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PROCEEDINGS

COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

THE COURT: Good morning.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good morning, everyone.

Please be seated and come to order.

Your Honor, this is Criminal Case 21-139, United States of America versus Rachael Lynn Pert and Dana Joe Winn.

Counsel, please come forward to identify yourselves for the record, starting with the government.

And sorry. On the phone, Probation Officer Aidee Gavito.

MR. REGAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
Brandon Regan on behalf of the United States.
THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Regan.
MR. ORENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor.
Allen Orenberg for Dana Joe Winn, who's present.
THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Orenberg; good morning, Mr. Winn.

MS. HANANIA: Good morning, Your Honor.
Waffa Hanania on behalf of Ms. Pert, who is also present.
THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Hanania; and good morning, Ms. Pert.

All right. We're here for the sentencing of the Defendants Rachael Pert and Dana Joe Winn, who have both
pled guilty to one count of entering and remaining in a restricted building or grounds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1752 (a) (1).

It looks to me like the Presentence Reports for both defendants are substantially identical, really.

So I guess I'm inclined to do the sentencings together.

Mr. Regan, do you have any objection to that?
MR. REGAN: No objection to that, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Hanania?
MS. HANANIA: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And Mr. Orenberg?
MR. ORENBERG: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
I've received and reviewed the presentence investigation reports, the sentencing recommendation, and sentencing memoranda from all parties. Are there any other documents or materials that I should have reviewed?

Mr. Regan?
MR. REGAN: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Hanania?
MS. HANANIA: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And Mr. Orenberg?
MR. ORENBERG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Pert and Mr. Winn, this sentencing hearing will proceed in four steps, some of which may seem a bit mechanical to you, but $I$ want you to keep in mind why we are here today and the gravity of the situation.

You've committed federal crimes. Today's proceeding is a serious matter, as it is about the consequences that you will face because of your decision to engage in criminal behavior, in violation of federal law.

The first step of today's hearing is for me to determine whether you've reviewed the presentence reports and whether there are any outstanding objections to them, and if, so, to resolve those objections.

The second step is to determine what sentencing guidelines and sentencing range applies to your case based upon your criminal history and considering any mitigating or aggravating factors that may warrant a departure under the Sentencing Guidelines manual.

The third step is to hear from the government, from your counsel, and you, if you wish to be heard, about sentencing in this case.

And the final step requires me to fashion a just and fair sentence in light of the factors Congress set forth in 18 U.S.C. $3553(\mathrm{a})$. As part of this last step, the Court will actually impose the sentence, along with the required consequences of the offense.

All right. The final Presentence Investigation Report and sentencing recommendations were filed in both defendants' cases on December 13th, 2021, and all parties submitted their memoranda in aid of sentencing the same day. Both defendants also submitted letters in their support.

Mr. Regan, does the government have any objection to any of the factual determinations set forth in the presentence report?

MR. REGAN: We do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Hanania, have you and Ms. Pert read and discussed the presentence report?

MS. HANANIA: We have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the defendant have any objections to any of the factual statements set forth in the Presentence Report?

MS. HANANIA: Your Honor, the only correction we would offer is that the --

COURT REPORTER: Please come to the lectern.

MS. HANANIA: Your Honor, the only correction we would note, on page 26 when we laid out some information, the PSR indicates that -- or seems to infer that Ms. Pert and Mr. Winn were gassed and then chose to enter the building.

I just wanted to note, in terms of the chronology, when they entered, there was no such gassing or any other
indication of anything like that. Just before they exited the building, there was an area near them where gas was deployed against the people inside the building. And so just in terms of the chronology, we think it's significant for Your Honor in fashioning a sentence, that we make that notation to the facts.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. HANANIA: Other than that, we have no other objections to the facts set out in the PSR. clients had left the building during the gassing, not that it happened beforehand.

MS. HANANIA: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And, ma'am, are you expecting any witnesses today?

MS. HANANIA: No, Your Honor.
Though, of course, Ms. Pert's family is very supportive of her; they didn't have the financial ability to travel, so that's why we submitted a number of letters.

THE COURT: Okay. Which, of course, is just fine.
Mr. Orenberg, have you and Mr. Winn read and discussed the presentence report?

MR. ORENBERG: Yes, we have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Does your client have any objection to

```
THE COURT: Okay.
And I'll tell you, my impression was that your
THE COURT: Okay.
```

And'lle discussed the presentence report?
any of the factual statements set forth in it?
MR. ORENBERG: No, unless -- I apologize, I'm quickly looking through the presentence report. If there's something similar to what Ms. Hanania has said about Ms. Pert, then we would just make the same -- or point out the same chronology to the Court.

THE COURT: Okay.
And do you have witnesses present in the courtroom?

MR. ORENBERG: Well, Your Honor, I would like to introduce my client's family, immediate family is here, they're sitting together, the three of them. On the Court's far left is his mother, Debbie Whytmer, from Sarasota, Florida. And in the middle is his daughter-in-law, Amanda Mincery, from, I believe, the Middleburg area of Florida. And then on the far right of that row is Zachary Covington, his son, who's married to Ms. Mincery, also of Middleburg, Florida.

They may or may not want to address the Court, Your Honor. They want to see how things progressed before they make a final decision.

THE COURT: Okay.
Well, if they do, it can be brief.
MR. ORENBERG: Thank you.
THE COURT: Ms. Pert, could you come forward,
please?

Ma'am, are you fully satisfied with your attorney in this case?

DEFENDANT PERT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you feel you've had enough time to talk to her about the Probation Office's Presentence Report and the papers the government filed in connection with sentencing?

DEFENDANT PERT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
And, Mr. Winn, could you come forward, please.
Mr. Winn, do you feel you've had enough time -well, let me ask you, sir: Are you fully satisfied with your attorney in this case?

DEFENDANT WINN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And do you feel you've had enough time to talk to him about the Probation Office's Presentence Report and the papers the government filed in connection with sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You may be seated.

The Court will accept the facts as stated in the Presentence Reports. The Presentence Reports will serve as my findings of fact for purposes of this sentencing, and I'd
like to thank the probation officer for her work on this.
The Presentence Report lays out the Probation Office's calculation of the advisory guideline range that applies in this case. And as I said, I think the information is identical here for both defendants.

I'll attempt to summarize the calculation as follows:

Congress has imposed a statutory maximum sentence for this offense of one year of imprisonment. The Probation Office says that under Guideline 2B2.3, the Base Offense Level is 4.

Further, pursuant to the same guideline, the offense level is increased by 2, as the trespassing occurred at a secured government facility. The government recommends a two-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility under guideline 3E1.1, bringing us back to a total offense level of 4.

Is there any objection to the calculation of the offense level, Mr. Regan, as to either defendant?

MR. REGAN: Your Honor, one thing I would point out, the government addressed it in the sentencing memorandum, as the Court just stated, the PSR applies the specific offense characteristic for the trespass at a secure government facility in accordance with 2B2.3; however, the specific offense characteristic that applies is the
restricted building or grounds. It's the same offense
level, so there's no change to the guideline score. I just
wanted to note that for the record, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.

Ms. Hanania, any objections to that calculation on
behalf of your client?
MS. HANANIA: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And Mr. Orenberg?

MR. ORENBERG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
Turning next to criminal history, the Presentence
Report estimates that both defendants have an estimated criminal history point of 1 , giving each of them a Criminal History Category I.

The parties agree, based on the total offense
level of 1 and a Criminal History Category of $I$, the Guidelines applicable for each defendant is zero to six months.

Any objection to this calculation, Mr. Regan?
MR. REGAN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Hanania?

MS. HANANIA: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Mr. Orenberg?
MR. ORENBERG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will now discuss the remaining
applicable penalties, which include fines, supervised release, probation, and restitution.

The maximum fine the Court may impose for this offense is $\$ 100,000$. The Court may also impose a term of supervised release of not more than one year.

There's also a mandatory special assessment of $\$ 25$.

The Guidelines Range for a fine is $\$ 500$ to $\$ 9,500$. Each defendant is eligible for up to five years' probation because the offense is a misdemeanor. Under the Guidelines if probation is imposed, the term shall be no more than three years, because the offense level is five or less.

Since the applicable Guidelines Range is in Zone A of the sentencing table, a condition requiring a period of community confinement, home detention, or intermittent confinement may be imposed but is not required. And that's from Guideline 5B1.1.

And I should say, Mr. Regan, I'm happy to hear from you when the time comes. But as I've suggested in other cases, I'm not inclined to give home detention. I would be interested in your views as to whether intermittent confinement, i.e., weekends in jail, is appropriate. So you can be thinking about that.

Under 18 U.S.C. $3663(\mathrm{a})$, restitution in the total
amount of $\$ 500$ shall be ordered against each defendant.
Have I stated accurately the statutory and guidelines framework under which we are operating in regard to this case?

Mr. Regan?
MR. REGAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Hanania?

MS. HANANIA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Orenberg?
MR. ORENBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I also believe I'm correct in saying that no party is seeking a departure in this case.

Mr. Regan?
MR. REGAN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Hanania?

MS. HANANIA: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Mr. Orenberg?
MR. ORENBERG: Correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Before $I$ discuss the other sentencing factors that will bear on my final decision, $I$ will, at this point, share the particular sentence the Probation Office has recommended, taken into account the Guidelines sentence, the available sentences, and all of the factors set forth in 3553 (a).

Probation has recommended a sentence of no time in
prison, no supervised release, three years of probation, no fine, $\$ 500$ in restitution, and $\$ 25$ fine. And that's the same for both defendants.

The recommendation of the Probation Office is based solely on the facts and circumstances contained in the Presentence Report.

I must now consider the relevant factors that Congress set out in 18 U.S.C. $3553(a)$ to ensure that the Court imposes a sentence that is sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of sentencing. These purposes include the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense. The sentence should also afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from future crimes of the defendant, and promote rehabilitation.

In addition to the Guidelines and policy statements, I must consider the nature and circumstances of the offense, the history and characteristics of the defendant, the need for the sentence imposed, the guideline ranges, the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct, and the types of sentences available.

All right. I would like to hear from the
attorneys in a moment, but, Mr. Orenberg, I think if one of the family members would like to speak, now is the time.

MR. ORENBERG: Where should he come?

THE COURT: He can approach the podium.

Good morning, sir.
MR. COVINGTON: Good morning.

THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, sir.

MR. COVINGTON: Zachary Covington.

THE COURT: Okay.

And remind me of your relation to Mr. Winn.
MR. COVINGTON: He's my father.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. COVINGTON: I just want to point out, even though, you know, he should not have went into the building, he has done a lot of great things for his community. He's been a wrestling coach for my high school I went to.

A couple of the other programs $I$ was in, he did a lot of work with a bunch of kids, teaching them how to do a bunch of -- helping them out with school. You know, getting them -- there were some kids that weren't on a good path in life, pretty much got them straightened out.

And he's helped the community out a lot. He's got a great job that he has. He does dang good work with all that.

He's an all-around good guy. He's not really the type of person to get in trouble a lot.

I've wrote a letter; I'm not good at speaking.

THE COURT: No. I appreciate your letter, and I appreciate you being here, sir. I'll tell you it's actually pretty unusual for family members to come to sentencing. So it speaks well of him and certainly of you and your other family members.

MR. COVINGTON: He's part of the family; you've got to stick with him.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being here, sir.

MR. COVINGTON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Regan, does the government wish to be heard on the application of factors set forth under $3553(a)$, request a variance, or otherwise make a sentencing recommendation?

MR. REGAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly.
Your Honor, to start, like the government set forth in our memorandum, we're requesting the court sentence both defendants to three months' home detention, 24 months of probation, 40 hours of community service, and $\$ 500$ in restitution per the plea agreement.

To address the Court's question moments ago, understanding that the Court may not be inclined to issue
home confinement, the government is not opposed to a weekends-in-jail-type sentence; however, the government thinks it might be more appropriate in this case to have just a longer period of probation, perhaps 36 months, as opposed to 24 months, with respect to the actions that they took on January 6th.

As the Court set out, the purpose of sentencing in 18 U.S.C. $3553(\mathrm{a})$ is to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide a just punishment, deterrence, and protect the public from further crimes.

The government is not going to belabor the points we made in our memorandum, just to point a couple of things out for Your Honor: When talking about the seriousness of the offense, there's essentially two viewpoints to analyze in the construct of these cases. The first is January 6th as a whole, what happened that day, and then the role that these two defendants specifically played in it.

As we state in our memo, the events of January 6th were incredibly troubling and unlike anything we've seen in modern American history. The defendants, concededly among thousands of others, stormed and breached the United States Capitol, which is the very seat of American democracy, on a day where that democratic process was doing one of the most sacred things it can, which is assure the efficient
transition of power from one President to the next. And the rioters, to include the defendants, succeeded in temporarily halting that process: The Electoral College vote.

