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PROCEEDINGS
COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. The Honorable

Amit P. Mehta presiding.
THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone.
COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
This is Criminal Case No. 21-208, United States of America versus Thomas Webster.

Brian Kelly and Hava Mirell for the government.
James Monroe for the defense.
Crystal Lustig on behalf of the Probation Office.
The defendant is appearing in person for these proceedings.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, good afternoon.
Mr. Webster, good afternoon to you, sir.
Okay. So we are set and here for sentencing this afternoon. Are both sides ready to proceed?

MS. MIRELL: We are, Your Honor.
MR. MONROE: The defense is ready to proceed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
So let me just give an overview of how I intend to proceed this afternoon.

So I'll go over what I've received and reviewed in advance of sentencing. I have a couple of preliminary matters just to raise with the parties, including the notice
that I sent out yesterday. Based on those arguments, we'll go through the Guidelines calculation and then we'll go from there into the parties' allocutions and then we'll take it from there.

So is there anything preliminarily either side wants to discuss before we move forward?

MS. MIRELL: Not from the government, Your Honor. MR. MONROE: No, not at this early point, Judge. THE COURT: All right. So let me just list what I've reviewed.

So the Presentence Investigation Report at ECF 102, the Probation Office's recommendation at 103, government's memorandum in aid of sentencing at ECF 104 , the defendant's memorandum in aid of sentencing at 105 , that includes his records from his service as a Marine, from his years as a New York Police Department officer. There's also a letter from residents of a community where he served as an officer. There's a letter from Dr. Gorovoy, as well as multiple letters of support. In addition, there were the supplemental memoranda that I requested at 106 and 107.

I have reviewed some of the videos from -- of the episode with Officer Rathbun. Those are videos that were provided to me in connection with the detention hearing that we had saved.

I've also read back through Mr. Webster's
testimony. And as I noted in my Minute Order, I've looked at the sentencing materials in four other January 6th cases, U.S. versus Reffitt, $21-C R-32$, and U.S. versus Robertson, 21-CR-34, U.S. versus Ponder, 21-CR-259, and U.S. versus Palmer at 21-CR-328.

All right. So that's what I've received and reviewed. Is there anything I should have received that I did not list already?

MS. MIRELL: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.

MR. MONROE: Judge, the only thing that comes to mind is that among the list of cases that the Court had taken a look at, there's a more recent decision handed down by Judge Kollar-Kotelly in the U.S. versus Richardson case.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, in the Richardson case?
MR. MONROE: Yeah, Richardson.
THE COURT: Oh, you know what? Okay.
MR. MONROE: And that's also an individual who was sentenced for having struck a federal officer with a flagpole.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MONROE: And I think the fact pattern
parallels and may be useful to the Court to take a look at.
THE COURT: What's the case number?
MR. MONROE: I don't have the case available off
the top of my head.
MS. MIRELL: 21-CR-721.
THE COURT: -71, Ms. Mirell?
MS. MIRELL: -721.
MR. MONROE: It was handed down about six days ago, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Maybe that's why I missed it.
And while we're on the subject and I'll take a
look when we take a break, but can anybody tell me what the offense was, whether defendant went to trial, what the Guidelines were, and what the ultimate sentence was?

MR. MONROE: Yes, it was a plea. Sorry.
MS. MIRELL: Your Honor, the defendant pled guilty. He was charged with 231, $111(\mathrm{a})(1)$ and (B),

1752 (a) (1) and (b) (1) (A), $1752(a)(2), 1752(a)(4)$,
5104 (e) (2) (D), and $5104(e)(2)(F)$.
He pled guilty on April 27th, 2022, the lesser included violation of Count 2, which is 111(a)(1).

THE COURT: So he did not plead to use of a dangerous weapon?

MS. MIRELL: He did not plead to it. It was included in the government's calculation and the Guidelines. THE COURT: Okay.

And what was the Guidelines calculation that Judge Kollar-Kotelly ultimately --

MS. MIRELL: I believe that Judge Kollar-Kotelly agreed with the government's Guidelines analysis, so that would get 37 to 46 months, but I would need to double-check that.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you know what her sentence was?

MS. MIRELL: I believe it was 46 months.
THE COURT: Okay.
All right. Well, I'll take a look at it when
I have a moment, but thank you for bringing that case to my attention.

MR. MONROE: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
Mr. Monroe, have you and Mr. Webster read and discussed the Presentence Report?

MR. MONROE: We have, sir, in detail.
THE COURT: And are there any objections that you wish to place on the record? Because I noted that you had not responded to the Presentence Report.

MR. MONROE: Not as to the scoring, Judge. We're going to stand just on the request for a deviation.

THE COURT: Okay.
All right. One simple -- or $I$ hope is a straightforward question. In the government's sentencing memo in its calculation of the Guidelines, just on page 31,

Ms. Mirell, and maybe this is an oversight or perhaps I'm missing something, in Count 3, that's the 1752 (a)(1) count, there's no inclusion of a 6-point enhancement for official victim. Was there a reason for that or is that an oversight?

MS. MIRELL: That was deliberate, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MIRELL: Do you want the --
THE COURT: Yeah, can you just tell me why.
MS. MIRELL: Yeah, can I just consult the
Guidelines to refresh my memory?
THE COURT: Yeah, of course.
The reason $I$ ask is because it was included in the other 1752.

MS. MIRELL: Yes, I remember vetting this with our appellate team and we deliberately did not include it, but I have to find my reasonings from a couple of months ago. (Pause)

THE COURT: Ms. Mirell, if this something you all need to make an inquiry of, we can move forward. And if you get an answer on that --

MS. MIRELL: Yes, we're just trying to find it in our email and our Internet doesn't work so well.

THE COURT: That's okay. I mean, look, ultimately, it's not material to the calculation, but I was
just curious.
MS. MIRELL: We do have it written up, I just need to find it, I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's okay.
All right. Let's then talk about the other Guideline matter that I'd raised in a Minute Order a couple days ago, and that's the application of the four-level enhancement for use of body armor.

It was an unusual enhancement, one that I had not confronted, and our Probation Office, when I spoke with our officer, it's not one that she had confronted in 20 years. So it was unusual and jumped out at me, and so I wanted some additional information from the parties and I appreciate your last-minute filings.

I've read them. If either side wants to be heard any further about the enhancement, I'm happy to hear from you now.

MS. MIRELL: Your Honor, I believe that the case cited by defense counsel actually weighs in favor of application of enhancement in this case. It goes to show the enhancement doesn't just apply to drug traffickers carrying firearms on their person while also donning body armor. It shows that it applies when someone else uses, in this instance, their vehicle as a deadly and dangerous weapon to attack a police officer.

And there was no evidence, at least in the record there, about his mindset going into that day, whereas here we do have significant evidence about Mr. Webster's mindset in coming to Washington, D.C. and deciding to bring body armor and a firearm with him to Washington, D.C. and deciding to ultimately don that body-worn camera vest as he came to the Capitol on January 6th.

THE COURT: You know, Ms. Mirell, I appreciate you citing the case, it's a credit to your -- to you as a lawyer.

Actually, I found the case --
MR. MONROE: I did, too, sir.
THE COURT: -- but I didn't cite it because in my own mind $I$ thought it was a little different and obviously a bit of an outlier just in terms of the fact pattern. But did you want to add anything more to what you've already submitted?

MR. MONROE: You know, what crosses my mind in the analysis, Judge, and, you know, when I think, as an attorney when you're tasked by the Court to give them a hand and be a good advisor and a lawyer, you have to take it whether it's good or bad. And just because the Walker didn't assist the defendant, I saw an obligation to disclose it to the Court and the government and that's just fine.

But I think the point to be made from the Walker
case is that this gentleman was under surveillance. Presumably, you know, he was at least suspicious of engaging in some criminal activity himself, the body armor he's wearing in the downtown Detroit area when he gets into this car-chasing crash with the federal authorities.

And I think what occurs to me is what comes across
the Court's mind when you think of a case like Reffitt. There, you've got a gentleman, he shows up at the Capitol, he's got a helmet, he's got a handgun, he's got plastic cuffs, he's got body armor, and he's got a gun. And we understand why he didn't get the enhancement because ultimately he's not convicted of a crime of violence, which is what the statute calls for.

But I think the fact that the body armor Mr. Webster was wearing on January 6th was merely incidental to what ultimately occurs later the same day doesn't capture what the legislature was trying to arrive at, which we can certainly understand that if you and I are running out of the bank and we've got guns and our bags in our hands and I have the body armor on, you don't, is certainly a bigger threat to the community than yourself because I've got an ability to evade capture and I can -- may have a little more courage to get into a firefight than somebody who may not be protected.

So it's the use, I think, is the essence behind
here. And I don't -- to the extent that it's not being employed by Mr. Webster, although the Court may be out on a case of first impression, $I$ think it's an important distinction to make, that when Mr . Webster puts his body armor on before he leaves the hotel that morning, is he contemplating that he's going to use that body armor to engage in some type of criminal activity, and at least the evidence before this Court is no. And so to that extent, the application of four points is inappropriate.

I can say this, and I do this with all candor. I looked at this issue as I did with all the scoring points, and I read the statute on its face without going into a deeper dive as the Court did. But now that the Court takes us through its analysis, I appreciate why you're considering it.

That's all I have to say on the point, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Monroe.
So, you know, look, it is a novel application, it seems to me. You know, based on my research -- and I don't think the parties have really found any cases except for the one case out in Michigan, the Walker decision, where this enhancement has been applied to anything other than drug trafficking cases and cases of armed robbery, there were some Hobbs Act cases and the like -- you know, you sort of scratch the surface on these things and you find out more
than you ever bargained for.
But the origin of this enhancement actually dates back to 2002. There was an act or a section of a -- there was an act passed by Congress, it's called the James Guelff and Chris McCurley Body Armor Act of 2002. It is Section 11009 of Public Law 107-273, which you can find at 116 Stat. 1819.

And the sense of Congress was that body armor had been a -- it had been greater trafficking of body armor; states were not in a position to enforce and monitor the trafficking of that body armor. The sense of Congress that it posed, that is, body armor posed a threat to the community because criminals who wore body armor during the commission of a violent crime were a greater threat than those who were not, and the sort of predicate -- you know, the preambulatory clauses in the Act referred to several shootings and murders, actually, of the police officer after whom the act is actually named.

And Congress, it was a sense of Congress that it directed the Sentencing Commission to add an enhancement, and that such an enhancement should at least involve two levels. And they specifically define what body armor meant and they specifically -- and wanted body armor to apply only in cases of crimes of violence as they were defined not in the Guidelines but in 18 U.S.C. 16 , which is a slightly
broader definition than some of the Guidelines definitions of crime of violence. And in 2004 -- I think 2003, I take it back, the Sentencing Commission did just that, they adopted this Guideline for the first time, went into effect.

And, you know, the history of it, it's not
terribly notable other than the fact that it mentions that Congress had directed the Sentencing Commission to do this. But they did want to emphasize, the Sentencing Commission did emphasize in the sort of explanatory notes that the definition includes offenses that involve the use or attempted use of physical force against property, as well as persons. This is a crime of violence, so, you know, oddly enough, you could be wearing body armor and destroy property potentially and could be given the enhancement. And, you know, the emphasis also this is an enhancement that applies to use; that is, the active employment, as opposed to just merely possessing it in connection with.

And, you know, look on the facts of the case, I think the enhancement does apply by a preponderance of the evidence. You know, the facts are that Mr. Webster did bring the body armor with him to Washington, D.C.; he brought it with him along with a gun, along with military rations that he ate. And although he left the gun behind him that day, he wore the body armor.

And his testimony at trial was that he had worn it
for warmth but he also wore it -- and these were his words -- and wore it for his own safety, and you have to query what his own safety was, and it undoubtedly, at least in part, was the fear of being shot, whether by what he thought may be walking the streets of Washington, D.C., bad elements in Washington, D.C., or something else.

And in terms of his use of it during the crime itself, look, I think a reasonable viewing of the evidence, plus the testimony, suggests that it did contribute to the offense in the sense that it emboldened Mr. Webster to behave the way that he did. He may not have been as emboldened by his actions that day had he not been wearing that body armor. You know, he was approaching a line of police officers. He himself is a former police officer. He would have known that those police officers had guns with them, and that charging at, as he ultimately did, attacking those police officers, could bring gunfire.

Did he process all of that in his head? Only he knows. But certainly the evidence, it seems to me, by clear and convincing evidence, would establish the use of body armor. And the government did cite this Ninth Circuit case that essentially says, look, wearing the body armor constitutes active employment of the body armor, as opposed to just mere possession of it.

So I think for those reasons, it does apply, and
so I am going to apply the four-level enhancement. That said, $I$ am going to take it into account in terms of how I ultimately do sentence and whether I vary in the manner that the defense has requested.

I don't think anybody here is suggesting that the wearing of the body armor here sort of falls within the heartland of what both Congress and the Sentencing Commission contemplated. You know, as I said, every Circuit Court decision I have found involves the use of body armor either in connection with drug trafficking or in connection with an armed robbery, and clearly Congress did not contemplate the use of body armor in the circumstances that we have here, which is assaultive contact, even albeit with a dangerous weapon but not a firearm.

So for all tho those reasons, I do think the four-level enhancement does apply. And that said, I do think -- and I will, as we talk through this, that'll be reflected in the ultimate sentence as my view that the enhancement is not only sort of not only heartland conduct, but as we'll talk about momentarily, the consequence of the enhancement is extremely draconian.

The addition of those four levels in this case adds, at least by my calculation, six years to nine and a half -- over nine and a half years to the bottom end of the Guidelines -- I'm sorry, six and a quarter years to seven
years and ten months to the Guidelines Range. Six and a quarter years is greater than all but two other defendants, to my knowledge, have gotten in any January 6th prosecution. So it cannot be that a single enhancement justifies a sentence whose enhancement is greater than any other sentence that's imposed so far by any judge in this Court for any conduct that has been prosecuted and convicted and sentenced for events arising out of January 6th.

So while the ultimate Guidelines here do end up with a 17 and a half minimum, they're driven in large part by the six-year increase caused by that four-level enhancement. And I'm not suggesting that absent that enhancement, we're talking about light Guidelines, because it still would result in, I think, an 11 and a half year bottom end. But, nevertheless, you get a sense of how impactful the Guidelines are when you sort of think about actually how many years it adds to the Guidelines calculation and compare that to the sentences that have been handed out so far for the conduct surrounding January the 6th. So bottom line is, I will apply the four-level enhancement, I'll take that into account in terms of the request for the variance, and so that'll be the ruling of the Court.

All right. Those are the two preliminary issues I wanted to raise with counsel before I got to the Guidelines
calculation. Is there anything else you all wish to raise about Guidelines calculation before I turn to it?

MS. MIRELL: Yes, Your Honor.
My tentative response to your question about the plus six for official victim enhancement for Count 3, I believe it was, it's our position not to apply that to $1752(a)(1)$ given that the text of the statute refers only to entering restricted grounds, and the commentary note 1 to 3A1.2 indicates that it's only appropriate when specified individuals are victims of the offense.

THE COURT: Sorry, say that again.
MS. MIRELL: So in $1752(a)(1)$, it refers only to the text -- the text of the statute refers only to entering restricted grounds, and the commentary to $3 A 1.2$, specifically note 1 , says that it's only appropriate when specified individuals are victims of the offense.

THE COURT: Specified in what?
MS. MIRELL: An individual, not the Capitol
building or grounds as the victim.
For example, Officer Rathbun was the named victim in 111 and 231, but there's no named victim in $1752(a)(1)$. THE COURT: Oh, okay.

I mean, I wouldn't have read it that way. I mean, it says the Guideline applies when specified individuals are victims of the offense.

I'm not sure they meant specified in the
indictment. I think what they meant is specified in the list of victims that are identified in the definition. And 3A1.2(a) identifies who the potential victims -- you know, as to whom the potential enhancement would apply, and that's sort of how I read that. But either way, this is all academic in this case because ultimately it's immaterial, as I said, to the Guidelines calculations.

All right. Let me go ahead and run through the Guidelines calculation. I think the government is right, although ultimately there is a bit of an academic quality to it that I do need to run through the Guidelines calculation as to each count.

So on Count 1, assaulting, resisting, or impeding certain officers with a dangerous weapon, the Guideline is 2A2.1 and not 2A2.4 because the conduct here does qualify as an aggravated assault. The jury in this case found that Mr. Webster had used a flagpole as a dangerous weapon.

That, in combination with the injuries that were suffered, qualify it for application of 2A2.2. For that Guideline and for that offense, the Base Offense Level is a 14.

Because a dangerous weapon was used, four-level
increase. Because the victim, that is, Officer Rathbun, sustained bodily injury, specifically, bruises to his legs
and his arms, an additional three levels are added. The conviction was under 18 U.S.C. $111(\mathrm{~b})$. That increases the offense level by two. The official victim adjustment applies per Application Note 4 of 3A1.2. The victim was a government employee. The conduct was motivated by the status of that person as a government employee, and the offense of the conviction does fall within Chapter 2, Part A as an offense against a person. So the six-level enhancement applies. An additional two-level enhancement applies for use of physical restraint. I sort of looked at this because I wasn't sure whether it actually applied in this case. I didn't find anything in the Circuit. But there is a recent Third Circuit case called United States versus Bell, 947 F.3d at 49 from 2020, which the Circuit there said that the factors to consider in determining whether physical restraint was used are to consider the use of physical force, exerting control over the victim, providing the victim with no alternative but compliance, focusing on the victim for some period of time, and, five, placement in a confined space.

I think the first four of those five factors clearly apply here, given the conduct of which Mr. Webster was found guilty. He clearly used physical force; he exerted control over Officer Rathbun for that period of time
he held him down to the ground. Officer Rathbun was in no position other than to comply with that while physical force was being applied. And clearly there was a direct focus on Officer Rathbun for some period of time, so I think that physical restraint applies.

And for the reasons I've already discussed, the four-level enhancement for abuse of body armor applies. And then the additional two level for obstruction for administration of justice applies.

And let me note here that in terms of the application of that enhancement, we get there just from the mere fact that Mr. Webster deleted photographs that he had taken on that day of the events of January the 6th. I don't need to make an assessment or an evaluation of his testimony and whether his testimony rises to the kind of knowingly false testimony that would otherwise warrant that -- the application of that enhancement. That said, Mr. Webster's testimony will certainly be an object of some discussion as we move forward.

So with that, the total offense level for Count 1 is a 37. For Count 2, obstructing officers during a civil disorder, it too starts with the 2 A2. 4 -- excuse me, it then cross-references over to 2A2.2 because there's an aggravated assault.

The same exact calculation applies as the one that

I just went through for an offense level of 37.
Count 3, start with 2X1.1, and that then reverts to 2A2.2, which, because of the cross-reference, reverts to 2A2.4.

The same calculation applies if we don't include the victim, official victim adjustment, it's a 24 -- excuse me, a 27. You know, for present purposes, I can leave it at a 27, but ultimately it's an immaterial difference.

For Count 4, disorderly or disruptive conduct in a restricted building or grounds, it's the same exact calculation. Again, starts here with 2A2.4, but the cross-reference applies because of the aggravated assault at 2A2.2. Same exact calculation as for Count 1, gets us to a total offense level of 33 in this case.

