1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
2 3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal Action	
5	Plaintiff, No. 1:21-cr-0239	
6	vs. Washington, DC December 10, 2021	
7 8	NICHOLAS BURTON REIMLER, 2:06 p.m. Defendant. /	
9 10	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING BY VIDEO (ZOOM) BEFORE THE HONORABLE RANDOLPH D. MOSS	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	APPEARANCES:	
13 14 15	For the Government: U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia 555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530	
16 17 18 19	For the Defendant: ETHAN CORLIJA Ethan B. Corlija, P.C. 5205 Hampton Avenue St. Louis, MO 63109	
20 21 22		
23 24	Court Reporter: JEFF M. HOOK Official Court Reporter U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Room 4700-C	
25	Washington, DC 20001	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

DEPUTY CLERK: This is criminal action 21-239, the United States of America v. Nicholas Burton Reimler. The defendant is appearing by video. Also by video for the Government, Janani Iyengar; for defendant, Ethan Corlija; and from probation, Hana Field.

THE COURT: Well, thank you all. We're here today for the sentencing of the defendant Nicholas Reimler who has pleaded guilty to one count of parading, demonstrating or picketing in a Capitol building in violation of 40 U.S.C. section 5104(e)(2)(G). At the beginning, let me note it's not permissible to record or rebroadcast today's proceedings, and I will order that nobody do so. Also, I want to address at the outset whether we should be doing this by videoconference or in-person. I understand that Mr. Reimler is in Missouri, but I want to make sure that the parties agree that it is appropriate for us to proceed by videoconference today, and that there's an appropriate basis to do so. In a felony case, I would need to make a specific finding that the proceedings cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. I'm not quite certain whether I need to do that in a misdemeanor case, but I guess I'd like to at least address that question as well.

So maybe it makes sense for me to start with

Mr. Corlija.

MR. CORLIJA: Yes, Your Honor. I've discussed the issue with Mr. Reimler, and he does consent to conducting these proceedings by video today.

THE COURT: Okay. And what are your views about how the interests of justice plays into doing it today rather than waiting until the pandemic has subsided?

MR. CORLIJA: Your Honor, that is another discussion that Mr. Reimler and I have had, and he feels -- and I concur in his feeling, that he is ready to proceed.

And we feel that the interests of justice would be better served to proceed today than to delay the proceedings further.

THE COURT: Ms. Iyengar.

MR. CORLIJA: Yes, Your Honor, I agree. Just given where Mr. Reimler is physically located, the nature of the case and the seemingly unending pandemic that we're in, I think it makes sense for us to proceed today instead of waiting for an opportunity to have this in-person.

THE COURT: Thank you. I agree that it is appropriate for us to proceed today. And assuming that I do need to make the finding that further delay would cause serious harm to the interests of justice, I conclude that I can make finding here. I think it's important, given the nature of the charges, and quite frankly given Mr. Reimler's

contrition and desire to move on with his life, that the interests of justice are served by allowing him to do that and resolving matters today so that he can move forward with his life. So I do conclude that it is appropriate, with his consent, for us to proceed today by videoconference.

So I have received and reviewed the presentence report and sentencing recommendation from the probation office along with the sentencing memoranda, as well as the letters that were sent -- submitted on Mr. Reimler's behalf.

Mr. Corlija, is there anything else that you request that I consider today, any other materials?

MR. CORLIJA: There are no written materials, Your Honor. I have a few brief remarks I would like to make on Mr. Reimler's behalf, if permissible. But I feel that everything that I was able to convey to the Court on behalf of Mr. Reimler is fully and accurately conveyed in the sentencing memorandum that I submitted.

THE COURT: Well, you of course are welcome to make -- at an appropriate time to make your remarks however you think appropriate. I'm also happy to hear from Mr. Reimler and anyone else that he'd like me to hear from today.

Ms. Iyengar, anything else the Government would request that I consider today?

MS. IYENGAR: No, Your Honor, not other than the

written filings that have already been submitted. And I would also ask for the opportunity to make oral argument as well.

THE COURT: Of course. So Mr. Reimler, today's proceeding is going to involve four steps. I know you're probably anxious to get to the bottom line, but it's important that we go through these steps just so I make sure that we're all on the same page factually and legally before I impose sentence. And if there are any differences, to give me the opportunity to resolve those before we actually reach the sentencing stage itself.

The first step is for me to determine whether you've reviewed the presentence report, and whether there are any outstanding objections that I need to resolve. And then I want to hear from the Government, from your counsel, from you, if you'd like to be heard, and anyone else. And then as the final step, I need to fashion a just and fair sentence that's consistent with the factors that Congress has specified in the statute which is at 18 U.S.C. section 3553(a). And as part of that final step, I'll impose the sentence.

So let's start with the presentence report. The final presentence report and sentencing recommendation were filed in this matter on December 3rd, 20212.

Does the Government have any objections,

Ms. Iyengar, to any of the factual materials set forth in the presentence report?

MS. IYENGAR: No, Your Honor, the Government doesn't have any objections.

THE COURT: And Mr. Corlija, any objections from the defense to any of the factual materials set forth in the presentence report?

MR. CORLIJA: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Reimler, are you fully satisfied with the assistance of your lawyer in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you feel as though you've had enough time to talk to him about the probation office's presentence report, to review that report and to raise any concerns that you might have with respect to the material in that report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we've had enough time to discuss it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, the Court will then accept the facts as stated in the presentence report as my findings of fact for purposes of today's sentencing. Because

Mr. Reimler has pleaded guilty to a class B misdemeanor, the United States Sentencing Commission guidelines don't apply in this case and so we don't need to go through the process of calculating the guidelines sentence.

The probation office, as you all know, has made a recommendation with respect to the sentence to impose in this case. The probation office has recommended a sentence of 24 months of probation, a fine of \$3,000, restitution in the amount of \$500 and a special assessment of \$10. And the probation office has not recommended any period of incarceration or supervised release in light of that recommendation. The statute which Mr. Reimler has pleaded guilty to carries a maximum sentence of six months imprisonment and/or a fine of \$5,000, and I believe that a period of up to five years of probation is permissible as well.

Mr. Corlija, anything else you would add or clarify with respect to the statutory considerations with respect to sentencing?

MR. CORLIJA: Your Honor, those are -- what the Court had mentioned are exactly the statutory parameters that I discussed with Mr. Reimler, and he is aware of the range of punishment by statute.

THE COURT: And Ms. Iyengar, anything else to clarify or to add?

MS. IYENGAR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I now need to consider the relevant factors that Congress has specified in 18 U.S.C. section 3553(a), and must ensure that the Court imposes a sentence

that's sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of sentencing. Those purposes include the need for the sentence imposed, to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law and to provide just punishment for the offense. The sentence should also afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from future crimes of the defendant, and promote rehabilitation. In addition to those factors, the Court needs to consider the nature and circumstances of the offense; the history and characteristics of the defendant; the need for the sentence imposed; the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct; and the types of sentences available.

Ms. Iyengar, would the Government like to be heard with respect to the 3553(a) factors?

MS. IYENGAR: Yes, Your Honor. I'm not going to sort of rehash all of the arguments that we made in our sentencing memorandum with respect to the application of the factors. I just wanted to sort of go through and highlight some of what we believe are the aggravating factors and some of the mitigating factors in Mr. Reimler's case.

I think in terms of the aggravating factors, the first one that I would just point the Court to is that while the defendant was inside the Capitol building, he was

posting on social media about being in the Capitol building. And the caption that he placed on the video that he posted to Snapchat does appear to make light of what the situation was in terms of the breach of the building. I know in the defendant's papers that he submitted, he says that he was just expressing his astonishment. But the text of the caption indicates that this was beyond just expressing -- an expression of astonishment, he really thought that this was a funny event and he was trying to make light of it.

The second thing that I would point the Court to is on actually page nine of the defendant's sentencing memorandum. He indicates that prior to entering the Capitol building, the defendant had actually witnessed individuals force entry into the building. And in spite of realizing that this was a violent breach of the building -- it wasn't just people sort of being able to move in and out of the building at will without any police stopping them from doing that, knowing that it was a violent breach of the building, he still made the choice to enter the building and remain in the building for several minutes taking pictures, taking videos and posting things to social media.

In terms of the mitigating factors, as the Government stated in its memorandum -- and I think this was highlighted in the defendant's sentencing memorandum, obviously the defendant did not himself engage in any acts

of violence, in any destruction of property. He did take very early on responsibility for his conduct, and he has complied with all of the conditions of his pretrial release.

so we believe that the sentence that we're requesting here, which is three years of probation, two months of home confinement, \$500 in restitution and 60 hours of community service is in line with the defendant's conduct, his history and the -- his characteristics at the time that he came before the Court. And it's also in line with sentences that we have asked for in other cases that are similar to Mr. Reimler's case.

So that's the reason --

THE COURT: I had a question about that, Ms. Iyengar.

MS. IYENGAR: Sure.

THE COURT: Which is when you just described what the Government is seeking, you said the Government is seeking a sentence of home confinement. In the Government's sentencing memorandum, it says it's seeking a sentence of home detention. And although I suspect the public does not recognize a distinction between those two things, they are different in a way that I think makes a substantial difference to the arguments that Mr. Reimler has made. Because home detention does allow him to leave to go to work, to go to medical appointments, to go to religious

services and things of that nature. Whereas home confinement is more in the nature of a prison term which is served in one's home and where you cannot leave the home.

MS. IYENGAR: Yes, your Honor. And I misspoke, I did mean home detention and not home confinement, I'm sorry about that.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Anything else?

MS. IYENGAR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Corlija.

MR. CORLIJA: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, Madam Prosecutor, I spent a considerable amount of time last night deciding how I was going to make remarks on Mr. Reimler's behalf, and the things I wanted to make known to the Court that were not already made known in the memorandum which I submitted. It became apparent to me that it, in fact, did cover all of the bases that Mr. Reimler and I discussed and that Ms. Iyengar and I discussed.

But there are a few remarks I would like to make,

Judge. Mainly, I think -- when I thought about this, Judge,

it became apparent to me that so many times the Court is

called upon to hold to account criminal actors that intended

to do what they have done or acted illegally with

deliberation. Individuals that have calculated their course

of action, such as conspirators, drug traffickers,

fraudsters, embezzlers, to name only a few, Judge, are constantly being held to account for their actions.

I would submit that Mr. Reimler is not a person that engaged in the despicable riot of January 6th at our nation's Capitol out of a considered desire to participate in acts of violence, harm and destruction. Nicholas went to Washington, D.C. on January 6th, Your Honor, to take part in what he thought would be an exercise in a free, permissible and peaceful process to voice his support for the democratic principle. The event obviously deteriorated into chaos.

