Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 1 of 92 CR-17-00360-PHX-JJT-1, February 28, 2019 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 3 4 United States of America, 5 Plaintiff, 6 vs. CR-17-00360-PHX-JJT-1 7 Abdul Khabir Wahid, Defendant. 8 February 28, 2019 9 10:01 a.m. 10 11 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JOHN J. TUCHI, JUDGE 12 REPORTER'S EXCERPT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 13 BENCH TRIAL - DAY 3 (Witness testimony only) 14 (Pages 318 through 409) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Official Court Reporter: Elaine Cropper, RDR, CRR, CCP Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312 22 401 West Washington Street, SPC 35 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2151 23 (602) 322-7245/(fax) 602.322.7253 24 Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription 25

Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 2 of 92 319

CR-17-00360-PHX-JJT-1, February 28, 2019

1		I N D E X				
2		TESTIMONY				
3	WITNES	SS	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
4		EW LEVITT RT WHITSON	323 343	341		
5		KHABIR WAHID	379	388		
6						
7			E X H I E	BITS		
8	Number				Ide	nt Rec'd
9	18	Dabiq Issue 8			32	3
10	19	Hijrah to the Is	lamic Stat	e	33	2
11	21	ISHD LEAK pages			35	2
12 13	42	Photo of the scre device (LG GPLG Item #38-41)				3
14	44	Dark Blue Spiral (Lab Item 16)	Memo Book	x, 3" x 5	5″ 35	1
15	45	Photo of notebool	ks		35	0 351
16 17	48	Electrostatic imapage 49 from foreblue spiral memo	ensic anal	lysis of		8
18 19	49	Electrostatic image reversed of page analysis of dark	age of rea 49 from f	ar face Torensic	35	8
20		(Item 17)	Dide Spii	idi ilicilio	DOOR	
21	52	List of scholars			39	0 407
22	71	Twitter screensho			32	8 329
23	72	Pages from Dabiq	-	Tague 9	33	4 335
24	12	regarding Garland		TBBUE 3	33	. ,,,
25						

Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 3 of 92 3 2 0

CR-17-00360-PHX-JJT-1, February 28, 2019

$\underline{\mathtt{E}\ \mathtt{X}\ \mathtt{H}\ \mathtt{I}\ \mathtt{B}\ \mathtt{I}\ \mathtt{T}\ \mathtt{S}}\ \ (\mathtt{Continued})$

2	Number		Ident	Rec'd
3	74	Simpson Twitter DM Excerpts	333	
4	84	Photo of Abdul Khabir Wahid with Nadir Soofi	401	
5	109	(Marked but not referenced.)		365
6 7	110	(Marked but not referenced.)		365
8	111	(Marked but not referenced.)		365
9	112	Excerpt of Sworn Trial Testimony of Abdul Khabir Wahid in CR	366	365
10		15-707-PHX-SRB on March 8, 2016, pages 19-20		
11	113	Excerpt 5 of Sworn Trial Testimony of Abdul Khabir Wahid in CR	368	365
12		15-707-PHX-SRB on March 8, 2016, pages 25-26		
13	114	Excerpt 6 of Sworn Trial Testimony of	368	365
14 15		Abdul Khabir Wahid in CR 15-707-PHX-SRB on March 8, 2016, page 39		
16	115	Excerpt 7 of Sworn Trial Testimony of	369	365
17		Abdul Khabir Wahid in CR 15-707-PHX-SRB on March 8, 2016, pages 47-49		
18	116	Excerpt 8 of Sworn Trial Testimony of	370	365
19		Abdul Khabir Wahid in CR 15-707-PHX-SRB on March 8, 2016, pages		
20		55-56		
21	117	Excerpt 9 of Sworn Trial Testimony of Abdul Khabir Wahid in CR	372	365
22		15-707-PHX-SRB on March 8, 2016, pages 57-60		
23	118	Recording Clip 1 of Phone Call Between Abdul Khabir Wahid and A.S. on June 6,	376	
25		2015 at 8:24 p.m.		
		United States District Court		

	Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 4 of 92
	CR-17-00360-PHX-JJT-1, February 28, 2019
1	EXHIBITS (Continued)
2	Number Ident Rec'd
3	163 Photograph 401
4	164 Photograph 397
5	
6	
7	MISCELLANEOUS NOTATIONS
8	Item Page
9	Government rests 377
10	
11	
12	RECESSES
13	Page Line
14	(Recess at 11:25; resumed at 11:41.) 378 7
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	United States District Court

	Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 5 of 92
	CR-17-00360-PHX-JJT-1, February 28, 2019
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For the Government: JOSEPH E. KOEHLER, ESQ.
4	KRISTEN BROOK, ESQ. U.S. Attorney's Office 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200
5	Phoenix, AZ 85004-4408 602.514.7500
6	For the Defendant:
7	PRO SE ABDUL KHABIR WAHID
8	3407 W. Port Au Prince Lane Phoenix, Az 85053
9	480.205.1354
10	For the Defendant as Advisory Counsel: JOHN W. MCBEE, ESQ.
11	Law Office of John W. McBee 3104 E. Camelback Road, PMB 851
12	Phoenix, AZ 85016 602.903.7710
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

United States District Court

1
_

2

3

4

5

6

7

seated.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

(The following excerpt was separately transcribed.) (Court was called to order by the courtroom deputy.) (Proceedings begin at 10:01.)

(The defendant is present and out of custody.) THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be

All right. Before we commence, I want to remind everyone here that by the local rules of practice, there is no recording of any proceedings in the courtroom. And I'm going to make sure that the parties monitor that, including any family members that you might have here.

Mr. Wahid, I do not know that a recording was occurring, but I want to remind everybody right now that cannot happen. We can resume.