I think I may have misspoke. For Count 2, it's also 33 and not 37, because it's not a crime of violence. So for Count 2, 33. Count 3, it's a 27, because, arguably, the official victim enhancement doesn't apply. Count 4 is also a 33 because it's not a crime of violence; the body armor enhancement doesn't apply. Count 5, same thing, start with 2A2.4, cross-reference to 2A2.2 because of the aggravated assault. Same exact calculation as Count 1 without the body armor enhancement because it's not a crime of violence. Results in a 33. All of these counts group because it involves the same conduct. So we take the
highest offense level, which is a 37.
We're all in agreement that Mr. Webster has no prior criminal history score and therefore has a Criminal History Category of I. Guidelines Range, therefore, is 210 months to ultimately 240 months in this case because the highest -- the greatest offense carries a 20 -year maximum penalty. So the Guidelines are 210 to 240 months, which is 17 and a half to 20 years.

Let me quickly, before we get to the allocutions, just talk quickly about restitution. Mr. Monroe, did you wish to be heard or raise any objections about the restitution request?

MR. MONROE: No, Judge, we don't have any objections to the request for restitution.

THE COURT: Okay.
So under the mandatory victims restitution act, I am required to award restitution in the amount of $\$ 60$ to Officer Rathbun for the costs associated with his testimony in this case and his witness fees or the costs of being a witness in this case.

The government suggests that mandatory victims of restitution act also applies for the $1752(a)(1)$; that is, the knowingly entering or remaining in a restricted buildings or grounds, because it qualifies as an offense against property.

I don't know. I mean, you know, I looked, I'm not sure whether mere trespassing in a building qualifies as an offense against property. The term is not defined. And, frankly, I didn't find any cases that really did apply it in this context or any other for that matter.

But irrespective of whether it is or is not under the mandatory victims restitution act, it certainly falls -the remaining eligible conduct under Title 18 , there are at least four, $I$ think, Title 18 offenses for which he was convicted for which the victim and witness protection act applies and which it's discretionary to award restitution.

The government has clearly established that there was injury and harm to the Capitol building. I think the amount the government is now presently calculating is well over $\$ 2$ million, and $I$ think it is fair to find that Mr. Webster caused at least $\$ 2,000$ in damage as the government has requested.

You know, given the nature of the overall conduct, you can't have any particular person responsible for all 2 million, but it's certainly safe to say that the $\$ 2$ million in damage could not have happened but for the collective action of each individual person who was there on January the 6th. And so everybody who was there in a sense, whether they directly destroyed property or not, certainly contributed and caused it, and so I think the amount of
$\$ 2,000$ that's been requested is fair in terms of a
restitution amount, for a total amount of $\$ 2,060$ as
restitution in this case. Okay.

All right. With that, let's turn to the parties' allocutions, and we'll start with the government.

Sorry, one more thing I should add.
Given that the total offense level is a 37, the fine range is 40,000 to $\$ 400,000$.

MR. MONROE: Can I have that number and total one more time?

THE COURT: 40,000 to 400,000 . Is that wrong?
PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, the fine range is $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 250,000$, because the statutory maximum fine for the counts is $\$ 250,000$.

THE COURT: Oh, right. It's always good to have probation officers check your errors.

So, yes, that's right, it's 40,000, and the statutory max of 250,000 .

MS. MIRELL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. May it please the Court. I'd like to recognize Metropolitan Police Department Officer Noah Rathbun, who is in the audience today. He does not intend to provide a statement at this time but he would rely on his testimony from trial, as well as the victim impact statement that he submitted in connection with the June 2021 bond hearing in this case.

THE COURT: Officer Rathbun, welcome.
MS. MIRELL: I'd like to begin by providing the Court with a roadmap of government's allocution today, start with the nature and circumstances of the offense, as well as the defendant's trial testimony.

Next discuss the defendant's history and personal characteristics; specifically, his prior service and why that is an aggravating factor in this case.

We'll next address the lack of remorse that Mr. Webster has demonstrated throughout this entire case, and finally we'll address sentencing disparities.

First, I'd like to discuss the damage that this defendant has done to the rule of law, not just through his conduct but through his testimony. And we'll start as we always have with the body-worn camera; I will ask my colleague, Mr. Kelly, to help me play.

I recognize that this is maybe the 50th time that the Court and the public has seen this video but it is still as disturbing and gruesome as the first time you watched it.
(Video played)
MS. MIRELL: Nothing can explain or justify Mr. Webster's rage. Nothing can explain or justify his violence.

In previous sentencings, judges within this Court, including Your Honor, have observed that many of these
rioters were misled by former President Trump; that they were "pawns in a game that was played and directed by people who should have known better."

But that only goes so far in this case. It could explain why the defendant ended up in Washington, D.C. on January 6th. It could also explain why he found himself at the Capitol, and specifically the lower west plaza on January 6th.

But it cannot explain his rage. It cannot explain why he, a former police officer, attacked another police officer with a U.S. Marine Corps flagpole. It cannot explain why he then, after the officer had retreated, tackled him to the ground. It also can't explain why the defendant tried to rip off an officer's gas mask.

Webster is one of the rioters who should have known better. Even if he didn't know better than to believe Trump's lies, he knew better than to assault a fellow cop, no matter the circumstances.

Something else that stands out about the defendant's assault is the use of the Marine Corps flag as the weapon.

THE COURT: Ms. Mirell, if I could interrupt you for a moment.

What do you think does explain it?
MS. MIRELL: Mr. Webster's attack?

I believe there's an element here of uncontrollable rage that goes to future dangerousness. I believe there's an element of him assuming that he is the authority figure here, that, as a former police officer, that he's empowered to do whatever he wants. I think that's evidenced further -- as he goes further toward the Capitol, he actually goes behind a second police line and emerges with his hand up behind the police line. He feels entitled to do what he wants as a former police officer, and he's constantly asserting that while he's engaging with Officer Rathbun. We think there's a couple elements; otherwise, I can't really understand it myself, Your Honor.

But back to the deadly and dangerous weapon here, the Marine Corps flag. I believe it was Judge Chutkan remarked in the Mark Ponder sentencing on the palpable irony of using a red, white, and blue flagpole to attack an officer. I submit that irony is even more pronounced in this case.

If you recall, the defendant testified at trial about how he was going to choose which flagpole he was taking to Washington, D.C. And he chose not to bring his American flag because, "If that thing fell on the floor, it would bother him." Yet he had no compunction about using a U.S. Marine Corps flag to attack a fellow service member and a fellow police officer, who was simply doing the job that

Webster was once entrusted to do himself; that is, to defend our democracy.

Overall, the defendant's conduct and statements before, on, and immediately after January 6th show that he anticipated violence, that he wanted violence, and that he instigated violence.

He brought with him his MREs, his NYPD gun, a ballistic vest, a military rucksack. He was clearly anticipating a violent clash.

His search history, as we submitted as part of our supplemental brief in this case, shows that he was looking to buy ammo for an NYPD-serviced firearm, and that he was visiting websites that sold body armor. He was reading websites that talked about how it was going to get wild, and providing instructions on gun laws, self-defense options, citizens arrest policy. He ultimately donned that NYPD-issued bulletproof vest, again, because he anticipated violence. And when he got to the Capitol on January 6th, he made that violence happen.

Now, I want to turn to the defendant's testimony and the damage that his testimony specifically has done to the rule of law in this country.

Not only did the defendant testify untruthfully, but he also damaged the credibility of police everywhere through his false testimony.

As we discussed in our brief, the defendant used his prior police service as a weapon and a shield. First, he weaponized the prior service to attack Officer Rathbun. He called Officer Rathbun a rogue cop at least four times. And he repeatedly invoked his police experience to critique Officer Rathbun's training and tactics, as well as the training and tactics of the NYPD and U.S. Capitol police officers who were staged on the lower west plaza that day.

He then tried to hide behind that same police service as the reason he should not be held responsible for his conduct. He tried to claim that, as a police officer, he had a better appreciation than most for why Officer Rathbun was such a threat and that why what he was doing led him to believe that he was in such serious danger of bodily injury or death.

Now, it's worth taking a closer look at Webster's testimony, because to say it out loud is to expose just how preposterous it was.

First, Webster claimed that Officer Rathbun waved him over the fence. Never mind the fact that nowhere, not in the body-worn camera, not in the four videos we have open source and surveillance, do you see any type of waving gesture from Officer Rathbun.

But Webster actually stuck with this lie and he said that this phantom waving, "Was obviously

Officer Rathbun wanting me to come over the barrier and do something to him. It was an obvious taunt and a motion for me to do something that would have been illegal."

This is, of course, preposterous. As
Officer Rathbun testified, it would have been absolutely insane for him to signal or wave someone over that bike rack when he was outnumbered like that.

Officer Rathbun was not interested in starting a fight and going old school, because he was there to protect the Capitol, and that would have taken him away from his mission.

At this point, we also just have to stop ourselves and remember that this is a former cop, this is a guy who once stood behind those bike racks, who once guarded Gracie Mansion. And he's really saying that another cop, who was so clearly outnumbered and in danger, is inviting someone to come over the barrier and fight? It's absurd. It wasn't credible then, it's not credible today.

He then claims that another reason he was so intimidated by Officer Rathbun was because Officer Rathbun looked up at his flag. And he assumed that that look at the flag meant that Officer Rathbun really wanted to fight him and that maybe he had something against the Marines. Again, it's incredible that a former cop would claim that someone looking at his flag was a signal and an invitation to fight.

Mr. Webster knew perfectly well that
Officer Rathbun would have perceived his flag as a weapon and that might have been the explanation for looking up at the weapon.

Also, the fact that a wrong look at his flag was enough to provoke him into a vengeful violence should be concern, especially as a former cop, who, in his own words, is supposed to be stoic like a statute.

Now, after accusing Officer Rathbun of looking at his flag the wrong way and then giving him this phantom wave over the bike racks, he proceeded to blame Officer Rathbun for not doing enough to soothe him and bring down his anger level. In his words, "I've been in that situation, and you've got to figure it out, you've got to figure out how to de-escalate. He had all the answers. I gave him all the answers. That flag." He then continues, "That guy could have very easily de-escalated this whole thing and said, "Hey, dude, I'm a Navy guy." I would have hugged him. Again, Webster is a former cop. Why should he need de-escalating? We should expect him, at the very least, to act with decency and respect toward police; we should expect him to be able to keep his emotions and anger in check.

He then spins an incredible lie about how Officer Rathbun, after he's now already retreated backwards from the collapsed police line, somehow runs forward a
quarter of the way to Webster and they "Made contact. He kind of, like, goes to the ground." Officer Rathbun did not move forward. And he didn't just go to the ground. He got knocked to the ground by Webster who came at him like a linebacker.

Finally, by far the most incredible part of Mr. Webster's testimony was his explanation for why he had his hands on Officer Rathbun's gas mask. In his words, "I'm trying to protect myself in a manner that doesn't hurt this officer. I wanted to do something to protect myself without hurting this cop. Again, I think I described before, he had this big rubber gas mask on, and I wanted him to see my hands."

Compares it to needing to put your hands on the wheel during a traffic stop so that officers can see where your hands are. That was a lie. Here's another way to let Officer Rathbun see your hands, and it doesn't involve pulling on his gas mask and choking him.

As we say in our sentencing memo, it's abundantly clear from Webster's conduct and his testimony that the only person interested in going old school and rogue that day was Mr. Webster.

Now, fortunately, the jury saw right through Webster's smoke screen, but a lot of our nation has not. A lot of our nation still believes that the rioters were
justified in their actions, that they were patriots, that the police instigated and provoked this violence, and that some of the rioters were just mere protesters. And the defendant, through his testimony, perpetuated that lie. He tried to make this a referendum on police misconduct when he knew better than most that Officer Rathbun was acting with tremendous restraint and professionalism that day.

Instead of doing the honorable thing, which is accepting responsibility for his actions and apologizing, Mr. Webster has gone as far as one can to the other end of the spectrum by blaming, shaming, and vilifying his victim.

I next want to address Mr. Webster's prior service. Obviously, Probation views his prior military and police service as a significant mitigating factor in this case and recommends that the Court vary 90 months downward for that reason.

The government disagrees. The government views the defendant's prior police service as an aggravating factor in this case. Of course, the government does respect and appreciate Mr. Webster's decades of service, both as a Marine and as a New York City Police Department officer.

But the problem here, as I've already discussed, is the way in which Mr. Webster leaned on that service to both attack Officer Rathbun's professionalism and integrity, and, at the same time, to shield himself from any liability.

THE COURT: Ms. Mirell, if I could interject for a moment.

Is it the government's view that Mr. Webster's past should not be a mitigating factor at all here? I don't think anybody would suggest that there's anything in his past that would suggest conduct that he engaged in that day by any definition of the term was aberrant behavior as a Marine 20 years on the NYPD.

And I can understand why the government would view that as something that he used to further his behavior that day and, in fact, he did, $I$ don't think there's any doubt about it. But does he get no credit, in the government's view, for leading a 25-year life of -- 25-year period of service with that integrity, honor, and accommodation?

MS. MIRELL: Your Honor, the government's view is that it cannot bear the weight of a 90 -month variance. Whether it can bear any weight potentially, it goes to his personal history and characteristics and is part of his lack of criminal history, which we think the Court must and should consider.

But this is an unusual case. Had this been a case, for example, where the defendant -- you know, where he was a cop and he merely didn't use his better judgment on January --

THE COURT: Ms. Mirell, hang on.
(Pause)
THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Mirell.

MS. MIRELL: If it was a situation where he was a cop, came to January 6th, for example, like Robertson, and he should have known better, that's one situation, and maybe he's deserving a more mitigating weight in that circumstance.

But here, we have the use of that police service on the stand under oath in a way that's despicable to the NYPD and to police officers everywhere, and it does a great disservice to the reputation and integrity of the police. So we don't think that it can really bear significant, if any, mitigating weight in this case.

And I have examples of how the defendant did use that prior service and police service; I have probably 14 quotes of that if the Court would like to hear them. No. Okay.

THE COURT: I sat through the trial.
MS. MIRELL: I assume the Court has reviewed the defendant's transcript, so I will not address them then.

THE COURT: I have.
MS. MIRELL: Okay.
My third topic is the defendant's lack of remorse here. I don't know if Mr. Webster's going to be making a steal today, but it may be too little, too late.

The representations made in defense counsel's sentencing submission are obviously very different than what the defendant said under oath at this trial. And if you focus on what the defendant said under oath, he was never once apologetic to Officer Rathbun; he never once accepted responsibility for his conduct; he was evasive; he often wouldn't respond to Ms. Nielsen when she asked him, you knew you were on restricted grounds, you knew by the time that you crossed that barricade that you were on restricted grounds, and he was evasive for that. He vilified Officer Rathbun; he tried to make him out to be a rogue, old school cop. He's expressed no remorse.

In weighing all the $3553(a)$ factors, including the defendant's eleventh hour expression of remorse, to the extent he intends to provide one, the Court must seriously consider and weigh heavily the sworn statements at trial and the lack of remorse that he has continued to show to this day.

Finally, I was intending to address the sentencing disparities but perhaps it might be better to respond to any of the Court's specific questions in that regard.

THE COURT: So I think I previewed one earlier, which is with respect to the body armor enhancement and the impact it has on the overall sentencing.

Does the government genuinely believe that his
sentence ought to be increased by, at a minimum, of six and a quarter years, which is greater than all but two sentences that anyone has received thus far, on top of what is already going to be an 11-plus year Guidelines for wearing that body armor, it deserves a full six-year additional sentence?

MS. MIRELL: Your Honor, the government's position is that the Guidelines are presumptively reasonable. This is something -- as Your Honor went through legislative history here, this is something that was considered deliberately by Congress and by the Sentencing Commission.

THE COURT: Even you would, I hope, concede this is not heartland use of body armor that either Congress or the Sentencing Commission contemplated.

MS. MIRELL: It may not be heartland, given that heartland is drug traffickers who carry guns and wear body armor on the streets, but it is certainly applicable in this case and it certainly enabled Mr. Webster and empowered him to commit a vicious assault.

As Your Honor said, I question whether he would have come at Officer Rathbun with the same force if he didn't know he had a little extra padding to protect him.

THE COURT: Look, I guess the other big-picture question here is, turns out it's harder to make comparisons for conduct on January 6th than one might think.

Mr. Palmer and Mr. Ponder, I know Mr. Ponder --
you know, Mr. Ponder twice arms himself with a pole. It hits an officer twice. The first time the pole breaks only because the officer has a riot shield. Then he arms himself with a red, white, and blue pole, runs up to the upper West Terrace, swings the pole yet again at an officer and strikes him in the shoulder. And then when he's arrested and despite instructions to return to the Capitol -- not to return to the Capitol, he, you know, engages with officers again.

Now, you know, Guidelines are a funny thing because when you sort of describe and hear that conduct out loud, you'd say, wow, that's pretty bad stuff. But his Guidelines were 57 to 71 months. I think that includes a three-level departure for accepting responsibility, so it's a little bit skewed.

But when you're just comparing apples to apples in terms of the actual behavior and Mr. Ponder got 63 months, which some might say is pretty good, although it's within the Guidelines, how do I give Mr. Webster three-plus times that much?

MS. MIRELL: Well, Your Honor, I think we do try to draw some distinctions between Mr. Ponder and Mr. Webster in our sentencing memo. I think some of those factor into the Guidelines, too, and affect the Guidelines analysis. For example, there was no reported bodily injury from any of

Mr. Ponder's victim.
THE COURT: And that seems to me by the grace of God, nothing else, and a riot shield. The fact that he hit three different officers with a metal pole -- anyway.

MS. MIRELL: It's certainly by the grace of God, too, Officer Rathbun was not injured, because that pole came dangerously close to Officer Rathbun as well. And as we all know, he was the least protected person on that line. He was not carrying a riot shield, he was not wearing hard gear. Everyone else around him was.

There's also, as the Court noted with Mr. Ponder, he got minus three for the acceptance of responsibility. He did not blame his violence on his victims, didn't restrain his victims and tackle them to the ground. He wasn't wearing the body armor. Understood the Court's point about the body armor inflating the Guidelines here. He did not obstruct justice by deleting evidence from his phone or, in the government's belief, providing materially false testimony under oath. I believe there are also some significant mitigating circumstances in Mr. Ponder's case that don't exist in this case.

So, yes, there were similar assaults with a
flagpole. I just don't think -- I may be confusing myself. I also don't think that Mr. Ponder was a former cop.

THE COURT: No, that was Mr. Palmer.

MS. MIRELL: So I think -- that was Mr. Palmer. So I think that is an --

THE COURT: He's the one that threw a fire extinguisher at a police officer.

MS. MIRELL: Yes, also horrific.
But I think the fact that Ponder was not a former cop weighs heavily here. No one knows better than a former cop how dangerous it was on January 6th. And to then use a deadly and dangerous weapon to attack a cop and then tackle him to the ground, separate him from his colleagues, and render him vulnerable on the ground, that's particularly heinous.

THE COURT: Okay.
I mean, look, we can have this conversation about each of the -- and the reason I -- for obvious reasons, the four I picked and the four I identified, those are the four highest sentences that have been handed out. Mr. Reffitt got 87 months, Mr. Robertson got 87 months, Mr. Palmer and Mr. Ponder each received 63 months. And you're asking me to sentence Mr. Webster to 210 months.

And, look, you know, I think every one of us who does this who was involved in the criminal justice system whether on the defense or prosecution side or as judges, the Guidelines do funny things, and you can look at the Guidelines at the end of the day and they produce sometimes
outcomes that seem, to some, lenient, and then in other cases, quite draconian. And ultimately what we as judges are trying to do here is trying to right size all of what the Guidelines get wrong and sometimes seem arbitrary, like a four-level enhancement for body armor that results in a six-year increase of the Guidelines calculation.

