

1
2 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**
3 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI**
 SOUTHERN DIVISION

4 **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**) **Case No. 16-03024-01-CR-S-MDH**
)
5 **Plaintiff,**) **Springfield, Missouri**
) **February 23, 2016**
6 **v.**)
)
7 **SAFYA ROE YASSIN,**)
)
8 **Defendant.**)
)

9 **TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON INITIAL APPEARANCE, ARRAIGNMENT,**
10 **DETENTION AND SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**
11 **BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID P. RUSH**
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 **APPEARANCES:**

13 **For the Plaintiff:** Abram McGull, II, Esq.
 Assistant United States Attorney
14 901 St. Louis St., Ste. 500
 Springfield, MO 65806
15 (417) 831-4406

16 **For the Defendant:** Ian A. Lewis, Esq.
 Federal Public Defender's Off.
17 901 St. Louis St., Ste. 801
 Springfield, MO 65806
18 (417) 873-9022

19 **Court Audio Operator:** Mr. Steve Burch

20 **Transcribed by:** Rapid Transcript
 Lissa C. Whittaker
21 1001 West 65th Street
 Kansas City, MO 64113
22 (816) 914-3613

23
24
25 **Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript
produced by transcription service.**

1 (Court in Session at 2:57 p.m.)

2 THE COURT: -- scheduling conference in regard to the
3 Indictment and then also take up the issue of detention. Any
4 objection?

5 MR. LEWIS: No objection, Your Honor.

6 MR. McGULL: No objection.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Lewis, on behalf of the defendant then
8 as we proceed to the initial appearance and arraignment and
9 scheduling conference, have you received a copy of the Indictment
10 in this case?

11 MR. LEWIS: We have, Your Honor. We would waive formal
12 reading, enter pleas of not guilty.

13 THE COURT: Thank you. A plea of not guilty is entered
14 on behalf of the defendant. On her behalf will you voluntarily
15 disclose all *Jencks* Act material at least 14 days prior to trial?

16 MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: And are you asking for all discovery to
18 which your client is entitled?

19 MR. LEWIS: I am, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Mr. McGull, on behalf of the United States,
21 will you also voluntarily disclose all *Jencks* Act material at
22 least 14 days prior to trial?

23 MR. McGULL: Yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: And are you asking for all discovery to
25 which the United States is entitled?

1 MR. MCGULL: That is correct, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: I will enter a scheduling order and place
3 this matter on the joint criminal trial docket. The additional
4 issue before the Court this afternoon is the defendant's custody
5 status. In that regard, the Court would note that the United
6 States has filed a written Motion for Detention and the Court
7 would also note -- and that is Document 13. And the Court would
8 also note that defense counsel has filed a response to the
9 Government's written Motion for Detention. The Court will also
10 take note of its own file, which includes the Indictment that was
11 returned in this case, as well as the report of the Pretrial
12 Services Officer, a copy of which has been provided to both
13 counsel. Also with regard to the issue of detention, the Court
14 will also take note of the affidavit that was filed in support of
15 the criminal complaint. The Court notes that FBI Special Agent
16 Stacie Lane, who was the affiant in the complaint, is present in
17 the courtroom for these proceedings. I would want to point out
18 to both counsel and I'll refer to the Pretrial Services Officer,
19 but in regard to the incident on page 4, dated April 8, 2001, in
20 San Bernardino, I believe that there has been some clarification,
21 Ms. Smith. I don't know if you can advise the Court as to the
22 status on those four charges, indicated that they were unknown.
23 Can you update the Court in regard to those charges?

24 MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. Following the filing of
25 this report, I received a copy of the records check from the

1 State of California which indicates that on all four counts she
2 was convicted and sentenced to 210 days custody and 60 months
3 probation.

4 THE COURT: All right. And I want both parties to be
5 aware that that is -- there has not been an addendum to the
6 Pretrial Services Report. Mr. McGull, with that before the
7 Court, does the United States have any additional evidence that
8 you want to put on by way of witness or proffer? And of course,
9 I'll entertain any argument you'd like to make on the issue of
10 detention.

11 MR. McGULL: Sure, Your Honor, we would. The Government
12 would like to call Ms. Stacie Lane.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 STACIE LANE, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN

15 MR. McGULL: There's one other matter while the witness
16 is taking the witness stand that I'll bring to the Court's
17 attention. We noticed that after the Indictment came out that
18 District Court Judge Roseann Ketchmark had been assigned this
19 particular case. And we have filed -- after learning that
20 information, we have filed a motion with the court requesting a
21 new judge because we do believe there may be a conflict with her
22 being on this case. I just want to bring that to the Court's
23 attention as well the defense.

24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. McGull.