This is where accountability for Nicholas begins, precisely during the irrational actions of a mob. Nicholas made poor choices, Your Honor, that day that cannot be taken back. He followed, he entered, he posted a message on social media about what he could not believe was happening in the building. Yet he was a part of it. These decisions, of course, will haunt Mr. Reimler for the rest of his life.

Fortunately, in the middle of all of this damage, Judge, taking place in one of our nation's most sacred buildings, the better angels of Nicholas' nature were present. Despite having opportunities to assault, Nicholas did not. Despite having opportunities to damage, Nicholas did not. Despite having opportunities to accost, threaten, intimidate, fight and harm, Nicholas did not. I believe that this is the reflective, deliberate Nicholas Reimler

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that exists among us, not a violent, disrespectful or intolerant human being, Judge; but someone that does not possess those deleterious qualities. He is not a threat to the safety of society.

Your Honor, the Government made a few points, and mainly they centered on the fact that they believe Nicholas should be held more accountable or should serve a term of home detention based on the fact that he entered the Capitol, made a social media post, and should therefore be more accountable because of the nature of the post. Nicholas is aware, Judge, that sheer numbers and people are essential ingredients for a mob and riot. He's willing to accept that, and he has accepted that early on. That is inescapable. But there are degrees of culpability, and there are distinctions, many in this case, that set Nicholas apart from those committed or intended to be committed by other actors. I submit to sentence Nicholas, Judge, to a period of home detention or a lengthy period of probation would be overly punitive. I'd ask the Court to impose a sentence, Your Honor, in this case that is consistent with the one proposed by the United States Office of Probation and not the United States Attorney's Office.

I believe Ms. Iyengar made the Court aware of the fact that Mr. Reimler posted a message on his social media account that could be viewed as making a laughing matter out

of the riot. I strongly disagree with that. Knowing Nicholas, speaking to Nicholas about that particular issue, I wholeheartedly believe, Your Honor, that Nicholas made that post in the chaos of that moment and was rather astonished at what he was seeing around him. When you look at that post, it's three words: What is going on. Of course, there is an LOL which we all know in social media language stands for laugh out loud. But I would propose and submit, Judge, that's more of a nervous laugh than it is a laugh about the destruction of our nation's Capitol. I just do not believe that Nicholas would be capable of posting such a callous remark to his social media account.

As such, Judge, I would request that the Court strongly consider the recommended sentence by the United States Office of Probation; that being a two-year term of probation with a monetary fine, payment of the restitution, any other conditions or requirements that the Court would deem just and proper, but not to include home detention. I believe, Your Honor, that such a sentence would serve to fulfill the goals of community safety, deterrence, punishment. Those are the factors that the Court should consider in evaluating Mr. Reimler's case under section 3553.

In the end, Your Honor, I wished to provide the Court with a very accurate picture of Mr. Reimler, his

conduct, his character, his peaceful demeanor, his peaceful existence in the sentencing memorandum that I've filed with the Court. I hope I was successful in that endeavor. And I would just conclude, Your Honor, by asking that the Court's sentence reflect those factors accordingly, and that he be sentenced consistent with the recommendation made by the United States Office of Probation.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Would Mr. Reimler like to be heard as well?

MR. CORLIJA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Reimler.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, good afternoon. I am here today to take responsibility for my actions on January 6th, and to express my remorse to those affected by the events of that day. The violence, destruction and loss of life at the Capitol building in Washington, D.C. should have never happened. I want to say I'm sincerely sorry for being in and around the Capitol building that day. I'm sorry to the United States Capitol Police Officers who should have never had to defend lawmakers and their staff in the fashion they had to. I'm sorry to the 100 plus police officers who were injured, and to the families of the numerous officers who tragically passed away stemming from the horrendous events of that day. And I'm sorry to the people of this country for threatening the democracy that

makes this country so great. Nothing that happened that day can be taken back, and for that I'm wholeheartedly remorseful for my actions.

I did not go to Washington, D.C. with malice on my mind or intent to do ill-will to any persons or property. I simply went to show my support. Not for a particular person or political party, but to support other Americans and the rights in the democracy we live in. My actions that day were far from exercising my constitutional rights. I should have never participated in the Capitol breach. No one should have participated in the Capitol breach that day. You cannot expect to live in a free society and also unlawfully hinder a constitutional duty given to our government. That is not how a democracy is supposed to work.

Participation in American society requires cooperation of the governed. I understand and accept that my actions contributed and justified to a larger crowd that unlawful acts of violence and destruction of government property were the appropriate thing to do. My participation in the events that day were part of an attack on the rule of law. It crippled the trust between the people of differing political parties, and eroded the fabric of American society. No police officer should have to defend, yet alone lose their life defending, against a mob that tries to

thwart the transition of power to the next president.

These actions I'm charged with do not convey who I am or what I believe in. Now, and in the future, I will continue to be a contributing person in society, and will respect the rule of law. I understand the seriousness of my actions, accept responsibility for my actions and the consequences that come along with it. I vow to become a better, more compassionate and upstanding citizen from this. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Corlija, anything else you want to add or anyone else you want me to hear from?

MR. CORLIJA: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me ask Mr. Reimler one question, which is -- and I think I know the answer to this question based on your remarks, but do you fully associate with everything that's contained in the memorandum that your lawyer filed with the Court? Do you know what I'm talking about? I assume you reviewed the sentencing memorandum he filed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And does that memorandum express your sentiments?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, it does. I reviewed it, and I agreed to everything that was contained within.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Ms. Iyengar, anything else you want to add?

MS. IYENGAR: No, Your Honor, nothing else from the Government.

THE COURT: Well, thank you. So the reason that I asked you that question, Mr. Reimler, was I was, I have to say, thoroughly impressed with the memorandum that your lawyer filed in this case.

MR. CORLIJA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I was thoroughly impressed with the comments that you just made, because I do think that they do an excellent job of conveying the gravity of the events that occurred.

I have assessed the particular facts in light of the 3553(a) factors, and the first of those factors that I need to consider is the nature of the offense. And I just want to take a second to read some of what your lawyer said, which echoes I think what you've just said to me, in describing the nature of the events and the nature of what occurred that day. And this is not what the Government is saying in this case, this is what you're saying to the Court in this case:

"It cannot be understated that the events which took place at the United States Capitol on January 6th, 2021 were despicable acts of violence

that served to undermine the electoral process, a fundamental bedrock of democracy, a principle on which the United States of America was founded.

Some of the acts which took place during the riot at the United States Capitol on January 6th, 2021 led to serious injury or death to others. The United States Capitol Police, and other law enforcement officers, valiantly defended one of the nation's most sacred buildings against an attack, which was made even more abhorrent given that it was undertaken by United States citizens or individuals residing in the country's borders.

"From the outset, Mr. Reimler was shocked that a political rally descended into a violent confrontation inside and on the front steps of the United States Capitol building. Reimler witnessed individuals force entry into the building and attempt to disrupt a normal and necessary function of government performed by duly-elected representatives. It is important to note that Reimler himself never took part in any act that would have caused intimidation, fear or harm to anyone. He never engaged in conduct which was destructive in any form.

"Reimler did not take issue with" -- "does

not take issue with the fact that he was a person in the crowd that was not lawfully authorized to enter or remain in the Capitol building; and that prior to his entry, no members of the crowd submitted to security screening or weapons checks by the United States Government" -- "by the United States Capitol Police Officers or other authorized security officials. In the moment of chaos, Reimler followed along with others that entered the building."

To my mind, that does an excellent job of describing how truly abhorrent the events of that day were. The memorandum also says:

"A crowd of enormous size gathered outside the building. Temporary and permanent barricades were in place around the exterior of the Capitol building. United States Capitol Police and law enforcement were present, and desperately attempted to keep the crowd away from the Capitol. The crowd, in a mass frenzy, advanced to the exterior facade of the building."

And then it continues: "The gravity of the events of January 6th, 2021 cannot be diminished. The country suffered an indelible scar, and the event revealed the larger problem of a potentially

violent political rift among fellow countrymen.

The division may be accurately categorized as a societal ill once it rose to the level of violence and destruction as seen on January 6th, 2021.

Mr. Reimler only requests that the Court weigh the seriousness of his personal conduct on January 6th rather than the collective mayhem that occurred."

As I have said previously, the events of that day were abhorrent; not just to the rule of law, but to the fundamental nature of what this country stands for; to the notion that goes back to our founding of the peaceful transition of power. And there were people there that day who did not believe in democracy and sought to undermine democracy; did not believe in the electoral process and sought to interfere and to undermine the electoral process. To my mind, there are few offenses that are more serious than to attack the democracy on which -- the democratic principles upon which this country was founded. So that is, of course, the backdrop.

I also, though, take seriously Mr. Reimler's assertion through his lawyer that I need to consider his role on that day. And that takes me -- well, before I move to the nature of the character of the offender, let me say something about Mr. Reimler's role that day. His role in that day was clearly less severe than many. He did not

engage in any violence. He did not engage in any destruction of property. He did not make his way to the chamber of either house of Congress. He did not engage in any combative conduct with law enforcement. And thus, on the scale of things, his conduct is on the low end of the scale of the events that occurred that day. And he's charged appropriately in that respect, and his plea is consistent with that.

Had Mr. Reimler engaged in any violent conduct, had he engaged in conduct which demonstrated that he was there to actually disrupt the electoral process itself, he would be facing much more serious charges. And if he pled guilty or was convicted of those charges, he would be facing a lengthy prison term. So I think that the fact that Mr. Reimler has pled guilty to a misdemeanor is consistent with all of that.

With respect to the character of the offender, which is something else I need to consider, I have to say I am impressed by what Mr. Reimler had to say here today.

I've been impressed by his demeanor. I'm impressed by his contrition, which I think is truly heartfelt. Judges all the time are used to having people, when it comes time for sentencing, tell them how remorseful they are and how sorry they are. I truly believe it in Mr. Reimler's case. He's given me no reason to think otherwise. He's not one of

these individuals who, after the events, was posting things on social media crowing about what happened on the Capitol.

And he's given me no reason to doubt in any way that his presence there is among the greater regrets in his life, and I take that seriously.

I also was impressed by the letters that were submitted on his behalf. He has no criminal record to speak of. He has an arrest from his college days, but not a conviction. Otherwise, he appears to be somebody who has lived, and is continuing to live, the type of life that we would expect and hope for. He's a productive member of society; he works very hard. His employer is impressed with him. His family and fiance and friends think highly of him. So I think he has demonstrated that, and the Court has no question about his character.

With respect to the types of sentences available here, the Government has requested that I impose a sentence of two months of home detention as part of a probationary term of three years, along with 60 hours of community service and \$500 in restitution. The probation office has recommended a period of two years probation, a \$3,000 fine and a \$500 payment for restitution. As I've indicated, the guidelines don't apply in this case, and so I don't have a guidelines sentence to draw on.