And I understand the government's position, and
I know why you view his conduct as more culpable than Mr. Reffitt's and Mr. Robertson's, so don't know that we need to go through all of it.

MS. MIRELL: Okay.
So unless the Court has any further questions, the government would respectfully request that this Court sentence the Defendant Thomas Webster to 200 months in prison, three years of supervised release, and $\$ 2,060$ in restitution. Thank you.

THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you, Ms. Mirell.
That's okay.
MS. MIRELL: 310, I'm sorry.
THE COURT: That's okay. I know what you meant.
Okay. Mr. Monroe.
MR. MONROE: Judge, I welcome the chance to speak to you. I'm going to be brief. I try to be very pragmatic with the Court's time and the record that we make, the objections that we assert.

The Court has obviously been an excellent steward of the law and kept an impeccable record. But as a practitioner, as we understand that we have to measure this man against his crimes, right? That's with any case. It's been a long time since these Guidelines have been mandatory, although they now stand before us as advisory. But they try to help shape comparable conduct so we arrive at a sensible sentence that compares comparable misconduct with others. No two cases we know are going to be the same, it's not possible.

But it can't be seen, either in this Court or anywhere else in this country, that the request made by the government is an act of vengeance as opposed to a prayer for justice. We know that. We have to protect this Court's integrity, we have to protect the integrity of the entire system by making sure that the bad conduct perpetrated by people, good people like Mr. Webster on January 6th, is measured against his convictions.

Tom was not an organizer. Fact. He hadn't been in Washington, D.C. in over 20 years. He came down here at the invitation of our leaders, of a President that was desperate to retain power. And like many other Americans, he accepted that invitation. And as we've laid out in our own papers, the lies and disinformation were sufficient enough to fool many Americans, especially those who showed
up here at the Capitol on January 6th.
But when Tom leaves his house and goes to New York on January 5th, up until that point in time, he's 54 years old, he's lived, by any measurement, a spectacular life. He has a beautiful home and a beautiful wife and three very healthy, successful children. The man is now retired. And in his retirement, he takes up his obligation as a contributing member to the family to run his own little landscaping business. So in his retirement, he's pushing mowers and shoveling snow to make additional money for the home.

He has spent 20 years, Judge, 20 years with the New York City Police Department, not one, not one reprimand. Think about that. The first part of his career was spent in the Bronx when there was still a Housing Authority before they got merged into the New York City Police Department. He was assigned to the worst project the city had, the Gun Hill Road housing project, and the man was an exceptional police officer.

And then before that, he served the United States Marine Corps honorably, all the way through his time with the Marines -- that's what we're showing you, Judge -- all the time that he spent at the police department, filled with superlatives of what a good, decent man this has measured over time, not just the 46 seconds of Mr. Webster's delusion
that he could have some say over who's going to be the next President of the United States.

I'll just mention briefly, and truly it goes to credit Tom and his own character, of the circumstances he did such a brilliant job of pulling himself out of, right? How many times do we hear people complain, well, I wouldn't have done these terrible things but for the fact that I didn't have such a great home, I didn't have such a great upbringing, I didn't have that great support.

But Tom didn't have any of those things in place. But he got through high school, he found -- he served his country honorably in the military, and he did 20 miraculous years with the New York City Police Department, all by his own doing. Measurement of character by time, not as the government wants everyone to believe that we should condemn Tom for all eternity for those 46 seconds, none of the good deeds that he was capable of accomplishing, not the beautiful family he raised, not being a great son to his parents, not being a wonderful police officer, and a hardworking Marine, no credit, zero. That is not how we measure justice. That is revenge. The Court should not give any countenance to that.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Monroe, you've asked for a time-served sentence. I dare say that's not consistent with justice either.

So in your estimation, and maybe you'll stay with what you've told me, which is a time-served sentence, what is consistent with justice, not only for the conduct, context in which it occurred, and, dare I say, testimony at trial that the jury rejected, and I'll just leave it at that for now.

MR. MONROE: I'm glad you brought that up, Judge.
When we stepped out the door of my office on February 22 nd and headed to the FBI office, we went there and did something extremely unorthodox. We gave them a full accounting of what happened that day. The transcript here is over 50 pages long of Tom giving a statement, as his lawyer sat right next to him, I authorized it, I encouraged him to do it.

And as much as the government wants to deny it, but in that statement, he said, you know, even in that early, early phase, Tom stops the FBI agent and says, you know, I want to say something to you. You know, I said some terrible things to that officer. At the time he didn't know his name because it wasn't disclosed. He says, I called him some terrible names. I just -- it's not true, I didn't mean to say that. He's not a traitor, and I feel terrible that I said it to him. Even at that early stage, it already is clear to Tom Webster, his level of culpability.

And, you know, I think the government is right, in
part here --
THE COURT: But yet he got up on the stand, Mr. Monroe -- and, look, you know that I spent my life as a criminal defense lawyer, I understand. But the decision to testify is never a light one; in fact, it's probably the biggest decision any defendant can make and it's the biggest and most important advice any lawyer can give.

But what you just described him saying to the FBI and what you've now written in your sentencing memo could not be more at odds with his testimony. And, yes, it's perhaps not at odds in the sense that he regrets what he said, but it certainly doesn't reflect any acknowledgement of culpability or acceptance of responsibility.

MR. MONROE: He loses that from a scoring standpoint, obviously, because --

THE COURT: It's just not scoring. Let's take this out of the three points; I could care less about the three points.

MR. MONROE: Yeah.
Let me just say this. It was always Mr. Webster's contention that he was responding passionately to what had happened in his presence. It wasn't accepted by the jury. Self-defense justification was not accepted. That's a fact. That's something both myself and Mr. Webster, more
importantly, accepts. But that's the man's reasoning for where he was at when he was sitting giving a full accounting of what was happening at the Capitol on January 6 th when he was sitting in the FBI's office in Newburg, New York.

I have to make this quick point. The time, as I've said before, tells us a lot about our clients and the defendants that are before this Court. But he has been confined to home detention for 421 days, more now, not an incident, not a complaint, nothing.

He went so far as to reach out and get some help to figure out what to do now that he's made such a first-class disaster of his life and how it has terribly impacted not only himself but this decent loving family that he's committed so much time, energy, emotion, and love to.

And if you had a chance to read Dr. Gorovoy's report, she illustrates how Tom, as her patient, struggled with the shame and the embarrassment of where Tom's own conduct has placed him in.

Ultimately from Tom's own conduct, Probation no longer views him as a danger to the community. That was a hotly contested issue by the government when we were deciding whether or not this gentleman could be released pending his trial.

I try to lead with my best foot out. I understand the Court's comments about my request for time served. It's
because $I$ just think this gentleman is of such high regard, he's such a decent guy, that this event on January 6th was so perfectly out of character for him, that there should be special exception and consideration given to that.

The Court doesn't see a lot of Tom Websters. In my career, I don't get a chance to represent many Tom Websters, someone who's had such a sparkling career and makes such a perfect disaster of his personal and professional life by seconds of stupidity.

And I don't want the Court or anyone in this courtroom to think that we're offering our former leadership words that misled my client as an excuse. Tom's here taking responsibility for what he did. There were many people that committed crimes on the Capitol on January 6th and Tom was one of them. Just because we have a misguided leader telling us to engage in conduct, that we should have known better. And that's the other shape of this argument that I have to concede the government's right on.

Much of the training that Officer Rathbun had Tom Webster had. Many of the experiences that Officer Rathbun was going through when at the rally on January 6th, Tom was there; many protests that he had to man a police line in the City of New York. Tom, out of all the people, as I've argued in my papers, should have known better to step away from this line, knowing that these men
and women had their hands full to keep the Capitol safe that day. That he doesn't have an excuse for.

But that's not the reason that this man should be condemned, not in the way that the government is asking. Again, Judge, you have to measure, this fine gentleman not by that 46 seconds that we've been giving maximum focus to but by the last 56 years of his life and how this man has led it, not by just words, not by the voice of lawyers from the government or his defense counsel but by his own deeds and conduct.

That's all I can offer this man. I hope I've done my best for him. But he has some words he'd like to share with the Court, with the Court's indulgence. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.
Mr. Webster, would you like to be heard?
THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
Your Honor, I respect the jury's decision in my case and I wish I had never gone to Washington, D.C. I wish the events of that horrible day never happened, especially my incident with Officer Rathbun.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Webster.
THE DEFENDANT: Unfortunately, I became swept up in politics and former President Trump's rhetoric.

A picture of voter fraud had been painted.
As a veteran, that created panic and fear in my
mind. What I witnessed outside the Capitol that day shocked me, and I was overwhelmed with emotion. I was frustrated, and I could not figure out a way to stop people from getting hurt. I became distraught.

My whole life, my childhood, the Marines, as a police officer, I've always wanted to help and protect people who were being injured or in need of assistance. However, on January 6th, I should have realized that the police were overwhelmed, outnumbered, and unprepared.

I failed to have the courage to contain myself, turn back, and just avoid the situation.

During my home detention, I took a big step to improve myself and sought therapy for the first time in my life.

My generation, it was taboo to reach out for help and speak with professionals, especially as police officers. I had no idea how much it would help. I've benefited from those sessions with Dr. Gorovoy. Counseling has helped me heal and accept that I cannot always stop bad things from happening. I learned powerful techniques to manage my emotions and help me with stressful events in my life.

Your Honor, up until January 6th, I conducted myself with dignity and respect for people, and especially police officers. I raised my kids that way. I can never look at my kids the same way ever again. The way that they
look at me, it's different now.
I faithfully served my country and the City of New York. I'm a man of faith and a good husband and father. My family has suffered tremendously because of my actions, yet they've done nothing wrong.

One positive thing that has come out of this dire situation is I'm going to keep my kids and others around me not to get wrapped up in politically charged environments.

My wife of 23 years and I have a loving relationship, and my actions have negatively impacted her emotional health.

My parents have already lost two sons, and I ask that you please give mercy on my family as you consider an appropriate sentence for me.

Your Honor, for me, the harshest punishment is not jail; rather, being in public and experiencing the shame and humiliation for what I've done. What a ring of people know who I am, telling my kids as they go off to school. Disown me if it comes up. I was their hero up until January 6th.

Your Honor, I would like to close by saying I should have not been there on January 6th to protest the election and I wish the events of that horrible day had never happened. People would still be alive, people would not have gotten hurt, and families would not have been thrown apart. Perhaps our country would not be as divided
as it is today.
I would like to see our great country united once again, regardless of political affiliation, and I pray that God will steer us all back on course.

But, most importantly, Officer Rathbun, I'm sorry.
I put myself on the same level as you and that was wrong.
I want to apologize to you, most importantly, your family.
I know how it impacts your family and I'm sorry.
Your Honor, that's it.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Webster.
Okay. Let's take a 15-minute break. It's 3:25 now. We'll come back at 20 of 4:00. Thank you, everyone. COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

The Court stands in recess.
(Recess from 3:29 p.m. to 3:50 p.m.)
DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. The Honorable
Amit P. Mehta presiding.
THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone.
So I've already calculated the Guidelines, but let me just reiterate at the starting point, which is where I'm required to begin.

As I said, the total offense level was a 37, no Criminal History score, Guidelines Range is 210 months to 240 months.

In addition to the Guidelines, I'm required by law
to consider a host of sentencing factors. Those factors should be sufficient but not greater than necessary to achieve the objectives of sentencing set forth in the statute, to consider the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant, the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence, protect the public, and to provide the defendant with any needed educational, vocational training or any medical care.

I also, as relevant here, should consider any unwarranted disparities; also, restitution for the victims.

Let me just briefly summarize Mr. Webster's background which we've talked about quite a bit.

He's now 56 years old, had a challenging childhood, but rose above it. Four years in the Marines. Honorably discharged. Ultimately became a Lieutenant Colonel, if memory serves. 20 years in the New York Police Department after that, first working in public housing, working his way up, weapons training, trainer, up to being on the -- managed to be on the security detail. To this day, he suffers health problems from being first responder after 9/11. For 25 years, Mr. Webster was a public servant in the truest sense of the word.

Married 20-plus years, three children, supported his wife through some challenging times, speaking to your character.

I've considered, obviously, the letters of
recommendation that speak to you and your character. I've considered the doctor's report, although, I have to confess, I don't fully understand it in terms how she explains your behavior, because the video evidence in my mind sort of is at odds with her evaluation, that you were reacting to sort of a fight-or-flight scenario, as the truth is, from the moment you arrived on scene at that bike rack, you had also already become quite aggressive, you were already quite confrontational. The danger that was created was created by you, not anybody else.

I've taken into account the impact this has had on your family, how this must have affected your wife, affected your children, and I'm terribly sorry for all of it.

If there is an American left, I suppose there are, who thinks that the events of January the 6 th were a mere political protest, was just a group of people who got out of control, was something that was aberrant, they're right in the sense that it was a historic moment, but where they are wrong is that it was not just one day, it was not just one moment in time, it is a day that continues to affect the very fabric of this country, and, for today's purposes,
affect lives of real human beings, Americans.
We have here in court today somebody who served his country, who served the people of New York, and as his lawyer, $I$ think, has put it aptly in 46 seconds, threw all that away. And if anybody thinks it happens just by chance, because he's a person who lacks character, because he believes in a particular political ideology, that, of course, he must have acted that way, you would be wrong. Thomas Webster doesn't do what he did that day unless he is brought to a place where his mind and his otherwise sense of equilibrium, his patriotism, his sense of self are lost. And that's what happened. That's what happened.

Now, does he bear responsibility for it? Of course he does. But I said before and I will continue to say at every one of these sentencings, people who stand before me to be sentenced and in every other courtroom in this courthouse are in a sense victims too, and Americans of all stripes should understand that.

No one should be gleeful that Thomas Webster is sitting here facing a 17 and a half year sentence. No one should think, well, you know, serves him right for believing the stuff that he believed. He was an everyday American and now finds himself looking at an extraordinary amount of time in jail. People need to ask themselves, what conditions could have created that to happen, and be honest with
yourself when you're asking the question and answering it.
Mr. Webster, what you did that day, it is hard to really put into words. Yeah, I've seen that videotape more than probably just about any other person other than perhaps your counsel, government counsel, and I still remain shocked every single time $I$ see it, shocked not only by what you did, that's bad enough, but by who you are.

You know, I can go through and I actually have it all written down exactly what you did and I can go through it, but the video speaks for itself, we all saw it. You were the first aggressor, no doubt about that. You were the one who was calling, taunting Officer Rathbun, no doubt about it.

You were the one, with extraordinary force, brought that flagpole down multiple times in a way that broke it, could have seriously hurt him and other officers who were in that space.

And then when those barriers opened and parted, nobody pushed you forward. You ran, you charged ahead. In your own testimony, it was, "Like a linebacker, I had my arms crossed."

And if that wasn't bad enough, you take him to the ground, hold him to the ground, and you tried to rip his gas mask off, gas enters that mask, making it difficult for him to breathe, he's choking on the strap that's part of that
mask. And there's even more.
And it's not that you had five seconds on some video that said, send more patriots, it's that if you look at the before and after of that video, I'm sure you have, it was an intact police line at that point. Sure, there were some people yelling and screaming at the police officers. There's one person who was actually seeming to have kind of a jovial interaction with the officers.

It's not until you arrive, Mr. Webster, that all hell broke loose. It was your actions that, at least on that part of the police line, opened up the police line and allowed thousands of people through, many of whom ended up inside that Capitol building, many of whom made their way up into that Capitol building, who destroyed property, to intimidate the people who were working there, to occupy a building that is a symbol of our democracy, and on that day was doing something -- what was happening in there was something we have done peacefully for hundreds of years. You were part of that, and not a small part of it.

You know, that context matters. You know, this is not an instance where there was a fight on a street corner. This is not an instance where you happen to get in it with a police officer and lost your calm and your cool. That would be bad enough. This happened in the context of something bigger. It happened in the context of a day in which you
contributed to one of the darkest days in the history of the country.

You know, Officer Rathbun was a victim that day for sure, no doubt about it. The other victim was democracy. And that is not something that can be taken lightly. And regrettably, Mr. Webster, all the good things in your life, all the contributions that you've made to country, community, family, life is fragile, and the decisions we make, even if we've lost our minds, it can cause us to lose all of that in a split second, and regrettably that's what's happened to you.

I take no pleasure in doing this. And no one out there who's listening and who ultimately hears the tail end of this and the outcome of this sentencing should take no pleasure in it.

Yes, we're here to uphold the rule of law. Yes, we're here to sentence somebody who engaged in behavior that so far crossed the line that it's hard not only to explain but it's hard to mitigate, at least the conduct.

You know, Mr. Webster, I have gone back through your testimony. And, sir, I get it. I know everybody gets their day in court. But whether, as the government has suggested, you got up on the witness stand and lied or whether, as I believe in a more generous way, you constructed an alternative to truth, there is no doubt in my
mind that your conception of what happened that day, and as you described it, was utterly fanciful and incredible.

I don't need to tell you that because I think you'll avow, I need to tell you that as just the facts.

And Ms. Mirell pointed out areas of your testimony that were just that, fantastic. I mean, you know, the idea that you could sit on that witness stand, and you've done it before under oath, and tell those jurors that the reason you had your hands on his face mask was to show him your hands, to show him you're not going to hurt him, is just not credible.

I went back through the testimony. There was a moment where you were asked to identify what your injury was and you pointed to the lower left part of your mouth, and the follow-up question was, well, how did you get the lower left part of your mouth injured when you were hit on the right side of your face? And the answer was, well, I was hit a second time. You never said that on direct examination.

You weren't punched. You were touched. Your face was touched, but you weren't hit with a freight train, you didn't stumble, you weren't knocked over.

Officer Rathbun, whose name I have not mentioned here enough, was simply just doing his job, that's all he was doing. He was trying keep you at bay. He was trying to
hold back thousands of people who had no business being there.

And you were the most aggressive of those who approached him. And it's all he was doing. He put his hand out. The video does not lie. There's a part in your testimony where you said, he waved you in, and the actual question was, "Well, why didn't we see it on the video?" "Well, that was the one wave that was off the video." The jury saw through it, I saw through it, it wasn't that hard, and I'm sorry you thought you could get up there and suggest otherwise.

And, Mr. Monroe, I think you did a terrific job. I think you're a wonderful lawyer. I think what you've done for your client is admirable; it is in the best traditions of defense lawyers in this country. But just one small piece of advice. It's when you leave a courthouse, make your statements to the press, assume it's going to get back to the judge. And don't blame the jury. Don't suggest that the jury was traumatized, too traumatized to keep an open mind. It's not how these folks looked at the evidence, okay? It wasn't because they were traumatized from January 6th that they convicted your client, it's because your client was guilty of what he was charged with, plain and simple.

You know, this is one of the cases where we talk
about deterrence, respect for the law, seriousness of the offense, all of that weighs in favor of a strong sentence. We simply cannot have a country in which when people are on the losing side of an election, you think you can use violence and physical force to undo that result. And whether you were told by someone that that's what happened, that the election was stolen, or you came to believe it independently on your own, the country doesn't function otherwise. We cannot function as a country if people think they can behave violently when they lose an election.

I've considered all of the disparities, as I've said, Mr. Reffitt's sentence, Mr. Robertson's sentence, Mr. Palmer's sentence, Mr. Ponder's sentence. I don't need to go over the ways in which they are similar, dissimilar. But I will suffice it to say that the conduct here is at least as culpable and worthy of a stiff sentence, more than Mr. Reffitt, more than Mr. Robertson, because neither one of them touched a police officer. The other two gentlemen, frankly, they just got lucky that they didn't hurt somebody.