25 MR. McGULL: Sure.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BY MR. MCGULL:

Q. Ma'am, could you state your name, please?

A. Special Agent Stacie Lane.

Q. And where are you employed?

A. The Federal Bureau of Investigation, Kansas City, Missouri.

Q. And how long have you been employed as a Federal Bureau of Investigation Special Agent?

A. Thirteen years.

Q. Okay. And what are your duties with the FBI?

A. Currently I'm assigned to an international terrorism squad.

Q. Were you also involved in the investigation of the defendant who is here in this courtroom today?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. And when did that investigation begin?

A. Approximately January of 2015.

Q. And can you tell the Court, what was the nature of this investigation?

A. The nature of the investigation was terroristic threats via communication.

Q. And were these terrorist threats made over the Internet?

A. That is correct.

Q. Okay. Now, as part of your investigation what exactly did you do as well as others within the FBI?

A. We began monitoring the defendant's open source Twitter and

1 Facebook posts. In addition, we interviewed folks and we
2 conducted surveillance and received -- served legal process to
3 various companies.

4 Q. Okay. Can you move a little closer to that, please? And was
5 there anything unusual about the defendant's Internet posting?

6 A. They just seemed to indicate more and more violent rhetoric
7 as time increased.

8 Q. Okay. All right. And as part of your investigation, did you
9 capture some of those Internet postings that was made by the
10 defendant?

11 A. Yes, sir, we did.

12 Q. Okay. And was there a particular handle or a name that she
13 used -- the defendant used to post things on the Internet?

14 A. Yes, sir. It was observed and she also stated during an
15 interview that she often used Muslimah as her handle on Twitter.

16 MR. MCGULL: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

17 THE COURT: You certainly may.

18 BY MR. MCGULL:

19 Q. I hand you what's been marked for identification purposes as
20 Government Exhibit #1. Do you recognize that document I've just
21 handed you?

22 A. I do, sir.

23 Q. And can you tell me how you are able to recognize that
24 document?

25 A. It's one of the open source Twitter posts that we captured.

1 Q. Okay. And was this Twitter post made by the defendant?

2 A. Yes, sir. It has the name that she admitted using and we
3 observed her using Muslimah. And she also stated to us and we
4 observed that she uses the green Twitter bird most often.

5 Q. Is there anything significant about this post? And what was
6 the date on that particular post, by the way?

7 A. The date on the post is July 13th of 2015.

8 Q. And can you tell the Court is there anything significant
9 about that post?

10 A. That capture is a Tweet by her that states, quote, "What was
11 taken by force must be taken back by force, not protest signs and
12 boycotting."

13 MR. MCGULL: Your Honor, we move to admit Exhibit #1.

14 THE COURT: Any objection?

15 MR. LEWIS: No objection for purposes of this hearing,
16 Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. Government's Exhibit #1 will be
18 admitted without objection for the limited purpose of this
19 hearing only.

20 BY MR. MCGULL:

21 Q. And in your investigation did you continue to monitor the
22 posting by -- the postings made by the defendant?

23 A. Yes, we did.

24 Q. And did she make a post on August 12, 2015?

25 A. Yes, she did.

1 Q. Okay. I'll show you what's been marked for identification
2 purposes of Exhibit #2. Do you recognize that document I just
3 handed you?

4 A. I do, sir.

5 Q. And how is it you're able to recognize that document?

6 A. Again, this is one of the open source Twitter posts that we
7 captured.

8 Q. And a Twitter post by the defendant?

9 A. Using -- yes, sir, using the handle Musila -- Muslimah --
10 excuse me -- and the green Twitter bird.

11 MR. MCGULL: At this time the Government would move to
12 admit exhibit -- Government Exhibit #2 for purposes of this
13 hearing.

14 THE COURT: Any objection?

15 MR. LEWIS: No objection for the purposes of this
16 hearing, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Again, Government's Exhibit #2 will be
18 admitted without objection for the limited purpose of this
19 hearing only.

20 BY MR. LEWIS:

21 Q. Can you tell the Court exactly what did the defendant post on
22 the Internet on August 12, 2015?

23 A. In response to another user's stating that she might get
24 raided, she stated, quote, "Anyone raiding me will be shot on
25 site."

1 Q. Okay. And that's the post made by the defendant?

2 A. That is correct.

3 Q. Okay. Did you continue monitoring the defendant's Internet
4 activity after that date?

5 A. Yes, sir, we did.

6 Q. Okay. I show you what's been marked for identification
7 purposes Government's Exhibit #3. Do you recognize that document
8 I've just handed you?

9 A. Yes, sir, I do.

10 Q. And how is it you're able to recognize that document?

11 A. Again, this is carrying the Muslimah handle.

12 Q. Okay. And it was also one of the points that you captured in
13 your investigation of the defendant, is that correct?

