 73/3	
whole [2] 57/7 57/7 whose [1] 27/15 wild [1] 63/1	yellow [1] 23/17 young [6] 27/15 29/3 32/16 36/12	
<pre>willing [5] 38/17 65/14 68/19 68/21</pre>	56/10 73/19 younger [2] 30/2	
68/23 Wilson [3] 13/12 43/19 43/19	30/15	
wish [2] 79/16 79/23		
within [10] 17/12 17/22 18/13 45/25 74/23 75/23 76/8		
76/16 77/6 77/14 without [9] 12/5 24/20 40/13 41/15		
57/23 58/24 63/7 76/7 77/23		
woman [2] 45/15 63/19		