You know, sentencings are never easy and nobody should have to sympathize with a judge to have them to do them because we do this job, we do it voluntarily, it's something we ask to do. It doesn't make it easy, it doesn't particularly make it easy in a case like this one, in fact, it's downright hard.

And, Mr. Webster, I have given a lot of thought to what is appropriate in this case. I too wish you hadn't come to Washington, D.C. I too wish you had stayed at home in New York, that you had not come out to the Capitol that day, because all of us would be far better off, not just you but your family, the country would all be far better off. But yet here we are, yet here we are.

The sentence of the Court will be as follows: It's the judgment of the Court that you, Thomas Webster, will be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a concurrent term of 120 months on each count, Count 1, 3, 4, and 5; a term of 60 months, that is five years, on Count 2 , and six months on Count 6 .

You are further sentenced to serve 36 months, a term of supervised release as to each Count 1 through 5, all such terms to run concurrently.

In addition, you're ordered to pay a special assessment of $\$ 100$ per count for each of Counts 1 through 5, and $\$ 10$ for Count 6, for a total of $\$ 510$ in accordance with 18 United States Code 3013.

While you're on supervision, you shall abide by the following mandatory conditions, as well as the standard conditions of supervision which are imposed to establish the basic expectations for your conduct while on supervision. They include, you must not commit any other federal, state,
or local crime; you shall not possess a controlled substance; refrain from unlawful use of a controlled substance; and must submit to drug testing within 15 days of placement on supervision and at least two periodic drug tests after.

You shall cooperate with the collection of DNA, and you must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 3663 and 63A in the amounts that I've already mentioned, \$2060, I believe the number was.

You also shall comply with the following special conditions:

Mental health treatment. You shall participate in mental health treatment, and follow the rules and regulations of that program. The probation officer, in consultation with the material provider, will supervise your participation in the program.

You also shall participate in inpatient or
outpatient alcohol abuse treatment and follow the rules and regulations of that program. The Probation Office will supervise you in participating in the program.

You also must provide the Probation Office with any access to any requested financial information and authorize the release of any financial information. That information may be shared with the U.S. Attorney's Office.

Within 45 days of your release from incarceration,
you will -- I'll ask the Probation Office to contact me for a Re-Entry Progress Hearing. This won't be the last of you see of me, Mr. Webster. It is in my interest and everybody's interest here to do what we can to ensure that you re-enter the community with all the resources and supports that you will need.

I am not going to impose a fine and I will waive any interest on any accrual of interest on restitution.

I'll ask you to pay your financial commitments to the Court and restitution in monthly installments of $\$ 100$. That will commence 30 days after the date of entry of judgment.

Financial obligations are immediately payable to the Clerk of the Court of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

Within 30 days of any change of address, you shall notify the clerk of the Court of the change until such time as the financial obligation is paid in full.

The Probation Office shall release the Presentence Investigation Report to all appropriate agencies, which includes the U.S. Probation Office in the approved district or residence in order to execute the sentence of the Court. The treatment agencies shall return the Presentence Report to the Probation Office upon the defendant's completion or termination from treatment.

You have the right to appeal the verdict and the sentence that's been imposed. If you choose to appeal, you must file any appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment.

You also have the right to challenge your conviction that's been entered or the sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or on a claim that you have received ineffective assistance of counsel either in connection with your guilty plea or in connection with your sentencing. If you're unable to afford the cost of an appeal, you may request permission from the Court to file an appeal without cost to you.

Are there any objections either side would like to put on the record at this point?

MS. MIRELL: Not from the government, Your Honor.
MR. MONROE: No, not at this time, Judge.
Thank you.
THE COURT: So the record's clear, I obviously have varied from the Guidelines. The reasons for that are primarily to avoid unwarranted disparities and also in acknowledgement of Mr. Webster's 25 years of service. I also have given him some credit for accepting responsibility, albeit belatedly.

Mr. Webster, I don't think you're a bad person.

I think you were caught up in a moment, and as you well know, even being caught up in a moment has consequences. But my hope is that this will not define who you are, that there will be a time where you can put this behind you and be the hero to your family that you want to be.

Thank you, everyone.
COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This Court stands in recess until the return of court.

PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor?
MR. MONROE: Voluntary surrender?
THE COURT: I will allow Mr. Webster to voluntary surrender.

Is that what you wanted me to say?
PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: He will be permitted to
self-surrender. Thank you.
COURTROOM DEPUTY: The Court stands in recess
until the return of court.
(Proceedings concluded at 4:18 p.m.)
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around [2] 40/10 52/7 arrest [1] 29/16 arrested [1] 39/6 arrive [3] 11/17 43/7 58/9
arrived [1] 55/11
as [122]
ask [7] 8/13 26/15
52/12 56/24 62/23 65/1
65/9
asked [3] 37/7 45/23 60/13
asking [3] 41/19 50/4 57/1
assault [7] 19/17 21/24
22/12 22/22 27/17 27/20 38/18
assaulting [1] 19/14
assaultive [1] 16/13
assaults [1] 40/22
assert [1] 42/25
asserting [1] 28/10
assessment [2] 21/14 63/18
assigned [1] 44/17
assist [1] 10/22
assistance [2] 51/7

66/9
associated [1] 23/18 assume [2] 36/19 61/17
assumed [1] 31/21
assuming [1] 28/3
ate [1] 14/23
attack [7] 9/25 27/25
28/16 28/24 30/3 34/24 41/9
attacked [1] 27/10
attacking [1] 15/16
attempted [1] 14/11
attention [1] 7/11
attorney [1] 10/19
ATTORNEY'S [3] 1/13
1/17 64/24
audience [1] 25/21
authorities [1] 11/5
authority [2] 28/4 44/15
authorize [1] 64/23
authorized [1] 46/13
available [2] 5/25 66/7
Avenue [1] 2/9
avoid [2] 51/11 66/21
avow [1] 60/3
award [2] 23/17 24/11 away [3] 31/10 49/25 56/5

## B

back [11] 4/25 13/3
14/3 28/13 51/10 53/4
53/12 59/20 60/12 61/1
61/17
background [1] 54/15 backwards [1] 32/24
bad [9] 10/22 15/5
39/12 43/16 51/19 57/7
57/22 58/24 66/25
bags [1] 11/19
ballistic [1] 29/8
bank [1] 11/19
bargained [1] 13/1
Barrett [1] 2/9
barricade [1] 37/9
barrier [2] 31/1 31/17
barriers [1] 57/18
Base [1] 19/21
based [2] 4/1 12/19
basic [1] 63/24
bay [1] 60/25
be [59] 3/4 5/23 9/15
10/20 10/25 11/23 12/2
14/13 14/14 15/5 16/17 17/4 17/22 21/18 23/11 30/10 32/6 32/8 32/22 35/4 36/24 36/25 37/11 37/20 38/1 38/4 38/14 40/23 42/23 42/23 43/9 43/11 45/1 47/10 48/22 49/3 50/3 50/15 52/23 52/25 53/18 54/2 54/22 56/8 56/16 56/19 56/25 58/24 59/5 63/5 63/6
63/8 63/10 64/24 65/2
67/4 67/5 67/5 67/15
bear [4] 35/16 35/17 36/12 56/13
beautiful [3] 44/5 44/5 45/18
became [3] 50/22 51/4 54/18
because [47] 7/18 8/13 10/13 10/22 11/11 11/21 13/13 17/13 19/7 19/16 19/23 19/24 20/11 21/23 22/3 22/12 22/16 22/17 22/19 22/21 22/23 22/25 23/5 23/24 25/13 28/22 29/17 30/17 31/9 31/20 39/3 39/11 40/6 46/20 47/16 49/1 49/15 52/4 55/8 56/6 56/6 60/3 61/21 61/22 62/17 62/22 63/5
become [1] 55/12 becomes [1] 66/7 been [25] 12/22 13/9 13/9 15/11 15/12 17/7 17/18 25/1 31/3 31/5 32/3 32/13 35/21 41/17 43/1 43/5 43/5 43/19 48/7 50/6 50/24 52/21 52/24 66/2 66/6 before [18] 1/10 4/6 12/5 12/8 17/25 18/2 23/9 29/4 33/11 43/6 44/15 44/20 48/6 48/7 56/14 56/16 58/4 60/8 begin [2] 26/2 53/21 behalf [1] $3 / 10$ behave [2] 15/11 62/10 behavior [5] 35/7 35/10 39/17 55/8 59/17 behind [7] 11/25 14/23 28/7 28/8 30/9 31/14 67/4
being [12] 12/1 15/4 21/3 23/19 45/18 45/19 51/7 52/16 54/21 54/23 61/1 67/2
beings [1] 56/1 belatedly [1] 66/24 belief [1] 40/18 believe [15] 7/1 7/7 9/18 18/6 27/16 28/1 28/3 28/14 30/14 37/25 40/19 45/15 59/24 62/7 64/9
believed [1] 56/22
believes [2] 33/25 56/7 believing [1] 56/21
Bell [1] 20/14
benefited [1] 51/17
best [3] 48/24 50/12 61/14
better [14] 27/3 27/16
27/16 27/17 30/12 34/6
35/23 36/5 37/20 41/7
49/17 49/25 63/5 63/6
between [1] 39/22
big [3] 33/12 38/22
51/12

B
big-picture [1] 38/22
bigger [2] 11/20 58/25
biggest [2] 47/6 47/6
bike [4] 31/6 31/14
32/11 55/11
bit [4] 10/15 19/11
39/15 54/15
blame [3] 32/11 40/13 61/18
blaming [1] 34/11
blue [2] 28/16 39/4
bodily [3] 19/25 30/15 39/25
body [41] 9/8 9/22 10/4
10/6 11/3 11/10 11/14
11/20 12/4 12/6 13/5
13/8 13/9 13/11 13/12
13/13 13/22 13/23
14/13 14/21 14/24
15/13 15/20 15/22
15/23 16/6 16/9 16/12
21/7 22/19 22/23 26/15 29/13 30/21 37/23 38/4
38/12 38/15 40/15 40/16 42/5
body-worn [3] 10/6
26/15 30/21
bond [1] 25/25
both [5] 3/16 16/7
34/20 34/24 47/25
bother [1] 28/23
bottom [3] 16/24 17/15
17/20
Box [1] 2/4
break [2] 6/9 53/11
breaks [1] 39/2
breathe [1] 57/25
Brian [2] 1/13 3/8
brian.kelly3 [1] 1/16
brief [3] 29/11 30/1
42/23
briefly [2] 45/3 54/14 brilliant [1] 45/5
bring [5] 10/4 14/21
15/17 28/21 32/12
bringing [1] $7 / 10$
broader [1] 14/1
broke [2] 57/16 58/10
Bronx [1] 44/15
brought [5] 14/22 29/7
46/7 56/10 57/15
bruises [1] 19/25
building [7] 18/19
22/10 24/2 24/13 58/13 58/14 58/16
buildings [1] 23/24 bulletproof [1] 29/17
Bureau [1] 63/11
business [2] 44/9 61/1
buy [1] 29/12
C
CA [1] 1/19
calculated [1] 53/19
calculating [1] 24/14
calculation [17] 4/2
6/22 6/24 7/25 8/25

16/23 17/18 18/1 18/2 19/10 19/12 21/25 22/5 22/11 22/13 22/22 42/6 calculations [1] 19/8 called [4] 13/4 20/14 30/4 46/20
calling [1] 57/12
calls [1] 11/13
calm [1] 58/23
came [6] 10/7 33/4
36/4 40/6 43/20 62/7
camera [3] 10/6 26/15 30/21
can [31] 6/9 8/9 8/10
8/20 11/17 11/22 12/10 13/6 22/7 25/9 26/21
26/22 33/15 34/10 35/9 35/17 36/12 41/14 41/24 47/6 47/7 50/11 51/24 57/8 57/9 59/5 59/9 62/4 62/10 65/4 67/4
can't [4] 24/19 27/13 28/12 43/11
candor [1] 12/10
cannot [8] 17/4 27/9
27/9 27/11 35/16 51/19 62/3 62/9
capable [1] 45/17
Capitol [19] 10/7 11/8 18/18 24/13 27/7 28/6 29/18 30/7 31/10 39/7
39/8 44/1 48/3 49/14
50/1 51/1 58/13 58/14 63/4
capture [2] 11/16 11/22
car [1] 11/5
car-chasing [1] 11/5
care [2] 47/18 54/11
career [3] 44/14 49/6
49/7
carries [1] 23/6
carry [1] 38/15
carrying [2] 9/22 40/9
case [44] 3/6 5/14 5/15
5/24 5/25 7/10 9/18
9/20 10/9 10/11 $11 / 1$
11/7 12/3 12/21 14/18
15/21 16/22 19/7 19/17
20/12 20/14 22/14 23/5
23/19 23/20 25/3 25/25
26/8 26/10 27/4 28/18
29/11 34/15 34/19
35/21 35/22 36/13
38/17 40/20 40/21 43/4
50/18 62/24 63/2
cases [11] 5/2 5/12
12/20 12/23 12/23 12/24 13/24 24/4 42/2 43/9 61/25
Category [1] 23/4 caught [2] 67/1 67/2 cause [1] 59/10 caused [3] 17/11 24/16 24/25
certain [1] 19/15 certainly [11] 11/18

11/20 15/19 21/18 24/7 24/20 24/24 38/16
38/17 40/5 47/12
Certified [1] 2/8
certify [1] 68/2
CH [1] 2/9
challenge [1] 66/5 challenging [2] 54/16 55/2
chance [4] 42/22 48/15 49/6 56/5
change [2] 65/16 65/17
Chapter [1] 20/8
character [6] 45/4 45/14 49/3 55/3 55/5 56/6
characteristics [3]
26/7 35/18 54/5
charged [4] 6/14 52/8 57/19 61/23
charging [1] 15/16 chasing [1] 11/5 check [3] 7/3 25/16 32/22
childhood [2] 51/5 54/17
children [3] 44/6 55/1 55/17
choking [2] 33/18 57/25
choose [2] 28/20 66/2
chose [1] 28/21
Chris [1] 13/5
Chutkan [1] 28/14
Circuit [5] 15/21 16/8
20/13 20/14 20/15
circumstance [1] 36/7 circumstances [6]
16/12 26/4 27/18 40/20 45/4 54/4
cite [2] 10/13 15/21
cited [1] 9/19
citing [1] 10/9
citizens [1] 29/16
city [7] 34/21 44/13
44/16 44/17 45/13
49/23 52/2
civil [1] 21/21
claim [3] 30/11 31/24 66/8
claimed [1] 30/19
claims [1] 31/19
clash [1] 29/9
class [1] 48/12
clauses [1] 13/16 clear [4] 15/19 33/20 46/24 66/19
clearly [7] 16/11 20/23
20/24 21/3 24/12 29/8
31/16
clerk [2] 65/14 65/17
client [4] 49/12 61/14
61/22 61/23
clients [1] 48/6
close [2] 40/7 52/20
closer [1] 30/16
Code [1] 63/20
collapsed [1] 32/25
colleague [1] 26/16
colleagues [1] 41/10 collection [1] 64/6
collective [1] 24/22
Colonel [1] 54/19
COLUMBIA [2] 1/1 65/15
combination [1] 19/19
come [7] 31/1 31/17
38/20 52/6 53/12 63/3 63/4
comes [3] 5/11 11/6 52/19
coming [1] 10/4
commence [1] 65/11
commentary [2] 18/8
18/14
comments [1] 48/25
commission [8] 13/14
13/20 14/3 14/7 14/8
16/8 38/10 38/13
commit [2] 38/18 63/25
commitments [1] 65/9
committed [3] 48/14
49/14 63/10
community [6] 4/17
11/21 13/13 48/20 59/8 65/5
comparable [2] 43/7 43/8
compare [1] 17/18
compares [2] 33/14 43/8
comparing [1] 39/16
comparisons [1] 38/23
complain [1] 45/6
complaint [1] 48/9
completion [1] 65/24
compliance [1] 20/19
comply [2] 21/2 64/10
compunction [1] 28/23
computer [1] 2/12
computer-aided [1] 2/12
concede [2] 38/11 49/18
conception [1] 60/1
concern [1] 32/7
concluded [1] 67/19
concurrent [1] 63/11
concurrently [1] 63/16
condemn [1] 45/15
condemned [1] 50/4
conditions [4] 56/24
63/22 63/23 64/11
conduct [29] 16/19
17/7 17/19 19/16 20/6 20/23 22/9 22/25 24/8
24/18 26/14 29/3 30/11
33/20 35/6 37/6 38/24
39/11 42/8 43/7 43/16
46/3 48/18 48/19 49/16
50/10 59/19 62/15
63/24
conducted [1] 51/22
confess [1] 55/6
confined [2] 20/21
48/8
confrontational [1] 55/13
confronted [2] 9/10 9/11
confusing [1] 40/23
Congress [10] 13/4
13/8 13/11 13/19 13/19
14/7 16/7 16/11 38/10 38/12
connection [7] 4/23
14/17 16/10 16/10
25/25 66/9 66/10
consequence [1]
16/20
consequences [1] 67/2
consider [8] 20/16
20/17 35/20 37/16
52/13 54/1 54/4 54/12
consideration [1] 49/4
considered [4] 38/9
55/4 55/6 62/11
considering [1] 12/14
consistent [2] 45/24
46/3
constantly [1] 28/10 constitutes [1] 15/23
Constitution [1] 2/9
constructed [1] 59/25
consult [1] 8/10
consultation [1] 64/15
contact [3] 16/13 33/1
65/1
contain [1] 51/10
contemplate [1] 16/12
contemplated [2] 16/8 38/13
contemplating [1] 12/6
contention [1] 47/22
contested [1] 48/21
context [5] 24/5 46/4
58/20 58/24 58/25
continue [1] 56/14
continued [2] 2/1
37/17
continues [2] 32/16
55/24
contribute [1] 15/9
contributed [2] 24/25
59/1
contributing [1] 44/8
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { contributions [1] } & \text { 59/7 } \\ \text { control [3] } 20 / 18 & 20 / 25\end{array}$
contributions [1] 59/7
control [3] 20/18 20/25
55/21
controlled [2] 64/1
64/2
conversation [1] 41/14
convicted [4] 11/12
17/7 24/10 61/22
conviction [3] 20/2
20/8 66/6
convictions [1] 43/18
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { convictions [1] } & 43 / 18 \\ \text { convincing [1] } & 15 / 20\end{array}$
cool [1] 58/23
cooperate [1] 64/6
cop [15] 27/17 30/4
31/13 31/15 31/24 32/7
32/19 33/11 35/23 36/4
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$\qquad$


consult [1] $8 / 10$
$\qquad$ 4

cop... [5] 37/12 40/24
41/7 41/8 41/9
corner [1] 58/21
Corps [5] 27/11 27/20
28/14 28/24 44/21
correct [1] 68/3
cost [2] 66/11 66/12
costs [2] 23/18 23/19
could [18] 14/13 14/14
15/17 24/21 27/4 27/6 27/22 32/16 35/1 45/1 47/9 47/18 48/22 51/3
56/25 57/16 60/7 61/10
counsel [7] 3/13 9/19
17/25 50/9 57/5 57/5 66/9
counsel's [1] 37/1
Counseling [1] 51/18
count [24] 6/18 8/2 8/2
18/5 19/13 19/14 21/20
21/21 22/2 22/9 22/13
22/15 22/17 22/17
22/18 22/20 22/22
63/12 63/12 63/13
63/13 63/15 63/18
63/19
Count 1 [5] 19/14
21/20 22/13 22/22 63/15
Count 2 [3] 6/18 22/17 63/13
Count 3 [4] 8/2 18/5
22/2 22/17
Count 4 [1] 22/18
Count 5 [1] 22/20
Count 6 [1] 63/13
countenance [1] 45/22
country [15] 29/22
43/12 45/12 52/2 52/25
53/2 55/25 56/3 59/2
59/8 61/15 62/3 62/8 62/9 63/6
counts [3] 22/24 25/14 63/18
Counts 1 [1] 63/18 couple [4] 3/24 8/17 9/6 28/11
courage [2] 11/23 51/10
course [6] 8/12 31/4
34/19 53/4 56/8 56/14
court [49] 1/1 2/7 2/8
5/12 5/23 10/20 10/23 12/2 12/8 12/13 12/13 16/9 17/6 17/23 25/20 26/3 26/18 26/24 34/15 35/19 36/16 36/19 37/15 40/11 42/12 42/13 43/1 43/11 45/21 48/7 49/5 49/10 50/13 53/14 56/2 59/22 63/8 63/9 65/10 65/14 65/14 65/17 65/22 66/3 66/12 67/7 67/8 67/17 67/18
Court's [7] 11/7 37/21 40/15 42/24 43/14 48/25 50/13
courthouse [2] 56/17 61/16
courtroom [2] 49/11 56/16
CR [6] 1/4 5/3 5/4 5/4 5/5 6/2
crash [1] 11/5
created [4] 50/25 55/13
55/13 56/25
credibility [1] 29/24
credible [3] 31/18 31/18 60/11
credit [5] 10/9 35/12 45/4 45/20 66/23 crime [9] 11/12 13/14 14/2 14/12 15/7 22/16 22/19 22/23 64/1
crimes [3] 13/24 43/4 49/14
criminal [9] $3 / 611 / 3$ 12/7 23/3 23/3 35/19 41/22 47/4 53/23 criminals [1] 13/13 critique [1] 30/5
cross [4] 21/23 22/3 22/12 22/21 cross-reference [3] 22/3 22/12 22/21 cross-references [1] 21/23
crossed [3] 37/9 57/21 59/18
crosses [1] 10/18
CRR [2] 68/2 68/8
Crystal [1] 3/10
Crystal Lustig [1] 3/10 cuffs [1] 11/10
culpability [2] 46/24 47/13
culpable [2] 42/8 62/16 curious [1] 9/1
currently [1] 66/7 custody [1] 63/10