14 A. That is correct.

15 MR. MCGULL: Okay. At this time, Your Honor, I'd like
16 to move to admit Exhibit #3.

17 THE COURT: Any objection?

18 MR. LEWIS: No objection for the purposes of this
19 hearing, Your Honor.

20 MR. MCGULL: Okay.

21 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit #3 will be admitted
22 without objection for the limited purpose of this hearing only.

23 BY MR. MCGULL:

24 Q. Could you tell the Court what did the defendant publish on
25 December 1st, 2015, using her Twitter handle?

1 A. "Doesn't matter if all you have is a rock. If you go forth
2 in the cause of Allah, he will support you. It's a victory or
3 martyrdom."

4 Q. Okay. And after December 1st, did you and others involved in
5 this investigation continue to monitor the defendant's public
6 postings?

7 A. Yes, we did.

8 Q. Okay. I'll show you what's been marked for identification
9 purposes of Government's Exhibit #4. Do you recognize that
10 document?

11 A. I do, sir. Again, it's a post that we captured of the
12 defendant's account in open source.

13 Q. Okay. And it was also captured as part of your investigation
14 in this case of the defendant, is that correct?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 MR. MCGULL: The Government would move to admit
17 Government Exhibit #4, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Any objection?

19 MR. LEWIS: No objection for the purposes of this
20 hearing, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit #4 will be admitted for
22 the purposes -- without objection for the purposes of this
23 hearing only.

24 BY MR. MCGULL:

25 Q. So, on December 4, 2015, what did the defendant post to the

1 Internet on that particular day?

2 A. "A righteous and noble wife is the one who encourages her
3 husband to Jihad and encourages him to race her to Jannah."

4 Q. Okay. Now, what does that particularly mean to you as an
5 investigator in terrorist cases in terms of Jihad? What does
6 Jihad mean?

7 A. We understand Jihad to mean holy war. So, in essence, it's
8 stating that -- it's encouraging holy war and that's how you
9 reach heaven.

10 Q. Okay. Now, subsequent to the monitoring of the defendant's
11 Internet postings, on February 18, you and others -- other
12 members of the FBI also conducted an arrest of the defendant, is
13 that correct?

14 A. That is correct.

15 Q. And on that arrest they also executed on a search warrant, is
16 that correct?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And that search warrant included the defendant's phone, is
19 that correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. I'll show you what's marked as Government's Exhibit #5
22 and ask you do you recognize that document I just handed you?

23 A. I do.

24 Q. How is it you're able to recognize that document?

25 A. This is a telegram conversation we obtained from the

1 defendant's seized cell phone on February 18th.

2 Q. Okay. Was that pursuant to a search warrant executed on
3 February 18?

4 A. That is correct.

5 MR. MCGULL: Okay. At this time, Your Honor, the
6 Government would move to admit Exhibit #5.

7 THE COURT: Any objection?

8 MR. LEWIS: I do object to this one, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: And the basis of the objection?

10 MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, I believe that it is going into
11 a cell phone, extracting this type of metadata without laying the
12 proper foundation, first, with a computer forensics specialist I
13 don't think is proper at this time.

14 MR. MCGULL: Your Honor, in response to the defense,
15 this is a detention hearing, a pretrial detention hearing. And
16 according to the Federal Rule 1101(d)(3), the Rules of Evidence
17 really doesn't apply.

18 THE COURT: Well, if you can lay just a little further
19 foundation as to, you know, how this document was obtained. And
20 I understand that the rules are more relaxed for a detention
21 hearing, but I think that you can lay further foundation.

22 MR. MCGULL: Sure, Your Honor.

23 BY MR. MCGULL:

24 Q. Exhibit #5, how was that obtained?

25 A. Upon the cell phone being seized it was analyzed by the FBI's

1 Computer Analysis Response Team and also with other members of
2 our Regional Computer Forensics Laboratory, which are all
3 certified forensic examiners.

4 Q. Okay. All right. And was that done on February 18, 2016?

5 A. It was seized on that date and analyzed on that date. I'm
6 not sure at which time this specific telegram chat was
7 discovered, but on or about the 18th.

8 Q. Okay. And did they use all the proper equipment necessary to
9 extract information from her cell phone?

10 A. To my knowledge, yes, sir.

11 MR. MCGULL: Okay. At this time, Your Honor, the
12 Government would move again to admit Exhibit #5.

13 THE COURT: Same objection?

14 MR. LEWIS: Same objection, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection and will
16 allow admission of Government's Exhibit #5 for the limited
17 purpose of this hearing only.