And what may have started as an event of political expression for some, quickly turned into an event that threatened democracy in this country on January 6th.

And the government cannot understate the significance of what happened on January 6th. And each and every rioter played a role in what happened on January 6th. And I think as the Court has seen in other cases, what the government is trying to do now is delineate what each of those defendants did and sort of what their culpability is that day.

So with respect to these two defendants, the government was careful to delineate these two specifically, as opposed to not only other rioters but other rioters around them, and then the types of misconduct committed by rioters that day.

This included both defendants entering the building as the riot was ongoing. And I don't disagree with opposing counsel about there was no tear gassing that the government knows about as they entered the building, that was actually while they were exiting the building, walking through several sections of the Capitol and then exiting the
building.
Some important facts to note from the government's brief: The defendants made several statements that day that are helpful to inform the Court of both their sentencing decision here today but what was going through the defendants' minds.

After exiting the building, the defendants had the following exchange: Mr. Pert [sic] stated, they said we stopped the vote -- excuse me, Ms. Pert stated, they said we stopped the vote. Mr. Winn stated, we stopped the vote? Ms. Pert stated, that's what they said. Some people were saying, we did stop the vote. And Mr. Winn responded: Isn't that what we were trying to do?

Now, the government is not suggesting that these two individuals had been conspiring and planning for weeks beforehand and that they were fully informed of the constitutional process and methods they may have had at their disposal in order to halt that process.

What the government is suggesting, though, is that these two defendants were not completely naive to what was happening that day. Maybe they didn't understand the constitutional import of it, but they were there that day for a specific reason. And when they made it to the Capitol, it was known to them what was happening and what it is that the group of rioters there that day, to include
them, was trying to do.
When Ms. Pert says, some people were saying, we did stop the vote, and Mr. Winn replies, isn't that what we were trying to do, you get a pretty clear snapshot of what's happening in that moment in time, right after they exit the building, when they're sort of rejoicing with other rioters about what had happened.

It also provides context to what the defendants were experiencing during the riot. They were a part of a large crowd of rioters, admittedly very large crowd inside the building, and they were in a place in the building where tear gas had been deployed to get people out of the building.

A clear sign that they were not supposed to be in the building, that they knew they weren't supposed to be in the building, and an overall indicator of the chaos unfolding inside the United States Capitol that day, both specifically where they were, and then, generally speaking, the throngs of rioters in the building as law enforcement struggled to maintain any sense of order.

Now, to be clear, the government is not alleging that either defendant took part in violence or destroyed any property on January 6th. And the government agrees with some of the things the defense said in their memorandums with respect to both defendants were cooperative with law
enforcement. They provided voluntary and candid interviews, and they pled guilty at the first available opportunity, and the government notes those and believes the Court should as well.

Now, both defendants also have limited criminal history, and the government considered all of these factors when trying to fashion a sentence.

As the Court can see in the appendix to the government's sentencing memo, the government has also considered sentencing recommendations and ultimate sentences of other rioters with similar factual predicates. And that is really the largest burden the government has, is trying to encapsulate all of these cases and then consistently recommend a consistent sentence based on consistent actions.

Now, the government wants to be clear: Every single case is unique. There are no two cases that are entirely based on the same factual predicate. And every defendant is unique, every defendant has different criminal histories, different reasons for being there that day, at least stated, and then different actions after the fact, whether it's levels of contrition, cooperativeness with the FBI and the government and so forth.

All of that to say, the government is making a concerted effort to be consistent with its sentencing recommendations in all Capitol riot cases, and we're trying
to do that here as well, Your Honor.
Here, the government believes its recommendation of three months' home detention, 24 months of probation, or, alternatively, 36 months of probation, should the Court deem home detention not appropriate, in addition to 40 hours of community service and $\$ 500$ in restitution, adequate because it reflects the seriousness of the offense, both as a group of January 6th rioters, and then these two individual defendants; it promotes respect for the law; it provides just punishment; and, importantly, it provides a certain measure of both specific deterrence, as well as general deterrence, which the government believes is exceedingly important in these cases as we move into another political cycle where we may again see a transition of power or we may not.

But just generally speaking, what the Court does in these cases resonates throughout the country, and the government believes that ordering a sentence that is being requested here has just that effect, both on these defendants and the general populous. So the government's asking for that sentence to help ensure the events of January 6th are a one-time event, and that these defendants are punished in accordance with what they actually did that day. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Regan.

Ms. Hanania, do you wish to be heard on the application of factors set forth in $3553(a)$, request a variance, or otherwise make a sentencing recommendation?

MS. HANANIA: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

Your Honor, it is Ms. Pert's position, we would submit to the Court, that the government's recommendation is greater than necessary to achieve the purposes of sentencing.

I'll be clear, we don't have any objection and are in agreement with the Court imposing community service hours. We would submit that that's an appropriate part of the consequences that Ms. Pert should face as a result of her actions that day, as well, of course, as paying the $\$ 500$ in restitution that she's agreed to do.

We would also note for Your Honor's consideration that that $\$ 500$ restitution is a significant penalty for Ms. Pert to undergo, given her financial situation.

She does work full time. She's a very hard worker. She's worked all her life. She supports two young children and helps to support an adult son as well.

She's now working full time as a construction worker at Black Creek Builders. Her day consists of getting up, taking her two young children to school, going to her boss's house, and they together travel to the construction site -- the job site for the day.

Her day goes from 8:00 to 6:30 or later, and that's during this season when construction isn't as full board as it would be. In the spring and summer, that load goes up.

So even with that now full-time job, she, unfortunately, fell into a bit of a financial hole as a result of the consequences of her actions of this case, which is another penalty that she's paid already.

She had been working as an assistant manager at a convenience store. She lost that job as a consequence of her actions on January 6th.

And she unfortunately was several months without work as a result of that.

She has, thankfully, started again full-time employment, but any additional fine would simply be beyond her ability to pay. And so that $\$ 500$ in restitution, she will scrimp and save and do everything, she will pay that off. But $I$ just ask the Court to note that it will be a significant burden on her to do that. And that is part of the penalty that she's going to be paying. And she undertakes that and understands that it's her responsibility to do that.

But as far as the length of supervision that the government is recommending, whether it be the 24 months or the 36 months, Your Honor, we would argue that is greater
than necessary, given Ms. Pert's particular history and characteristics, as well as her particular involvement in this case.

In support of that, we would point to the Pretrial Services Compliance Report. Ms. Pert has, for nearly a year now, been under the conditions of release which restricted her freedom in some ways. She reported regularly to a Pretrial Services Officer. She had to undergo regular testing. She was required to go through some treatment. And she has complied fully with all of those.

That history of compliance, we would argue, Your Honor, shows that not only is she going to comply completely with whatever Your Honor's sentence is in this case, but also shows that a greater -- another two or three years on top of the year that she's already served -- or not served -- complied with the conditions would be greater than necessary, which is why we're recommending 12 months of probation with the conditions outlined as part of the penalty in this case.

THE COURT: What's the burden on her, kind of an ongoing burden in terms of probation? And I guess I've typically been a little skeptical of long periods of probation on misdemeanants, but, you know, your client does have a criminal history; it's not a lengthy criminal history, but it's certainly not spotless either. And most
of the misdemeanants I've sentenced have less. MS. HANANIA: Yes, sir. When you -- and just to make sure that I understand Your Honor's question, when you ask what the burden is to her, the concern for her -- if it's simply a period of probation, Your Honor, without home confinement or anything else, her entire life revolves around her two children and -- her two young children and her work. She, every week, helps lead a Cub Scout group on Thursday nights.

In spring and summer, she -- both of her young children participate in soccer. And so between practices and games, that's twice a week that -- two times a week, on Wednesdays and Sundays, she attends church with her children. And aside from working, that's all she does.

The burdens of a lengthy period of probation would just be in terms of her inability to feel free to do everything that she needs to do for her children, feel free to do everything she needs to do in terms of her work, as well as limits her financial circumstances.

THE COURT: I don't think I understand that. MS. HANANIA: In terms of whatever other
additional conditions or additional requirements that Probation may ask of her, she's stretched so thin already, that any further output in terms of any regular reporting, because of where she lives, she'd be supervised from

Jacksonville and she lives in Middleburg. It's not an incredibly long distance, but it is significant travel for her away from work, away from her children for any reporting requirements or testing requirements, especially if it were to go on for more than -- for as long as two years. So that's what we would submit to Your Honor in terms of the burden it would impose on her.

Your Honor, I would also note that Ms. Pert certainly did not have an understanding of the electoral process. I think we laid out in my sentencing memorandum Ms. Pert's particular motivations.

She had no idea in her head that she was even going to attend the rally. This was something that was important to Mr. Winn. He had made arrangements to go with a friend, and when that fell through, she did not want him to make that trip alone.

And so instead of working as she was scheduled to do, she arranged for coverage of her work shift and arranged for the care of her children and went on what she thought was going to be just a fun couple of days. And while their actions are largely the same, their motivations for being there are not, and in this context, we would argue that that's of some significance.

As Mr. Regan has noted, she went to the rally without any plan or purpose other than to go with Mr. Winn
and to attend the rally.
There had been no prior communication, no social media, no plan or preparation.

And we would reiterate the fact that she did not -- neither of them did -- engage in any violent act or destruction of property before they entered, while they were in there, or on their exit.

They were in there for a very short period of time. And as we've noted, the only indication they had was, as they were nearing their exit to the building, they walked through an area in which tear gas had been deployed.

Ms. Pert has acknowledged that her actions take place within a larger context. She knows that there are many people there with many different reasons to be there. And I can just submit to Your Honor that she has expressed her remorse and regret throughout this case, both to me, to the people in my office, and she's going to do that to Your Honor.

One of the things that she's talked to me about that she does is to talk with her children about the consequences of what you do. And she's been honest with them about this. She's told them why she's here today, in spite of their age. And she said to them, mommy did a bad thing, she didn't mean to, but she did a bad thing, and she's going to go and get whatever the consequences of that
are. And that's what you have to remember, is that, for whatever action you take, there can be good consequences or bad consequences, and mommy has to go now to face those consequences, and they might not be good.

But she accepts that, because now in hindsight, having seen everything that occurred, things that she didn't see while she was there, but having seen everything that occurred and having understood that her role, no matter how unintended, helped provide numbers and cover for people who really truly did have very bad intentions when they entered that place. And she recognizes that now. And she's so regretful and so remorseful for her role in providing that to those people.

When they returned home, and having been contacted by law enforcement, and, of course, by that time, having seen everything that occurred, they both, but specifically for my purposes, Ms. Pert, voluntarily agreed to sit down with law enforcement officers, they answered their questions candidly and straightforwardly and honestly, they showed remorse then and accountability and respect for those officers and for the process of law. And Ms. Pert does so again here today. She understands she shouldn't have been there, but she can't undo that at this stage.

She will have to live with this for the rest of her life. She will be -- have this conviction now on her
record. And already she's faced the censure of people close to her for her actions that day.

But we would argue that given the entirety of her history and the entirety of her actions that day, that 12 months of probation with community service and that restitution is sufficient to address all the purposes of sentencing here today.

She's a devoted mother, a hard worker, an esteemed member of her community. The letters that we submitted, Your Honor, show the regard with which she's held within her own community.

And for all of these reasons, we would ask that Your Honor sentence her to 12 months of probation with the conditions that I've outlined. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
Ms. Pert, I'll hear from you now, if you wish to be heard; you certainly don't need to. But if there's anything you'd like to tell me before $I$ impose sentence, now is your opportunity.

DEFENDANT PERT: Your Honor, Congress, federal prosecutor, federal defender, and United States citizens, I am guilty of the charge set before me.

I went into the United States Capitol without researching current laws and restrictions. It was not my intentions to cause any damages; however, in hindsight,

I did. By my presence, I allowed others to cause damages. I am saddened and ashamed when $I$ think of my actions and the actions of others that day.

I went to the Stop the Steal rally but did not intend to go to the Capitol; however, I did. I followed the crowd up the steps and in through open doors into the Capitol. I got caught up in the moment and was wrong for doing so.

I have three sons: A 20-year-old, who's grown into a respectable, honest, hard-working young man, who is still seeking stability in life; the other two, who are ten and seven.

I have always taught my sons to take accountability for their actions, but every action has a reaction or consequence. I have been involved in volunteering, Boy Scouts, and soccer. I have done my best to lead by example. I am ashamed that my actions on that day showed such a bad example to my children.

I have raised my children to be honest, no matter the situation. I've raised them to follow the law.

They may not get everything right in life and often make mistakes, but I accept responsibility for what I do and teach my children to learn from my mistakes.