## D

D.C [13] 1/5 1/15 2/10

10/4 10/5 14/21 15/5
15/6 27/5 28/21 43/20 50/18 63/3
damage [4] 24/16 24/21 26/12 29/21
damaged [1] 29/24
danger [4] 30/14 31/16 48/20 55/13
dangerous [9] 6/20 9/24 16/14 19/15 19/18 19/23 28/13 41/8 41/9 dangerously [1] 40/7 dangerousness [1] 28/2
dare [2] 45/24 46/4 darkest [1] 59/1 date [2] 65/11 68/7 dates [1] 13/2 day [28] 10/2 11/16 14/24 15/12 21/13 30/8 $33 / 2134 / 735 / 635 / 11$ 37/18 41/25 46/11 50/2

50/19 51/1 52/22 54/23 deserves [1] 38/5 55/23 55/24 56/9 57/2 deserving [1] 36/6 58/16 58/25 59/3 59/22 desperate [1] 43/22
60/1 63/5
days [9] 6/5 9/7 48/8
59/1 64/3 64/25 65/11
65/16 66/3
de [3] 32/15 32/17 32/20
de-escalate [1] 32/15 de-escalated [1] 32/17 de-escalating [1] 32/20
deadly [3] 9/24 28/13 41/9
death [1] 30/15
decades [1] 34/20
decency [1] 32/21
decent [3] 44/24 48/13 49/2
deciding [3] 10/4 10/6 48/22
decision [6] 5/13 12/21
16/9 47/4 47/6 50/17
decisions [1] 59/9
deeds [2] 45/17 50/9
deeper [1] 12/13
defend [1] 29/1
defendant [21] 1/7 2/2
3/11 6/10 6/13 10/23
26/13 27/5 27/14 28/19
29/23 30/1 34/4 35/22
36/14 37/3 37/4 42/14
47/6 54/6 54/10
defendant's [11] 4/14
26/5 26/6 27/20 29/3
29/20 34/18 36/20
36/23 37/14 65/24
defendants [2] 17/2
48/7
defense [11] 3/9 3/18
9/19 16/4 29/15 37/1
41/23 47/4 47/24 50/9 61/15
define [2] 13/22 67/3
defined [2] 13/24 24/4
definition [4] 14/1 14/10 19/3 35/7 definitions [1] 14/1 deleted [1] 21/12 deleting [1] 40/17 deliberate [1] 8/6 deliberately [2] 8/16 38/10
delusion [1] 44/25 democracy [3] 29/2 58/16 59/5
demonstrated [1] 26/10
deny [1] 46/15 department [8] 4/16 25/21 34/21 44/13 44/16 44/23 45/13 54/20
departure [1] 39/14 describe [1] 39/11 described [3] 33/11 47/8 60/2
despicable [1] 36/9
despite [1] 39/7
destroy [1] 14/13
destroyed [2] 24/24
58/14
detail [2] 7/16 54/22
detention [3] 4/23 48/8
51/12
determining [1] 20/16
deterrence [2] 54/9 62/1
Detroit [1] 11/4
deviation [1] 7/21
did [36] 5/8 6/19 6/21
8/16 10/12 10/16 12/11 12/13 14/3 14/8 14/9 14/20 15/9 15/11 15/16 15/18 15/21 16/11 23/10 24/5 29/23 33/2 35/11 36/14 40/13 40/16 45/5 45/12 46/10 49/13 56/9 57/2 57/7 57/9 60/15 61/12
did you [2] 23/10 60/15 didn't [19] 10/13 10/22 11/11 20/13 24/4 27/16 33/3 35/23 38/21 40/13 45/8 45/8 45/9 45/10 46/19 46/21 60/22 61/7 62/19
difference [1] 22/8 different [4] 10/14 37/2 40/4 52/1
difficult [1] 57/24
dignity [1] 51/23
dire [1] 52/6
direct [2] 21/3 60/18 directed [3] 13/20 14/7 27/2
directly [1] 24/24 disagrees [1] 34/17 disaster [2] 48/12 49/8 discharged [1] 54/18 disclose [1] 10/23 disclosed [1] 46/20 discretionary [1] 24/11 discuss [3] 4/6 26/6 26/12
discussed [4] 7/15 21/6 30/1 34/22 discussion [1] 21/18 disinformation [1] 43/24
disorder [1] 21/22
disorderly [1] 22/9
Disown [1] 52/18 disparities [5] 26/11 37/20 54/13 62/11 66/21
disruptive [1] 22/9 disservice [1] 36/11 dissimilar [1] 62/14 distinction [1] 12/4
distinctions [1] 39/22
distraught [1] 51/4
district [6] $1 / 1 \quad 1 / 11 / 10$ 65/14 65/15 65/21
disturbing [1] 26/19
dive [1] 12/13
divided [1] 52/25 DNA [1] 64/6 do [32] 7/5 8/8 9/2 10/3 12/10 14/7 16/3 16/15 16/16 17/9 19/12 27/24 28/5 28/9 29/1 30/22
31/1 31/3 33/10 39/19 39/21 41/24 42/3 45/6 46/14 48/11 56/9 62/21 62/22 62/22 62/23 65/4
do you see [1] 30/22
doctor's [1] 55/6
does [15] 14/19 15/25
16/16 19/16 20/8 25/22
27/24 34/19 35/12
36/10 37/25 41/22
56/13 56/14 61/5
doesn't [14] 8/23 9/21
11/16 22/18 22/20 33/9 33/17 47/12 49/5 50/2
56/9 62/8 62/23 62/23
doing [10] 28/25 30/14
32/12 34/8 45/14 58/17
59/12 60/24 60/25 61/4
don [1] 10/6
don't [25] 5/25 11/20
12/1 12/19 16/5 21/13
22/5 23/13 24/1 35/4
35/11 36/12 36/24
40/21 40/23 40/24 42/9
49/6 49/10 55/7 60/3
61/18 61/18 62/13 66/25
done [9] 26/13 29/21
done [9]
$45 / 750 / 1152 / 552 / 17$
58/18 60/7 61/13 donned [1] 29/16
donning [1] 9/22
door [1] 46/8
double [1] 7/3
double-check [1] 7/3 doubt [5] 35/11 57/11 doubt [5] 35/11 $57 / 11$
$57 / 1259 / 459 / 25$
down [7] 5/13 6/5 21/1 down [7] $5 / 136 / 521 / 1$
$32 / 1243 / 2057 / 957 / 15$
downright [1] 62/25
downtown [1] 11/4 downward [1] 34/15 Dr. [3] 4/18 48/15 51/18
Dr. Gorovoy [2] 4/18 51/18
Dr. Gorovoy's [1] 48/15
draconian [2] 16/21

## 42/2

draw [1] 39/22
driven [1] 17/10
drug [6] 9/21 12/22
16/10 38/15 64/3 64/4
dude [1] 32/18
DUPEE [1] 2/3
dupeemonroelaw.com [1] $2 / 6$

- 5



0

/9 51/18 [2]


## 0




$\qquad$


$\qquad$
$\square$
during [5] 13/13 15/7 21/21 33/15 51/12

## E

each [7] 19/13 24/22 41/15 41/19 63/12 63/15 63/18
earlier [1] 37/22
early [4] 4/8 46/17 46/17 46/23
easily [1] 32/17
easy [3] 62/20 62/23 62/24
ECF [2] $4 / 124 / 13$ ECF 102 [1] 4/12 educational [1] 54/10 effect [1] 14/4
either [9] $4 / 59 / 15$
16/10 19/6 38/12 43/11 45/25 66/9 66/14
election [4] 52/22 62/4 62/7 62/10
element [2] 28/1 28/3 elements [2] 15/6 28/11
eleventh [1] 37/14 eligible [1] 24/8 else [8] 9/23 15/6 18/1 27/19 40/3 40/10 43/12 55/14
email [4] 1/16 1/20 2/5 8/23
embarrassment [1] 48/17
emboldened [2] 15/10 15/12
emerges [1] 28/7
emotion [2] 48/14 51/2
emotional [1] 52/11
emotions [2] 32/22 51/21
emphasis [1] 14/15 emphasize [2] 14/8 14/9
employed [1] 12/2
employee [2] 20/6 20/7
employment [2] 14/16
15/23
empowered [2] 28/5 38/17
enabled [1] 38/17
encouraged [1] 46/13
end [6] 16/24 17/9
17/15 34/10 41/25 59/13
ended [2] 27/5 58/12
energy [1] 48/14
enforce [1] 13/10
engage [2] 12/7 49/16
engaged [2] 35/6 59/17
engages [1] 39/8
engaging [2] 11/2
28/10
enhancement [35] 8/3
9/8 9/9 9/16 9/20 9/21 11/11 12/22 13/2 13/20 13/21 14/14 14/15

14/19 16/1 16/16 16/19 16/21 17/4 17/5 17/12 17/13 17/21 18/5 19/5 20/9 20/10 21/7 21/11 21/17 22/18 22/20 22/23 37/23 42/5
enough [8] 14/13 32/6 32/12 43/25 57/7 57/22 58/24 60/24
ensure [1] 65/4
enter [1] 65/5
entered [1] 66/6 entering [3] 18/8 18/13 23/23
enters [2] 57/24 66/3 entire [2] 26/10 43/15 entitled [1] 28/8 entrusted [1] 29/1 entry [2] 65/2 65/11 environments [1] 52/8 episode [1] 4/22 equilibrium [1] 56/11 errors [1] 25/16 escalate [1] 32/15 escalated [1] 32/17 escalating [1] 32/20 especially [5] 32/7 43/25 50/19 51/16 51/23
essence [1] 11/25 essentially [1] 15/22 establish [2] 15/20 63/23
established [1] 24/12
estimation [1] 46/1
eternity [1] 45/16 evade [1] 11/22 evaluation [2] 21/14 55/9
evasive [2] 37/6 37/10 even [9] 16/13 27/16 28/17 38/11 46/16 46/23 58/1 59/9 67/2 event [1] 49/2
events [6] 17/8 21/13 50/19 51/21 52/22 55/19
ever [2] 13/1 51/25 every [5] 16/8 41/21 56/15 56/16 57/6 everybody [2] 24/23 59/21
everybody's [1] 65/4 everyday [1] 56/22 everyone [6] 3/4 40/10 45/15 53/12 53/18 67/6 everywhere [2] 29/24 36/10
evidence [10] 10/1
10/3 12/8 14/20 15/8 15/19 15/20 40/17 55/8 61/20
evidenced [1] 28/6 exact [4] 21/25 22/10 22/13 22/22
exactly [1] 57/9
examination [1] 60/19
example [4] 18/20

35/22 36/4 39/25 examples [1] 36/14 excellent [1] 43/1 except [1] 12/20 exception [1] 49/4 exceptional [1] 44/18 excuse [4] 21/22 22/6 49/12 50/2
execute [1] 65/22
exerted [1] 20/25
exerting [1] 20/18
exist [1] 40/21
expect [2] 32/20 32/21
expectations [1] 63/24
experience [1] 30/5
experiences [1] 49/20 experiencing [1] 52/16 explain [10] 26/21
26/22 27/5 27/6 27/9
27/9 27/12 27/13 27/24 59/18
explains [1] 55/7
explanation [2] 32/3
33/7
explanatory [1] 14/9 expose [1] 30/17
expressed [1] 37/12
expression [1] 37/14 extent [3] 12/1 12/8 37/15
extinguisher [1] 41/4
extra [1] 38/21
extraordinary [2]
56/23 57/14
extremely [2] 16/21 46/10

## F

F.3d [1] 20/15
fabric [1] 55/25
face [4] 12/12 60/9 60/17 60/20
facing [1] 56/20
fact [15] 5/22 10/15
11/14 14/6 21/12 30/20
32/5 35/11 40/3 41/6
43/19 45/7 47/5 47/24 62/24
factor [5] 26/8 34/14 34/19 35/4 39/23
factors [5] 20/16 20/22
37/13 54/1 54/1
facts [3] 14/18 14/20
60/4
failed [1] 51/10
fair [2] 24/15 25/1
faith [1] 52/3
faithfully [1] 52/2
fall [1] 20/8
falls [2] 16/6 24/7
false [3] 21/16 29/25 40/18
families [1] 52/24
family [11] 44/8 45/18
48/13 52/4 52/13 53/7
53/8 55/16 59/8 63/6
67/5
fanciful [1] 60/2
fantastic [1] 60/6
far [10] 17/6 17/19 27/4
33/6 34/10 38/3 48/10
59/18 63/5 63/6
father [1] 52/3
favor [2] 9/19 62/2
FBI [3] 46/9 46/17 47/8
FBI's [1] 48/4
fear [2] 15/4 50/25
February [1] 46/9
federal [3] 5/19 11/5 63/25
feel [1] 46/22
feels [1] 28/8
fees [1] 23/19
fell [1] 28/22
fellow [3] 27/17 28/24
28/25
fence [1] 30/20
fight [6] 31/9 31/17
31/22 31/25 55/10
58/21
figure [5] 28/4 32/14
32/14 48/11 51/3
file [2] 66/3 66/12
filings [1] 9/14
filled [1] 44/23
finally [3] 26/11 33/6 37/19
financial [5] 64/22
64/23 65/9 65/13 65/18 find [8] 8/17 8/22 9/3
12/25 13/6 20/13 24/4 24/15
finds [1] 56/23
fine [6] 10/24 25/8
25/12 25/13 50/5 65/7
fire [1] 41/3
firearm [3] 10/5 16/14 29/12
firearms [1] 9/22
firefight [1] 11/23
first [14] 12/3 14/4
20/22 26/12 26/19 30/2 30/19 39/2 44/14 48/12
51/13 54/20 54/23

## 57/11

first-class [1] 48/12
five [4] 20/20 20/22
58/2 63/13
flag [11] 27/20 28/14
28/22 28/24 31/21
31/22 31/25 32/2 32/5 32/10 32/16
flagpole [7] 5/20 19/18
27/11 28/16 28/20
40/23 57/15
flight [1] 55/10
floor [1] 28/22
focus [3] 21/3 37/4 50/6
focusing [1] 20/19
folks [1] 61/20
follow [3] 60/15 64/13 64/18
follow-up [1] 60/15
following [2] 63/22 64/10
follows [1] 63/8
fool [1] 43/25
foot [1] 48/24
force [7] 14/11 20/18
20/24 21/2 38/20 57/14 62/5
foregoing [1] 68/3
former [14] 15/14 27/1
27/10 28/4 28/9 31/13
31/24 32/7 32/19 40/24
41/6 41/7 49/11 50/23
forth [1] 54/3
fortunately [1] 33/23
forward [6] 4/6 8/20
21/19 32/25 33/3 57/19
found [7] 10/11 12/20
16/9 19/17 20/24 27/6 45/11
four [19] 5/2 9/7 12/9
16/1 16/16 16/22 17/11
17/20 19/23 20/22 21/7
24/9 30/4 30/21 41/16
41/16 41/16 42/5 54/17
four-level [8] 9/7 16/1
16/16 17/11 17/20
19/23 21/7 42/5
Fourth [1] 1/14
fragile [1] 59/8
frankly [2] 24/4 62/19
fraud [1] 50/24
freight [1] 60/21
frustrated [1] 51/2
full [5] 38/5 46/10 48/2
50/1 65/18
fully [1] 55/7
function [2] 62/8 62/9
funny [2] 39/10 41/24
further [6] 9/16 28/6
28/6 35/10 42/12 63/14 future [1] 28/2

## G

game [1] 27/2
gas [6] 27/14 33/8 33/12 33/18 57/23 57/24
gave [2] 32/15 46/10
gear [1] 40/10
generation [1] 51/15
generous [1] 59/24
gentleman [5] 11/1
11/8 48/22 49/1 50/5
gentlemen [1] 62/18
genuinely [1] 37/25
gesture [1] 30/23
get [18] 7/3 8/21 11/11
11/23 17/15 21/11 23/9
29/14 35/12 42/4 48/10
49/6 52/8 58/22 59/21
60/15 61/10 61/17
gets [3] 11/4 22/13
59/21
getting [1] 51/3
give [6] $3 / 21$ 10/20
39/19 45/22 47/7 52/13
given [9] 14/14 18/7
20/23 24/18 25/7 38/14
49/4 63/1 66/23