But that leads me to the final factor in all of

this, which is -- maybe not the final, there are all sorts of factors that go into sentencing, but the final significant one from my perspective, and that is the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparity. The Government submitted along with its memorandum what I thought was a very helpful chart that listed sentences that judges have imposed in other cases. And I have to say, going through that -- and I went back and actually in a number of those cases pulled the sentencing memoranda to actually find out what actually happened in each of those cases, and to do my best to try and make sure that what I'm doing fits with what my colleagues have been doing.

And one thing I will say is I was impressed upon looking at this at the extent to which my colleagues have engaged in sentencing which has been consistent across cases; and where I'm able to, going back and looking carefully at what happened in each of these cases, discern some of the differences. I went back and I did as the Government requested and looked at the Bustle case where Judge Hogan imposed a sentence of 60 days of home detention on Jessica Bustle. I also looked at the sentence that Judge Friedrich imposed in the Dillon case where she imposed a sentence of 60 days home detention. I have to say, I thought that at least the rhetoric in the Dillon case was more severe than anything that was at issue here.

I was really interested in looking at this, though, at some of the lines that Judge Nichols drew in the Gallagher and Sweet and Fitchett cases which were all before him in a single matter. And there, Judge Nichols imposed a period of 24 months of probation, 60 hours of community service and a \$500 restitution payment on Thomas Gallagher. But he imposed periods of one month home detention, 36 months of probation and 60 hours of community service on Cindy Fitchett and Douglas Sweet. I went back and I looked at the sentencing memoranda there, and Judge Nichols, I think from what I concluded, that in the case of Thomas Gallagher a lower sentence was imposed as I think it was argued to him that there was some mitigation there because Mr. Gallagher actually engaged in efforts to try and stem the violence that occurred there.

I think that the conduct involving Cindy Fitchett is to my mind a little bit more severe than is at issue in this case. But this case strikes me as pretty close to that dealing with Douglas Sweet where Judge Nichols imposed a sentence of one month home detention, 36 months probation, 60 hours community service and \$500 restitution. I think that, in candor, there may be a slight difference between this case and that case, and it's impossible to -- every case is slightly different, and judges do their best to try and find consistency; but at the same time, recognize that

every single case is different.

What I'm going to do -- and I'll set this forth in greater detail in just a moment, is I am going to impose a sentence of one month of home detention with 36 months of probation, 60 hours of community service and \$500 restitution, like Judge Nichols did in the Sweet case. But I want to stress that if this were home incarceration, I would not do it. Because the last thing I want to do is interfere with Mr. Reimler's ability to work and to perform his community service. He's been very successful in his job, and I don't want to do anything that would interfere with that.

And I have to say, in imposing a sentence -- just to finish my thoughts and then I'll actually impose the sentence, I really, as I sit here, do not -- I do not come away from this thinking that Mr. Reimler is a bad guy; that he is anybody who showed up that day with the intention to contribute to the horrible events that occurred that day. But there are crimes that occur where people, without deliberation, find themselves in situations where good people are contributing to bad events. There are consequences when that happens here.

Mr. Reimler did know at the time that he entered the Capitol that there were people who were breaking into the building, and didn't have any reason to think this was a

peaceful assembly or these were merely tourists entering.

It may have been getting momentarily carried away with the events of the day; not being a bad person, finding himself briefly inside the Capitol when he knew he shouldn't have been there, and quickly leaving without causing further harm. But as he and his lawyer have acknowledged, his mere presence did contribute to what happened there.

There may be leaders of a mob and people who engage in violence in a mob that is particularly destructive, but they need people there. And it's the size of the mob itself that contributes to the horrendous consequences that can follow. I think in Mr. Reimler's case, he was somebody who was not a bad person, who didn't come to Washington with bad intentions. He had a momentary lapse in judgment that led him into the Capitol in a way in which, just through his presence, he did contribute in some marginal way to the horrendous events that occurred that day. And quite frankly, I think the sentence that I'm imposing here is not a particularly severe one; and that the misdemeanor charge is not a particular severe one; and that the Reimler will be able to move on with his life; and that this appropriately strikes the balance.

So it is the judgment of the Court that you,
Nicholas Reimler, are hereby sentenced to a term of 36
months of probation on count three. In addition, you are

ordered to pay a special assessment of \$10 in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 3013. While on supervision, you shall abide by the following mandatory conditions as well as the standard conditions of supervision, which are imposed to establish the basic expectations of your conduct while on supervision.

The mandatory conditions include you must not commit another federal, state or local crime. You must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. You must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. You must submit to one drug test within 15 days of placement on supervision, and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the Court.

The Court authorizes supervision and jurisdiction of this case to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

You are ordered to make restitution to the

Architect of The Capitol in the amount of \$500. The Court
has determined that you do not have the ability to pay
interest, and therefore waives any interest or penalties
that may accrue on the balance. Restitution payments shall
be made to the Clerk of the Court for the United States
District Court for the District of Columbia, with
disbursement as follows: The victim named Architect of The
Capitol, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Attention

Kathy Sherrill, CPA, Ford House Office Building, Room H2-205B, Washington, D.C. 20515. And the loss amount is \$500.

You shall comply with the following special conditions: Restitution obligation. You must pay the balance of any restitution owed at a rate of not less than \$100 each month. If the judgment imposes a financial penalty, you must pay the financial penalty in accordance with the schedule of payments sheet of the judgment. You must also notify the Court of any change in economic circumstances that might affect the ability to pay this financial penalty.

Financial payment schedule. Having assessed the defendant's ability to pay -- actually, strike that, I don't think that's applicable here.

Financial information disclosure. You must provide the probation office access to any requested financial information, and authorize the release of any financial information. The probation office may share financial information with the United States Attorney's Office.

Social media restriction. You shall not access, view or use any online social media, chat service, blogs, instant messages, SMS, MMS, digital photos/video sharing websites or any other interactive online or electronic

communications application or sites without the probation -the approval of the probation officer. You'll notice that I
dropped from that list e-mails. I think it's appropriate
that Mr. Reimler can use e-mails, both for his business, but
also I think frankly for his personal purposes here. And I
entered the social media restriction, my understanding is,
without objection from the defendant. The probation officer
will have the authority to modify that restriction as
appropriate.

With respect to home detention, location monitoring: You'll participate in a location monitoring program for a period of 30 days, and shall abide by all technology requirements as determined by the probation office. You may be required to maintain a telephone in your place of residence without any service that would interfere with the operation of the location monitoring equipment for the above period at the discretion of the probation officer, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. You may be required to wear a location monitoring device that may include global positioning system and/or random tracking. You must follow the location monitoring procedures specified by the probation office.

You are restricted to your residence at all times except for employment, education, religious services, medical, substance abuse or mental health treatment,

attorney visits, court appearances, court-ordered obligations or other activities as approved by the officer -- and that's home detention.

And Mr. Reimler, if you need to assist your parents -- where I understand there could be some health issues, you can ask the probation officer for approval if you need to go over there and help them with some task that they can't perform.

I'm also going to -- even though this is a little bit at odds with what I just said, the mandatory drug testing condition is suspended based on the Court's determination that you pose a low risk of future substance abuse, and so I will waive that requirement.

You must submit your person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers and computers as defined in 18 U.S.C. section 1031, other electronic communications or data storage devices or media or office to search conducted by the United States Probation Officer. You must warn any other occupants that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition. The probation officer, however, may conduct a search under this condition only when reasonable suspicion exists that you have violated a condition of supervision, and that the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation.

The financial obligations are immediately payable

to the Clerk of the United States Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C.

20001. Within 30 days of any change of address, you shall notify the Clerk of the Court of the change until such time as the financial obligation is paid in full. The probation office shall release the presentence investigation report to all appropriate agencies, which includes the United States Probation Office in the approved district of residence, in order to effect the sentence of the Court. Treatment agencies shall return the presentence report to the probation office upon the defendant's completion or termination from treatment.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 3742, you have a right to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court if the sentence is longer than the statutory maximum or the sentence departs upward from the applicable guidelines range. If you choose to appeal, you must file an appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment. You also have the right to appeal your sentence -- your conviction to the extent consistent with your plea agreement. As defined in 28 U.S.C. section 2255, you also have a right to challenge your conviction entered or your sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or on a claim that you received ineffective assistance of counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the

1 offense of conviction or in connection with sentencing. 2 you're unable to afford the cost of an appeal, you may 3 request permission from the Court to file an appeal without 4 costs. 5 Let me ask, pursuant to the D.C. Circuit's decision in United States v. Hunter, Ms. Iyengar, are there 6 7 any objections the Government has to the sentence imposed that have not already been noted for the record? 8 9 MS. IYENGAR: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Corlija, is there anything else --10 11 any objections that you have not noted for the record? 12 MR. CORLIJA: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Well, that concludes the sentencing in 14 this case. Kristin -- I see the Deputy Clerk has something 15 to ask or raise. I'm sorry, we can't hear you, Kristin. 16 You can text me if you want to raise something, just let me 17 know. I still can't hear you. Give me one second here, I'm just going to --18 19 **PROBATION OFFICER FIELD:** Your Honor? 20 THE COURT: Yes. PROBATION OFFICER FIELD: I apologize, I have a 21 22 couple of questions on behalf of probation, if the Court 23 would take those questions at this moment? 24 **THE COURT:** Of course, please. 25 PROBATION OFFICER FIELD: The location monitoring,

1 is the cost to the defendant going to be waived or is the 2 Court ordering him to pay the cost of any equipment? THE COURT: I will waive that. And actually, now 3 that you mention it, too, the other thing that I didn't read 4 into my judgment was the 60 hours of community service, too. 5 PROBATION OFFICER FIELD: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 Would the Judge like to impose a timeframe that the -- that Mr. Reimler has to complete the community service hours? 8 9 THE COURT: What's your recommendation for that? PROBATION OFFICER FIELD: If the Court is 10 11 sentencing him to 36 months probation, we would request that 12 he complete those hours within 30 months so that they're 13 completed well before probation expires so that there's no 14 concerns. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Corlija, any objection to that? 16 MR. CORLIJA: No objection, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Then I will order that the 60 hours of community service be completed within 30 months. 18 PROBATION OFFICER FIELD: And just two last 19 questions, Your Honor. I didn't hear if the Court ordered a 20 21 fine in this case? 22 THE COURT: No, I did not order the imposition of 23 a fine, no. PROBATION OFFICER FIELD: Okay. And then Your 24 25 Honor mentioned that jurisdiction was to be transferred to

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

25

the Eastern District of Missouri. Would the Court -- does the Court want me to provide the transfer of jurisdiction paperwork to your CRD, would that be the best way to get that for your signature? THE COURT: That's perfect, thank you. PROBATION OFFICER FIELD: Okay. Thank you very 7 much, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, thank you for your questions, 8 they were all helpful. Give me a moment here, I'm going to put you all on mute just for a second while I talk to the 11 Deputy Clerk. 12 (Discussion off the record) 13 THE COURT: So the Deputy Clerk reminded me that I 14 think we need a motion from the Government with respect to 15 the remaining counts. 16 MS. IYENGAR: Yes, Your Honor, I'm sorry. 17 Government would be moving to dismiss all of the remaining 18 counts except for I believe count three of the information, which the defendant pled guilty to. 19 THE COURT: Without objection, the remaining 20 21 counts, which are counts one and two, are dismissed. 22 Anything further, Ms. Iyengar? 23 MS. IYENGAR: Nothing further from the Government, Your Honor. 24

THE COURT: Mr. Corlija?