When the FBI came to my home, my two younger children were home, as they did not have school that day.

They did not understand what was going on. I explained to my children to the best of my ability what was going on.

I know I was wrong for my actions on January 6th and answered questions, gave items worn, and gave access to my phone without counsel present.

I later turned myself in. I did these things because I respect the law and law enforcement.

The two younger children rely solely upon myself. They depend on me, they look up to me, it is my job to teach them right from wrong.

I am here today to admit to my wrongdoings. I should not have gone into the United States Capitol. I should not have gotten caught up in the rhetoric of the Stop the Steal rally. I should not have unknowingly provided cover for the more violent offenders.

I cannot undo what is already done. January 6th is a day I wish had never happened. My two younger children are scared. They're scared I may go to jail, and they wonder who is going to take care of them.

I have been the only constant in their lives since birth. I lost my job as assistant manager and was without work for months. I received harassing phone calls and lost friends. My social media account was banned, along with my oldest son's, my bank closed my account, along with my oldest's son, with no explanation.

I do not understand why my oldest son's social media account and bank account was closed, other than the fact he is my son.

My oldest did not go to D.C., yet he was punished for my actions. These are things I do not wish to experience again, nor do $I$ wish for any of my children to experience.

I have learned from my mistakes on that day. The biggest mistake $I$ made was standing in the way of $a$ peaceful transition of power from one President to the next. I know that the peaceful transition of power is to ensure the common good for our nation and that it is critical in protecting our country's security needs. I am truly sorry for my part and accept full responsibility for my actions.

I'm hopeful that my sons and others will learn from my mistakes on January 6th. I am ready to accept my punishment and any sentence Your Honor chooses to apply.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may have a seat.

Mr. Orenberg, do you wish to be heard on the application of factors set forth under $3553(a)$, request a variance, or otherwise make a sentencing recommendation?

MR. ORENBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
It's somewhat of an unusual sentencing when two co-defendants come together in this kind of posture where
they're literally joined at the hip.

And I was sitting here and listening to Mr. Regan and to Ms. Hanania, what they were saying.

And I would like to, first of all, say, I want to thank government counsel for being extremely professional throughout these proceedings. From the minute $I$ met him in this case until today, I have nothing but the utmost respect for government counsel. It's been a pleasure to work with Ms. Hanania.

Also in the same light, I was trying -- I was prepared to talk -- I am prepared to talk for 10 or 15 minutes about my client, but much of what both of these wonderful attorneys have said to the court already directly apply to my client.

So as I was sitting here and thinking about what they were saying and what $I$ could say that is a little bit different with respect to Mr. Winn, it drew me back to a quote that $I$ heard many, many years ago, long before Lin-Manuel Miranda made Hamilton, shall we say, famous in the last five or six years, there's a quote where Alexander Hamilton said, "A man of quality is best seen at bad times, because the clouds that surround him are shades that set off his good qualities." And that's exactly what's happened with Mr. Winn here.

He made a mistake back on January 6th. He was not
naive, okay? He came to Washington to participate in the rally. And he found himself, along with Ms. Pert, hand in hand walking to the Capitol, into the Capitol. We're not disputing the facts; we're not quibbling with what happened that day.

And that was a bad time for Mr. Winn, but should the rest of his life -- should this man, who's 46 years old, should the rest of his life be discounted? I say not, as Alexander Hamilton said, that "there are shades that set off his good qualities."

The Court has already heard a little bit about my client, not only from Mr. Regan but in my sentencing memorandum and in the Presentence Report.

And the Court also mentioned that it's -- I think the Court said it's unusual that family shows up at a sentencing, whether it's a sentencing for a misdemeanor or it's a sentencing where, perhaps, the client is facing decades in prison.

And I agree with you, Your Honor. I've been practicing before this court and other courts in this area for many, many years, and it's not every sentencing hearing that family members show up.

And these three family members, they don't live here in the Washington Metropolitan area. They live in Florida. His mother lives in Sarasota, Florida. His son
and daughter-in-law live in Middleburg. And they -- well, obviously they love him and they support him. And they made the long, 10-, 11-hour drive yesterday with him up here so they could be here today to support him. And they will continue to support and love him even after today.

There are maybe good qualities about Mr. Winn, and I'm just going to touch on them briefly. He's been hard-working all of his life. The Court can see from the report and from my memo that he has been continuously employed in the -- except for a very short time as a glassblower for a milk company, making milk bottles, he has been in the construction business. He works hard.

This is the first time I've met Mr. Winn in person. Obviously, we have talked on the phone; we've done many Zoom meetings together.

And, you know, I think I'm at a point in my career where I'm able to take the measure of a man right away. But when I first met Mr. Winn this morning in person for the first time, is I noticed his hands, and his hands are those of a hard worker. They're brown, they're rutty, they're well-worked. I can tell this man works hard every day. He goes to work, he supports his family, he takes care of himself, and he does all the things that a responsible member of his community should do.

You heard from his son, he's well thought of,
whether he was a wrestling coach for his son's team and he contributes to other activities in the community, he's a very well thought of individual in the Middleburg, Florida, community.

Your Honor, obviously, we're asking for the same sentence that counsel for Ms. Pert is asking for also: 12 months of probation. He has been under supervision for the last 11 months now or so. As I pointed out in my sentencing memoranda, for the first week or eight days, he was on the GPS monitoring system.

And he has been extremely compliant with all conditions of release. He has shown the Court that he's a man that can be trusted with whatever conditions this Court imposes on him, including the fine, the $\$ 500$. Even though he works, he's a hard worker, the $\$ 500$ is going to be a little tough for him also. I'm not saying it's going to be insurmountable, but he works hard for every dollar that he earns.

I put in my sentencing memoranda, and the government pointed out several other cases that are similar in facts and nature to the cases of Mr. Winn and Ms. Pert, where a period of short probation has been imposed by members of this Court. In fact, there was one recently a couple weeks ago, maybe less than two weeks ago, in the Jennifer Parks case on December 8th, where the government
asked for 30 days of home detention, and the court imposed -- Judge Nichols imposed a period of 24 months' probation. There are many other examples. I know this Court is familiar with all the other sentencings going on in this courthouse with relation to January 6th cases.

We are asking for a short period of probation. I think that Mr. Winn has shown this Court -- and also, I failed to mention, he, too, voluntarily was interviewed by the FBI prior to his arrest in this case, okay? He's been extremely cooperative with law enforcement at every stage of the proceedings. There's nothing to suggest that he would otherwise be a good probationer. And we believe that a short period of probation is appropriate in this case. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Orenberg.

Mr. Winn, you have the right to make a statement or present any evidence -- or any information to mitigate the sentence. Would you like to say anything that you would like me to consider before imposing sentence?

DEFENDANT WINN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Approach the podium, please, sir.

DEFENDANT WINN: Your Honor, I do have to start off with the fact $I$ don't have anything prepared.

I was instructed by my attorney to write something
out and send it to him; that way he could proofread it. But I also am the type that, well, I need to say it from my heart, from who $I$ am, shows judge of character.

I do have to start off with saying -- apologizing to everybody in this room and the American citizens and all. I did make a mistake on January 6th by entering the Capitol building. I had no intentions on going into the Capitol. I did have intentions of coming up and participating in the Steal rally, you know, come watch Trump. He had no incitement on me to go into the capitol in any way, shape, or form.

We did walk over towards the Capitol. And it just kind of blew my mind when $I$ was standing there in the grass, as many other people was, all of a sudden, pressure grenades were going off, $I$ saw people getting shot by rubber bullets. We were just standing there, not even doing anything. And then that's when things got heated up. And that's when everybody just kind of, in the heat of the moment. But then everybody was also holding the doors open.

It definitely was a bad judgment call going in.
And I do apologize. I do hold myself accountable. That's one thing $I$ was always taught growing up. I had a great mentor, which was my father. And unfortunately, he's no longer with us. With my mom, I have a great support through her as well.

And I agree with both Mr. Orenberg and Ms. Hanania as far as the sentencing part of it. The sooner it's over, the better. And the reason I say this is, right now I'm going through the most punishment that anybody can ever go through.

This past February I lost my father. I was with that man every day for 45 years of my life. And the way I lost him is -- there's no kind of words for it. I had meant to bring his medical record with me so I could show it, but he did end up with COVID, they put him on a ventilator. It shows in his records that the ventilator was installed improperly on the 8th of February. And it states in the record that on the 14 th of February, they were going to re-adjust it. Well, on the 14 th of February, which is Valentine's Day, is when they talked me into taking my dad off the ventilator and let him pass.

Prior to all that, I was calling attorneys all through the state of Florida trying to invoke the Right to Try Act of 2018 to try and use other therapeutics and things like that. I was fighting with the doctors. I need to work on me again, and I respectfully request to please get this over as quick as possible.

I have no issues paying the $\$ 500$ fine. Granted, my job right now, it's gone from something I love and adore to complete misery, due to the fact of the whole COVID
situation.

You know, I'm superintendent for a builder. Normally I could build a house between 90 and 120 days, which is pretty good. Now, it's taken anywhere from six months to a year. So my finances have been dramatically hit hard.

And it's just -- every day, it's misery, misery. I'm ready for positivity again; I'm ready to get back to where Dana used to be. And, again, I do apologize for entering the Capitol. And also it states within our video, as soon as I crossed that threshold, I said, we're here to be peaceful, and do not be destroying anything. I used different choices of words, but I'll keep it court -- for the Court's, you know.

But other than that, $I$ do have a great support system, as you see behind me. They're trying to get through this whole thing with my dad. That there is just the killer. I mean, I just, every day, from the time I wake up until the time $I$ go to sleep, it's just -- I'm ready to find Dana again. And I feel like I'm finding him a lot quicker, the quicker I can get this off my plate and start concentrating directly on myself.

Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have anything else.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

Ms. Pert, could you approach; sir, you can remain at the podium.

MR. ORENBERG: Do you want us to remain there?
THE COURT: Yes.

All right. I've assessed the particular facts of this case in light of the relevant $3553(a)$ factors, including the Sentencing Guidelines, and I now am going to provide remarks for the record and for each of you about my considerations in regard to the nature of the offense and your history and characteristics.

As I've said before, I think you participated in a shameful event, a national embarrassment that made all of us feel less safe, less confident that our country can be governed democratically, rather than by mob rule.

The government has highlighted several troubling facts about your particular actions on January 6th. Your videoed comments right after leaving the capitol suggest that you didn't just follow the crowd in, but that you were trying to storm them to stop the vote, to use Ms. Pert's words.

It is shocking that you believed you had the right to use force and violence to stop the constitutionally mandated certification process from being carried out.

I understand there were differing views about the election and who won, and you're entitled to your views.

You're not, however, entitled to take the law into your own hands, and yet that's what you did on January 6th.

Second, there's at least some evidence that you came prepared for violence.

Mr. Winn, you suggested that Ms. Pert brought her flag, that way $I$ can hit Antifa in the head, if need be. That doesn't sound to me like you're coming just for a political rally and got swept up into something more sinister. It sounds to me like you came looking for trouble.

Finally, you both spent over half an hour in the Capitol building. This sets you apart from several rioters I've sentenced who entered into the Capitol building and quickly left, realizing they shouldn't be there. But you heard the alarms, saw the police, and, to use Ms. Pert's words, they started tear gassing and forcing you out before you left. That's another aggravating factor here.

On the other hand, $I$ know that you didn't assault anyone, that you didn't damage any property, and I do believe you had no intent of breaking into the Capitol building when you woke up on January 6th.

Those are important mitigating factors in your favor. But $I$ hope you also see that when people allow themselves to get swept up into a mob, they end up creating chaos and lawlessness that the vast majority of those people
individually never would have caused or chosen to do. That's the danger of mobs. Ms. Pert, as you said a few moments ago, I think you did get caught up in the moment, and unfortunately, people can do some very bad things when they get caught up in the moment.

I've also considered your history and characteristics. While neither of you have a lengthy criminal history, this is not your first brush with the law either. I'm much more inclined to give someone a break who has no prior convictions, but you both have misdemeanor convictions, including relatively recent assault convictions.

I've also considered the various facts in your favor, including the letters and testimonials on your behalf, suggesting that you're -- that this conduct was an aberration for you. And I recognize the fact that you're both gainfully employed and are contributing to society. I also have taken into account, Mr. Winn, your son's testimony here today.

Finally, I give you credit for early acceptance of responsibility. I also agree with the attorneys that your history on pretrial supervision in this case speaks well in your favor.

I am going to give slightly different sentences here. I think -- I do believe that Mr. Winn was a bit of
the protagonist here, at least in suggesting that you come. And, frankly, I think I detect a greater degree of remorse, frankly, from Ms. Pert than $I$ do from Mr. Winn.