## G

giving [4] 32/10 46/12 48/2 50/6
glad [1] 46/7
gleeful [1] 56/19
go [12] 3/23 4/2 4/2
19/9 33/3 36/2 42/10 50/21 52/18 57/8 57/9 62/14
go ahead [2] 19/9 36/2
God [3] 40/3 40/5 53/4 goes [9] 9/20 27/4 28/2 28/6 28/7 33/2 35/17 44/2 45/3
going [20] 7/21 10/2 12/6 12/12 16/1 16/2 28/20 29/14 31/9 33/21 36/24 38/4 42/23 43/9 45/1 49/21 52/7 60/10 61/17 65/7
gone [3] 34/10 50/18 59/20
good [13] $3 / 5$ 3/13
3/14 10/21 10/22 25/15 25/19 39/18 43/17 44/24 45/16 52/3 59/6
Gorovoy [2] 4/18 51/18 Gorovoy's [1] 48/15 Goshen [1] 2/4
got [23] 11/8 11/9 11/9
11/9 11/10 11/10 11/19 11/21 17/25 29/18 32/14 32/14 33/4 39/17 40/12 41/18 41/18 44/16 45/11 47/2 55/20 59/23 62/19
gotten [2] 17/3 52/24 government [30] 1/13 3/8 4/7 5/9 10/24 15/21 19/10 20/5 20/7 23/21
24/12 24/14 24/17 25/5
34/17 34/17 34/19 35/9
37/25 42/13 43/13
45/15 46/15 46/25
48/21 50/4 50/9 57/5
59/22 66/16
government's [12]
4/13 6/22 7/2 7/24 26/3
35/3 35/12 35/15 38/6
40/18 42/7 49/18
grace [2] 40/2 40/5 Gracie [1] 31/14 great [6] 36/10 45/8 45/8 45/9 45/18 53/2
greater [6] 13/9 13/14 17/2 17/5 38/2 54/2
greatest [1] 23/6
ground [10] 21/1 27/13
33/2 33/3 33/4 40/14 41/10 41/11 57/23
57/23
grounds [7] 18/8 18/14
18/19 22/10 23/24 37/8 37/10
group [2] 22/24 55/20
gruesome [1] 26/19
guarded [1] 31/14 Guelff [1] 13/4
guess [1] 38/22
Guideline [5] $9 / 6$ 14/4 18/24 19/15 19/21 Guidelines [39] 4/2 6/11 6/22 6/24 7/2 7/25 8/11 13/25 14/1 16/25 17/1 17/9 17/13 17/16 17/17 17/25 18/2 19/8 19/10 19/12 23/4 23/7 38/4 38/7 39/10 39/13 39/19 39/24 39/24 40/16 41/24 41/25 42/4 42/6 43/5 53/19 53/23 53/25 66/20
Guidelines Range [2] 17/1 23/4
guilty [5] 6/14 6/17 20/24 61/23 66/9 gun [6] 11/10 14/22 14/23 29/7 29/15 44/17 gunfire [1] 15/17 guns [3] 11/19 15/15 38/15
guy [4] 31/13 32/16 32/18 49/2

H
had [43] 4/24 5/12 7/18 9/9 9/11 13/8 13/9 14/7 14/25 15/12 15/15
19/18 21/12 27/12 28/23 30/12 31/23 32/15 33/7 33/11 35/21 38/21 44/17 47/22 48/15 49/7 49/19 49/20 49/22 50/1 50/18 50/24 51/17 52/22 54/16 55/11 55/15 57/20 58/2 60/9 61/1 63/3 63/4 hadn't [2] 43/19 63/2 half [6] 16/24 16/24
17/10 17/14 23/8 56/20 hand [3] 10/20 28/8 61/4
handed [4] 5/13 6/5
17/19 41/17
handgun [1] 11/9
hands [9] 11/19 33/8 33/13 33/14 33/16 33/17 50/1 60/9 60/9 hang [1] 35/25 happen [3] 29/19 56/25 58/22
happened [12] 24/21
46/11 47/23 50/19 52/23 56/12 56/12 58/24 58/25 59/11 60/1 62/6
happening [3] 48/3 51/20 58/17
happens [1] 56/5 happy [1] 9/16 hard [6] 40/9 57/2 59/18 59/19 61/9 62/25 harder [1] 38/23
hardworking [1] 45/20 harm [1] 24/13 harshest [1] 52/15
has [35] $12 / 2216 / 4$
$17 / 723 / 223 / 324 / 12$
$24 / 1726 / 1026 / 13$ 24/17 26/10 26/13 26/18 29/21 33/24 34/10 36/19 37/17 37/24 38/3 39/3 42/12 43/1 44/5 44/12 44/24 48/7 48/12 48/18 50/7 50/12 51/18 52/4 52/6 55/15 56/4 59/22 67/2
Hava [2] $1 / 173 / 8$
hava.mirell [1] 1/20 have [96]
having [1] 5/19
he [173]
He kind [1] $33 / 2$
he said [3] 30/25 46/16 47/12
he'd [1] 50/12
he's [27] 11/3 11/9
11/9 11/9 11/10 11/10
11/12 12/6 28/5 28/9
28/10 31/15 32/24 36/6
37/12 39/6 41/3 44/3
44/4 44/9 46/22 48/11
48/14 49/2 54/16 56/6
57/25
head [2] 6/1 15/18 headed [1] 46/9
heal [1] 51/19
health [4] 52/11 54/23 64/12 64/13
healthy [1] 44/6
hear [4] $9 / 1636 / 16$ 39/11 45/6
heard [3] 9/15 23/11 50/15
hearing [3] 4/23 25/25 65/2
hears [1] 59/13
heartland [5] 16/7
16/19 38/12 38/14
38/15
heavily [2] 37/16 41/7
heinous [1] 41/12
held [2] 21/1 30/10
hell [1] 58/10
helmet [1] 11/9
help [7] 26/16 43/7
48/10 51/6 51/15 51/17
51/21
helped [1] 51/18
her [4] 7/5 48/16 52/10 55/9
here [38] 3/15 10/2
12/1 16/5 16/6 16/13
17/9 19/16 20/23 21/10
22/11 28/1 28/4 28/13
34/22 35/4 36/8 36/24
38/9 38/23 40/16 41/7
42/3 43/20 44/1 46/11
47/1 49/12 54/12 56/2
56/20 59/16 59/17
60/24 62/15 63/7 63/7
65/4
Here's [1] 33/16
hero [2] 52/19 67/5
Hey [1] 32/18
hide [1] 30/9
high [2] 45/11 49/1
highest [3] 23/1 23/6 41/17
Hill [1] 44/18
him [53] 10/5 14/21
14/22 14/24 21/1 27/13 28/3 28/23 29/7 30/14 30/20 31/2 31/6 31/10 31/22 32/6 32/10 32/12 32/15 32/18 32/20
32/22 33/4 33/12 33/18
37/7 37/11 38/17 38/21
39/6 40/10 41/10 41/10
41/11 46/13 46/14
46/20 46/23 47/8 48/18
48/20 49/3 50/12 56/21
57/16 57/22 57/23
57/24 60/9 60/10 60/10
61/4 66/23
himself [10] 11/3 15/14
27/6 29/1 34/25 39/1
39/3 45/5 48/13 56/23
his [103]
historic [1] 55/22
history [11] 14/5 23/3
23/4 26/6 29/10 35/18
35/19 38/9 53/23 54/5
59/1
hit [4] 40/3 60/16 60/18

## 60/21

hits [1] 39/2
Hobbs [1] 12/24
hold [2] 57/23 61/1
home [6] 44/5 44/11
45/8 48/8 51/12 63/3
honest [1] 56/25
honor [28] 3/5 3/17 3/19 4/7 5/10 6/13 8/6 9/3 9/18 18/3 25/12 25/19 26/25 28/12 35/14 35/15 38/6 38/8 38/19 39/21 50/17 51/22 52/15 52/20 53/9 66/16 67/9 67/14
honorable [4] 1/10 $3 / 2$ 34/8 53/16
honorably [3] 44/21 45/12 54/18
hope [4] 7/23 38/11
50/11 67/3
horrible [2] 50/19
52/22
horrific [1] 41/5
host [1] 54/1
hotel [1] 12/5
hotly [1] 48/21
hour [1] 37/14
house [1] 44/2
housing [3] 44/15 44/18 54/20
how [24] 3/21 16/2 17/15 17/17 19/6 28/20 29/14 30/17 32/14
32/23 36/14 39/19 41/8
45/6 45/20 48/12 48/16
50/7 51/17 53/8 55/7
55/16 60/15 61/20

However [1] 51/8
hugged [1] $32 / 18$
human [1] 56/1
humiliation [1] 52/17
hundreds [1] 58/18
hurt [6] 33/9 51/4
52/24 57/16 60/10
62/19
hurting [1] 33/11
husband [1] 52/3

I also [2] 40/24 66/23
I am [5] 16/1 16/2
23/17 52/18 65/7
I believe [8] 7/1 7/7
28/1 28/3 28/14 40/19
59/24 64/9
I can [8] 11/22 12/10
22/7 35/9 50/11 51/24
57/8 57/9
I can't [1] 28/12
I did [1] 5/8
I didn't [1] 20/13
Idon't [10] 12/1 12/19
21/13 35/4 35/11 49/6
55/7 60/3 62/13 66/25
I don't have [1] $5 / 25$
I failed [1] 51/10
I feel [1] 46/22
I gave [1] 32/15
I guess [1] 38/22
I have [17] $3 / 244 / 21$
7/10 8/17 11/20 12/16
16/9 36/14 36/15 36/21
48/5 49/18 52/9 55/6
59/20 60/23 63/1
I hope [2] 7/23 50/11
I just [3] 40/23 46/21 49/1
I know [5] 38/25 42/8
42/20 53/8 59/21
I looked [1] 12/11
I mean [4] 18/23 24/1
41/14 60/6
I say [1] 46/4
I should [4] 5/7 25/6
51/8 52/21
I think [29] 5/22 10/25
11/6 11/14 11/25 12/3 14/19 15/8 17/14 19/2 19/10 20/22 22/15 24/9 24/13 24/15 28/5 33/11 39/13 39/21 39/23 41/6
41/21 46/25 60/3 61/12 61/13 61/13 67/1
I thought [1] 10/14
I understand [3] 42/7
47/4 48/24
I want [3] 29/20 46/18 53/7
I wanted [3] 9/12 33/10 33/12
I was [3] 37/19 51/2 52/19
I will [7] 16/17 17/20
26/15 36/20 56/14 65/7
67/11

I wouldn't [2] 18/23 45/6
I'd [4] 9/6 25/20 26/2 26/12
I'II [8] 3/23 6/8 7/9
17/21 45/3 46/5 65/1 65/9
I'm [22] 5/15 8/1 9/3
9/16 16/25 17/12 19/1
24/2 32/18 33/8 42/19
42/23 46/7 52/3 52/7
53/5 53/8 53/20 53/25
55/17 58/4 61/10
I'm going [1] 52/7
I'm not [1] 17/12
I'm not sure [1] 24/2
I'm sorry [7] 5/15 9/3
16/25 42/19 53/5 53/8 61/10
I'm sure [1] 58/4
I've [24] 3/23 4/10 4/25
5/1 5/6 9/15 11/21 21/6 32/13 34/22 48/6 49/24
50/11 51/6 51/17 52/17
53/19 55/4 55/5 55/15
57/3 62/11 62/11 64/8
idea [2] 51/17 60/6
identified [2] 19/3
41/16
identifies [1] 19/4
identify [1] 60/13
ideology [1] 56/7
illegal [1] 31/3
illustrates [1] 48/16 immaterial [2] 19/7 22/8
immediately [2] 29/4
65/13
impact [3] 25/24 37/24

## 55/15

impacted [2] 48/13
52/10
impactful [1] 17/16
impacts [1] 53/8
impeccable [1] 43/2
impeding [1] 19/14
important [2] 12/3 47/7
importantly [3] 48/1
53/5 53/7
impose [1] 65/7
imposed [5] 17/6 54/6 63/23 66/2 66/6
impression [1] 12/3
improve [1] 51/13
inappropriate [1] 12/9
incarceration [1] 64/25
incident [2] 48/9 50/20
incidental [1] 11/15
include [3] 8/16 22/5

## 63/25

included [3] 6/18 6/22 8/13
includes [4] 4/15 14/10 39/13 65/21
including [3] $3 / 25$
26/25 37/13
inclusion [1] $8 / 3$
increase [3] 17/11 19/24 42/6
increased [1] 38/1 increases [1] 20/3 incredible [4] 31/24 32/23 33/6 60/2
independently [1] 62/8 indicates [1] 18/9 indictment [1] 19/2 individual [3] 5/18 18/18 24/22
individuals [3] 18/10 18/16 18/24
indulgence [1] 50/13
ineffective [1] 66/8 inflating [1] 40/16 information [5] 9/13 64/22 64/23 64/24 66/7 injured [3] 40/6 51/7 60/16
injuries [1] 19/19
injury [5] 19/25 24/13 30/15 39/25 60/13 inpatient [1] 64/17 inquiry [1] $8 / 20$ insane [1] 31/6 inside [1] 58/13 installments [1] 65/10 instance [3] 9/24 58/21 58/22
Instead [1] 34/8 instigated [2] 29/6 34/2
instructions [2] 29/15 39/7
intact [1] 58/5
integrity [5] 34/24
35/14 36/11 43/15 43/15
intend [2] 3/21 25/22 intending [1] 37/19 intends [1] 37/15 interaction [1] 58/8 interest [4] 65/3 65/4 65/8 65/8
interested [2] 31/8 33/21
interject [1] 35/1
Internet [1] 8/23
interrupt [1] 27/22
intimidate [1] 58/15
intimidated [1] 31/20 Investigation [2] 4/11 65/20
invitation [3] 31/25
43/21 43/23
inviting [1] 31/16
invoked [1] 30/5
involve [3] 13/21 14/10 33/17
involved [1] 41/22 involves [2] 16/9 22/25 irony [2] 28/15 28/17 irrespective [1] 24/6 is [142]
is there [1] $4 / 5$
issue [2] 12/11 48/21
issued [1] 29/17
issues [1] 17/24 it [173]
it's [57] 8/25 9/11 10/9
10/21 11/25 12/1 12/3 13/4 14/5 18/6 18/9 18/15 19/7 22/6 22/8 22/10 22/15 22/16 22/17 22/19 22/23 24/11 24/20 25/15 25/17 30/16 31/17 31/18 31/24 33/19 38/23 39/14 39/18 40/5 43/4 43/9 46/21 47/5 47/6 47/10 47/17 48/25 52/1 53/11 58/2 58/3 58/9 59/18 59/19 61/4 61/16 61/17 61/20 61/22 62/22 62/25 63/9 its [3] 7/25 12/12 12/14 itself [2] 15/8 57/10

## J

jail [2] 52/16 56/24 James [3] 2/2 3/9 13/4 January [29] 5/2 10/7 11/15 17/3 17/8 17/19 21/13 24/23 27/6 27/8 29/4 29/18 35/24 36/4 38/24 41/8 43/17 44/1 44/3 48/3 49/2 49/14 49/22 51/8 51/22 52/19 52/21 55/19 61/22
January 6th [19] 5/2
10/7 11/15 17/3 17/8
27/6 27/8 29/4 29/18
36/4 38/24 41/8 44/1
48/3 49/2 49/14 51/8
52/19 61/22
job [5] 28/25 45/5
60/24 61/12 62/22
jovial [1] $58 / 8$
judge [22] 1/10 4/8
5/11 5/14 6/6 6/24 7/1 7/12 7/20 10/19 12/16 17/6 23/13 28/14 42/22 44/12 44/22 46/7 50/5 61/18 62/21 66/17 Judge Chutkan [1] 28/14
Judge Kollar-Kotelly [2] 5/14 7/1
judges [3] 26/24 41/23 42/2
judgment [4] 35/23
63/9 65/12 66/4
jumped [1] 9/12
June [1] 25/25
jurors [1] 60/8
jury [7] 19/17 33/23
46/5 47/23 61/9 61/18 61/19
jury's [1] 50/17
just [53] 3/21 3/25 4/9
7/21 7/25 8/9 8/10 8/22 9/1 9/2 9/21 10/15 10/22 10/24 14/3 14/16 15/24 21/11 22/1 23/10 26/13 30/17 31/12 33/3

34/3 39/16 40/23 44/25 45/3 46/5 46/21 47/8 47/17 47/21 49/1 49/15 50/8 51/11 53/20 54/8 54/14 55/20 55/23
55/23 56/5 57/4 60/4
60/6 60/10 60/24 61/15 62/19 63/5
justice [7] 21/9 40/17 41/22 43/14 45/21 45/25 46/3
justification [1] 47/24
justified [1] 34/1
justifies [1] 17/4
justify [2] 26/21 26/22
K
keep [5] 32/22 50/1
52/7 60/25 61/19
Kelly [3] $1 / 13$ 3/8 26/16
kept [1] 43/2
kids [4] 51/24 51/25
52/7 52/18
kind [3] 21/15 33/2 58/7
knew [5] 27/17 32/1 34/6 37/7 37/8
knocked [2] 33/4 60/22 know [56] 5/17 7/5
10/8 10/18 10/19 11/2 12/18 12/19 12/24 13/15 14/5 14/12 14/15 14/18 14/20 15/13 16/8
19/4 22/7 24/1 24/1
24/18 27/16 35/22
36/24 38/21 38/25 39/1
39/8 39/10 40/8 41/21
42/8 42/9 42/20 43/9
43/14 46/16 46/18
46/18 46/19 46/25 47/3
52/17 53/8 56/21 57/8
58/20 58/20 59/3 59/20
59/21 60/6 61/25 62/20
67/2
knowing [1] 49/25
knowingly [2] 21/15 23/23
knowledge [1] 17/3
known [6] 15/15 27/3
27/16 36/5 49/16 49/24
knows [2] 15/19 41/7
Kollar [3] 5/14 6/25 7/1
Kollar-Kotelly [1] 6/25
Kotelly [3] 5/14 6/25 7/1
L
lack [4] 26/9 35/18
36/23 37/17
lacks [1] 56/6
laid [1] 43/23
landscaping [1] 44/9
large [1] 17/10
last [3] 9/14 50/7 65/2
last-minute [1] 9/14
late [1] $36 / 25$
later [1] 11/16
law [8] 13/6 26/13

29/22 43/2 53/25 54/7
59/16 62/1
laws [1] 29/15
lawyer [7] 10/10 10/21 46/13 47/4 47/7 56/4 61/13
lawyers [2] 50/8 61/15
lead [1] $48 / 24$
leader [1] 49/15
leaders [1] 43/21
leadership [1] 49/11
leading [1] 35/13
leaned [1] 34/23
learned [1] 51/20
least [15] 10/1 11/2
12/7 13/21 15/3 16/23
24/9 24/16 30/4 32/20
40/8 58/10 59/19 62/16
64/4
leave [3] 22/7 46/5 61/16
leaves [2] 12/5 44/2
led [2] 30/14 50/8
left [4] $14 / 2355 / 18$ 60/14 60/16
legislative [1] 38/8
legislature [1] 11/17
legs [1] 19/25
lenient [1] 42/1
less [1] 47/18
lesser [1] 6/17
let [9] 3/21 4/9 19/9
21/10 23/9 33/16 47/21
53/19 54/14
let's [4] 9/5 25/4 47/17
53/11
letter [2] 4/17 4/18
letters [2] 4/19 55/4
level [23] 9/7 16/1
16/16 17/11 17/20
19/21 19/23 20/3 20/9
20/10 21/7 21/8 21/20
22/1 22/14 23/1 25/7
32/13 39/14 42/5 46/24 53/6 53/22
levels [3] 13/22 16/22 20/1
Levenson [1] 1/17
liability [1] 34/25
lie [5] 30/24 32/23
33/16 34/4 61/5
lied [1] 59/23
lies [2] $27 / 1743 / 24$
Lieutenant [1] 54/18
life [11] 35/13 44/4
47/3 48/12 49/9 50/7
51/5 51/14 51/21 59/7 59/8
light [2] 17/13 47/5
lightly [1] 59/6
like [21] 11/7 12/24
25/20 26/2 26/12 31/7
32/8 33/2 33/4 36/4
36/16 42/4 43/17 43/22
50/12 50/15 52/20 53/2
57/20 62/24 66/14
line [12] 15/13 17/20
28/7 28/8 32/25 40/8