MR. CORLIJA: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Well, Mr. Reimler, I wish you well. I know this is an unpleasant aspect in your life, but I wish you well in moving on past this. I'm confident that you will move past this, and you will have a productive life in which this is just a small blip. So good luck to you. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (Proceedings adjourned at 2:58 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Jeff Hook, Official Court Reporter, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the remotely reported proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

PLEASE NOTE: This hearing occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic and is therefore subject to the technological limitations of court reporting remotely.

January 10, 2022

DATE

Jeff M. Hook

	00400 F47 4 /40	CC - F47 - 22 /44	1 507 44/5
 \$	[63109 [1] 1/18	affect [1] 29/11	around [3] 14/5
14	」6th [8]	affected [1] 15/14	15/18 20/16
\$10 [2] 7/5 28/1	15/14 18/25 19/5	afford [2] 8/6 33/2	arrest [1] 23/8
1210 [5] 1/2 20/1	13/14 10/23 19/3	alioru [2] 0/0 33/2	arrest [1] 23/6
 \$100 [1] 29/7	20/23 21/4 21/6	afternoon [1] 15/12	aspect [1] 36/3
 \$3,000 [2] 7/4		against [2] 16/25	assault [1] 12/21
23/21	IA .	19/9	12550mhly [1] 12/21
			assembly [1] 27/1
 \$5,000 [1] 7/10	abhorrent [3] 19/10	agencies [2] 32/7	assertion [1] 21/21
 \$500 [9] 7/5 10/6	20/12 21/9	32/10	assessed [2] 18/14
23/20 23/22 25/6	abide [2] 28/3	aggravating [2]	29/13
	20/12		
25/21 26/5 28/18	30/12	8/21 8/23	assessment [2] 7/5
29/3	ability [4] 26/9	agree [3] 2/17 3/15	28/1
	28/19 29/11 29/14	3/20	assist [1] 31/4
10	1-67-5 23/11 23/11		
0000 547 4 /4	able [4] 4/15 9/16	agreed [1] 17/24	assistance [2] 6/10
0239 [1] 1/4	24/16 27/21	agreement [1] 32/20	32/25
1	above [2] 30/17	allow [1] 10/24	associate [1] 17/15
1	37/5	allowing [1] 4/2	assume [1] 17/18
10 [1] 1/6			
10 [1] 1/6	above-entitled [1]	alone [1] 16/24	assuming [1] 3/21
100 [1] 15/21	37/5	along [5] 4/8 17/7	astonished [1] 14/5
1031 [1] 31/16	abuse [2] 30/25	20/9 23/19 24/5	astonishment [2]
14 [1] 32/18			
14 [1] 32/18	31/13	although [1] 10/20	9/6 9/8
15 [1] 28/11	accept [4] 6/19	AMERICA [3] 1/3 2/3	attack [3] 16/21
18 [5] 5/19 7/24	13/13 16/17 17/6	19/3	19/10 21/17
28/2 31/15 32/13			
10 [1] 27/0	accepted [1] 13/13	American [2] 16/16	attempt [1] 19/18
19 [1] 37/8	access [2] 29/17	16/23	attempted [1] 20/19
1:21-cr-0239 [1]	29/22	Americans [1] 16/7	Attention [1] 28/25
1/4			
		among [4] 8/12 13/1	attorney [1] 31/1
12	29/8	21/1 23/4	Attorney's [3] 1/13
	accordingly [1]	amount [4] 7/5	13/22 29/20
20001 [2] 1/25 32/3	15/5	11/12 28/18 29/2	authority [1] 30/8
2021 [5] 1/6 18/25			
10/5 20/22 21/4	accost [1] 12/23	and/or [2] 7/10	 authorize [1] 29/18
19/5 20/23 21/4	account [4] 11/22	30/20	authorized [2] 20/2
20212 [1] 5/24	12/2 13/25 14/12	angels [1] 12/20	20/7
20515 [1] 29/2			
	accountability [1]	anxious [1] 5/6	authorizes [1]
20530 [1] 1/15	12/11	apart [1] 13/16	28/14
205B [1] 29/2	accountable [2]		available [3] 8/14
21-239 [1] 2/2	13/7 13/10	apparent [2] 11/15	23/16 32/24
2255 [1] 32/21			
	accrue [1] 28/21	11/21	Avenue [3] 1/17
239 [1] 2/2	accurate [1] 14/25	appeal [6] 32/14	1/24 32/2
24 [2] 7/4 25/5	accurately [2] 4/16	32/17 32/17 32/19	avoid [2] 8/11 24/4
[28 [1] 32/21	1 21 /2		avoid [2] 0/11 24/4
	21/2	33/2 33/3	aware [3] 7/18
2:06 [1] 1/7	acknowledged [1]	appear [1] 9/3	13/11 13/23
2:58 p.m [1] 36/9	27/6	appearances [2]	away [4] 15/23
-	20000 F11 24/15		
3	across [1] 24/15	1/12 31/1	20/19 26/16 27/2
	act [1] 19/21 acted [1] 11/23	appearing [1] 2/4	В
30 [4] 30/12 32/3	acted [1] 11/23	appears [1] 23/9	
34/12 34/18	action [3] 1/4 2/2	applicable [2]	back [7] 12/14 16/2
3013 [1] 28/2		10 /15 22 /10	
	11/25	29/15 32/16	21/11 24/8 24/16
[333 [2] 1/24 32/2	actions [9] 12/2	application [2]	24/18 25/9
3553 [5] 5/20 7/25	12/12 15/13 16/3	8/19 30/1	backdrop [1] 21/19
8/16 14/23 18/15	16/8 16/18 17/2	apply [2] 6/23	bad [5] 26/16 26/21
36 [5] 25/7 25/20	17/6 17/6		77/2 77/12 77/14
	17/6 17/6	23/23	27/3 27/13 27/14
26/4 27/24 34/11	activities [1] 31/2	appointments [1]	balance [3] 27/22
3742 [1] 32/13	actors [2] 11/22	10/25	28/21 29/6
3rd [1] 5/24	13/17		Bankruptcy [1] 1/23
		appropriate [10]	
4	acts [5] 9/25 12/6	2/17 2/18 3/21 4/4	barricades [1]
	16/19 18/25 19/4	4/19 4/20 16/20	20/15
 40 [1] 2/10	actually [11] 5/10	30/3 30/9 32/7	based [3] 13/8
4700-c [1] 1/24	0/11 0/12 22/11	30/3 30/3 32/7	17/15 21/11
4th [1] 1/14	9/11 9/13 22/11	appropriately [2]	17/15 31/11
	24/8 24/9 24/10	22/7 27/22	bases [1] 11/16
5	25/14 26/14 29/14	approval [2] 30/2	basic [1] 28/5
	34/3		basis [1] 2/18
5104 [1] 2/11		31/6	
5205 [1] 1/17	add [4] 7/13 7/21	approved [2] 31/2	became [2] 11/15
	17/11 18/2	32/8	11/21
555 [1] 1/14	addition [2] 8/8	Architect [2] 28/18	become [1] 17/7
6	27/25		becomes [1] 32/23
U		28/24	
60 [10] 10/6 23/19	address [3] 2/14	areas [1] 31/23	bedrock [1] 19/2
	2/23 32/3	argued [1] 25/13	beginning [1] 2/11
24/20 24/23 25/5	adequate [1] 8/6	argument [1] 5/2	begins [1] 12/11
25/8 25/21 26/5	adjourned [1] 36/9	arguments [2] 8/18	behalf [6] 4/9 4/14
34/5 34/17		40/22	A /15 11 /10 00 /7
' '	advanced [1] 20/20	10/23	4/15 11/13 23/7
	Ī.	l .	