18 BY MR. MCGULL:

19 Q. Did you have an opportunity to look over Exhibit #5 prior to
20 coming to court here today?

21 A. I did.

22 Q. Can you explain to the Judge what exactly is Exhibit #5?

23 A. It's a telegram chat between the defendant and another party.

24 Q. Okay. And could you read that telegram chat between the
25 defendant and another party?

1 A. From the defendant Yassin, "Yeah. If I'm in the news it will
2 be because I'm dead or severely injured." The associate, "You're
3 in a better situation than mine though. You have a G. Don't.
4 So, for me, it would be the sad outcome of ..." Yassin, "They're
5 pretty much everywhere in USA. I can go to flea market tomorrow
6 and buy one. LOL." Associate, "I can't believe that."

7 Q. Let me stop you right there for a moment. You mentioned "You
8 have a G." As your -- as part of your investigation did you come
9 to the conclusion of what they are referring to in terms of a
10 "G"?

11 A. Yes. They're referencing a gun.

12 Q. Okay.

13 MR. LEWIS: Objection, Your Honor. I move to strike. I
14 mean that's highly speculative at this point in the case.

15 THE COURT: Yeah. Again, I think you're going to have
16 to lay more foundation for that, Mr. McGull, so I'm going to
17 sustain the objection at this time.

18 MR. MCGULL: Okay.

19 BY MR. MCGULL:

20 Q. Could you continue on with reading the exhibit?

21 A. Again, the Associate states, "I can't believe that." Yassin
22 responds, "No background checks." Associate, "You're in a Muslim
23 haven. That is what Muslims wish for. Not Murtadeen, G
24 everywhere." Yassin, "And all these men here and very little
25 attacked." Associate, "Kuffar everywhere, perfect mix." Yassin,

1 "I met Muslim men everywhere and hardly any attacks." Associate,
2 "Unfortunately, yeah." Yassin, "It makes me so sick. Like get
3 off Twitter and do something. My posts are directed at men and
4 Jihad a lot." Associate, "I know. So sad." It has the
5 abbreviation for "especially" and then "US" in capital letters.
6 Yassin, "Trying to incite." Associate, "Number one enemy."
7 Yassin, "Yes." Associate, "NG everywhere. Come on. So, many
8 bars." Yassin, "LOL. That's how I feel. It's ridiculous."
9 Associate, "So many gay parades, so many Jews." Yassin, "I have
10 thought of many things and places. LOL." Associate, "So many
11 police and vets." Yassin, "I have toured many too." Associate,
12 "Hee, hee, hee." Yassin, "I know every synagogue where I live
13 and most cities. LOL." Associate, "You'd be called the
14 ringleader. LOL O." Yassin, "LOL."
15 Q. Thank you.

16 (Off Record Talking)

17 THE COURT: Has defense counsel received copies of these
18 exhibits?

19 MR. LEWIS: I have, Your Honor. Thank you.

20 MR. MCGULL: Yes.

21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

22 BY MR. MCGULL:

23 Q. Now, subsequent to monitoring and executing a search warrant,
24 in fact, the search warrant was executed on February 18, is that
25 correct?

1 A. Yes, it is.

2 Q. Okay. And as a part of that execution of that search warrant
3 there was an arrest warrant for the defendant as well, is that
4 correct?

5 A. That is correct.

6 Q. And when FBI responded to the defendant's residence, what
7 happened on that particular day? Can you tell the Court what
8 happened?

9 A. When they entered the residence, Yassin's son said that she
10 was in the basement. The agents went to the basement, could not
11 see her and called out her name. They heard her say, "I have a
12 knife." They called her name and told her to I believe raise her
13 hands or show her hands. And initially they saw that she was on
14 her bed, her hands were hidden. She didn't move. After a short
15 period of time she ended up complying, raising her hands and
16 walking towards the agents where she was restrained.

17 Q. And you said earlier in your testimony she yelled out she has
18 a knife, is that correct?

19 A. That is correct.

20 Q. Did the officers find a knife?

21 A. They did. On the bed where she was sitting there was a knife
22 found.

23 MR. MCGULL: Okay. Excuse me a minute. That's all the
24 questions I have of this witness, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.

1 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the
2 Court?

3 THE COURT: You may proceed?

4 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. LEWIS:

7 Q. Good afternoon, Special Agent Lane.

8 A. Good afternoon, sir.

9 Q. My name is Ian Lewis. I'm an attorney here with the Federal
10 Public Defender's Office. I wanted to go over -- you said in
11 your testimony today that you had been surveilling the defendant?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Is that through video surveillance?

14 A. Several types of surveillance, sir. Not constantly but
15 through different periods of time. I believe most often physical
16 surveillance.

17 Q. Okay. Now, was this of her home?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. Did you surveil anywhere else?