And, finally, I have taken into account your own desires, and particularly Mr. Winn's desire to get this over quickly.

Having considered all of the 3553(a) factors, I'm cognizant that I must fashion a sentence that is sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of sentencing.

I'll now impose the sentences.
It is the judgment of the Court that you, Rachael Pert, are hereby sentenced to a term of 24 months' probation on Count 2. You're further sentenced to pay $\$ 500$ in restitution, and to pay a $\$ 25$ Special Assessment.

While on probation, you shall abide by the following mandatory conditions, as well as the standard conditions of supervision which were imposed to establish the basic expectations for your conduct while on supervision.

The mandatory conditions include:
You must not commit another federal, state, or local crime; you must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance; you must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance, including marijuana; you must submit
one drug test within 15 days of placement on supervision, and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the Court; you must cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer; you must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 3663 and $3663(a)$ or any other statute authorizing a sentence of restitution.

I will be authorizing supervision and jurisdiction of this case to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.

You shall comply with the following special conditions:

You must provide the probation officer access to any requested financial information and authorize the release of any financial information. The Probation Office may share financial information with the United States Attorney's Office.

You must not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer.

You must also complete 100 hours of community service within the first 12 months of your probation. The probation officer will supervise the participation in the program by approving the program. You must provide written verification of completed hours to the Probation Office.

The Court finds that you do not have the ability to pay a fine, and, therefore, waives imposition of a fine in this case. However, you are ordered to make restitution to the Architect of the Capitol in the amount of $\$ 500$.

The Court determines that you do not have the ability to pay interest and, therefore, waives any interest or penalties that may accrue on the balance.

Restitution payments shall be made to the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia for disbursement to the Architect of the Capitol.

You shall pay the balance of any restitution owed at a rate of no less than $\$ 50$ each month and provide verification to the Probation Office.

The Probation Office shall release the Presentence Investigation Report to all appropriate agencies, which includes the United States Probation Office in the approved district of residence, in order to execute the sentence of the Court. Treatment agencies shall return the presentence report to the Probation Office upon your completion or termination from treatment.

It is the judgment of the Court that you, Dana Joe Winn, are hereby sentenced to a term of 12 months' probation as to Count 2. You're further sentenced to pay $\$ 500$ in restitution and to pay a $\$ 25$ Special Assessment.

As a condition of your probation, you must also
serve a total of ten days of intermittent confinement. The intermittent confinement shall be served on consecutive weekends at a facility designated by the Bureau of Prisons within the first year of your probation. You must follow rules and regulations of your facility in which you're designated.

While on probation, you shall abide by the following mandatory conditions, as well as the standard conditions of supervision, which are imposed to establish the basic expectations of your conduct while on supervision.

The mandatory conditions include: You must not commit another federal, state, or local crime; you must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance; you must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance; you must -including marijuana; you must submit to one drug test within 15 days of placement on supervision and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the Court; you must cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer; you must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 3663 and $3663(a)$, or any other statute authorizing a sentence of restitution.

I will also be authorizing supervision and jurisdiction of this case to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.

You should comply with the following special
conditions:

You must provide the probation officer access to any requested financial information and authorize the release of any financial information.

The Probation Office may share financial information with the United States Attorney's Office.

You must not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer.

You must complete 100 hours of community service within the first 12 months of your probation, and your probation is only for 12 months.

The probation officer will supervise the participation in the program by approving the program.

You must provide written verification of completed hours to the probation officer.

The Court finds that you do not have the ability to pay a fine, and, therefore, waives imposition of a fine in this case.

You're ordered to make restitution to the Architect of the Capitol in the amount of $\$ 500$.

The Court determines that you do not have the ability to pay interest and, therefore, waives any interest or penalties that may accrue on the balance.

Restitution payments shall be made to the Clerk of
the Court for the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia for disbursement to the Architect of the Capitol.

You shall pay the balance of any restitution owed at a rate of no less than $\$ 50$ each month and provide verification of the same to the Probation Office.

The Probation Office shall release the Presentence Investigation Report to all appropriate agencies, which includes the United States Probation Office in the approved district of residence, in order to execute the sentence of the Court.

Treatment agencies shall return the Presentence Report to the Probation Office upon your completion or termination from treatment.

I advise both defendants, pursuant to United States Code 3742, Title 18: You have a right to appeal the sentence imposed by this Court if you believe the sentence was imposed in violation of law, was imposed as a result of an incorrect application of the Sentencing Guidelines, the sentence departs upward from the applicable sentencing Guidelines Range, or the sentence was imposed for an offense for which there's no sentencing guideline and is plainly unreasonable. If you choose to appeal, you must file any appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment.

As defined in 28 U.S.C. 2255, you also have the
right to challenge the conviction entered or sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or on a claim that you received ineffective assistance of counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the offense of conviction or in connection with sentencing. If you're unable to afford the cost of an appeal, you may request permission from the Court to file an appeal without cost to you.

Pursuant to United States versus Hunter, 809 F.3d 677 from the D.C. Circuit, are there any objections to the sentence imposed that are already not noted on the record?

Mr. Regan?
MR. REGAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have a motion, sir?

MR. REGAN: Yes, Your Honor. The government moves to dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment.

THE COURT: All right.

Ms. Hanania, do you have any objections that are not noted?

MS. HANANIA: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you have any objection to the government's motion?

MS. HANANIA: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Orenberg, do you have any
objections to the sentence imposed that are not already noted on the record?

MR. ORENBERG: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And do you have any objection to the government's motion?

MR. ORENBERG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

I, therefore, am dismissing the remaining counts of the indictment.

Good luck to you, folks. Thank you.
MR. ORENBERG: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ORENBERG: I'm sorry, we do have one other housekeeping matter --

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ORENBERG: Just for Mr. Winn.
THE COURT: Okay.
Thank you, folks.
MS. HANANIA: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. ORENBERG: He's asking for the Court's
permission to be with his mother in Sarasota, Florida, which is, $I$ don't know, 100 miles from Middleburg.

DEFENDANT WINN: It's approximately three and a half hours.

MR. ORENBERG: Three and a half hours, yeah, for
the Christmas holidays. He's indicated to me that the probation officer down there said, get permission from the Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

Ms. Gavito, are you still with us?

PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor, I'm here. THE COURT: Do you have any concern with that? PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, I'm going to contact Mr. Winn. Mr. Winn is the one that requested it, right?

THE COURT: Yes.

PROBATION OFFICER: Okay.

I'm going to contact Mr. Winn, because, as of
today, he is on probation, he is going to be assigned to a probation officer.

I need to advise him of his conditions of probation. And he would need to report to his new probation officer and go through any requirements that that officer may have. I wouldn't want to speak on behalf of another officer who's going to get this case assigned.

THE COURT: Okay.

Do you have any objection, Mr. Regan?

MR. REGAN: No, Your Honor.

And I'm happy to work with Mr. Orenberg offline once the probation officer is assigned to try and get that
accommodation made for Christmas.
THE COURT: Okay.
I'm fine with it. I don't know if --
MR. ORENBERG: That's fine.
I do have one follow-up question. This being the first sentencing hearing I've had in the pandemic era where the clients are released from the courtroom, do we still need to go to the Probation Office here or do we do this all by telephone?

THE COURT: I don't know.
Ms. Gavito?
PROBATION OFFICER: I am going to contact each Mr. Winn and Ms. Pert separately and go over their conditions of probation separately by telephone. They don't need to go to the Probation Office.

MR. ORENBERG: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right.
So, Mr. Orenberg, on the request for Christmas, I'm going to assume you all can work this out. If you can't, I need specific language that you want me to order and you can file a motion for that.

MR. ORENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
I understand.
THE COURT: But I think it's better we have that on the record about an address or what have you.

MR. ORENBERG: All right. Got it. Thank you. THE COURT: Thanks, folks. Good luck to you all. COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

This Honorable Court will stand in recess until the return of court.
(Proceedings concluded at 12:12 p.m.)
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| /22 44/17 45/13 47/3 | basic [2] 45/19 48/10 | bottles [1] 36/11 | 25/3 25/14 25/19 28/16 | coach [2] 15/17 37 |
| 8/9 51/10 51/11 | be [56] 3/5 5/19 8/23 | Boy [1] 31/16 | 34/7 37/25 38/9 38/13 | Code [1] 50/15 |
| [5] 7/2 8/15 28/1 | 9/22 12/11 12/17 12/22 | Brandon [2] 1/13 3/14 | 42/6 44/22 46/9 47/3 | cognizant [1] 45/8 |
| [5] 7/2 8/15 28 $2035 / 24$ | 12/24 13/1 16/15 16/25 | Brandon Regan [1] | 48/23 49/19 53/20 | collection [2] 46/4 |
|  | 7/3 20/14 20/15 20/21 |  | cases [12] 6/3 12/2 | 48/18 |
|  | 21/15 21/24 23/1 23/9 | brandon.regan [1] | 17/16 18/11 21/13 | College [1] 18/4 |
|  | 24/3 24/15 24/18 24/20 | 1/1 | 21/16 21/25 22/13 | COLUMBIA [3] 1/1 |
|  | 24/24 25/16 26/16 | breached [1] 17/22 | 22/17 37/20 37/21 38/5 | 47/10 50/2 |
|  | 26/25 27/20 28/14 | break [1] 44/9 | Category [2] 11/14 | come [10] 3/5 3/9 6 |
|  | 29/25 30/17 31 | breaking [1] 43/20 |  | /11 15/3 |
| arrang | 3 | brief [2] $8 / 2319 / 3$ | Category I [1] 11/14 | 25 39/9 45/1 |
|  | 37/15 37/16 38/12 41/9 | briefly [2] 16/18 36 | ht [4] 31/7 | [1] 12/20 |
| est [1] 38/9 | 41/12 41/12 42/13 43 | bring [1] 40/9 |  | 2] |
| [82] | 46/8 46/9 | bringing [1] 10/ | cause [2] 30/25 31/1 | ments [1] 42/17 |
| ashamed [2] 31/2 |  | ght [1] |  |  |
|  | bear [1] 13/20 | brush [1] 44/8 | ain [1] 22/10 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \mathrm{i} \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| side [1] 26/14 | because [9] 5/7 12/10 | build [1] 41/3 | ainly [4] 16/7 |  |
| ask [5] 9/13 24/18 26/4 | 12/12 22/6 26/25 29/5 |  |  |  |
| 30/12 | $32 / 734 / 2253 / 13$ | $23 / 22$ | ion [1] 42/23 |  |
| asked [1] 38/1 | becomes [1] 51/2 | building [24] 4/2 $6 / 23$ | [1] 2/13 | community [14] 12/1 |
| asking [5] 22/21 37/5 37/6 38/6 52/20 | been [24] 14/22 15/17 | 7/2 7/3 7/12 11/1 15/15 | certify [1] 56/2 | 15/16 15/23 16/22 22 |
| 38/6 52/20 | 19/15 20/12 24/9 25/6 | 18/21 18/23 18/24 19/1 | CH [1] 2/14 | 23/10 30/5 30/9 30/1 |
| assault [2] 43/18 44 | 25/22 28/2 28/11 28/21 | 19/7 20/6 20/11 20/11 | challenge [1] 51/1 | /24 37/2 3 |
| assessed [1] 42/5 <br> assessment [3] 12/6 | 29/14 29/22 31/15 | 20/13 20/15 20/16 | change [1] 11/2 | 49/10 |
| assessment [3] 12/6 | 32/20 34/8 35/19 36/7 | 20/19 28/10 39/7 43/12 | chaos [2] 20/16 43/ | company [1] 36/11 |
|  | 36/9 36/12 37/7 37/11 | 43/13 43/21 | character [1] 39/3 | complete [3] 40/25 |
| assigned [3] 53/14 53/20 53/25 | 37/22 38/9 41/5 | bullets [1] | characteristic [2] | 6/21 49/10 |
|  | before [12] 1/10 7/ | bunch [2] 15/19 | 10/25 | completed [2] 46/25 |
| stance [1] 51/4 stant [2] 24/9 | 13/19 28/6 30/18 | burden [6] 21/12 24/19 | characteristics [ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sist } \\ & 2 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | $2234 / 18$ 35/20 | 25/20 25/21 26/5 27/7 | 14/19 25/2 42/10 447 | completely [2] 19/20 |
| me [1] 54/19 | 2/11 43/16 | burdens [1] 26/15 | charge [1] 30/22 |  |
| sure [1] 17/25 | beforehand [2] 7/13 | Bureau [1] 48/3 | charges [2] 46/18 49/7 | completion [2] 47/ |
| $\text { empt [1] } 10 / 6$ |  | business [1] 36/12 | children [18] 23/20 | 50/12 |
| 1328 | 11/6 44/15 53/19 | C | $23 / 2326 / 826 / 8$ $26 / 1426 / 1727 / 3$ | mpliance [2] 25/5 |
|  | behavior [1] | culation | 28/20 31/18 31/19 |  |
| attorney [3] 9/2 9/14 | behind [1] 41/16 | 10/18 11/5 11/1 | /25 32/2 | complied [2] 25/10 |
| 25 | being [8] 16/5 16/11 | call | 33/6 | 25/16 |
|  | 9 22/18 27/21 34/5 | calling [1] 40 | ices [1] 41/13 | mply [5] 14/10 |
| rneys [4] 15/1 | 42/23 54/5 | ca | se [1] 50/22 | 5/9 46/11 48 |
| 13 40/17 44/21 | belabor [1] 17 | came [4] 31/24 35 | ses [1] 33/17 | puter [1] 2/17 |
| authorize [2] 46/14 | believe [6] 8/15 13/11 38/12 43/20 44/25 | $\text { can [18] } 8 / 23 \text { 12/24 }$ | chose [1] 6/22 chosen [1] 44/1 | computer-aided [1] |