В	27/13 28/15 33/14 34/21		contribute [3]
behalf [1] 33/22	cases [7] 10/10	17/8 complete [2] 34/8	26/18 27/7 27/16 contributed [1]
best [3] 24/11 25/24 35/3	24/7 24/9 24/10 24/16 24/17 25/3	34/12 completed [2] 34/13	16/18 contributes [1]
better [3] 3/11	categorized [1]	34/18	27/11
12/20 17/8 beyond [1] 9/7	21/2 cause [1] 3/22	completion [1] 32/11	contributing [2] 17/4 26/21
bit [2] 25/17 31/10	caused [1] 19/22	complied [1] 10/3	contrition [2] $4/1$
b ip [1] 36/6	causing [1] 27/5	comply [2] 8/1 29/4	22/21 controlled [2] 28/9
blogs [1] 29/23 borders [1] 19/12	centered [1] 13/6 certain [1] 2/22	computers [1] 31/15 concerns [2] 6/15	28/10
both [1] 30/4 bottom [1] 5/6	certify [1] 37/4	34/14	convey [2] 4/15
breach [5] 9/4 9/15	challenge [1] 32/22 chamber [1] 22/3	conclude [3] 3/23 4/4 15/4	17/2 conveyed [1] 4/16
9/18 16/10 16/11	change [3] 29/10	concluded [1] 25/11	conveying [1] 18/12
<pre>breaking [1] 26/24 brief [1] 4/13</pre>	32/3 32/4 chaos [3] 12/10		convicted [1] 22/13 conviction [4] 23/9
briefly [1] 27/4	14/4 20/8	condition [4] 31/11	32/19 32/22 33/1
building [23] 2/10 8/25 9/1 9/4 9/13	character [4] 15/1 21/23 22/17 23/15	31/20 31/21 31/23 conditions [6] 10/3	cooperation [1] 16/17
9/14 9/15 9/17 9/18	characteristics [2]	14/17 28/3 28/4	CORLIJA [12] 1/16
9/19 9/20 12/16 15/16 15/18 19/16	8/10 10/8 charge [1] 27/20	28/7 29/5 conduct [14] 8/6	1/17 2/5 3/1 4/10 6/5 7/13 11/9 17/10
19/17 20/3 20/10	charged [2] 17/2	8/14 10/2 10/8 15/1	33/10 34/15 35/25
20/15 20/17 20/21 26/25 29/1	22/7 charges [3] 3/25	19/23 21/6 22/4 22/5 22/9 22/10	cost [3] 33/2 34/1 34/2
buildings [2] 12/20	22/12 22/13	25/16 28/5 31/21	costs [1] 33/4
19/9 BURTON [2] 1/6 2/3	chart [1] 24/6	conducted [1] 31/17	
	chat [1] 29/23 checks [1] 20/5		32/25 count [3] 2/9 27/25
Bustle [2] 24/19	Chief [1] 28/25	confinement [4]	35/18
24/21	choice [1] 9/19 choices [1] 12/13	10/6 10/18 11/2 11/5	country [5] 15/25 16/1 20/24 21/10
calculated [1]	choose [1] 32/17	confrontation [1]	21/18
calculated [1]	Cindy [2] 25/9 25/16	19/15 Congress [3] 5/18	country's [1] 19/12 countrymen [1] 21/1
calculating [1]	Circuit's [1] 33/5	7/24 22/3	counts [4] 35/15
6/25 called [1] 11/22	circumstances [2] 8/9 29/11	<pre>connection [1] 33/1 consent [2] 3/3 4/5</pre>	35/18 35/21 35/21 couple [1] 33/22
callous [1] 14/12	citizen [1] 17/8	consequences [3]	course [7] 4/18 5/4
came [1] 10/9 can [7] 3/24 4/3	citizens [1] 19/11 claim [1] 32/24	17/7 26/22 27/12	11/24 12/17 14/7 21/19 33/24
16/2 27/12 30/4	clarify [2] 7/14	4/24 7/23 8/9 14/14	lcourt Γ501
31/6 33/16 candor [1] 25/22	7/21 class [1] 6/22	14/22 18/16 21/21 22/18	Court's [2] 15/4
capable [1] 14/11	clearly [1] 21/25	considerable [1]	31/11 court-ordered [1]
Capitol [27] 2/10 8/25 9/1 9/12 12/5	Clerk [6] 28/22	11/11	31/1 (Counts [1] 1/22
13/9 14/10 15/16	32/1 32/4 33/14 35/11 35/13	considerations [1] 7/14	Courts [1] 1/23 cover [1] 11/16
15/18 15/19 16/10	close [1] 25/18	considered [1] 12/5	COVID [1] 37/8
16/11 18/24 19/5 19/7 19/16 20/3	colleagues [2] 24/12 24/14	consistency [1] 25/25	COVID-19 [1] 37/8 CPA [1] 29/1
20/7 20/16 20/17	collective [1] 21/7	consistent [7] 5/18	cr [1] 1/4
20/19 23/2 26/24 27/4 27/15 28/18	college [1] 23/8 COLUMBIA [4] 1/1	13/20 15/6 22/8 22/15 24/15 32/20	CRD [1] 35/3 crime [1] 28/8
28/25	1/14 28/23 32/2	conspirators [1]	crimes [2] 8/7
caption [2] 9/2 9/7 carefully [1] 24/17	combative [1] 22/4 comments [1] 18/11	11/25 constantly [1] 12/2	26/19 criminal [5] 1/4
carried [1] 27/2	Commission [1] 6/23	Constitution [2]	2/2 8/6 11/22 23/7
carries [1] 7/9 case [31] 2/19 2/23	commit [1] 28/8 committed [2] 13/16	1/24 32/2 constitutional [2]	crippled [1] 16/22 crowd [6] 16/18
3/17 6/10 6/24 7/3	13/16	16/9 16/13	20/2 20/4 20/14
8/22 10/11 13/15 13/20 14/22 18/8	communications [2]	contain [1] 31/24 contained [2] 17/16	20/19 20/20 crowing [1] 23/2
18/21 18/22 22/24	community [11] 10/7	17/24	culpability [1]
23/23 24/19 24/22 24/24 25/11 25/18	14/20 23/19 25/5 25/8 25/21 26/5	continue [1] 17/4 continues [1] 20/22	13/14 currently [1] 32/23
25/18 25/23 25/23	26/10 34/5 34/8	continuing [1]	CULLEUCIN [T] 32/23
25/24 26/1 26/6	34/18	23/10	

D	describing [2] 18/19 20/12	13/15 district [12] 1/1	entitled [1] 37/5 entry [3] 9/14
damage [2] 12/18	desire [2] 4/1 12/5	1/1 1/10 1/14 1/23	19/17 20/4
12/22 data [1] 31/16	desperately [1] 20/18	28/15 28/16 28/23 28/23 32/1 32/8	equipment [2] 30/16
DATE [1] 37/14 day [20] 12/13	despicable [2] 12/4 18/25	35/1 division [1] 21/2	eroded [1] 16/23 essential [1] 13/12
15/15 15/18 15/24	Despite [3] 12/21	done [1] 11/23	establish [1] 28/5
16/1 16/8 16/11 16/21 18/20 20/12	12/22 12/23 destruction [7]	doubt [1] 23/3 Douglas [2] 25/9	ETHAN [3] 1/16 1/17 2/5
21/8 21/12 21/22	10/1 12/6 14/10	25/19	evaluating [1]
21/24 21/25 22/6 26/17 26/18 27/3	15/15 16/19 21/4 22/2	draw [1] 23/24 drew [1] 25/2	14/22 even [2] 19/10 31/9
27/18	destructive [2]	dropped [1] 30/3	event [3] 9/9 12/10
days [7] 23/8 24/20 24/23 28/11 30/12	19/24 27/10 detail [1] 26/3	drug [4] 11/25 28/11 28/12 31/10	20/25 events [15] 15/15
32/3 32/18 DC [3] 1/5 1/15		duly [1] 19/19 duly-elected [1]	15/24 16/21 18/13 18/19 18/23 20/12
1/25	13/8 13/18 14/18	19/19	20/23 21/8 22/6
dealing [1] 25/19 death [1] 19/6	23/18 24/20 24/23 25/7 25/20 26/4	during [3] 12/12 19/4 37/7	23/1 26/18 26/21 27/3 27/17
December [2] 1/6	30/10 31/3	duty [1] 16/13	evidence [1] 31/24
5/24 December 3rd [1]	deteriorated [1] 12/10	E	exactly [1] 7/17 excellent [2] 18/12
5/24 deciding [1] 11/12	determination [1]	e-mails [2] 30/3	20/11
 decision [1] 33/6	31/12 determine [1] 5/12	30/4 early [2] 10/2	except [2] 30/24 35/18
decisions [1] 12/16 deem [1] 14/18	determined [3] 28/13 28/19 30/13	13/13 Eastern [2] 28/16	exercise [1] 12/8
defend [2] 15/20	deterrence [2] 8/6	35/1	exercising [1] 16/9 existence [1] 15/2
16/24 defendant [13] 1/7	14/20 device [1] 30/19	echoes [1] 18/18 economic [1] 29/10	exists [2] 13/1 31/22
1/16 2/4 2/5 2/8	devices [1] 31/17	education [1] 30/24	expect [2] 16/12
8/7 8/10 8/25 9/13 9/25 30/7 34/1	10/23 25/22	effect [1] 32/9 efforts [1] 25/14	23/11 expectations [1]
35/19 defendant's [6] 9/5	differences [2] 5/9 24/18	either [1] 22/3 elected [1] 19/19	28/5 expires [1] 34/13
9/11 9/24 10/7	different [3] 10/22	electoral [4] 19/1	express [2] 15/14
29/14 32/11 defendants [1] 8/12	25/24 26/1 differing [1] 16/22	21/14 21/15 22/11 electronic [2]	17/21 expressing [2] 9/6
defendants [1] 8/12 defended [1] 19/8	digital [1] 29/24	29/25 31/16	9/7
defending [1] 16/25 defense [1] 6/6	24/24	else [13] 4/10 4/21 4/23 5/16 7/13 7/20	extent [2] 24/14
defined [2] 31/15 32/20	diminished [1] 20/23	11/7 17/10 17/11 18/2 18/3 22/18	32/20 exterior [2] 20/16
degrees [1] 13/14 delay [2] 3/12 3/22	disagree [1] 14/1	33/10	20/21
delay [2] 3/12 3/22 delayed [1] 2/20	disbursement [1]	embezzlers [1] 12/1 employer [1] 23/12	F
deleterious [1]	discern [1] 24/17	employment [1]	fabric [1] 16/23 facade [1] 20/21
deliberate [1]	disclosure [1]	end [2] 14/24 22/5	facing [2] 22/12
12/25 deliberation [2]	discretion [1]	endeavor [1] 15/3 enforcement [3]	22/13 fact [7] 6/21 11/16
11/24 26/20	discuss [1] 6/18	19/8 20/18 22/4	13/6 13/8 13/24
demeanor [2] 15/1 22/20	discussed [4] 3/2	engage [5] 9/25 22/1 22/1 22/3 27/9	20/1 22/14 factor [1] 23/25
democracy [7] 15/25 16/8 16/14 19/2	discussion [2] 3/9 35/12	engaged [6] 12/4 19/23 22/9 22/10	factors [14] 5/18 7/24 8/8 8/16 8/20
21/13 21/14 21/17	dismiss [1] 35/17	24/15 25/14	8/21 8/22 8/23 9/22
democratic [2] 12/9 21/17	dismissed [1] 35/21 disparities [1]	enormous [1] 20/14 enough [2] 6/13	14/21 15/5 18/15 18/15 24/2
demonstrated [2]	8/12	6/17	facts [2] 6/20
22/10 23/14 demonstrating [1]	disparity [1] 24/4 disrespectful [1]	ensure [1] 7/25 enter [2] 9/19 20/3	18/14 factual [2] 6/1 6/6
2/9 departs [1] 32/16	13/1 disrupt [2] 19/18	entered [6] 12/14 13/8 20/9 26/23	factual [2] 6/1 6/6 factually [1] 5/8 fair [1] 5/17
Deputy [3] 33/14 35/11 35/13	22/11	30/6 32/22	families [1] 15/22 family [1] 23/13
35/11 35/13 descended [1] 19/14	distinction [1]	entering [3] 9/12 27/1 32/25	family [1] 23/13 far [1] 16/9
	distinctions [1]	enters [1] 32/18	fashion [2] 5/17
	<u> </u>	1	