L
line... [6] 49/23 49/25
58/5 58/11 58/11 59/18
linebacker [2] 33/5 57/20
list [4] 4/9 5/8 5/12 19/3
listening [1] 59/13
little [6] 10/14 11/22
36/25 38/21 39/15 44/8
lived [1] 44/4
lives [1] 56/1
local [1] 64/1
long [2] 43/5 46/12
longer [1] 48/20
look [20] 5/13 5/23 6/9 7/9 8/24 12/18 14/18 15/8 15/22 30/16 31/21 32/5 38/22 41/14 41/21 41/24 47/3 51/25 52/1 58/3
looked [6] 5/1 12/11
20/11 24/1 31/21 61/20 looking [5] 29/11
31/25 32/3 32/9 56/23
loose [1] 58/10
Los [1] 1/19
lose [2] 59/10 62/10
loses [1] 47/15
losing [1] 62/4
lost [4] 52/12 56/11 58/23 59/9
lot [5] 33/24 33/25 48/6
49/5 63/1
loud [2] 30/17 39/12
love [1] 48/14
loving [2] 48/13 52/9
lower [4] 27/7 30/8
60/14 60/15
lucky [1] 62/19
Lustig [1] 3/10

## M

Machnicki [1] 2/2
made [8] 10/25 29/19
33/1 37/1 43/12 48/11 58/13 59/7
Main [1] $2 / 3$
make [15] 8/20 12/4
21/14 34/5 37/11 38/23 42/24 44/10 47/6 48/5
59/9 61/16 62/23 62/24 64/7
makes [1] 49/8 making [3] 36/24 43/16 57/24
man [9] 43/4 44/6 44/18 44/24 49/22 50/3 50/7 50/11 52/3
man's [1] 48/1
manage [1] 51/20
managed [1] 54/22
mandatory [5] 23/16
23/21 24/7 43/5 63/22
manner [2] 16/3 33/9
Mansion [1] 31/15
many [11] 17/17 26/25 43/22 43/25 45/6 49/6

49/13 49/20 49/22
58/12 58/13
marina [1] 2/6
Marine [9] 4/15 27/11
27/20 28/14 28/24
34/21 35/8 44/21 45/20
Marine Corps [3]
27/20 28/14 44/21
Marines [4] 31/23
44/22 51/5 54/17
Mark [1] 28/15
Married [1] 55/1
mask [8] 27/14 33/8
33/12 33/18 57/24
57/24 58/1 60/9
material [2] 8/25 64/15 materially [1] 40/18 materials [1] 5/2 matter [4] 9/6 24/5 27/18 68/4
matters [2] $3 / 25$ 58/20 $\max [1] 25 / 18$
maximum [3] 23/6 25/13 50/6
may [13] 5/23 11/22
11/23 12/2 15/5 15/11
22/15 25/19 36/25
38/14 40/23 64/24 66/11
maybe [6] 6/7 8/1
26/17 31/23 36/5 46/1
McCurley [1] 13/5
me [35] 3/21 4/9 4/23
6/9 8/9 9/12 11/6 12/19
15/19 19/9 21/10 21/22
22/7 23/9 26/16 31/1
31/3 40/2 41/19 46/2
47/21 51/2 51/18 51/21
52/1 52/7 52/14 52/15
52/19 53/20 54/14
56/16 65/1 65/3 67/13
mean [7] 8/24 18/23
18/23 24/1 41/14 46/21
60/6
meant [5] 13/22 19/1 19/2 31/22 42/20
measure [3] 43/3 45/21

## 50/5

measured [2] 43/18 44/24
measurement [2] 44/4 45/14
mechanical [1] 2/12
medical [1] 54/11
MEHTA [3] $1 / 103 / 3$ 53/17
member [2] 28/24 44/8 memo [4] 7/25 33/19 39/23 47/9
memoranda [1] 4/20
memorandum [2] 4/13 4/14
memory [2] 8/11 54/19
men [1] 49/25
mental [2] 64/12 64/13
mention [1] 45/3
mentioned [2] 60/23
64/8
mentions [1] 14/6 mercy [1] 52/13 mere [5] 15/24 21/12 24/2 34/3 55/19 merely [3] 11/15 14/17 35/23
merged [1] 44/16
Merit [1] 2/7
metal [1] 40/4
Metropolitan [1] 25/20
Michigan [1] 12/21
might [4] 32/3 37/20
38/24 39/18
military [4] 14/22 29/8
34/13 45/12
million [3] 24/15 24/20 24/21
mind [10] 5/12 10/14
10/18 11/7 30/20 51/1
55/8 56/10 60/1 61/20
minds [1] 59/9
mindset [2] 10/2 10/3
minimum [2] 17/10 38/1
minus [1] 40/12
minute [4] 5/1 9/6 9/14 53/11
miraculous [1] 45/12
Mirell [12] $1 / 173 / 86 / 3$
8/1 8/19 10/8 27/22
35/1 35/25 36/2 42/17
60/5
misconduct [2] 34/5
43/8
misguided [1] 49/15
misled [2] 27/1 49/12
missed [1] 6/7
missing [1] 8/2
mission [1] 31/11
misspoke [1] 22/15
mitigate [1] 59/19
mitigating [5] 34/14
35/4 36/6 36/13 40/20
moment [9] 7/10 27/23
35/2 55/11 55/22 55/24
60/13 67/1 67/2
momentarily [1] 16/20
money [1] 44/10
monitor [1] 13/10
Monroe [10] 2/2 2/3
3/9 7/14 12/17 23/10
42/21 45/23 47/3 61/12
month [1] 35/16
monthly [1] 65/10
months [21] 7/3 7/7
8/17 17/1 23/5 23/5
23/7 34/15 39/13 39/17
41/18 41/18 41/19
41/20 42/14 53/23
53/24 63/11 63/12
63/13 63/14
more [18] 5/13 10/16
11/22 12/25 25/6 25/10 28/17 36/6 42/8 47/10
47/25 48/8 57/3 58/1
58/3 59/24 62/16 62/17
morning [1] $12 / 5$
most [7] 30/12 33/6

34/6 47/7 53/5 53/7 61/3
motion [1] 31/2
motivated [1] 20/6
mouth [2] 60/14 60/16
move [4] 4/6 8/20
21/19 33/3
mowers [1] 44/10
Mr [1] 50/21
Mr. [80]
Mr. Kelly [1] 26/16
Mr. Monroe [7] 7/14
12/17 23/10 42/21
45/23 47/3 61/12
Mr. Palmer [4] 38/25 40/25 41/1 41/18
Mr. Palmer's [1] 62/13
Mr. Ponder [8] 38/25
38/25 39/1 39/17 39/22
40/11 40/24 41/19
Mr. Ponder's [3] 40/1
40/20 62/13
Mr. Reffitt [2] 41/17 62/17
Mr. Reffitt's [2] 42/9 62/12
Mr. Robertson [2] 41/18 62/17
Mr. Robertson's [2]
42/9 62/12
Mr. Webster [34] 3/14
7/14 11/15 12/2 12/4 14/20 15/10 19/18
20/23 21/12 23/2 24/16 26/10 32/1 33/22 34/10
34/23 38/17 39/19
39/22 41/20 43/17
47/25 50/15 53/10
54/24 57/2 58/9 59/6
59/20 63/1 65/3 66/25 67/11
Mr. Webster's [14]
4/25 10/3 21/17 26/22 27/25 33/7 34/12 34/20 35/3 36/24 44/25 47/21 54/14 66/22
MREs [1] 29/7
Ms. [11] $6 / 38 / 18 / 19$
10/8 27/22 35/1 35/25
36/2 37/7 42/17 60/5
Ms. Mirell [10] 6/3 8/1 8/19 10/8 27/22 35/1 35/25 36/2 42/17 60/5
Ms. Nielsen [1] 37/7
much [5] 39/20 46/15
48/14 49/19 51/17
multiple [2] 4/19 57/15
murders [1] 13/17
must [9] 35/19 37/15 55/16 56/8 63/25 64/3 64/7 64/21 66/3
my [49] 5/1 6/1 7/10
8/11 8/17 10/13 10/18 12/19 16/18 16/23 17/3 18/4 26/15 33/12 36/23 46/8 47/3 48/24 48/25
49/6 49/12 49/24 50/12
50/17 50/20 50/25 51/5

51/5 51/12 51/13 51/15 51/20 51/21 51/24
51/25 52/2 52/4 52/4
52/7 52/9 52/10 52/12
52/13 52/18 55/8 57/20
59/25 65/3 67/3
myself [9] 28/12 33/9
33/10 40/23 47/25
51/10 51/13 51/23 53/6

## N <br> N

name [2] 46/20 60/23
named [3] 13/18 18/20
name [2] 46/20 60/23
named [3] 13/18 18/20
18/21
names [1] 46/21
nation [2] 33/24 33/25
nature [3] 24/18 26/4
54/4
Navy [1] 32/18
necessary [1] 54/2
need [14] 7/3 8/20 9/2
19/12 21/14 32/19
42/10 51/7 54/6 56/24
60/3 60/4 62/13 65/6
needed [1] 54/10
needing [1] 33/14
negatively [1] 52/10 neither [1] 62/17
never [10] 30/20 37/4
37/5 47/5 50/18 50/19
51/24 52/23 60/18 62/20
nevertheless [1] 17/15
new [13] 4/16 34/21
44/2 44/13 44/16 45/13 48/4 49/23 52/3 54/19
56/3 63/4 66/7
New York [11] 4/16
34/21 44/2 44/16 45/13
48/4 49/23 52/3 54/19
56/3 63/4
Newburg [1] 48/4
next [5] 26/6 26/9
34/12 45/1 46/13
Nielsen [1] 37/7
nine [2] 16/23 16/24
Ninth [1] 15/21
no [35] 1/4 3/6 4/8 8/3
10/1 12/8 18/21 20/19
21/1 23/2 23/13 27/18
28/23 35/12 36/16
37/12 39/25 40/25 41/7
43/9 45/20 48/19 51/17
$53 / 2256 / 1956 / 20$
53/22 56/19 56/20
57/11 57/12 59/4 59/12 $\begin{array}{ll}57 / 1157 / 1259 / 459 / 12 \\ 59 / 1259 / 14 & 59 / 25 \\ 61 / 1\end{array}$
66/17
Noah [1] 25/21
nobody [2] 57/19 62/20
none [1] 45/16
not [121]
notable [1] 14/6
note [4] 18/8 18/15
20/5 21/10
noted [3] 5/1 7/18
40/11
notes [1] 14/9
20
4

- 2 4


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
5 13
 13
notes [1] 14/9
nothing [6] 5/9 26/21
26/22 40/3 48/9 52/5
notice [1] $3 / 25$
notify [1] 65/17
novel [1] 12/18
now [20] 9/17 12/13
24/14 29/20 30/16 32/9
32/24 33/23 39/10 43/6
44/6 46/6 47/9 48/8
48/11 52/1 53/12 54/16 56/13 56/23
nowhere [1] 30/20 number [3] 5/24 25/9 64/9
NW [2] 1/14 2/9
NY [1] $2 / 4$
NYPD [6] 29/7 29/12
29/17 30/7 35/8 36/10
NYPD-issued [1] 29/17 NYPD-serviced [1] 29/12


## 0

oath [5] 36/9 37/3 37/4
40/19 60/8
object [1] 21/18
objections [5] 7/17
23/11 23/14 42/25 66/14
objectives [1] 54/3
obligation [3] 10/23
44/7 65/18
obligations [1] 65/13
observed [1] 26/25
obstruct [1] 40/17
obstructing [1] 21/21
obstruction [1] 21/8
obvious [2] 31/2 41/15
obviously [8] 10/14
30/25 34/13 37/2 43/1
47/16 55/4 66/19
occupy [1] 58/15
occurred [1] 46/4
occurs [2] 11/6 11/16
oddly [1] 14/12
odds [3] 47/10 47/11 55/9
off [7] 5/25 27/14 52/18
57/24 61/8 63/5 63/6
offense [24] 6/10 15/10 18/10 18/16 18/25 19/2 19/2 $20 / 3$ 20/7 20/8 21/20 22/1 22/14 23/1 23/6 23/24 24/3 25/7 26/4 53/22 54/5 54/7 54/8 62/2
offenses [2] 14/10 24/9
offer [1] 50/11
offering [1] 49/11
office [14] 1/13 1/17
3/10 9/10 46/8 46/9
48/4 64/19 64/21 64/24
65/1 65/19 65/21 65/24
Office's [1] 4/12 officer [71]
Officer Rathbun [36]
4/22 18/20 20/25 21/1

21/4 23/18 28/11 30/3 30/4 30/13 30/19 30/23 31/1 31/5 31/8 31/20 31/20 31/22 32/2 32/9 32/24 33/2 33/17 34/6 37/5 37/11 38/20 40/6 40/7 49/19 49/21 50/20 53/5 57/12 59/3 60/23
Officer Rathbun's [3] 30/6 33/8 34/24 officer's [1] 27/14 officers [16] 15/14 15/15 15/17 19/15 21/21 25/16 30/8 33/15 36/10 39/8 40/4 51/16 51/24 57/16 58/6 58/8 official [6] 2/8 8/3 18/5 20/4 22/6 22/18 often [1] 37/6 Oh [3] 5/17 18/22 25/15
okay [27] 3/13 3/15 3/20 5/17 5/21 6/7 6/23 7/5 7/8 7/13 7/22 8/7 8/24 9/4 12/17 18/22 23/15 25/3 36/17 36/22 41/13 42/11 42/18 42/20 42/21 53/11 61/21
old [5] 31/9 33/21 37/11 44/4 54/16 once [6] 29/1 31/14 31/14 37/5 37/5 53/2 one [36] 7/23 9/9 9/11 12/21 21/25 25/6 25/9 27/15 34/10 36/5 37/15 37/22 38/24 41/3 41/7 41/21 44/13 44/13 47/5 49/15 52/6 55/23 55/23 56/15 56/19 56/20 57/12 57/14 58/7 59/1 59/12 61/8 61/15 61/25 62/17 62/24
only [18] 5/11 13/23 15/18 16/19 16/19 18/7 18/9 18/12 18/13 18/15 27/4 29/23 33/20 39/2 46/3 48/13 57/6 59/18 open [2] 30/21 61/19 opened [2] 57/18 58/11 opposed [3] 14/16 15/23 43/13
options [1] 29/15
order [3] 5/1 9/6 65/22
ordered [1] 63/17 organizer [1] 43/19 origin [1] 13/2
other [21] 5/2 8/14 9/5 12/22 14/6 17/2 17/5 21/2 24/5 34/10 38/22 42/1 43/22 49/17 56/16 57/4 57/4 57/16 59/4 62/18 63/25
others [2] 43/8 52/7 otherwise [5] 21/16 28/12 56/10 61/11 62/9 ought [1] 38/1
our [23] 8/15 8/23 8/23

9/10 9/10 11/19 11/19 18/6 29/2 29/10 30/1 33/19 33/24 33/25 39/23 43/21 43/23 48/6 49/11 52/25 53/2 58/16 59/9
ourselves [1] 31/12 out [33] $4 / 1$ 9/12 11/18 12/2 12/21 12/25 17/8 17/19 27/19 30/17 32/14 32/14 37/11 38/23 39/11 41/17 43/23 45/5 46/8 47/18 48/10 48/11 48/24 49/3 49/23 51/3 51/15 52/6 55/20 59/12 60/5 61/5 63/4
outcome [1] 59/14 outcomes [1] 42/1 outlier [1] 10/15 outnumbered [3] 31/7 31/16 51/9
outpatient [1] 64/18 outside [1] 51/1 over [17] 3/23 16/24 20/18 20/25 21/23 24/15 30/20 31/1 31/6 31/17 32/11 43/20 44/25 45/1 46/12 60/22 62/14
overall [3] 24/18 29/3 37/24
oversight [2] $8 / 18 / 5$ overview [1] 3/21 overwhelmed [2] 51/2 51/9
own [13] 10/14 15/2 15/3 32/7 43/24 44/8 45/4 45/14 48/17 48/19 50/9 57/20 62/8
P
P. [2] 3/3 53/17
P.C [1] 2/3
p.m [4] 1/6 53/15 53/15