| C Case 1:21-c |
| :--- |
| concededly [1] $17 / 21$ | concentrating [1] 41/22
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kids [2] 15/19 15/21
killer [1] 41/18
kind [5] 25/20 33/25
39/13 39/18 40/8
knew [1] 20/15
know [14] 15/15 15/20
25/23 32/3 33/11 36/16 38/3 39/9 41/2 41/14
43/18 52/22 54/3 54/10
known [1] 19/24
knows [2] 18/23 28/13
L
laid [2] 6/20 27/10
language [1] 54/20
large [2] 20/10 20/10
largely [1] 27/21
larger [1] 28/13
largest [1] 21/12
last [3] 5/23 34/20 37/8
later [2] 24/1 32/6
law [19] 2/8 5/8 8/14
14/13 17/9 20/19 20/25
22/9 29/15 29/18 29/21
31/20 32/7 32/7 36/1

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline L Case 1:21-c| \& -TNM D \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ memorandum [5]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline 10 43/1 \& /2 \& \& \[
40 / 4
\] \& 48/13 48/14 48/15 48/18 48/19 49/2 49/7 \\
\hline \& 33/18 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
10/22 16/20 17/ \\
27/10 35/13
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { mother [4] 8/13 30/8 } \\
\& 35 / 2552 / 21
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 48/18 48/19 49/2 49/7 } \\
\& 49 / 10 ~ 49 / 15 ~ 50 / 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline essness [1] 43/25 \& made [12] 17/13 19/3 \& memorandums \& 4] 51/15 51/23 \&  \\
\hline s [1] 30/24 \& 19/23 27/14 33/9 34/19 \& \& 52/5 54/21 \& self [4] 32/6 32/8 \\
\hline [2] 26/9 31/17 \& 9/25 \& m \& vations [2] 27/11 \& 9/21 41/22 \\
\hline learn [2] 31/23 33/15 \& maintain [1] 20/20 \& mentor [1] 39/23 \&  \& N \\
\hline learned [1] 33/8
least [5] 21/20 43 \& Major [1] 1/14 \& Merit [1] 2/12 \& oves [1] 51/16 \& aive [2] 19/20 \\
\hline st [5] 21/20 43/3 \& ity [1] 43/25 \& met [3] 34/6 36/1 \& Mr [2] 51/13 53/9 \& name [1] 15/7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 248 / 16 \\
\& \text { 11] } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& [15] 7/5 8/5 8/21 \& \& Mr. [61] \& nation [1] 33/ \\
\hline \[
\text { tern [1] } 6 / 18
\] \& 31/22 33/22 38/16 39/6 \& methods [1] 19/17 \& Mr. Orenber \& tional [1] \\
\hline left [4] 7/12 8/13 \& 46/5 47/3 48/19 49/20 \& Metropolitan [1] 35 \& 4/12 4/24 7/22 11/8 \& e[3] 14/18 \\
\hline [17 \& ma \& middle [3] 8/14 46/10 \& 11/23 13/9 13/17 15/1 \& \\
\hline length \& man [7] 31/10 34/21 \& 48/24 \& 0/1 51/ \& ar [1] \(7 / 2\) \\
\hline lengthy [3] 25/24 \& 36/17 36/21 37/13 \& Middleburg [6] 8/1 \& 53 \& ng [1] 28/10 \\
\hline 44/7 \& 0/7 \& \& rt [1] 19/8 \& 1] \(25 / 5\) \\
\hline less [7] 12/13 26/1 \& ma \& ht [2] 17/3 29/4 \& gan [15] 3/1 \& sary [5] 14/10 \\
\hline 37/24 42/13 42/13 \& \& \[
\text { miles [1] } 52 / 22
\] \&  \& \\
\hline 2 50/4 \& mandated [1] 42/23 \& miles [1]
milk [2] \(36 / 11\)
a \& 16/14 22/25 27/24 34/2 \& 4/21 30/17 39/2 40/20 \\
\hline let [2] 9/13 40/16 \& mandatory [5] 12/6 \& Mincery [2] 8/15 8/17 \& 35/12 53/22 \& 43/6 53/16 53/17 \\
\hline letter [2] 16/3 16/4 \& 45/17 45/21 48/8 48/11 \& \& \& 4/15 54/20 \\
\hline letters [4] 6/5 7/20 30/9 \& manual [1] 5/17 \& minds [1] 19/6 \& \[
/ 22 \text { 7/229/11 9/12 }
\] \& needs [3] 26/17 26 \\
\hline 44/14 \& Manuel [1] 34/19 \& minute [1] 34/6 \& 15/11 19/10 19/12 20/3 \& 33/13 \\
\hline level [8] 10/11 10/13 \& many [9] 28/14 28/1 \& minutes [1] 34/12 \& 27/14 27/25 34/17 \& neither [2] 28/5 44/7 \\
\hline 10/15 10/16 10/19 11/2 \& 34/18 34/18 35/21 \& Miranda [1] 34/19 \& 34/24 35/6 36/6 36/13 \& never [2] 32/17 44/1 \\
\hline 11/16 12/12 \& 35/21 36/15 38/3 39/14 \& misconduct [1] 18/18 \& 36/18 37/21 38/7 38/16 \& new [4] 46/18 49/7 \\
\hline levels [1] 21/21
life [10] 15/22 23/19 \& marijuana [2] 45/25 \& misdemeanants [2] \& 43/5 44/18 44/25 45 \& 51/2 53/17 \\
\hline life [10] 15/22 23/19 \& 48/15 \& 25/23 26/1 \& 52/16 53/9 53/13 54/13 \& xt [3] 11/11 18/1 \\
\hline 26/7 29/25 31/11 31/21 \& m \& misdemeanor [3] \& Mr. Winn's [1] \(45 / 5\) \& 33/10 \\
\hline 35/7 35/8 36/8 40/7 \& materials [1] 4/18 \& 12/10 35/16 44/10 \& Ms. [49] 3/21 3/22 3/23 \& Nichols [1] 38/2 \\
\hline light [3] 5/22 34/10 \& matter [5] 5/6 29/8 \& misery [3] 40/25 41 \& 4/10 4/22 5/1 6/10 6/10 \& nights [1] 26/9 \\
\hline 42/6 \& 31/19 52/14 56/4 \& \& 6/21 7/18 8/4 8/5 8/17 \& [47] 1/4 4/9 4/11 \\
\hline like[15] 4/4 7/1 8/10 \& maximum [2] 10/8 1 \& mistake [3] 33/9 34/25 \& 8/25 \& 4/13 4/23 4/25 6/25 \\
\hline 10/1 14/25 15/2 16/19
\(30 / 1834 / 438 / 18\)

38/19 \& may [23] 5/2 5/16 8/1 \& 39/6 \& 13/15 19/9 19/11 20 \& 7/17 8/2 11/2 11/7 <br>
\hline $30 / 1834 / 438 / 1838 / 19$
$40 / 2041 / 2043 / 743 / 9$ \& 8/19 9/21 12/312/4 \& mistakes [4] 31/22 \& 23/1 23/5 23/12 23/1 \& 1/20 11/22 11/24 <br>
\hline 40/20 41/20 43/7 43/9 \& 12/17 16/25 18/5 19/17 \& 31/23 33/8 33/16 \& 25/1 25/5 27/8 27/1 \& 12/11 13/12 13/25 $14 / 1$ <br>
\hline limited [1] $21 / 5$ \& 22/14 22/14 26/23 \& mitigate [1] 38/17 \& 28/12 29/17 29/21 \& /1 16/4 18/22 21/16 <br>
\hline limits [1] 26/19 \& 31/21 32/18 33/18 \& mitigating [2] 5/15 \& 30/16 34/3 34/9 35/2 \& 27/12 28/2 28/2 28/3 <br>
\hline Lin [1] 34/19 \& 46/16 47/749/5 49/24 \& 43/22 \& 37/6 37/21 40/1 42/1 \& 29/8 31/19 32/25 39/7 <br>
\hline Lin-Manuel [1] 34/19 \& 51/6 53/19 \& mob [2] 42/14 \& 42/19 43/5 43/15 44/2 \& 9/9 39/23 40/8 40 <br>
\hline lines [2] 46/19 49/8 \& maybe [3] 19/21 36/6 \& mobs [1] 44/2 \& 45/3 51/19 53/5 54/ \& 43/20 44/10 47/12 50 <br>
\hline listening [1] 34/2 \& 37/24 \& modern [1] 17/21 \& 54/13 \& 50/21 51/14 <br>
\hline literally [1] 34/1
little [4] 25/22 34/16 \& McFADDEN [1] 1/10 \& mom [1] 39/24 \& Ms. Gavito [2] 53/5 \& 51/24 52/3 52/6 53/ <br>
\hline little [4] 25/22 34/16
$35 / 1137 / 16$ \& MD [1] 2/9 \& moment [6] 15/1 20/5 \& 54/11 \& ormally [1] 41/3 <br>
\hline $35 / 11$ 37/16
live [4] 29/24 35/23 \& me [23] 4/4 5/9 5/21 \& 31/7 39/18 44/3 44/5 \& Ms. Hanania [14] 3/22 \& [66] <br>
\hline live [4] 29/24 35/23
$35 / 2436 / 1$ \& 3 15/11 19/9 28/1 \& moments [2] 16/24 \& 4/10 4/22 6/10 8/4 11/5 \& notation [1] 7/6 <br>
\hline 35/24 36/1 \& 28/19 30/18 30/22 32/9 \& 44/3 \& 11/21 13/7 13/15 23/1 \& note [7] 6/20 6/2 <br>
\hline 32/20 35/25 \& 40/9 40/15 40/21 41/16 \& mommy [2] 28/23 29/3 \& 34/3 34/9 40/1 51/19 \& 19/2 23/15 24/18 27/8 <br>
\hline load [1] 24/3 \& 43/7 43/9 53/1 54/20 \& monitoring [1] 37/10 \& Ms. Mincery [1] 8/17 \& noted [5] 27/24 28 <br>
\hline local [2] 45/23 48/12 \& mean [2] 28/24 41/18 \& month [2] 47/12 50/4 \& Ms. Pert [26] 3/21 3/2 \& 1/11 51/20 52/2 <br>
\hline long [5] 25/22 27/2 \& meant [1] 40/8 \& months [19] 11/18 \& 5/1 6/10 6/21 8/5 8/25 \& tes [1] 21/3 <br>
\hline 27/5 34/18 36/3 \& measure [2] $22 / 11$ \& /21 17/4 17/5 22/3 \& 19/9 19/11 20/2 23/12 \& nothing [3] 4/2 <br>
\hline longer [2] 17/4 39/24 \& \& 24/12 24/24 24/2 \& 23/17 25/5 27/8 28/1 \& <br>
\hline look [1] 32/9 \& m \& 17 30/5 30/13 32/2 \& 29/17 29/21 30/16 35 \& <br>
\hline looking [2] 8/3 43/9 \& \&  \&  \& 15/2 18/12 19/14 20/21 <br>
\hline looks [1] 4/4 \& media [3] 28/3 32/23 \& months' [5] 16/21 22/3 \& Ms. Pert's [6] 7/18 \& 1/5 21/15 23/21 24/5 <br>
\hline lost [5] 24/10 32/21
32/22 40/6 40/8 \& 3 \& 38/2 45/13 47/22 \& 23/5 25/1 27/11 42/1 \& 25/6 29/3 29/5 29/11 <br>
\hline $32 / 22$ 40/6 40/8
lot [5] 15/16 15/18 \& medical [1] 40/9 \& more [7] 12/5 12/12 \& 43/15 \& 29/25 30/16 30/18 37/ <br>
\hline lot [5]
$15 / 23 / 1615 / 16$
$161 / 20$ \& meetings [1] 36/15 \& 17/3 27/5 32/15 43/8 \& much [3] 15/22 34/12 \& 40/3 40/24 41/4 42/7 <br>
\hline 15/23 16/2 41/20 \& member [2] 30/9 36/2 \& \& \& 45/11 <br>
\hline love [3] 36/2 36/5
$40 / 24$ \& members [6] 15/2 \& morning [13] $3 / 33 / 4$ \& must [27] 14/7 14/18 \& number [1] 7/20 <br>
\hline luck [2] 52/10 55/2 \& \& 3/13 3/15 3/16 3/18 \& /8 45/22 45/23 45/24 \& numbers [1] 29/9 <br>
\hline LYNN [3] 1/6 2/2 3/7 \& \& 3/19 3/20 3/22 3/23 \& 45/25 46/3 46/5 46/13 \& NW [2] 1/14 $2 / 14$ <br>
\hline \& memoranda [4] \& 15 \& 46/18 46/21 46/24 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