- Tasirron: Costinger again to			3 12 01 10
F	fraudsters [1] 12/1		33/7
fashion [1]	free [2] 12/8 16/12		imposes [2] 7/25
15/21	frenzy [1] 20/20 Friedrich [1] 24/22	27/6 haunt [1] 12/17	29/7 imposing [2] 26/13
fear [1] 19/22	friends [1] 23/13	health [2] 30/25	27/19
federa [1] 28/8	front [1] 19/15	31/5	imposition [1]
feel [3] 3/11 4/14	fulfill [1] 14/20	hear [7] 4/20 4/21	34/22
6/12 fooling [1] 3/10	full [1] 32/5	5/15 17/11 33/15	impossible [1]
feeling [1] 3/10 feels [1] 3/9	fully [3] 4/16 6/9 17/15	33/17 34/20 heard [3] 5/16 8/15	25/23 impressed [8] 18/7
fellow [1] 21/1	function [1] 19/18	15/9	18/10 22/19 22/20
	fundamental [2]	hearing [1] 37/7	22/20 23/6 23/12
	19/2 21/10	heartfelt [1] 22/21	24/13
		held [2] 12/2 13/7	imprisonment [1]
Field [1] 2/6	further [6] 2/20 3/13 3/22 27/5	help [1] 31/7 helpful [2] 24/6	7/10 in-person [2] 2/15
fight [1] 12/24	35/22 35/23	35/9	3/19
 file [2] 32/17 33/3	 future [3] 8/7 17/3	hereby [1] 27/24	incarceration [2]
filed [5] 5/24 15/2	31/12	highlight [1] 8/20	7/7 26/7
17/17 17/19 18/8 filings [1] 5/1	G	highlighted [1]	include [4] 8/2
		9/24 Thighly [1] 23/13	14/18 28/7 30/19 includes [1] 32/7
5/23 23/25 24/1	25/6 25/12 25/14	highly [1] 23/13 himself [3] 9/25	indelible [1] 20/24
24/2	gathered [1] 20/14	19/21 27/3	indicated [1] 23/22
financial [11]	 given [7] 3/16 3/24	hinder [1] 16/13	indicates [2] 9/7
28/25 29/7 29/8 29/12 29/13 29/16	3/25 16/13 19/10 22/25 23/3	history [2] 8/10 10/8	9/12 individuals [5]
29/18 29/19 29/20	global [1] 30/19	Hogan [1] 24/20	9/13 11/24 19/12
31/25 32/5		hold [1] 11/22	19/17 23/1
find [3] 24/9 25/25	goes [1] 21/11	home [21] 10/6	ineffective [1]
26/20	good [3] 15/12	10/18 10/20 10/24	32/24
finding [4] 2/20 3/22 3/24 27/3	26/20 36/6 governed [1] 16/17	11/1 11/3 11/3 11/5 11/5 13/8 13/18	inescapable [1] 13/14
findings [1] 6/20	lαovernment [24]	14/18 23/18 24/20	information [6]
fine [6] 7/4 7/10	1/13 2/5 4/23 5/15		29/16 29/18 29/19
14/16 23/21 34/21	5/25 6/3 8/15 9/23	26/4 26/7 30/10	29/20 32/23 35/18
34/23	10/17 10/17 13/5		ingredients [1]
finish [1] 26/14 first [3] 5/12 8/24	16/14 16/19 18/4 18/20 19/19 20/6	Honor [42] HONORABLE [1] 1/10	13/12 injured [1] 15/22
18/15		HOOK [3] 1/22 37/3	injury [1] 19/6
Fitchett [3] 25/3	33/7 35/14 35/17	37/14	inside [3] 8/25
25/9 25/16	35/23	hope [2] 15/3 23/11	19/15 27/4
	Government's [1]	horrendous [3]	instant [1] 29/24
five [1] 7/11 follow [2] 27/12	10/18 gravity [2]	15/24 27/11 27/17 horrible [1] 26/18	instead [1] 3/18 intended [2] 11/22
30/20	20/22	hours [10] 10/6	13/16
followed [2] 12/14	great [1] 16/1	23/19 25/5 25/8	intent [1] 16/5
20/9	greater [3] 8/1	25/21 26/5 34/5	intention [1] 26/17
following [2] 28/3 29/4	23/4 26/3 guess [1] 2/23	34/8 34/12 34/17 house [3] 22/3 29/1	intentions [1] 27/14
follows [1] 28/24	guidelines [5] 6/23	31/14	interactive [1]
For the Defendant [1]	6/25 23/23 23/24	human [1] 13/2	29/25
1/16	32/16	Hunter [1] 33/6	interest [2] 28/20
force [2] 9/14 19/17	guilty [8] 2/9 6/22 7/9 8/13 22/13	I	28/20 interested [1] 25/1
Ford [1] 29/1	22/15 32/25 35/19	ill [2] 16/5 21/3	interests [5] 2/21
foregoing [1] 37/4	guy [1] 26/16	ill-will [1] 16/5	3/6 3/11 3/23 4/2
form [1] 19/24	Н	illegally [1] 11/23	interfere [4] 21/15
forth [3] 6/1 6/6	H2 [1] 29/2	immediately [1]	26/9 26/11 30/15
26/2 Fortunately [1]	H2-205B [1] 29/2	31/25 important [3] 3/24	intimidate [1] 12/24
12/18	Hampton [1] 1/17	5/7 19/20	intimidation [1]
forward [1] 4/3	Hana [1] 2/6	<pre>impose [8] 5/9 5/20</pre>	19/22
found [1] 8/13	happened [6] 15/17	7/2 13/19 23/17	into [9] 3/6 9/14
founded [2] 19/3 21/18	16/1 23/2 24/10 24/17 27/7	26/3 26/14 34/7	12/10 19/14 19/17
founding [1] 21/11	happening [1] 12/15	imposed [14] 8/3 8/11 24/7 24/20	24/2 26/24 27/15 34/5
 four [1] 5/5	happens [1] 26/22	24/22 24/22 25/4	intolerant [1] 13/2
frankly [3] 3/25	happy [1] 4/20	25/7 25/12 25/19	investigation [1]
27/18 30/5	hard [1] 23/12	28/4 32/14 32/22	32/6

I	law [7] 8/4 16/22	malice [1] 16/4	modify [1] 30/8
	17/5 19/7 20/17	mandatory [3] 28/3	moment [5] 14/4
involve [1] 5/5	21/9 22/4	28/7 31/10	20/8 26/3 33/23
involving [1] 25/16	lawfully [1] 20/2	many [3] 11/21	35/9
irrational [1] 12/12	lawmakers [1] 15/20 lawyer [6] 6/10	13/15 21/25 marginal [1] 27/17	momentarily [1] 27/2
issue [6] 3/3 14/2	17/17 18/8 18/17		momentary [1] 27/14
19/25 20/1 24/25	21/21 27/6		monetary [1] 14/16
25/17	leaders [1] 27/8		monitoring [6]
issues [1] 31/6		4/12 6/1 6/6	30/11 30/11 30/16
IYENGAR [13] 1/13	least [3] 2/23	matter [4] 5/24	30/19 30/21 33/25
2/5 3/14 4/23 6/1	24/24 28/12		month [4] 25/7
7/20 8/15 10/14			25/20 26/4 29/7
11/17 13/23 18/1 33/6 35/22	11/3 leaving [1] 27/5	maximum [2] 7/9 32/15	months [12] 7/4 7/9 10/6 23/18 25/5
	led [2] 19/6 27/15	max [12] 11/10 21/2	25/9 25/20 26/4
J	legally [1] 5/8	25/22 27/2 27/8 28/21 29/19 30/14	27/25 34/11 34/12
JANANI [2] 1/13 2/5	lengthy [2] 13/18	28/21 29/19 30/14	34/18
January 8 12/4	22/14	30/18 30/19 31/19	more [10] 11/2 13/7
12/7 15/14 18/25 19/5 20/23 21/4	less [2] 21/25 29/6	31/21 33/2 maybe [2] 2/25 24/1	13/10 14/9 17/8
	letters [2] 4/9	maybe [2] 2/25 24/1	19/10 21/16 22/12
21/6 January 6th [8]	23/6 level [1] 21/3	mayhem [1] 21/7	24/25 25/17
12/4 12/7 15/14	life [10] 4/1 4/4	mean [1] 11/5 media [12] 9/1 9/21	most [2] 1/10
18/25 19/5 20/23	12/17 15/16 16/25	12/15 13/9 13/24	motion [1] 35/14
21/4 21/6	23/4 23/10 27/21	14/7 14/12 23/2	move [6] 4/1 4/3
JEFF [3] 1/22 37/3	36/3 36/3	29/22 29/23 30/6	9/16 21/22 27/21
37/14	light [4] 7/7 9/3	31/17	36/5
Jessica [1] 24/21	9/9 18/14		moving [2] 35/17
job [3] 18/12 20/11 26/11		30/25	36/4 Mr. [55]
JUDGE [18] 1/10	37/9 line [3] 5/6 10/7		Mr. Corlija [9] 3/1
11/20 11/20 12/1	10/9		4/10 6/5 7/13 11/9
12/19 13/2 13/11	lines [1] 25/2	24/9 25/10	17/10 33/10 34/15
13/17 14/9 14/13	llist [1] 30/3	memorandum [14]	35/25
24/20 24/21 25/2	listed [1] 24/6	4/17 8/19 9/12 9/23	
25/4 25/10 25/19 26/6 34/7		9/24 10/19 11/15	25/14
judges [3] 22/21	31/9 live [3] 16/8 16/12	15/2 17/16 17/18	Mr. Reimler [33] 2/16 3/3 3/9 3/16
24/6 25/24	23/10	24/5	4/16 4/21 5/4 6/9
	lived [1] 23/10	mental [1] 30/25	6/22 7/8 7/18 10/23
27/23 29/7 29/9	lived [1] 23/10 local [1] 28/8	mention [1] 34/4	11/17 12/3 12/17
32/18 34/5	located [1] 3/16	mental [1] 30/25 mention [1] 34/4 mentioned [2] 7/17	13/24 14/25 15/9
jurisdiction [3]	llocation [6] 30/10	I 34/25	15/11 1//13 18/6
28/14 34/25 35/2 justice [5] 2/21	30/11 30/16 30/19	mere [1] 2//6	19/13 21/5 22/9
3/6 3/11 3/23 4/2	30/21 33/25 LOL [1] 14/7	merely [1] 27/1 message [2] 12/14	22/15 22/19 26/16 26/23 27/21 30/4
justified [1] 16/18	longer [1] 32/15	13/24	31/4 34/8 36/2
K	look [1] 14/5		Mr. Reimler's [12]
	looked [3] 24/19	middle [1] 12/18	3/25 4/9 4/14 8/22
Kathy [1] 29/1	24/21 25/9	might [2] 6/15	10/11 11/13 14/22
keep [1] 20/19 knew [1] 27/4	looking [3] 24/14	29/11	21/20 21/24 22/24
knowing [2] 9/18	24/16 25/1 lose [1] 16/25	mind [4] 16/5 20/11 21/16 25/17	26/9 27/12 Ms. [11] 3/14 4/23
14/1		21/16 23/17 minutes [1] 9/20	6/1 7/20 8/15 10/14
known [2] 11/14	loud [1] 14/8	misdemeanor [4]	11/17 13/23 18/1
11/14	Louis [1] 1/18	2/22 6/22 22/15	33/6 35/22
Kristin [2] 33/14	low [2] 22/5 31/12	27/20	Ms. Iyengar [11]
33/15	lower [1] 25/12	Missouri [3] 2/16	3/14 4/23 6/1 7/20
L	luck [1] 36/6	28/16 35/1	8/15 10/14 11/17 13/23 18/1 33/6
language [1] 14/8	M	misspoke [1] 11/4 mitigating [2] 8/22	
lapse [1] 27/15	Madam [1] 11/11	9/22	much [2] 22/12 35/7
larger [2] 16/18	mails [2] 30/3 30/4	mitigation [1]	must [13] 7/25 28/7
20/25	mainly [2] 11/20	25/13_	28/8 28/9 28/10
last [3] 11/12 26/8 34/19		MMS [1] 29/24	29/5 29/8 29/10
1	maintain [1] 30/14	MO [1] 1/18	29/16 30/20 31/14
14/10	10/22 16/1	mob [6] 12/12 13/12 16/25 27/8 27/9	31/18 32/17 mute [1] 35/10
17 ' 1	making [1] 13/25	27/11	33/±0
]	',	
-	•	•	•