20 A. If she left the residence and we were doing surveillance, we
21 would follow her to where she went and follow her home as well.

22 Q. Okay. At any time did you ever see her meeting with
23 suspected terrorists?

24 A. No, sir.

25 Q. Did you perform any Title 3 wiretaps?

1 A. No, sir.

2 Q. Did you put a surveillance on her bank accounts?

3 A. No, sir.

4 Q. I want to go over this Government's Exhibit #2. I'm handing
5 you that. And you remember it, right?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. Okay. Actually, I'm just going to keep it for right now.
8 Sorry. Now, I'm also reading the complaint that you've written.
9 This is your complaint, right?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. All right. Good. Now, in this complaint you reference this
12 August 12th tweet. And at the -- this was -- it says "The FBI
13 employee who observed this posting," this tweet about -- what did
14 it say this about raiding? What did it say again?

15 A. Yes, sir. Someone is talking about someone might raid the
16 defendant to which the response was "anyone raiding me will be
17 shot on site."

18 Q. Now, was that tweet, I guess, was that taken down?

19 A. I don't know, sir.

20 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you your complaint. Court's
21 Document 1, page 13, here at the underline portion of Paragraph
22 30.

23 A. It does appear that this tweet indicates -- it indicates in
24 the complaint it was likely removed from the feed by the user.

25 Q. And that date is the day after, correct?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. All right. Thank you very much. Okay. May I -- there's a
3 question I have to ask. Now, this Indictment references a threat
4 made in -- allegedly made in August of last year. But you had
5 her under surveillance since June of last year, is that correct?

6 A. I'm not sure of the exact date that we started surveillance,
7 but that sounds about right, sir.

8 Q. Okay. In your complaint it stated that the almost roughly
9 daily surveillance began after this conversation with the
10 complainant which would have been the summer of last year about
11 June.

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Okay. Is there any reason we are not having this hearing
14 back in October or September of last year as opposed to having it
15 now in February? What has transpired between August as to now?

16 A. I'm not clear on the question, sir.

17 Q. Okay. I understand. In this case here and now we're trying
18 to decide whether or not she's a danger. And if her threatening
19 conduct was dangerous back in August, wouldn't it have been
20 dangerous this entire time?

21 A. I suppose the answer is yes.

22 Q. Okay. Since that August tweet were there any other specific
23 threats against individuals?

24 A. I do not believe so.

25 Q. Do you know if someone is currently still using her Twitter

1 account?

2 A. I'm not aware of that, sir.

3 Q. Okay. I've no more questions. Thank you very much for your
4 time.

5 THE COURT: Any redirect?

6 MR. McGULL: Just a few, Your Honor.

7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. McGULL:

9 Q. You were asked a couple of the questions by the defense
10 attorney about her being -- you were asked whether or not she was
11 under surveillance sometime during the summer, is that correct?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. Okay. So, if she was under surveillance, so you had constant
14 monitoring of her movements and activities, is that correct?

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. So, if there was ever a danger involved, you and other agents
17 of the FBI would be able to respond, is that correct?

18 A. That is correct.

19 Q. Okay. All right. Now, after you were asked a question if
20 there was any threatening communications after, I believe, June
21 or July of 2015, there was one, isn't that correct?

22 A. The threats were in August.

23 Q. Yeah.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. On or about August 24, --

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. -- 2015?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What were those threats?

5 A. There were two wanted to kill postings on federal employees
6 that listed their name and information on Twitter.

7 MR. MCGULL: Okay. All right. That's all the questions
8 I have, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Any recross?

10 MR. LEWIS: Quickly, Your Honor. Thank you.

11 RECCROSS EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. LEWIS:

13 Q. All right. Did you apply for the search warrant on the day
14 of the arrest -- for the day of the arrest?

15 A. Yes, I did.

16 Q. Okay. Was that a no-knock warrant?

17 A. I don't believe it was.

18 Q. Okay. If someone comes --

19 A. Actually, you know what? I'm not sure. In answer to your
20 question, let me rephrase. I do not know.

21 Q. Okay. Was any -- was a battering ram used at the front door?

22 A. I was not on scene, sir, so I can't answer that question.

23 Q. Okay. But you're the one who --

24 MR. MCGULL: I'm going to object to the line of
25 questioning. I think -- I don't think it's relevant.

1 MR. LEWIS: I think it is, Your Honor, to -- if this
2 warrant was served in a no-knock manner, that would show some
3 sort of dangerousness that would be posed by the defendant.

4 THE COURT: I think it's relevant and I'm going to allow
5 defense counsel to make further inquiry. If the witness doesn't
6 know the answer to the question, she can indicate she doesn't
7 know. But I think it's a proper inquiry.