5/12 6/13 7/9 11/5 51/10 51/19 52/1
obviously [3] 36/2 36/14 37/5
occurred [4] 10/13 29/6 29/8 29/16 off [8] 24/18 34/22 35/9 38/24 39/4 39/15 40/16 41/21
offenders [1] 32/15 offense [22] 5/25 10/9
10/11 10/13 10/16
10/19 10/23 10/25 11/1 11/15 12/4 12/10 12/12 14/12 14/13 14/19 17/9 17/15 22/7 42/9 50/21 51/5
offer [1] 6/17
office [20] 2/3 10/10
13/21 14/4 28/17 46/15
46/17 46/25 47/13
47/14 47/16 47/19 49/5
49/6 50/5 50/6 50/8
50/12 54/8 54/15
Office's [3] 9/6 9/17 10/3
officer [18] 3/11 10/1
25/8 46/4 46/13 46/20
46/23 48/19 49/2 49/9
49/13 49/16 53/2 53/15
53/18 53/18 53/20
53/25
officers [2] 29/18 29/21
Official [1] 2/13
offline [1] 53/24
often [1] 31/22
okay [19] 4/14 7/7 7/10 7/21 8/7 8/22 11/4 11/10 15/10 15/13 35/1 38/9 52/15 52/17 53/4 53/12 53/21 54/2 54/16 old [2] 31/9 35/7 oldest [3] 32/24 33/1 33/4
oldest's [1] 32/25 once [1] 53/25 one [17] 4/1 10/9 10/20
12/5 15/1 17/24 18/1 22/22 28/19 33/10
37/23 39/22 46/1 48/15
52/13 53/9 54/5
one-time [1] 22/22
ongoing [2] 18/21
25/21
only [8] 6/16 6/19
18/17 25/12 28/9 32/20 35/12 49/12
open [4] 31/6 39/19
46/18 49/7
operating [1] 13/3
opportunity [2] 21/2 30/19
opposed [3] 17/1 17/5 18/17
opposing [1] 18/22

20/20 47/17 50/9 54/20 ordered [3] 13/1 47/3 49/20
ordering [1] 22/18
Orenberg [18] 2/7 2/8
3/17 3/18 4/12 4/24
7/22 11/8 11/23 13/9 13/17 15/1 33/20 38/15 40/1 51/25 53/24 54/18
orenberglaw.com [1] 2/11
other [29] 4/17 6/25
7/8 7/8 12/21 13/19
15/18 16/7 18/11 18/17
18/17 20/6 21/11 26/21 27/25 31/11 33/2 35/20 37/2 37/20 38/3 38/4 39/14 40/19 41/15 43/18 46/6 48/20 52/13 others [4] 17/22 31/1 31/3 33/15
otherwise [4] 16/16 23/3 33/22 38/12 our [7] 16/20 17/13 17/19 33/12 33/13 41/10 42/13
out [20] 6/20 7/9 8/5
10/2 10/21 14/8 15/14
15/20 15/22 15/23 17/7
17/14 20/12 27/10 37/8
37/20 39/1 42/23 43/16 54/19
outlined [2] 25/18 30/14
output [1] 26/24
outstanding [1] 5/11 over [6] 39/12 40/2 40/22 43/11 45/5 54/13
overall [1] 20/16
owed [2] 47/11 50/3
own [3] 30/11 43/1 45/4
P
P.C [1] 2/8
p.m [1] 55/6
page [1] $6 / 20$
paid [1] 24/8
pandemic [1] 54/6
papers [2] 9/7 9/18
Park [1] 2/8
Parks [1] 37/25
part [9] 5/23 16/9 20/9
20/22 23/11 24/19 25/18 33/14 40/2
participate [2] 26/11
35/1
participated [1] 42/11
participating [1] 39/8
participation [2] 46/23
49/14
particular [6] 13/21
25/1 25/2 27/11 42/5 42/16
particularly [1] 45/5
parties [3] 4/176/3 11/15
pass [1] 40/16
past [1] 40/6
path [1] 15/21
pay [12] 24/16 24/17
45/14 45/15 47/2 47/6
47/11 47/23 47/24
49/18 49/23 50/3
paying [3] 23/13 24/20 40/23
payments [2] 47/8 49/25
peaceful [3] 33/10

## 33/11 41/12

penalties [3] 12/1 47/7 49/24
penalty [4] 23/16 24/8 24/20 25/19
people [14] 7/3 19/11
20/2 20/12 28/14 28/17 29/9 29/13 30/1 39/14 39/15 43/23 43/25 44/4
per [1] 16/23
perhaps [2] 17/4 35/17
period [9] 12/15 17/4
26/6 26/15 28/8 37/22
38/2 38/6 38/13
periodic [2] 46/2 48/17
periods [1] 25/22
permission [3] 51/7
52/21 53/2
person [3] 16/2 36/14
36/18
PERT [32] 1/6 2/2 $3 / 7$
3/21 3/23 3/25 5/1 6/10
6/21 8/5 8/25 19/8 19/9
19/11 20/2 23/12 23/17
25/5 27/8 28/12 29/17
29/21 30/16 35/2 37/6
37/21 42/1 43/5 44/2
45/3 45/13 54/13
Pert's [6] 7/18 23/5
25/1 27/11 42/19 43/15
phone [4] 3/11 32/5
32/22 36/14
place [3] 20/11 28/13 29/11
placement [2] 46/1 48/16
plainly [1] 50/22
Plaintiff [1] $1 / 4$
plan [2] $27 / 25$ 28/3
planning [1] 19/15
plate [1] 41/21
played [2] 17/18 18/10
plea [2] 16/23 51/4
plea agreement [1] 16/23
please [7] 3/5 3/9 6/18
9/1 9/11 38/22 40/21
Please be [1] $3 / 5$
pleasure [1] $34 / 8$
pled [2] 4/1 21/2
podium [3] 15/4 38/21 42/2
point [8] 8/5 10/20
11/13 13/21 15/14
17/13 25/4 36/16
points [1] 17/12
police [1] 43/15
policy [1] 14/17
political [3] 18/5 22/13 43/8
populous [1] 22/20
position [1] 23/5
positivity [1] 41/8
possess [2] 45/23
48/13
possible [1] 40/22
posture [1] 33/25
Potomac [2] 2/8 2/9
power [4] 18/1 22/14
33/10 33/11
practices [1] 26/11
practicing [1] 35/20
predicate [1] 21/17
predicates [1] 21/11
preparation [1] 28/3
prepared [4] 34/11
34/11 38/24 43/4
presence [1] 31/1
present [5] 3/17 3/21
8/8 32/5 38/17
presentence [21] 4/4
4/15 5/10 6/1 6/8 6/11 6/15 7/23 8/3 9/6 9/17 9/24 9/24 10/2 11/11
14/6 35/13 47/14 47/18
50/6 50/11
President [2] 18/1
33/10
pressure [1] 39/14
pretrial [3] 25/4 25/8 44/22
pretty [4] 15/22 16/6 20/4 41/4
Prettyman [1] 2/14
prior [4] 28/2 38/9 40/17 44/10
prison [2] 14/1 35/18
Prisons [1] 48/3
probation [68]
Probation Office [13]
10/10 13/21 14/4 46/15
46/25 47/13 47/14
47/19 49/5 50/6 50/12
54/8 54/15
Probation Office's [2]
9/6 9/17
probationer [1] 38/12
proceed [1] 5/2
proceeding [1] 5/6
proceedings [6] 1/9
2/17 34/6 38/11 55/6
56/4
process [7] 17/24 18/3
19/17 19/18 27/10
29/21 42/23
produced [1] 2/17
professional [1] 34/5
program [4] 46/24
46/24 49/14 49/14
programs [1] 15/18
progressed [1] 8/20
promote [3] 14/12
promotes [1] 22/9
proofread [1] 39/1
property [3] 20/23 28/6

## 43/19

prosecutor [1] 30/21
protagonist [1] 45/1
protect [2] 14/15 17/10
protecting [1] 33/13
provide [10] 14/13
17/9 29/9 42/8 46/13
46/24 47/12 49/2 49/15
50/4
provided [2] 21/1

## 32/15

provides [3] 20/8 22/9
22/10
providing [1] 29/12
PSR [3] 6/21 7/9 10/22
public [2] 14/15 17/10
punished [2] 22/23
33/4
punishment [5] 14/13
17/10 22/10 33/17 40/4
purpose [2] 17/7 27/25
purposes [7] 9/25
14/10 14/11 23/7 29/17
30/6 45/9
pursuant [3] 10/12
50/14 51/9
put [2] 37/19 40/10
qualities [3] 34/23
35/10 36/6
quality [1] 34/21
question [3] 16/24
26/4 54/5
questions [2] 29/18
32/4
quibbling [1] 35/4
quick [1] 40/22
quicker [2] 41/20 41/21
quickly [4] $8 / 3$ 18/6
43/14 45/6
quote [2] 34/18 34/20

RACHAEL [5] 1/6 2/2
3/7 3/25 45/13
Rachael Pert [1] 45/13
raised [2] 31/19 31/20
rally [8] 27/13 27/24
28/1 31/4 32/14 35/2
39/9 43/8
range [5] 5/14 10/3
12/8 12/14 50/20
ranges [1] 14/21
rate [2] 47/12 50/4
rather [1] 42/14
re [1] 40/14
re-adjust [1] 40/14 reaction [1] 31/15
read [2] 6/11 7/22
ready [4] 33/16 41/8
41/8 41/19
realizing [1] 43/14
really [4] 4/5 16/1
$\qquad$
11/15
T

R
Case 1:21-c|repoies
really... [2] 21/12 29/10 Realtime [1] 2/13 reason [2] 19/23 40/3 reasons [3] 21/19 28/14 30/12
received [3] 4/15 32/22 51/3
recent [1] 44/11
recently [1] 37/23
recess [1] 55/4
recognize [1] 44/16
recognizes [1] 29/11
recommend [1] 21/14 recommendation [7] 4/16 14/4 16/17 22/2 23/3 23/6 33/22
recommendations [3] 6/2 21/10 21/25 recommended [2] 13/22 13/25 recommending [2] 24/24 25/17
recommends [1] 10/14
record [11] 3/10 11/3
15/8 30/1 40/9 40/13
42/8 51/12 52/2 54/25 56/3
recorded [1] 2/17 records [2] 14/22 40/11
reduction [1] 10/15 reflect [2] 14/12 17/8 reflects [1] 22/7 refrain [2] 45/24 48/13 Regan [18] 1/13 3/14 3/15 4/8 4/19 6/6 10/19 11/19 12/19 13/5 13/13 16/14 22/25 27/24 34/2 35/12 51/13 53/22
regard [3] 13/3 30/10 42/9
Registered [1] 2/12 regret [1] 28/16
regretful [1] 29/12
regular [2] 25/8 26/24
regularly [1] 25/7
regulations [1] 48/5
rehabilitation [1] 14/16
reiterate [1] 28/4
rejoicing [1] 20/6
relation [2] 15/11 38/5
relatively [1] 44/11
release [9] 12/2 12/5
14/1 25/6 37/12 46/15 47/14 49/4 50/6 released [1] 54/7 relevant [2] 14/7 42/6 rely [1] 32/8 remain [2] 42/1 42/3 remaining [4] 4/1 11/25 51/17 52/8 remarks [1] 42/8 remember [1] 29/1 remind [1] 15/11 remorse [3] 28/16 29/20 45/2
remorseful [1] 29/12
report [19] 6/2 6/8 6/11 $18 / 17$ 18/19 19/25 20/6 6/15 7/23 8/3 9/6 9/18 10/2 11/12 14/6 25/5 35/13 36/9 47/15 47/19 50/7 50/12 53/17
reported [1] 25/7
Reporter [4] 2/12 2/12 2/13 2/13
reporting [2] 26/24 27/3
reports [5] 4/4 4/16 5/10 9/24 9/24
request [6] 16/16 23/2 33/21 40/21 51/7 54/18 requested [4] 22/19 46/14 49/3 53/9
requesting [1] 16/20 required [3] 5/24 12/17 25/9
requirements [4] 26/22 27/4 27/4 53/18
requires [1] 5/21
requiring [1] 12/15
researching [1] 30/24 residence [2] 47/17 50/9
resolve [1] 5/12 resonates [1] 22/17 respect [10] 14/12 17/5 17/9 18/15 20/25 22/9 29/20 32/7 34/7 34/17 respectable [1] 31/10 respectfully [1] 40/21 responded [1] 19/12
responsibility [5]
10/15 24/21 31/22 33/14 44/21
responsible [1] 36/23 rest [3] 29/24 35/7 35/8 restitution [21] 12/2
12/25 14/2 16/23 22/6
23/14 23/16 24/16 30/6 45/15 46/5 46/7 47/3 47/8 47/11 47/24 48/19 48/21 49/20 49/25 50/3 restricted [3] 4/2 11/1 25/6
restrictions [1] 30/24 result [4] 23/12 24/7 24/13 50/18
return [3] 47/18 50/11 55/5
returned [1] 29/14 reviewed [3] 4/15 4/18 5/10
revolves [1] 26/7
rhetoric [1] 32/13
right [27] $3 / 246 / 18 / 16$ 9/10 9/21 14/25 16/11 20/5 31/21 32/10 36/17 38/16 38/21 40/3 40/18 40/24 41/25 42/5 42/17 42/21 50/15 51/1 51/18 52/7 53/10 54/17 55/1 riot [3] 18/21 20/9 21/25
rioter [1] 18/10