	239-RDIVI DUCUITIETIL 3		
N	off [1] 35/12 offender [2] 21/23	pandemic [3] 3/7 3/17 37/8	physically [1] 3/16 picketing [1] 2/10
named [1] 28/24	22/17	papers [2] 9/5	picture [1] 14/25
nation's [4] 12/5	offense [5] 8/4 8/5	31/15	pictures [1] 9/20
12/19 14/10 19/9	8/10 18/16 33/1	paperwork_[1] 35/3	place [5] 12/19
nature [12] 3/16	offenses [1] 21/16	parading [1] 2/9	18/24 19/4 20/16
3/25 8/9 11/1 11/2 12/20 13/10 18/16	office [21] 1/13 4/8 7/1 7/3 7/6	parameters [1] 7/17 parents [1] 31/5	30/15 placed [1] 9/2
	13/21 13/22 14/15	part [6] 5/20 12/7	placement [1] 28/11
21/23		12/16 16/21 19/21	Plaintiff [1] 1/4
necessary [2] 8/1	29/1 29/17 29/19	23/18	plays [1] 3/6
19/18	29/21 30/14 30/22		plea [3] 22/7 32/20
need [18] 2/19 2/22 3/22 5/14 5/17 6/24		12/5 30/11 participated [2]	32/25 pleaded [3] 2/9
	office's [1] 6/13	16/10 16/11	6/22 7/8
18/16 21/21 22/18	officer [9] 16/24		please [3] 11/11
24/3 27/10 31/4	28/25 30/2 30/7	16/16 16/20	33/24 37/7
31/7 35/14 needs [1] 8/9	30/17 31/3 31/6 31/18 31/20	particular [4] 14/2 16/6 18/14 27/20	pled [3] 22/12 22/15 35/19
nervous [1] 14/9	officers [5] 15/19	particularly [2]	plus [1] 15/21
new [1] 32/23	15/22 15/23 19/8	27/9 27/19	point [2] 8/24 9/10
next [1] 17/1	20/7	parties [2] 2/17	points [1] 13/5
NICHOLAS [19] 1/6 2/3 2/8 12/6 12/11	Official [2] 1/23	16/23	police [7] 9/17
12/12 12/21 12/22	37/3 officials [1] 20/8		15/19 15/21 16/24 19/7 20/7 20/17
12/24 12/25 13/6	lonce [1] 21/3	past [2] 36/4 36/5	political [4] 16/7
13/11 13/15 13/17	one [18] 2/9 8/24	pay [7] 28/1 28/19	16/23 19/14 21/1
14/2 14/2 14/3		29/5 29/8 29/11	poor [1] 12/13
14/11 27/24 Nicholas' [1] 12/20	17/13 19/8 22/25	29/14 34/2 payable [1] 31/25	pose [1] 31/12 positioning [1]
Nichols [5] 25/2	25/20 26/4 27/19	payment [4] 14/16	30/20
25/4 25/10 25/19	27/20 28/11 33/17	23/22 25/6 29/13	possess [2] 13/3
26/6	35/21	payments [2] 28/21	28/9
night [1] 11/12 nine [1] 9/11	one's [1] 11/3 online [2] 29/23	29/9 peaceful [5] 12/9	post [4] 13/9 13/10 14/4 14/6
nobody [1] 2/13	29/25	15/1 15/1 21/11	posted [3] 9/2
normal [1] 19/18	only [3] 12/1 21/5	27/1	12/14 13/24
note [3] 2/11 19/20		penalties [1] 28/20	
37/7 noted [2] 33/8	operation [1] 30/16 opportunities [3]	penaity [3] 29/8 29/8 29/12	9/21 14/11 23/1 potentially [1]
33/11	12/21 12/22 12/23	people [11] 9/16	20/25
notice [1] 30/2	opportunity [3]	13/11 15/25 16/22	power [2] 17/1
notify [2] 29/10	3/19 5/2 5/10	21/12 22/22 26/19	21/12
32/4 notion [1] 21/11	oral [1] 5/2 order [4] 2/13 32/9	21/12 22/22 26/19 26/21 26/24 27/8 27/10	precisely [1] 12/12 premises [1] 31/19
number [1] 24/8	34/17 34/22	perfect [1] 35/5	presence [3] 23/4
numbers [1] 13/11	ordered [5] 28/1	perform [2] 26/9	27/7 27/16
numerous [1] 15/23	28/17 30/18 31/1	31/8	<pre>present [2] 12/21</pre>
NW [3] 1/14 1/24 32/2	34/20 [1] 34/2		20/18
	ordering [1] 34/2 others [2] 19/6	period [8] 7/6 7/11 13/18 13/18 23/21	4/6 5/13 5/22 5/23
0	20/9	25/5 30/12 30/17	6/2 6/7 6/14 6/20
objection [4] 30/7	otherwise [3] 22/25	periodic [1] 28/12	32/6 32/10
34/15 34/16 35/20 objections [6] 5/14	23/9 30/18	periods [1] 25/7 permanent [1] 20/15	president [1] 17/1
5/25 6/4 6/5 33/7	13/25 14/8 24/9	permissible [4]	pretty [1] 25/18
33/11	outset [2] 2/14	2/12 4/14 7/11 12/8	previously [1] 21/8
obligation [2] 29/5	19/13	permission [1] 33/3	principle [2] 12/10
32/5 obligations [2]	outside [1] 20/14 outstanding [1]	person [9] 2/15 3/19 12/3 16/6 17/4	19/2 principles [1]
31/2 31/25	5/14	20/1 27/3 27/13	21/18
obviously [2] 9/25	lover [1] 31/7	31/14	<pre>prior [2] 9/12 20/4</pre>
12/10 occupants [1] 31/10	overly [1] 13/19	personal [2] 21/6	prison [2] 11/2
occupants [1] 31/19 occur [1] 26/19	owed [1] 29/6	30/5 persons [1] 16/5	22/14 probably [1] 5/6
occurred [8] 18/13	Р	perspective [1]	probably [1] 3/0
18/20 21/7 22/6	P.C [1] 1/17	24/3	probationary [1]
25/15 26/18 27/17 37/7	<pre>p.m [2] 1/7 36/9 page [2] 5/8 9/11</pre>	photos [1] 29/24	23/18
odds [1] 31/10	page [2]	photos/video [1] 29/24	problem [1] 20/25 procedures [1]