8 MR. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

9 THE WITNESS: I apologize. I actually do recall that
10 there was -- I believe the door was damaged or destroyed.

11 MR. LEWIS: Okay.

12 THE WITNESS: So, likely a battering ram was used or
13 some object was used.

14 MR. LEWIS: Okay.

15 BY MR. LEWIS:

16 Q. Was anyone injured during this arrest?

17 A. I believe the defendant's son had a -- maybe a small cut on
18 the bottom of his foot. That's all to my knowledge, sir.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. But again, I was not on site.

21 Q. When you applied for the warrant, do you remember informing
22 the judge that there are two minor children in the house?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. Knowing my client's online autism advocacy presence, did you
25 know that she -- one of her children has autism?

1 A. We had received information that there were some physical
2 and/or mental issues possibly with both children. And I do
3 recall the possible autism for the son.

4 MR. LEWIS: All right. Thank you. I have no more
5 questions, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Any redirect?

7 MR. McGULL: No, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right. May the witness be excused?

9 MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor.

10 MR. McGULL: Yes, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Special Agent Lane.
12 You may be excused. Any further testimony, Mr. McGull, from the
13 United States?

14 MR. McGULL: No, Judge.

15 THE COURT: And do you want to -- Mr. Lewis, do you
16 intend to make argument or I'll give you an opportunity to
17 produce any evidence by way of witness or proffer.

18 MR. LEWIS: I do have evidence.

19 THE COURT: All right. And then what I'll do is after
20 the presentation of evidence by defense counsel, then I'll
21 entertain argument by both sides. So, if you're ready to
22 proceed, Mr. Lewis.

23 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor. The defense calls
24 Omar Yasin to the stand.

25 OMAR YASIN, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN

1 MR. LEWIS: May it please the Court?

2 THE COURT: You may proceed.

3 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. LEWIS:

6 Q. Good afternoon, Omar.

7 A. Hi.

8 Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the Court, your name?

9 A. Omar Yasin.

10 Q. How do you spell that last name?

11 A. Y-A-S-I-N.

12 Q. Okay. Where do you currently live?

13 A. 12 Chisholm, Buffalo, Missouri.

14 Q. Now, this is the same house that was served this warrant,
15 correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. That we're talking about today?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. How long have you lived at that residence?

20 A. Six months. I'd say, six months.

21 Q. Okay. More importantly, how long have -- you live with the
22 defendant, your daughter, correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. How long have you lived with her?

25 A. Eight years.

1 Q. Okay. Why did you begin living with her?

2 A. Because she got disabled and she needed help.

3 Q. Okay. Now, what kind of disability does she have?

4 A. She have like a spinal problem in her back.

5 Q. Now, I know that you're not a doctor.

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. But are you the one who transports her to her medical care?

8 A. Yeah, sometime, yeah. Sometime she goes by herself.

9 Q. Okay.

10 A. Sometime I do.

11 Q. How often does she receive medical care, do you know?

12 A. At least every three months she go to the doctor.

13 Q. All right. Does she have to administer herself a catheter?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Is this because of her injury?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Do you know if your daughter has ever been outside the
18 country?

19 A. No, she had never been.

20 Q. Does she even own a passport?

21 A. No, she does not own a passport.

22 Q. Okay. If she were to be released on bond, could she live at
23 this with you in Buffalo again?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Would you make sure that she could get to and from court?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Now, there were two minor children living in that house at
3 that time, weren't there?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Where are they currently living?

6 A. I don't know right now.

7 Q. Okay.

8 A. I assume they in state custody.

9 Q. Okay. Why don't you know where? I mean, you've helped --
10 you've lived with these children since 2007?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And no one told you where these children are?

13 A. No. The last time --

14 Q. Has any --

15 A. Last time I heard they was taking the boy to the hospital
16 because he got cuts on his feet.

17 Q. Does anyone in your family outside of jail know where your
18 children are?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Where those children are, forgive me.

21 A. No.

22 Q. Are you aware of [REDACTED] -- I guess [REDACTED] is the 13-year-old,
23 correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. He has autism?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Is he currently enrolled in school?

3 A. No, he's home schooled.

4 Q. Why is he home schooled?

5 A. A lot of reasons. One of them she did have a problem in the
6 school.

7 Q. Based upon his autism or something else?

8 A. No. It's about 9/11.

9 Q. Okay. So, he was being bullied?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And who educates your grandson?

12 A. My daughter.

13 Q. Okay. Teach him how to read and write?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. All the school education?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Why do you think he walked through that glass cutting his
18 feet during the day of the arrest?