20/10 20/19 21/11 22/8 43/12
rise [2] $3 / 255 / 3$
RMR [2] 56/2 56/8 role [4] 17/17 18/10 29/8 29/12
room [1] 39/5 row [1] 8/16 rubber [1] 39/15 rule [1] $42 / 14$
rules [1] 48/5 rutty [1] 36/20
sacred [1] 17/25
saddened [1] 31/2
safe [1] 42/13
said [15] $8 / 4$ 10/4 19/8
19/9 19/11 20/24 28/23 34/13 34/21 35/9 35/15 41/11 42/11 44/2 53/2 same [11] 6/4 8/5 8/6 10/12 11/1 14/3 21/17 27/21 34/10 37/5 50/5
Sarasota [3] 8/13 35/25 52/21
satisfied [2] 9/2 9/13 save [1] 24/17
saw [2] 39/15 43/15 say [9] 12/19 21/23 34/4 34/16 34/19 35/8 38/18 39/2 40/3
saying [7] 13/11 19/12 20/2 34/3 34/16 37/16 39/4
says [2] 10/10 20/2
scared [2] 32/18 32/18 scheduled [1] 27/17
school [4] 15/17 15/20
23/23 31/25
score [1] 11/2
Scout [1] 26/9
Scouts [1] 31/16
scrimp [1] 24/17
season [1] 24/2
seat [2] 17/23 33/19
seated [2] $3 / 59 / 22$
second [2] 5/13 43/3
sections [1] 18/25
secure [1] 10/23
secured [1] 10/14
security [1] 33/13
see [7] $8 / 2021 / 822 / 14$ 29/7 36/8 41/16 43/23
seeking [2] 13/12
31/11
seem [1] $5 / 2$
seems [1] $6 / 21$
seen [6] 17/20 18/11
29/6 29/7 29/16 34/21
send [1] 39/1
sense [1] 20/20
sentence [37] 5/22
5/24 7/5 10/8 13/21
13/22 13/25 14/9 14/11
14/14 14/20 14/21
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区9/6 29/7 29/11 29/22 30/13 30/18 33/17 37/6 38/18 38/19 45/8 46/6 47/17 48/21 50/9 50/16 50/17 50/19 50/20 51/1 51/11 52/1
sentenced [6] 26/1
43/13 45/13 45/14 47/22 47/23
sentences [5] 13/23
14/23 21/10 44/24 45/11
sentencing [46] 1/9
3/24 4/16 4/17 5/1 5/13
5/14 5/17 5/20 6/2 6/4 9/8 9/19 9/25 10/21
12/15 13/19 14/10 16/6
16/16 17/7 19/4 21/9
21/10 21/24 23/3 23/8
27/10 30/7 33/22 33/24
35/12 35/16 35/16
35/17 35/21 37/9 37/19
40/2 42/7 45/10 50/18
50/20 50/21 51/5 54/6
sentencings [2] 4/6 38/4
separately [2] 54/13 54/14
serious [1] 5/6
seriousness [4] 14/12
17/8 17/14 22/7
serve [2] $9 / 2448 / 1$
served [3] 25/15 25/16 48/2
service [6] 16/22 22/6 23/10 30/5 46/22 49/10 Services [2] 25/5 25/8 set [15] 5/22 6/7 6/14 7/9 8/1 13/23 14/8 16/15 16/19 17/7 23/2 30/22 33/21 34/22 35/9 sets [1] 43/12
seven [1] 31/12
several [6] 18/25 19/3 24/12 37/20 42/15 43/12
shades [2] 34/22 35/9 shall [15] 12/11 13/1 34/19 45/16 46/11 47/8 47/11 47/14 47/18 48/2 48/7 49/25 50/3 50/6 50/11
shameful [1] 42/12
shape [1] 39/10
share [3] 13/21 46/16 49/5
she [46] 23/18 23/19
24/5 24/9 24/10 24/12
24/14 24/16 24/17
24/20 25/7 25/8 25/9
25/10 25/12 26/8 26/10
26/13 26/14 26/17
26/18 26/25 27/1 27/12 27/12 27/15 27/17
27/18 27/19 27/24 28/4
28/13 28/15 28/20
28/23 28/24 28/24 29/5

29/22 29/23 29/24
29/25
she'd [1] 26/25
she's [18] 23/14 23/18
23/19 23/21 24/8 24/20
25/15 26/23 28/17
28/19 28/21 28/22
28/22 28/25 29/11 30/1
30/8 30/10
shift [1] 27/18
shocking [1] 42/21
short [5] 28/8 36/10
37/22 38/6 38/13
shot [1] 39/15
should [16] 4/18 12/19 14/14 15/3 15/15 21/3 22/4 23/12 32/12 32/13 32/14 35/6 35/7 35/8 36/24 48/25
shouldn't [2] 29/22 43/14
show [3] 30/10 35/22 40/9
showed [2] 29/19 31/18
shown [2] 37/12 38/7
shows [5] 25/12 25/14
35/15 39/3 40/11
sic [1] 19/8
sign [1] 20/14
significance [2] 18/9 27/23
significant [4] 7/4
23/16 24/19 27/2
similar [5] 8/4 14/22
14/23 21/11 37/20
simply [2] 24/15 26/5
since [2] 12/14 32/20
single [1] 21/16
sinister [1] 43/9
sir [13] 9/13 9/21 11/4
15/5 15/8 16/5 16/12
16/13 26/2 38/22 41/25
42/1 51/15
sit [1] 29/17
site [2] 23/25 23/25
sitting [3] 8/12 34/2 34/15
situation [4] 5/4 23/17
31/20 41/1
six [3] 11/18 34/20 41/4
six months [1] 11/18
skeptical [1] 25/22
sleep [1] 41/19
slightly [1] 44/24
snapshot [1] 20/4
so [26] 4/6 5/12 7/3
7/20 11/2 12/24 16/6
18/15 21/22 22/20 24/5
24/16 26/11 26/23 27/5
27/17 29/11 29/12
29/21 31/8 34/15 36/3
37/8 40/9 41/5 54/18
So I guess [1] 4/6
soccer [2] 26/11 31/16
social [3] 28/2 32/23

| S |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | Steal [3] 3 |  | 5/11 7/2 8/12 | threshold [1] 41/11 throngs [1] 20/19 |
| society [1] 44/17 |  | take [6] 28/12 29/2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n [20] } 5 / 117 / 28 / 12 \\ & 1315 / 1915 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | throngs [1] 20/19 <br> through [13] 8/3 18/25 |
| solely [2] 14/5 32/8 | stenography [1] 2/17 step [5] 5/9 5/13 5/18 | 31/13 32/19 36/17 43/1 | 15/21 15/22 18/18 | $9 / 5 \quad 25 / 9 \quad 27 / 15 \quad 28 / 11$ |
| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { some [13] } & 5 / 26 / 20 \\ 15 / 2118 / 6 & 19 / 219 / 11\end{array}$ | $5 / 215 / 23$ | taken [4] 13/22 41/4 | 19/24 20/1 28/5 28/2 | 31/6 39/24 40/4 40/5 |
|  | steps [2] 5 |  | 28/22 28/23 31/20 | 40/18 4 |
| 27 | stick [1] 16 |  | 32/10 32/19 36/7 42/19 | throughout [3] 22/17 |
| someone [1] 44/9 | still [3] 31/11 53/5 54/7 | talk [5] 9/6 9/17 28/20 | themselves [1] 43 | 28/16 34 |
| something [5] 8/4 | /19 42/22 | 34/11 | 18/18 18/25 | 0] 9/5 9/12 9/16 |
| 27/13 38/25 40/24 43/8 | stopped [3] 19/9 19/10 | talked [3] 28 | 20/18 21/13 21/20 22/8 | $12 / 20 \quad 13 / 25 \quad 15 / 2 \quad 20 / 5$ |
| somewhat [1] <br> son [6] 8/17 23 | 19/10 | 40/ | 29/20 39/17 39/18 | 22/22 23/18 23/2 |
| son $32533 / 3$ 35/25 36/2 | st |  | therapeutics [1] 40/19 | 4/14 28/9 29/15 35/6 |
| son's [4] 32/24 33/1 |  |  | there [33] 4/17 5/11 | 0 36/13 36/ |
| 37/1 44/18 |  |  |  |  |
| sons [3] 31/9 | straightened |  | 18/22 19/22 19/25 | mes [2] 26/12 34/2 |
|  | straightforwardl |  | 21/16 21/19 27/22 28/2 | Title [1] 50/1 |
|  |  | 16 | 28/7 28/8 28/13 28 | [ |
|  |  | telephone [2] 5 | 28/14 29/2 29/7 29/23 | day [12] |
|  |  | telephone [2] | 35/9 36/6 37/23 38/3 | 28/22 |
| 52/13 | struggled [1] |  | 39/13 39/16 41/17 42/3 | 32/11 34/7 36/4 36/5 |
| so |  |  | 42/24 43/14 51/10 53/2 | 4/19 53/14 |
|  |  |  |  | [2 |
|  | submitted [4] |  |  | gether [5] 4/7 8/12 |
| speak [2] 15/2 5 |  |  | 38/11 40/8 43/3 50/21 | 23/24 33/25 36/15 |
| speaking [3] 16/3 |  |  | thereafter [2] 46 | d [1] 28/22 |
| 20/18 22/16 |  | term |  |  |
| speaks [2] 16 | substantially [1] 4/5 succeeded [1] 18/3 | 3 | herefore [5] 47/2 47/6 49/18 49/23 52/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{k}[2] 17 / 62 \\ & {[1] 25 / 15} \end{aligned}$ |
| special [5] 12/6 45 | succeeded [1] 18/3 such [2] 6/25 31/18 |  | these [20] 14/11 17/16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p [1] 25/15 } \\ & \text { tal [4] } 10 / 1611 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 46/11 47/24 48/25 | sudden [1] 39/14 | $26 / 1$ | $7 / 1818 / 1518 / 16$ | $2 / 2548 / 1$ |
| specific [5] 10/23 | sufficient [3] 14/9 30/6 |  | 19/14 19/20 21/6 21/13 | touch [1] 36/7 |
|  | suficient [3] 14/9 30/6 |  | 22/8 22/13 22/17 22/19 | tough [1] 37/16 |
|  | suggest [2] 38/11 |  | 22/22 30/12 32/6 33/5 | wards [1] 39/ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { specitically [4] } 1 / \\ 18 / 1620 / 1829 / 16 \end{array}$ |  |  | 34/6 34/12 35/23 | ranscript [3] 1/9 |
| spent [1] | su | tests [2] 46/2 48/17 | [8] | anscription [1] 2/17 |
| spite [1] 28/23 | 43/5 suggesting | $\text { [17] } 7 / 812 / 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { they're [8] 8/12 20/6 } \\ & 32 / 1834 / 136 / 2036 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | ranscription [1] 2/17 ransferred [2] 46/9 |
| spotless [1] 25/25 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { suggesting } \\ 19 / 1944 / 15 \end{array}$ | /12 14/10 23/7 25 | $6 / 2041 / 16$ | $18 / 23$ |
| spring [2] 24/3 26/10 | Su | /16 27/5 27/25 33 /24 41/15 42/14 | thin [1] 26/23 | ansition [4] |
| St [1] 1/14 | su | /24 41/15 42/14 4 | thing [5] 10/20 | 22/14 33/10 3 |
|  | summer [2] 24/3 26/10 |  | 110 | travel [3] 7/20 23/24 |
| stand [1] 55/4 |  | $9 / 10 \quad 9 / 21 \quad 10 / 1 \quad 11 / 4$ | [13] 8/20 15/16 | 27/2 |
| standard [2] 45/17 | superintenden | 1 22/24 22/25 | 17/13 17/25 20/2 | eatmen |
| 48/8 |  | $533 /$ | 28/19 29/6 32/6 33/5 | 47/18 47/20 50/ |
| standing [3] 33/9 | supervise [2] 46/23 | 38/14 38/15 41/23 | 36/23 39/17 40/19 44/4 | 50/13 |
| stang [3] | 49/13 | 41/25 52/10 52/18 | think [15] 7/4 10/4 15/1 | trespass [1] 10/23 |
| start [4] 16/19 | superv | 52/19 54/16 54/22 55/1 | 8/11 26/20 27/10 31/2 | trespassing [1] 10 |
| 39/4 41/21 | 12/5 14/1 26 | Than | 35/14 36/16 38/7 42/11 | TREVOR [1] 1/10 |
| started [3] 181 | supervision [11] 24/23 | 8/24 9/10 9/21 16/1 | 44/3 44/25 45/2 | [1] 27/16 |
| 43/16 | 37/7 44/22 45/18 45/20 | /24 22/25 23/4 30 | thinking [2] 12/24 | ouble [2] 16/ |
| st | 48/9 48/10 |  | 3 | oubling [2] 17/20 |
| st |  | /23 4 | [1] |  |
| 40/18 45/22 48/12 | support [8] 6/5 |  | [1] 5/18 | [2] |
| stated [8] 9/23 10/22 | 36/2 36/4 36/5 |  |  | ump [1] |
| 13/2 19/8 19/9 19/10 |  |  | [10] | [1] |
| 19/11 21/20 |  |  | 25/10 29/3 29/13 | [3] 40/19 40/19 |
| statement [1] 38/16 | [2] | that [221] | 36/19 43/2 | 53/25 |
| statements [4] 6/14 |  |  |  | g [11] 18/12 19/13 |
| 8/1 14/18 19/3 | $20$ | /14 13/16 14/2 19/11 | 19/19 37/14 | 1/25 34/10 40/18 |
| states [20] $1 / 111 / 31 / 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { su } \end{aligned}$ | $124 / 2$ 26/12 26/1 | thought [3] 27/19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21/25 34/10 40/18 } \\ & 41 / 1642 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3/7 3/14 17/22 20/17 | surround [1] | $27 / 6 \text { 27/23 29/1 34/2 }$ | $36 / 2537 / 3$ | $\text { irned [2] } 18$ |
| 30/21 30/23 32/12 | $\text { swept [2] } 43 / 843 / 24$ | 39/17 39/17 39/21 43/4 | thousands [1] | $g[1]$ |
| 40/12 41/10 46/9 46/16 47/16 48/24 49/6 50/8 | system [2] 37/10 41/16 | 43/17 44/2 54/4 their [15] 6/4 6/5 | reatened [1] 18/7 | [1] 26/12 |
| 47/16 48/24 49/6 50/8 50/15 51/9 |  | their [15] 6/4 6/5 19/4 19/18 20/24 | three [10] 8/12 12/1 | o [24] 10/15 17/15 |
| statute [2] 46/6 48/21 |  | 19/4 19/18 20/24 27/20 | 14/1 16/21 22/3 25/14 | 17/18 18/15 18/16 |