67/19
padding [1] 38/21
page [1] $7 / 25$
pages [1] 46/12
paid [1] 65/18
painted [1] 50/24
Palmer [5] 5/5 38/25
40/25 41/1 41/18
Palmer's [1] 62/13
palpable [1] 28/15
panic [1] 50/25
papers [2] 43/24 49/24
parallels [1] 5/23
parents [2] 45/19
52/12
part [15] 15/4 17/10
20/8 29/10 33/6 35/18
44/14 47/1 57/25 58/11
58/19 58/19 60/14
60/16 61/5
parted [1] 57/18
participate [2] 64/12
64/17
participating [1] 64/20 play [1] 26/16 participation [1] 64/16 played [2] 26/20 27/2 particular [2] 24/19 plaza [2] 27/7 30/8 56/7
particularly [2] 41/11 62/24
parties [3] 3/25 9/13
12/20
parties' [2] 4/3 25/4
passed [1] 13/4
passionately [1] 47/22
past [2] 35/4 35/6
patient [1] 48/16
patriotism [1] 56/11
patriots [2] 34/1 58/3
pattern [2] 5/22 10/15
Pause [2] $8 / 1836 / 1$
pawns [1] 27/2
pay [2] 63/17 65/9
payable [1] 65/13
peacefully [1] 58/18
penalty [1] 23/7
pending [1] 48/23
people [22] 27/2 43/17 43/17 45/6 49/13 49/24 51/3 51/7 51/23 52/17
52/23 52/23 55/20 56/3
56/15 56/24 58/6 58/12
58/15 61/1 62/3 62/9
per [2] 20/4 63/18
perceived [1] 32/2
perfect [1] 49/8
perfectly [2] 32/1 49/3
perhaps [5] 8/1 37/20
47/11 52/25 57/4
period [4] 20/20 20/25 21/4 35/13
periodic [1] 64/4
permission [1] 66/12
permitted [1] 67/15
perpetrated [1] 43/16
perpetuated [1] 34/4
person [12] 3/11 9/22
20/7 20/9 24/19 24/22
33/21 40/8 56/6 57/4
58/7 66/25
personal [3] 26/6
35/18 49/8
persons [1] 14/12
phantom [2] 30/25
32/10
phase [1] 46/17
phone [1] 40/17
photographs [1] 21/12
physical [8] 14/11
20/11 20/16 20/17
20/24 21/2 21/5 62/5
picked [1] 41/16
picture [2] 38/22 50/24
piece [1] 61/16
place [3] 7/18 45/10
56/10
placed [1] 48/18
placement [2] 20/20
64/4
plain [1] 61/23
Plaintiff [1] 1/4
plastic [1] 11/9
plea [2] 6/12 66/10
plead [2] 6/19 6/21
please [4] 3/4 25/20 52/13 53/18
pleasure [2] 59/12 59/15
pled [2] 6/13 6/17
plus [5] 15/9 18/5 38/4
39/19 55/1
PO [1] 2/4
point [11] 4/8 8/3
10/25 12/16 31/12
40/15 44/3 48/5 53/20 58/5 66/15
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[^0][^1]| S | terrific [1] 61/12 | 67/ | 44/5 47/18 47/19 55/1 | traumatized [3] 61/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| supplemental [2] 4/20 | testified [2] 28/19 31/5 | there's [15] 4/16 4/18 | [1] 39/14 |  |
| Supple | testify [2] 29/23 47/5 | 5/13 8/3 18/21 21/23 | three-plus [1] 39/19 | [5] |
| support [2] 4/19 45/9 | [26] | 8/ | [2] 41/3 56/4 |  |
| supported [1] 55/1 | 14/25 15/9 21/14 21/15 | 35/11 40/11 58/1 58/7 | gh [28] 4/2 4/2 |  |
| supports [1] 65/6 |  |  | 12/14 16/17 19/9 19/12 | tremendous [1] 34/7 |
| suppose [1] 55/18 | 4 | th | 22/1 26/13 26/14 29/25 |  |
| supposed [1] $32 / 8$ |  |  |  |  |
| sure [7] 19/1 20/12 |  |  |  |  |
| 24/2 43/16 58/4 58/5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 61/6 | they [26] 13/22 13/23 | 58/12 59/20 60/12 61/9 | 37/16 46/5 48 |
| surface [1] 12/25 | testing [1] 6 | 13/24 14/3 14/8 19/1 | 61/9 63/15 63/18 | tried [6] 27/14 30/9 |
| surrender [3] 67/10 | tests [1] 64/5 | 4/24 27/1 33/1 | throughout [1] 26/10 | 30/11 34/5 37/11 57 |
| 67/12 67/16 | text [3] 18/7 18 | 34/1 41/25 43/6 43/6 | thrown [1] 52/25 | e [1] 46/21 |
| surrounding [1] 17 | 18/13 | 44/16 51/25 52/18 | thus [1] 38/3 | st [1] 54/25 |
| surveillance [2] 11/1 | than [24] 11/21 11/2 | 161 | time [32] 14/4 20/20 | truly [1] 45/3 |
|  | 12/22 13/1 13/14 14 | 106 | 20/25 21/4 25/10 25/23 | Trump [1] 27/1 |
|  | $14 / 617 / 217 / 5$ | 62/19 62/19 |  | Trump's [2] 27/17 |
|  | 6 27/17 | they're [2] 17/10 55/ | 42/24 43/5 44 |  |
|  | 38/2 | they've [1] | 44/23 44/25 | truth [2] 55/10 59/25 |
| swings [1] | 42/8 54/2 57/4 57/4 |  | 45/24 46/2 |  |
| sworn [1] 37/16 |  |  |  |  |
| symbol [1] 58/16 | thank [11] | 12/2541/24 |  |  |
| sympathize [1] 62 | 50/16 53/10 53/12 | 45/7 45/10 46/19 51/19 | time-served [2] 45/24 | 60/25 |
|  | 66/18 67/6 67/16 | 59/6 | 46/2 | turn [4] 18/2 25/4 |
| T | Thank you [10] 12 | think [60] | times [5] 30/4 | 51/10 |
| taboo [1] 51/15 | 50/13 | 10 | 45/6 55/2 57/15 | ns [1] 38/23 |
| tackle [2] 40/14 41/9 | 53/10 53/12 | 12/312 | e [2] 24/8 24 | ice [2] 39/1 39 |
| tackled [1] 27/13 | 66/18 67/6 67/16 | 14/19 15/8 15/25 16/5 | titled [1] 68/4 | 13/21 |
| tactics [2] 30/6 30/7 | that [398] |  | today [6] 25/22 |  |
| tail [1] 59/13 | that'll [2] 16/17 17/22 that's [39] 5/6 5/18 6/7 | 21/4 22/15 24/9 24/13 | 31/18 36/25 53/1 | 38/2 43/9 52/12 62/18 $64 / 4$ |
| ke [15] 4/3 5/23 | 8/2 8/24 9/4 9/7 10/24 | 24/15 24/25 27/24 28/5 | told [2] 46/2 62/6 | two-level [1] 20/10 |
| 17/21 22/25 47/17 | 12/16 17/6 19/ | 28/11 33/11 35/5 35/1 | Tom [15] 43/19 44/2 | type [2] 12/7 30/22 |
| 53/11 57/22 59/12 | 25/17 28/5 36/5 36/9 | 35/19 36/12 37/22 | 45/4 45/10 45/16 46/ | U |
| 59/14 | 39/12 | 38/24 39/13 | 46/17 46/24 48/16 49 |  |
| taken | 42/20 43/4 44/22 45/24 | 39/23 40/23 40/24 41/ | 49/6 49/14 49/20 49/ | U.S [12] |
| 55/15 | 47/24 47/25 48/1 49/17 | 41/2 41/6 41/21 44/1 | 49/23 | 5/3 5/4 5/4 5/14 27/11 |
| [2] | 50/3 50/11 53/9 56/1 | 46/25 49/1 49/11 56 | Tom Webster [1] 49/20 | 28/24 30/7 65/14 65/21 |
| [ [3] | 56/12 57/7 57/25 59/1 | 56/21 60/3 61/12 61/13 | Tom's [3] 48/17 48/19 | U.S. [1] |
| [3] | 60/24 62/6 66/2 66/6 | 61/13 62/4 62/9 66/2 | 49/12 | U.S. Attorney's Offi |
| talk [5] 9/5 16/17 16/20 | their [7] 9/22 9/24 34/1 | 67/1 | too [10] 10/12 21/22 | [1] 64/24 |
| 0 61/25 | 50/1 52/19 58/13 59/22 | thinks [2] 55/19 56/5 | 36/25 39/24 40/6 | U.S.C [3] 13/25 20/2 |
| ked [2] 29/14 5 | them [11] 9/15 10/20 | third [2] 20/14 36/23 | 56/17 61/19 63/2 63 |  |
| lking [1] 17/13 | 15/16 36/16 36/20 | Third Circuit [1] 20/14 | took [1] 51/12 | ultimate [3] 6/11 16/18 |
| tasked [1] 10/20 | 40/14 46/10 49/15 | this [119] | top [2] 6/1 38/3 |  |
| ht [1] 31/2 | 62/18 62/21 62/22 | This is [1] $3 / 6$ | topic [1] 36/23 | ultimately [16] 6/2 |
| taunting [1] | themselves [1] 56/2 | tho [1] 16/15 | total [7] 21/20 22/14 | 8/25 10/6 11/12 11/1 |
| team [1] 8/16 | then [21] $4 / 24 / 39 / 5$ | THOMAS [6] 1/6 3/7 | 25/2 25/7 25/9 53/22 | 15/16 16/3 19/7 19/11 22/8 23/5 29/16 42/2 |
| chniques [1] 51/2 | 21/8 21/22 22/2 27/12 $30 / 931 / 1831 / 19$ 32/10 | 42/14 56/9 56/19 63/10 | 63/19 <br> touched [3] 60/20 | 22/8 23/5 29/16 42/2 $48 / 1954 / 1859 / 13$ |
| tell [5] 6/9 8/9 60/3 | 30/9 31/18 31/19 32/10 <br> 32/16 32/23 36/20 39/3 | Thomas Webster [1] 63/10 | touched [3] 60/20 60/21 62/18 | 19 54/18 59/13 <br> able [1] 66/11 |
| 60/4 60/8 | 32/16 32/23 36/20 39/3 | those [21] $4 / 14 / 22$ | toward [2] 28/6 32/21 |  |
| ing [2] 49/16 52/18 | 39/6 41/8 41/9 42/1 44/20 57/18 | those [21] 4/1 4/22 13/15 15/15 15/17 | toward [2] 28/6 32/2 traditions [1] 61/14 | controllable [1] |
| [1] 48/6 | therapy [1] | $15 / 25 \text { 16/15 16/22 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ns [1] } 61 / 14 \\ & \text { 1] } 33 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | controliable [1] |
| [1] 17/1 ative [1] | there [46] $4 / 3$ 4/4 4/ | 20/22 3 | traffickers [2] 9/21 | under [10] 11/1 20/2 |
| [5] 24/3 35/7 | 4/19 5/7 7/17 8/4 10/1 | 3 41/16 43/2 | 5 | 3/16 24/6 24/8 36/9 |
| $/ 1163 / 1263 / 15$ | 10/2 11/8 12/23 13/3 | /10 45/16 51/18 54/ | trafficking [4] 12/23 | 37/3 37/4 40/19 60/8 |
| nation [1] 65/25 | 13/3 18/1 19/11 20/1 | 18 60/8 61/3 | 13/9 13/11 16/10 | understand [10] 11/11 |
| ms [9] 10/15 15/7 | 20/15 21/3 21/11 24/8 | thought [4] 10/14 15/5 | train [1] 60/21 | 11/18 28/12 35/9 42/7 |
| 16/2 17/21 21/10 25/1 | 4/12 24/22 24/23 31/9 | 1/10 63/1 | trainer [1] 54/21 | 43/3 47/4 48/24 55/7 |
| 39/17 55/7 63/16 | 40/22 | thousands [2] 58/12 | 30 | 56/1 |
| Terrace [1] 39/5 terrible [4] 45/7 46/1 | 49/22 52/21 55/18 | $\begin{array}{lll} 61 / 1 \\ \text { threat }[4] & 11 / 21 & 13 / 12 \end{array}$ | 49/19 54/10 54/2 traitor [1] $46 / 22$ | Understood [1] 40/ undo [1] 62/5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46/21 46/22 } \\ & \text { terribly [3] } 14 / 648 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | 55/18 58/5 58/15 58/17 <br> 58/21 59/13 59/25 <br> 60/12 61/2 61/10 66/14 | 13/14 30/13 <br> three [10] 20/1 39/14 <br> 39/19 40/4 40/12 42/15 | transcript [5] 1/9 2/12 36/20 46/11 68/3 <br> transcription [1] 2/12 | undoubtedly [1] 15/3 <br> Unfortunately [1] <br> 50/22 |


| U | vic |  | 6/11 12/23 13/10 13/14 | 28/25 30/8 31/13 31/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| united [9] 1/1 1/3 1/10 | 18 | waved [2] 30/19 61/6 | 13/15 13/24 15/1 19/19 | 31/15 32/7 33/4 38/15 |
| 3/6 20/14 44/20 45/2 | 19/24 20/4 20/5 20/18 | waving [2] 30/22 30/25 | 27/1 27/2 30/8 33/25 | 41/21 41/22 43/25 51/7 |
| 44/20 45/2 | 20/19 20/20 22/6 22/6 | way [19] 15/11 18/23 | 34/1 34/3 37/8 37/9 | 52/18 55/19 55/20 56/2 |
|  | 22/18 24/10 25/24 | 19/6 32/10 33/1 33/16 | 39/13 40/22 43/24 | 6/6 56/15 57/7 |
| United States [3] | 34/11 40/1 59/3 59/4 | 34/23 36/9 44/21 50/4 | 48/21 49/13 51/7 51/9 | 57/12 57/17 58/7 58/14 |
|  | victims [12] 18/10 | 51/3 51/24 51/25 51/25 | 55/9 55/12 55/19 57/11 | 58/15 59/13 59/17 61/1 |
|  | 18/16 18/25 19/3 19/4 | 54/21 56/8 57/15 58/13 | 57/11 57/14 57/17 58/5 | 61/3 67/3 |
|  | 23/16 23/21 24/7 40/13 | 59/24 | 58/15 58/19 60/6 60/13 | who's [3] 45/1 49/7 |
| ess [2] 42/12 56/9 | 40/14 54/13 | ways [1] 62/14 | 60/16 60/20 61/3 61/21 | 59/13 |
| orthodox [1] 46/10 | video [9] 26/18 26/20 | we [69] | 62/6 | whole [2] 32/17 51/5 |
| unprepared [1] 51/9 | 55/8 57/10 58/3 58/4 | we'll [9] 4/ | weren't [3] 60/20 60/2 | whom [4] 13/18 19/5 |
| until [7] 44/3 51/22 | 61/5 61/7 61/8 | 25/5/12 | 60/22 |  |
| 58/9 65/17 67/8 | 30/21 | we're [9] 6/8 7/20 8/22 | what [62] 3/23 4/9 5/6 | why [17] 6/7 8/9 11/11 |
|  | videotape [1] 57/3 | 17/13 23/2 44/22 49/11 | 5/17 6/9 6/10 6/11 6/24 | 12/14 26/7 27/5 27/6 |
| untruthfully [1] 29/23 | view [6] $16 / 1835 / 3$ | 59/16 59/17 | 7/5 10/16 10/18 11/6 | 27/10 27/12 27/13 |
| sual [3] 9/9 | 35/9 35/13 35/15 42/8 | we've [5] 11/19 43/23 | 11/6 11/13 11/16 11/17 | 30/12 30/13 32/19 33/7 |
|  | viewing [1] 15/8 | 50/6 54/15 59/9 | 13/22 15/3 15/4 16/7 | 35/9 42/8 61/7 |
| unwar 66/21 | views [3] 34/13 34/17 | weapon [12] 6/20 9/25 | 18/17 19/2 27/24 28/9 | wife [4] 44/5 52/9 55/2 |
|  | 48/20 | 16/14 19/15 19/18 | 30/13 37/2 37/4 38/3 | 55/16 |
|  | vilified [1] 37/10 | 19/23 27/21 28/13 30/2 | 42/2 42/3 42/20 44/22 | wild [1] 2 |
|  | vilifying [1] 34/11 | 32/2 32/4 41/9 | 44/24 46/2 46/2 46/11 | will [20] 16/17 17/20 |
| 46/7 47/2 50/22 51/22 | violation [1] 6/18 | weaponized [1] 30/3 | 47/8 47/9 47/11 47/22 | 21/18 26/15 36/20 53/4 |
| 52/8 52/19 52/19 54/21 | violence [17] 11/12 | weapons [1] 54/21 | 48/3 48/11 49/13 51 | 56/14 62/15 63/8 63/10 |
| 54/21 58/11 58/12 | 13/24 14/2 14/12 22/16 | wear [1] 38/15 | 52/17 52/17 56/9 56/12 | 64/15 64/19 65/1 65/6 |
| 58/13 59/23 60/15 | 22/19 22/24 26/23 29/ | wearing [9] 11/4 11/15 | 56/12 56/24 57/2 57/6 | 65/7 65/11 67/3 67/4 |
| 61/10 67/1 67/2 | 29/5 29/6 29/18 29/19 | 14/13 15/12 15/22 16/6 | 57/9 58/17 60/1 60/1 | 67/11 67/15 |
| upbringing [1] 45/9 | 40/13 62/5 | 38/4 40/9 40/15 | 61/13 61/23 62/6 63/2 | William [3] 2/7 68/2 |
| up | violent [2] 13/14 29/9 | we | 65/4 67/13 |  |
| upon [1] 65/24 | violently [1] 62/10 | 29 | what's [2] 5/24 59 | wish [8] 7/18 18/1 |
| upper [1] 39/4 | visiting [1] 29/13 | WEBSTER [50] 1/6 3/7 | whatever [1] 28/5 | 18 |
| us [9] 12/14 22/13 | vocational [1] 54/10 | 3/14 7/14 11/15 12/2 | wheel [1] 33/15 | 63/2 |
| 41/21 43/6 48/6 49/1 | voice [1] 50/8 | 20 15/10 19/18 | when [33] 6/9 7/9 9/10 | in [8] 16/6 20 |
| 53/4 59/10 63/5 | voluntarily [1] | 20/23 21/12 | 9/2 | 26/24 39/18 64/3 64/25 |
| usdoj.gov [2] 1/16 | voluntary [2] | 26 | 11/7 12/4 17/16 18/9 |  |
| 1/20 |  |  | 18/15 18/24 29/18 31/7 | without [4] |
| e [21] 6 | voter [1] | 33/4 33/22 34/10 3 | 34/5 37/7 39/6 39/11 |  |
| 12/6 14/10 14/11 14/16 | vs [1] $1 / 5$ | 38 | 39/16 | witness [5] 23/ |
| 7 15/20 16/9 16/12 | vulnerable [1] 41/11 | $41 /$ | 48/2 48/3 | 23/20 24/10 59/23 |
| 20/11 20/17 27/20 | W | 6/24 47/25 49/20 | 57/1 57/18 60/16 61/1 | witnessed [1] 51/1 |
| 8 36/14 | waive [1] 65/7 | /24 56/9 56/19 57/2 | 7] |  |
| 2/4 64/2 | Walker [3] 10/22 10/25 | 58/9 59/6 59/20 63/1 | 3/15 35/22 35/22 36/3 |  |
| used [6] 19/18 1 | , | 63/10 65/3 66/25 67/11 | 48/2 48/17 53/20 55/22 |  |
| 20/17 20/24 30/1 35/10 | walking [1] |  | 58/21 58/2 |  |
|  | Walter [1] $2 / 2$ | 10/3 21/17 26/22 27/25 | 60/13 61/6 61/25 6 | 2/23 |
| uses [1] 9/23 | want[9] 8/8 10/16 14/8 | 30/16 33/7 33/20 33/24 | whereas [1] 10/2 | /8 |
| using [2] 28/16 28/23 | 29/20 34/12 46/18 | 34/12 34/20 35/3 36/24 | whether [17] 6/10 | /12 57/3 |
| utterly [1] 60/2 | 53/7 67/5 | 44/25 47/21 54/14 | 10/21 15/4 16/3 20/12 | - |
| V | wanted [9] | 66/22 | 20/16 21/15 24/2 24 | 15/1 15/2 |
| nce | 29/5 31/22 33/10 | Websters [2] 49/5 49 | 24/24 35/17 38/19 | work [1] 8/23 |
|  | 12 51/6 67/13 | 16 | 41/23 48/22 59/2 | rking [3] 5 |
|  | wanting [1] 31/1 | weighing [1] 37/1 | 59/24 62/6 | , |
| $y[2] \quad 16 / 334 /$ | wants [6] $4 / 69 / 15$ 28/5 | weighs [3] 9/19 41/7 | which [30] | worn [4] 10/6 |
| $\text { hicle [1] } 9 / 24$ | 28/9 45/15 46/15 |  | 177 13/6 13/25 16/13 | 26/15 30/21 |
| vengeance [1] 43/13 | warmth [1] 15/1 | weight [4] 35/16 35/17 | 20/15 20/23 22/3 23/1 | worst [1] |
| vengeful [1] 32/6 | warrant [1] 21/16 | 6 36/13 | 23/7 24/9 24/10 24/11 | worth [1] 30 |
| verdict [1] 66/1 | was [163] | welcome [2] 26/1 | 28/20 34/8 34/23 35/19 | worthy [1] 62 |
| versus [7] 3/7 5/3 5/3 | Washington [13] $1 / 5$ | 42/22 | 37/23 38/2 39/18 46/2 | would [35] 7/3 7/3 |
| 5/4 5/4 5/14 20/14 | 2/10 10/4 10/5 | well [19] 4/18 7/9 8/23 | 46/4 53/20 54/15 58/2 | 15/15 15/20 17/14 |
| [6] 32/17 | 14/21 15/5 15/6 27/5 | 14/11 24/14 25/23 26/4 | 62/3 62/14 63/23 65/20 | 21/16 25/23 28/23 31/3 |
| 7/2 42/23 44/5 55/25 | 28/21 43/20 50/18 | 30/6 32/1 39/21 40/7 | while [7] 6/8 9/22 17/9 | 31/5 31/10 31/24 32/2 |
| st [3] 10/6 | wasn't [8] 20/12 31/17 | 45/6 56/21 60/15 | 21/2 28/10 63/21 63/24 | 32/18 35/5 35/6 35/9 |
|  | 46/20 47/2 | 61 | white [2] | 38/1 |
|  | $1 / 961$ | went [7] 6/10 14/4 22/1 | who [40] 5/18 11/23 | 50/15 |
| vetting [1] $8 / 15$ | watched [1] 26 | 38/8 46/9 48/10 60/12 | 13/13 13/15 19/4 24/2 | 2 |
| vicious [1] 38/18 | wave [3] 31/6 32/10 | were [43] 4/19 4/22 | 24/23 25/21 27/3 27/15 | 52/24 52/25 53/2 56/8 |
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