19 A. Because they ordered him to come out.

20 Q. Thank you.

21 MR. LEWIS: I have no more questions, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Any cross-examination?

23 MR. MCGULL: Just a few, Your Honor.

24 CROSS-EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. MCGULL:

1 Q. Mr. Yasin, how you doing?

2 A. Okay.

3 Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions. You say you lived with
4 your daughter for eight years, is that correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. And during those eight years you lived with your
7 daughter, was she employed any of those years?

8 A. She was employed before she got hurt, yes.

9 Q. Okay. And after she got hurt you all lived together, is that
10 correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. And on February 18 you talked to the FBI, is that
13 correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And when you spoke with the FBI, did you tell them that your
16 daughter was on the computer all the time?

17 A. No, I didn't say that. They asked me if she was on the
18 computer, I said yeah.

19 Q. Okay. Okay. She was on the computer. Now, do you work?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. And are you able to monitor her whereabouts after you
22 go to work in the morning?

23 A. No, I can't.

24 MR. MCGULL: All right. All right. That's all the
25 questions I have of the witness, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any redirect?

2 MR. LEWIS: No, Your Honor. Thank you. This witness
3 can be excused.

4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Yasin.

5 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: You may be excused.

7 MR. LEWIS: Defense rests the evidence, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I'll entertain argument first from the
9 United States, then I'll hear from defense counsel.

10 MR. MCGULL: Sure, Your Honor. The testimony of the
11 witnesses, as well as the criminal complaint, all indicate an
12 escalation of violent rhetoric. This rhetoric by the defendant
13 calling for a Jihad against federal employees. This contact and
14 this communication was explicit calling for others to be killed,
15 the subject of the Indictment itself. And this was all done from
16 the defendant's Internet postings out there in the public. She
17 stated -- as the witness stated today, anyone raiding me will be
18 shot on site, all indicate that there's a danger associated with
19 this individual, that there are no combinations of conditions
20 that would reasonably assure the safety of the community. Even
21 the phone texts that took place where she was talking about
22 getting a "G" which we believe is a gun, that you can purchase a
23 gun from a flea market without no background checks. This is an
24 extremely, extremely dangerous individual that should not be out
25 in the community. And we concur with the recommendation of

1 Pretrial Services that no condition would reasonably ensure the
2 safety of the community. And I would ask that this Court, based
3 upon not only the Grand Jury finding probable cause for the
4 Indictment, but also the recommendation of Pretrial Services, the
5 recommendation of the Government and the fact that her father
6 just testified he has no control over what he can do with her
7 when he goes to work. So, I think, Your Honor, that pretrial
8 detention is appropriate this case and we ask that the Court
9 follow that. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. McGull. Mr. Lewis, I'll hear
11 from defense counsel now.

12 MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, I do believe that my client is
13 not a flight risk to this Court nor does she pose a danger to the
14 community. I believe that the alleged conduct that is listed in
15 the criminal complaint is not as strong as the Government wishes
16 it to be. Because we look just right now at the Indictment. And
17 of course I was making my arguments in the dark because I didn't
18 know exactly what she would be indicted with, but now that I have
19 the Indictment, I know that we're talking about this tweet on
20 August 24th of 2015. This is the basis for this Indictment. And
21 the Government loves to pull one phase out of there, "wanted to
22 kill." But what they, for some reason, neglect to do is read the
23 whole tweet in context. And I'm going to do that right now.
24 Apparently this a re-tweet. Now, I'm not -- I'm not on Twitter,
25 so I'm -- but from what I'm gathering there are tweets which are

1 originally created by the registrant and then there are re-tweets
2 which are shared by the person on Twitter. So, this is not even
3 her words, but these are re-tweeted. And then this comment is
4 made. This is how it reads. "Mujahid electric @ccybercaliphate.
5 Wanted to kill, full name," and then they've taken out the actual
6 name. Well, that phrase makes sense. That Mujahid electric
7 @ccybercaliphate wanted to kill whoever this person is. Because
8 if you take that phrase out and say, well, she re-tweeted this
9 and wanted to kill. What does that mean, wanted to kill? I
10 mean, it's not like she wanted them dead, or I'm going to kill.
11 Wanted to kill is such an odd way of phrasing something. But if
12 you read it in context, wait a minute. It's Mujahid electric
13 @ccybercaliphate that wanted to kill these people. Now, when the
14 officers went back out there in June of last year, she clearly
15 told them that she sees herself as some sort of journalist
16 broadcasting information that she finds online. The Supreme
17 Court, thankfully, gave us a very strong decision last summer in
18 *Elonis vs. United States*, in which a person wrote a rap song
19 explicitly threatening his wife and co-workers and kindergarten
20 classes, convicted of the exact same statute, and was overturned
21 by the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court lists a very delicate
22 balancing act between free speech and threats. And that case was
23 much more explicit than what we have here. Because if we go
24 through the window dressing of the complaint, we have, you know,
25 some picture of President Obama running from a drone strike. And