two... [19] 19/15 19/20 21/16 22/8 23/19 23/23 25/14 26/7 26/8 26/12 27/5 31/11 31/24 32/8 32/17 33/24 37/24 46/2 48/16
two-level [1] 10/15 type [3] 16/2 17/2 39/2 types [2] 14/23 18/18 typically [1] 25/22

## U

U.S [2] 47/9 50/1
U.S.C [8] 4/2 5/23

12/25 14/8 17/8 46/5 48/20 50/25
ultimate [1] 21/10
unable [1] 51/6
unavailable [1] 51/2
under [10] 5/16 10/10
10/15 12/10 12/25 13/3
16/15 25/6 33/21 37/7
undergo [2] 23/17 25/8 understand [7] 19/21 26/4 26/20 32/1 33/1

## 42/24 54/23

understanding [2] 16/25 27/9
understands [2] 24/21

## 29/22

understate [1] 18/8 understood [1] 29/8 undertakes [1] 24/21 undo [2] 29/23 32/16 unfolding [1] 20/17 unfortunately [4] 24/6
24/12 39/23 44/4
unintended [1] 29/9
unique [2] 21/16 21/18
UNITED [18] 1/1 1/3
1/10 3/7 3/14 17/22 20/17 30/21 30/23
32/12 46/9 46/16 47/16 48/23 49/6 50/8 50/15
51/9
United States [11]
3/14 17/22 20/17 30/21
30/23 32/12 46/9 46/16 49/6 50/15 51/9
United States of [1] 3/7
unknowingly [1] 32/14
unlawful [2] 45/24
48/14
unlawfully [2] 45/23
48/13
unless [1] $8 / 2$
unlike [1] 17/20
unreasonable [1]
50/22
until [3] 34/7 41/19 55/4
unusual [3] 16/6 33/24
35/15
unwarranted [1] 14/21
up [22] 12/9 23/23 24/4
31/6 31/7 32/9 32/13

| $39 / 1739 / 2240 / 10$ | w |
| :--- | :--- |
| $41 / 1843 / 843 / 2143 / 24$ |  |
| $43 / 2444 / 344 / 5$ | $54 / 5$ |
| upon [4] $5 / 1532 / 8$ | w |
| $47 / 1950 / 12$ | w |
| upward [1] 50/19 |  |
| us [5] $10 / 1639 / 2442 / 3$ |  | 42/12 53/5

USAO [1] 1/13
usdoj.gov [1] 1/16
use [6] 40/19 42/19
42/22 43/15 45/24
48/14
used [2] 41/9 41/12 utmost [1] 34/7

V
Valentine's [1] 40/15
variance [3] 16/16 23/3 33/22
various [1] 44/13
vast [1] 43/25
ventilator [3] 40/10 40/11 40/16
verification [4] 46/25 47/13 49/15 50/5
versus [2] 3/7 51/9 very [9] 7/18 17/23 20/10 23/18 28/8 29/10 36/10 37/3 44/4
video [1] 41/10
videoed [1] 42/17
viewpoints [1] 17/15
views [3] 12/22 42/24 42/25
violation [3] $4 / 25 / 8$
50/17
violence [3] 20/22
42/22 43/4
violent [2] 28/5 32/15 voluntarily [2] 29/17 38/8
voluntary [1] 21/1
volunteering [1] 31/16
vote [7] 18/4 19/9
19/10 19/10 19/12 20/3 42/19
vs [1] $1 / 5$
W
waffa [3] 2/2 2/5 3/21
Waffa Hanania [1] 3/21 waives [4] 47/2 47/6 49/18 49/23
wake [1] 41/18
walk [1] 39/12
walked [1] 28/10
walking [2] 18/24 35/3
want [9] 5/3 8/19 8/20
15/14 27/15 34/4 42/3
53/19 54/20
wanted [2] 6/24 11/3
wants [1] 21/15
warrant [1] 5/16
was [60]
Washington [5] $1 / 5$
1/15 2/15 35/1 35/24
way [5] 33/9 39/1
39/10 40/7 43/6
ways [1] 25/7
we [49] 5/3 6/9 6/12
6/16 6/19 6/20 7/4 7/5
7/8 7/20 7/24 8/5 13/3
17/13 17/19 19/8 19/9 19/10 19/12 19/13 20/2 20/3 22/13 22/14 22/14 23/5 23/9 23/11 23/15 24/25 25/4 25/11 27/6 27/10 27/22 28/4 30/3 30/9 30/12 34/19 36/14 38/6 38/12 39/12 39/16 52/13 54/7 54/8 54/24 we believe [1] 38/12 we would [2] $25 / 11$ 28/4
we're [8] 3/24 16/20 21/25 25/17 35/3 35/4 37/5 41/11
we've [3] 17/20 28/9 36/14
Wednesdays [1] 26/13 week [4] 26/9 26/12 26/12 37/9
weekends [3] 12/23 17/2 48/3
weekends-in-jail-type [1] 17/2
weeks [3] 19/15 37/24 37/24
well [21] $8 / 108 / 23$
9/13 16/7 21/4 22/1
22/11 23/13 23/20 25/2
26/19 36/1 36/21 36/25
37/3 39/2 39/25 40/14
44/22 45/17 48/8
well-worked [1] 36/21
went [6] 15/15 15/17
27/19 27/24 30/23 31/4
were [31] 6/2 6/22
15/21 17/20 18/24
19/11 19/13 19/16
19/20 19/22 20/2 20/4
20/9 20/9 20/11 20/14
20/18 20/25 27/4 28/6
28/8 28/10 31/25 34/3
34/16 39/15 39/16
40/13 42/18 42/24
45/18
weren't [2] 15/21 20/15
West [1] 2/3
what [37] 5/13 8/4
17/17 18/5 18/9 18/10 18/11 18/12 18/13 19/5 19/11 19/13 19/19
19/20 19/24 19/24 20/3
20/7 20/8 22/16 22/23
26/4 27/6 27/19 28/21
29/1 31/22 32/1 32/2
32/16 34/3 34/12 34/15
34/16 35/4 43/2 54/25
what's [3] 20/4 25/20
34/23
whatever [5] 25/13
26/21 28/25 29/2 37/13

1nihen2[25] 2xyze 6/250f 6words [4] 40/8 41/13 12/20 17/14 19/23 20/2 42/20 43/16

20/6 21/7 24/2 26/3
26/4 27/15 29/10 29/14 31/2 31/24 33/24 36/18 39/13 39/17 39/17 40/15 43/21 43/23 44/4
where [15] 7/2 15/3
17/24 20/11 20/18
22/14 26/25 33/25
34/20 35/17 36/17
37/22 37/25 41/9 54/6
whether [7] 5/10 5/11
12/22 21/21 24/24
35/16 37/1
which [22] 5/2 7/21
12/1 13/3 17/23 17/25 22/12 24/8 25/6 25/17 28/11 30/10 39/23
40/14 41/4 45/18 47/15 48/5 48/9 50/7 50/21 52/21
while [9] 18/24 27/20
28/6 29/7 44/7 45/16 45/19 48/7 48/10
who [11] 3/21 3/25 14/22 29/9 31/10 31/11 32/19 39/3 42/25 43/13 44/9
who's [5] 3/17 8/17
31/9 35/7 53/20
whole [3] 17/17 40/25 41/17
why [5] 5/3 7/20 25/17 28/22 33/1
Whytmer [1] 8/13
will [20] 5/2 5/7 5/24
9/23 9/24 11/25 13/20
13/20 24/17 24/17
24/18 29/24 29/25
33/15 36/4 46/8 46/23
48/22 49/13 55/4
William [4] 2/12 56/2 56/7 56/8
WINN [36] 1/7 2/7 3/8
3/17 3/19 3/25 5/1 6/22
7/22 9/11 9/12 15/11
19/10 19/12 20/3 27/14 27/25 34/17 34/24 35/6 36/6 36/13 36/18 37/21 38/7 38/16 43/5 44/18 44/25 45/3 47/22 52/16 53/9 53/9 53/13 54/13
Winn's [1] 45/5
wish [8] 5/19 16/14
23/1 30/16 32/17 33/5 33/6 33/20
within [9] 28/13 30/10 41/10 46/1 46/22 48/4 48/15 49/11 50/23
without [9] 24/12 26/6 27/25 30/23 32/5 32/21 46/19 49/8 51/7
witnesses [2] 7/16 8/8
woke [1] 43/21
won [1] 42/25
wonder [1] 32/19
wonderful [1] 34/13
work [15] 10/1 15/19
15/24 23/18 24/13 26/8
26/18 27/3 27/18 32/22
34/8 36/22 40/20 53/24
54/19
worked [2] 23/19 36/21 worker [5] 23/19 23/22 30/8 36/20 37/15
working [6] 23/21 24/9
26/14 27/17 31/10 36/8
works [4] 36/12 36/21
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