P	2/12 received [2] 4/6	14/17 30/13 requires [1] 16/16	security [2] 20/5 20/8
procedures [1]	32/24	residence [4] 30/15	seeing [1] 14/5
30/21 proceed [6] 2/17	recognize [2] 10/21 25/25	30/23 31/15 32/8 residing [1] 19/12	seeking [3] 10/17 10/18 10/19
3/10 3/12 3/18 3/21	recommendation [6]	resolve [2] 5/10	seemingly [1] 3/17
4/5 proceeding [1] 5/5	4/7 5/23 7/2 7/8 15/6 34/9	5/14 resolving [1] 4/3	sense [2] 2/25 3/18 sent [1] 4/9
proceedings [6] 2/13 2/20 3/4 3/12	recommended [4] 7/3	respect [13] 6/15	 sentence [37] 5/9
36/9 37/5	7/6 14/14 23/21 record [5] 2/12	7/2 7/14 7/15 8/4 8/16 8/19 17/5 22/7	5/18 5/21 6/25 7/2 7/3 7/9 7/25 8/3
process [6] 6/24 12/9 19/1 21/14	23/7 33/8 33/11 35/12	22/17 23/16 30/10 35/14	8/5 8/11 10/4 10/18 10/19 13/17 13/20
21/15 22/11	records [1] 8/13	responsibility [3]	14/14 14/19 15/5
productive [2] 23/11 36/5	reflect [2] 8/3 15/5	10/2 15/13 17/6 rest [1] 12/17	23/17 23/24 24/20 24/21 24/23 25/12
program [1] 30/12	reflective [1]	restitution [12]	25/20 26/4 26/13
promote [2] 8/4 8/7 proper [1] 14/18	12/25 refrain [1]	7/4 10/6 14/16 23/20 23/22 25/6	26/15 27/18 32/9 32/14 32/15 32/16
property [5] 10/1	regrets [1] 23/4	25/21 26/6 28/17	32/19 32/22 33/7
16/5 16/20 22/2 31/14	rehabilitation [1] 8/8	28/21 29/5 29/6 restricted [1]	sentenced [2] 15/6 27/24
propose [1] 14/8	rehash [1] 8/18	30/23	sentences [4] 8/14
proposed [1] 13/21 Prosecutor [1]	REIMLER [42] Reimler's [12] 3/25	restriction [3] 29/22 30/6 30/8	10/10 23/16 24/6 sentencing [27] 1/9
11/11	4/9 4/14 8/22 10/11	return [1] 32/10	2/8 4/7 4/8 4/17
protect [1] 8/6 provide [4] 8/4	11/13 14/22 21/20 21/24 22/24 26/9	revealed [1] 20/25 review [1] 6/14	5/11 5/23 6/21 6/23 7/15 8/2 8/12 8/19
14/24 29/17 35/2	27/12	reviewed [4] 4/6	9/11 9/24 10/19
10/20	release [4] 7/7 10/3 29/18 32/6	5/13 17/18 17/24 rhetoric [1] 24/24	15/2 17/18 22/23 24/2 24/4 24/9
<pre>pulled [1] 24/9 punishment [3] 7/19</pre>	relevant [1] 7/23	rift [1] 21/1	24/15 25/10 33/1 33/13 34/11
8/5 14/21	30/24	32/14 32/19 32/21	sentiments [1]
punitive [1] 13/19 purposes [4] 6/21	remain [2] 9/19 20/3	rights [2] 16/8 16/9	17/22 serious [5] 2/21
8/2 8/2 30/5	remaining [3] 35/15	riot [4] 12/4 13/12	3/23 19/6 21/16
pursuant [3] 31/20 32/13 33/5	35/17 35/20 remark [1] 14/12	14/1 19/4 risk [1] 31/12	22/12 seriously [2] 21/20
<pre>put [1] 35/10</pre>	remarks [5] 4/13	role [3] 21/22	23/5
Q	4/19 11/13 11/19 17/15		seriousness [3] 8/3 17/5 21/6
<pre>qualities [1] 13/3 quickly [1] 27/5</pre>	reminded [1] 35/13 remorse [1] 15/14	rose [1] 21/3 rule [3] 16/21 17/5	serve [2] 13/7
quite [3] 2/22 3/25	remorseful [2] 16/3	21/9	served [4] 3/12 4/2
27/18 R	22/23 remotely [2] 37/5	S	11/3 19/1 service [12] 10/7
raise [3] 6/14	37/9	sacred [2] 12/19	23/20 25/6 25/8
33/15 33/16	report [12] 4/7 5/13 5/22 5/23 6/2	19/9 safety [2] 13/4	25/21 26/5 26/10 29/23 30/15 34/5
rally [1] 19/14 RANDOLPH [1] 1/10	6/7 6/14 6/14 6/16	14/20 same [2] 5/8 25/25	34/8 34/18_
random [1] 30/20	6/20 32/6 32/10 reported [1] 37/5	satisfied [1] 6/9	services [2] 11/1 30/24
range [2] 7/19 32/17	Reporter [3] 1/22 1/23 37/3	saying [2] 18/21 18/21	set [4] 6/1 6/6 13/15 26/2
rate [1] 29/6	reporting [1] 37/9	scale [2] 22/5 22/6	several [1] 9/20
rather [3] 3/7 14/4 21/7	representatives [1]	scar [1] 20/24 schedule [2] 29/9	severe [5] 21/25 24/25 25/17 27/19
reach [1] 5/11	request [5] 4/11	29/13	27/20
read [2] 18/17 34/4 ready [1] 3/10	4/24 14/13 33/3 34/11	screening [1] 20/5 search [2] 31/17	shall [8] 28/3 28/21 29/4 29/22
realizing [1] 9/14	requested [3] 23/17	31/21	30/12 32/3 32/6
really [3] 9/8 25/1 26/15	24/19 29/17 requesting [1] 10/5	searched [1] 31/23 searches [1] 31/19	32/10 share [1] 29/19
reason [5] 10/12 18/5 22/25 23/3	requests [1] 21/5	second [4] 9/10	sharing [1] 29/24
26/25	required [2] 30/14 30/18	18/17 33/17 35/10 section [8] 2/11	sheer [1] 13/11 sheet [1] 29/9
reasonable [1]	requirement [1]	5/19 7/24 14/22 28/2 31/16 32/13	Sherrill [1] 29/1
rebroadcast [1]	31/13 requirements [2]	32/21	shocked [1] 19/13 show [1] 16/6

			1.
S		27/24 termination [1]	type [1] 23/10 types [2] 8/14
showed [1] 26/17	29/20 31/18 32/1 32/7 33/6	32/12 terms [3] 8/23 9/4	23/16 U
significant [1] 24/3	statute [3] 5/19	9/22	U.S [2] 1/13 1/23
similar [3] 8/13	7/8 7/19 statutory [3] 7/14	test [1] 28/11 testing [1] 31/11	u.s.c [7] 2/10 5/19
8/13 10/11 simply [1] 16/6	7/17 32/15 stem [1] 25/14	tests [1] 28/12 thereafter [1]	7/24 28/2 31/16 32/13 32/21
sincerely [1] 15/17 single [2] 25/4	stemming [1] 15/23 step [3] 5/12 5/17	28/12 therefore [3] 13/9	unable [1] 33/2 unavailable [1]
26/1	5/20	28/20 37/8	32/23
sit [1] 26/15 sites [1] 30/1	steps [3] 5/5 5/7 19/15	thinking [1] 26/16 Thomas [2] 25/6	under [2] 14/22 31/21
situation [1] 9/3 situations [1]	still [2] 9/19 33/17	25/11 thoroughly [2] 18/7	undermine [3] 19/1 21/13 21/15
26/20	stopping [1] 9/17	18/10	understated [1]
six [1] 7/9 size [2] 20/14	storage [1] 31/17 Street [1] 1/14	though [4] 6/12 21/20 25/2 31/9	18/23 undertaken [1]
27/10 slight [1] 25/22	stress [1] 26/7	thought [5] 9/8 11/20 12/8 24/5	19/11 unending [1] 3/17
slightly [1] 25/24	strikes [2] 25/18	24/24	UNITED [26] 1/1 1/3
small [1] 36/6 SMS [1] 29/24	27/22 strongly [2] 14/1	thoughts [1] 26/14 threat [1] 13/3	1/10 2/3 6/23 13/21 13/22 14/14 15/7
Snapchat [1] 9/3 social [11] 9/1	14/14 subject [2] 31/19	threaten [1] 12/23 threatening [1]	15/19 18/24 19/3 19/5 19/7 19/11
9/21 12/15 13/9	37/8	15/25	19/16 20/6 20/6
13/24 14/7 14/12 23/2 29/22 29/23	submit [5] 12/3 13/17 14/9 28/11	three [5] 10/5 14/6 23/19 27/25 35/18	20/17 28/15 28/22 29/20 31/18 32/1
30/6 societal [1] 21/3	31/14 submitted [8] 4/9	thus [1] 22/4 thwart [1] 17/1	32/7 33/6 unlawful [2] 16/19
society [6] 13/4	4/17 5/1 9/5 11/15	timeframe [1] 34/7	28/10
16/12 16/16 16/24 17/4 23/12	20/5 23/7 24/5 subsided [1] 3/7	times [2] 11/21 30/23	unlawfully [2] 16/13 28/9
somebody [2] 23/9 27/13	substance [4] 28/9	today [14] 2/7 2/18 3/4 3/6 3/12 3/18	unless [1] 30/18 unpleasant [1] 36/3
someone [1] 13/2	substantial [1]	3/21 4/3 4/5 4/11	unwarranted [2]
sorry [8] 11/5 15/17 15/19 15/21		4/22 4/24 15/13 22/19	8/12 24/4 up [2] 7/11 26/17
15/24 22/23 33/15 35/16	26/10 suffered [1] 20/24	today's [3] 2/12 5/4 6/21	upon [4] 11/22 21/18 24/13 32/11
sort [3] 8/18 8/20 9/16	sufficient [1] 8/1 supervised [1] 7/7	took [3] 18/24 19/4 19/21	
sorts [1] 24/1	supervision [6]	tourists [1] 27/1	use [3] 28/10 29/23
sought [2] 21/13 21/15	28/2 28/4 28/6 28/12 28/14 31/23	tracking [1] 30/20 traffickers [1]	30/4 used [1] 22/22
speak [1] 23/7 speaking [1] 14/2	support [3] 12/9 16/6 16/7	11/25 tragically [1]	V
special [3] 7/5	supposed [1] 16/14	15/23	valiantly [1] 19/8
28/1 29/4 specific [1] 2/19	sure [4] 2/16 5/7 10/15 24/11	transcript [2] 1/9 37/4	vehicle [1] 31/15 victim [1] 28/24
specified [3] 5/19 7/24 30/21	suspect [1] 10/20 suspended [1] 31/11	transfer [1] 35/2 transferred [2]	video [6] 1/9 2/4 2/4 3/4 9/2 29/24
spent [1] 11/11	suspicion [1] 31/22	28/15 34/25	videoconference [3]
st [1] 1/18	Sweet [4] 25/3 25/9 25/19 26/6	21/12	videos [1] 9/21
staff [1] 15/20 stage [1] 5/11	system [1] 30/20	treatment [3] 30/25 32/9 32/12	view [1] 29/23 viewed [1] 13/25
standard [1] 28/4 stands [2] 14/8	T talk [2] 6/13 35/10	tries [1] 16/25	views [1] 3/5 violated [1] 31/22
21/10	talking [1] 17/17	truly [3] 20/12	violation [2] 2/10
start [2] 2/25 5/22 state [1] 28/8	task [1] 31/7 technological [1]	22/21 22/24 trust [1] 16/22	31/24 violence [9] 10/1
stated [2] 6/20 9/23	37/9 technology [1]	try [3] 24/11 25/14 25/24	
STATES [26] 1/1 1/3	30/13	trying [1] 9/9	25/15 27/9
1/10 2/3 6/23 13/21 13/22 14/15 15/7	Temporary [1] 20/15	two [8] 10/5 10/21 14/15 23/18 23/21	violent [6] 9/15 9/18 13/1 19/14
15/19 18/24 19/3 19/5 19/7 19/11	term [6] 11/2 13/7 14/15 22/14 23/19	28/12 34/19 35/21	21/1 22/9 visits [1] 31/1
	, , , , , ,		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

,
oice [1] 12/9
ow [1] 17/7
aiting [2] 3/7
3/19
aive [2] 31/13
34/3 aived [1] 34/1
aived [1] 34/1 aives [1] 28/20
arn [1] 31/18
ashington [9] 1/5
1/15 1/25 12/7 15/16 16/4 27/14
29/2 32/2
ay [6] 10/22 22/2
23/3 27/15 27/17 35/3
eapons [1] 20/5
ear [1] 30/19
ebsites [1] 29/25
eigh [1] 21/5 elcome [1] 4/18
hat's [1] 34/9
hereas [1] 11/1
holeheartedly [2]
14/3 16/2
ish [2] 36/2 36/3
ished [1] 14/24
ithin [6] 17/25 28/11 32/3 32/18
26/11 52/3 52/18 34/12 34/18
ithout [9] 2/21
9/17 26/19 27/5
30/1 30/7 30/15 33/3 35/20
itnessed [2] 9/13
19/16
ords [1] 14/6 ork [3] 10/25
16/15 26/9
orks [1] 23/12
ritten [2] 4/12 5/1
,
ear [1] 14/15 ears [4] 7/11 10/5
23/19 23/21
2
DOM [1] 1/9