1 of course, it's a -- it could either be a serious threat or it
2 could be an ironic commentary about the drone strikes and the
3 civilian casualties of such, or it could be just an ironic take
4 on *North by Northwest*, which I know the Court may not need to
5 point out, but Cary Grant survives that movie. So, was that a
6 threat or just an ironic twist on a political statement?
7 Furthermore, there was a tweet about how putting Muslims in cages
8 angers the Muslim state and all this, and how this was something
9 that the Court should use to not only sign the complaint and sign
10 the warrant, but to detain her here today. But isn't that
11 exactly what President Obama has been saying about Guantanamo,
12 that it is used a recruitment tool by ISIS, and therefore it
13 should be closed. So, isn't that re-tweet the exact same
14 information that our Government is giving us? Your Honor, the
15 Government says detain her because their evidence is so strong
16 and so overwhelming. However, from what I'm seeing and from the
17 Indictment that I'm reading, it's actually very precarious and
18 there's going to be very lengthy discussions at my office about
19 First Amendment rights. What is someone's personal beliefs
20 versus what is an actual threat? Your Honor, when it comes down
21 to it, she is a disabled woman who poses no physical threat
22 herself and to anyone else. I have presented those -- we have
23 presented the testimony of her father who can attest to her
24 medical issues. Thankfully, I have a stack of medical files I'm
25 going to be going over, but a cursory review suggests nothing is

1 changed. In my motion, I did mention to the Court her issues.
2 So, she poses no danger. She has nowhere to go. She no
3 passport. Where is she fleeing? She's not fleeing. She's
4 almost a lifelong resident of southwest Missouri. Now, the
5 Government makes a statement regarding her online presence.
6 That's as easy as unplugging something from a wall. The Court
7 can, and has in the past in many cases that are much more serious
8 than a Class D felony, the Court has made the condition no
9 Internet access unless approved by the Probation Office.
10 Wouldn't that completely destroy her online presence and
11 therefore take away any issues regarding dangerousness? So,
12 there are conditions this Court can meet, especially in light of
13 the fact that this is a Class D felony. The maximum sentence is
14 five years. This will take time to investigate and I need her
15 assistance to investigate the issues. What is a tweet, what is a
16 re-tweet? And, Your Honor, I would -- I need her assistance out
17 as opposed to in, especially with the time clock running
18 regarding a Class D felony. Your Honor, I'd ask you to consider
19 this prior to rendering your decision. Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lewis. Well, in reviewing
21 both the testimony presented here this afternoon, the Pretrial
22 Services Report, the affidavit in support of the criminal
23 complaint, you know, I do believe that defense counsel has
24 arguments which I think will obviously probably be revisited at a
25 later time. You know, the issue before this Court is whether

1 there are any conditions or combination of conditions that I can
2 fashion that would reasonably assure the appearance of the
3 defendant as required and the safety of the community. And I
4 don't intend -- I'm going to take the matter -- well, on the
5 issue of flight, you know, my initial reaction is that she's not
6 a flight risk now. I only have to make that finding by a
7 preponderance of the evidence, and I note the actions by the
8 defendant at the time that she was arrested. And so that portion
9 of the proceeding I am going to take under advisement as to
10 whether or not I make a finding that she's a flight risk.
11 However, I do intend to issue a written order in which I will
12 make a written finding by clear and convincing evidence that she
13 is a danger to the community. I do note, you know, in regard to
14 the argument about taking Internet access away. The complaint
15 seems to indicate that in June of 2015, the defendant was
16 interviewed by the FBI, and yet, the incidents giving rise to
17 this Indictment occur several months later. So, even though she
18 was interviewed by the FBI in June of 2015, it does appear that
19 she continued to make these posts that, again, I know defense
20 counsel will make argument to -- how to construe the tweets and
21 re-tweets. But it does appear to the Court that she is a danger
22 to the community, and I will note in my written order the reasons
23 for that. But I'll take the issue of flight under advisement. I
24 will reduce it to writing in the next few days. Is there
25 anything further from either side?

1 MR. MCGULL: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

2 MR. LEWIS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

3 THE COURT: All right. With that, we'll be in recess.

4 Thank you.

5 (Court Adjourned at 3:44 p.m.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR
THE PLAINTIFF:

DIRECT

CROSS

REDIRECT

RECROSS

Stacie Lane

3

17

20

21

WITNESSES FOR
THE DEFENDANT:

Omar Yasin

23

27

EXHIBITS:

MARKED

ADMITTED

G#1

6

7

G#2

8

8

G#3

9

9

G#4

10

10

G#5

11

13

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceeding in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker
Signature of transcriber

March 11, 2016
Date