MS. BROOK: Your Honor, before I had mentioned -- I had mentioned at the close we had about 20 more minutes. It's probably more like 30 but we'll keep it as brief as possible.

(MATTHEW LEVITT, a witness herein, was previously duly sworn or affirmed.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MS. BROOK:

- We left a off yesterday talking about Dabiq issue number five and put out by the Islamic State.
 - MS. BROOK: I'm going to place on the overhead what

United States District Court

10:01:10

10:01:22

10:01:39

10:01:54

10:02:02

has already been admitted as Government's Exhibit 18.

BY MS. BROOK:

10:02:04

- Q. Do you recognize this issue of Dabiq?
- A. Yes. This is the eighth issue of *Dabiq*.
 - Q. And when was it published?

10:02:20

- A. In March, late March 2015.
- Q. Again, this is the same publication of Dabiq of which is produced by the Islamic State?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

25

Q. And in this particular issue of *Dabiq*, what was detailed, discussed, in the forward?

10:02:31

- 12 A. The forward always covers recent events and in this one,
- 13 it covers a series of attacks and issues related to a
- 14 particular instance regarding another drawing of Prophet
- 15 Muhammed.

10:02:58

- Q. Again, a pretty obvious question but, for the record, where is the placement in this particular magazine?
- A. It's the very first article. So cover page, the table of contents forward.
 - Q. Table of contents, again, you had mentioned yesterday that 10:03:10
 Zarqawi's quote is always there on the header?
- 22 A. Yes, and there it is.
- Q. Turning to page three and then to page four and five. I want to focus in, who was Abu Ramadan?
 - A. Abu Ramadan -- his real name is Omar Hussain -- is a

10:03:48

Danish citizen, Muslim who carried out an attack, a couple of attacks actually in Copenhagen, one targeting a gathering of people who were celebrating cartoons, including cartoons depicting the Prophet Muhammed, and one cartoon in particular depicting the Prophet Muhammed in a particularly insulting way, and then also carried out an attack on a Jewish synagogue in Copenhagen.

- Q. Did this article detail the Islamic State's position about the purpose of this particular attack?
- 10 A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. Did it go on to talk about the purpose, to intimidate the West?
- 13 A. It does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 14 Q. And, in effect, to try to bring about Dabiq?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. Can you read for -- what it says there under Abu Ramadan's picture?
 - A. This is the bottom right-hand corner of page five. "It was also the rejection of nationalism that drove Abu Ramadan al-Muhajir (Omar Abdel Hamid el-Hussein -- may Allah accept him) despite his Danish birth and upbringing -- to target Danish Jews and Danish mockers of the Messenger" -- Prophet be upon him it says in Arabic -- "until he achieved martyrdom in Denmark, after pledging bay'ah to the Khilafah from Denmark," pledging allegiance to the caliph.

United States District Court

10:03:51

10:04:08

10:04:25

10:04:39

10:04:51

10:05:22

Q. So in effect, did he target civilians and attack them attempting to harm and kill people?

A. Exactly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. And what was the Islamic State's position on the purpose of that attack and the effect of it?

10:05:41

10:05:33

A. Their position was that this is a response to the call that they had put out asking for exactly this type of attack and highlighted the fact that he had not given credence to his Danish nationality, that the idea of nationalism was an anathema to them. One was a Muslim and a member of the Muslim nation, the ummah, and that was all that mattered, whatever

10:06:03

- one's, quote unquote, nationality.
- Q. Whose picture is that right there?
 - A. On the left? Lars Vilks, who is the cartoonist who drew this particularly insulting cartoon.

10:06:19

10:06:58

- Q. And based upon the content of this article, what's the purpose of having his picture there?
 - A. He is the face of disbelievers who are the types of people who should be attacked, among others.
 - Q. Can you read for us the words put out by the Islamic State 10:06:39 starting here at the top of column two on this page?
 - A. Starting with "The Khalifah"?
- Q. Yes. And I guess maybe it would be better to start down here. Perhaps, "He gathered."
 - A. "He gathered what he could of arms, surveyed targets,

relied upon his Lord, and executed his brave and selfless attack, terrorizing the Christians, Jews, and athiests of Denmark," go up to the next column, "a pagan nation that insulted the Messenger," peace be upon him in Arabic, "and a member of the crusader coalition against the Islamic State.

The filthy blood of the Danes was spilled by his blessed hands, by which he guaranteed for himself a place in Paradise, inshaallah," God willing. "And with the noble blood and tireless sweat of his likes, history is written and preserved."

"And now, has the time not come for the crusaders, athiests, and apostates to realize that the Islamic State and its message to the world is here to stay?"

"The Khalifah said, quote, Let the world know that we are living today in a new era. Whoever was heedless must now be alert. Whoever was sleeping must now awaken. Whoever was shocked and startled must comprehend. The Muslims today have a loud, thundering statement, and possess heavy boots. They have a statement" --

Q. I'm sorry. Let me go back for just a moment. Did the top of this one paragraph that we started halfway through also speak to the issue of, quote, citizenship, in quotes, and how Abu Ramadan didn't let citizenship prevent him from carrying out the duties of the Islamic State?

A. That's right.

MS. BROOK: We've covered this in a stipulation but

United States District Court

10:07:01

10:07:22

10:07:41

10:08:01

10:08:19

10:08:51

16 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nine, in a moment but are you aware of who claimed

10:09:38

10:09:53

The Islamic State did.

Placed on the overhead what's already been --

MS. BROOK: You know actually, Your Honor, I'm going to place on the overhead Exhibit Number 71. These were discussed yesterday and read. I don't believe they were actually admitted so the Government would move to admit them.

Q. Okay. Does the Islamic State use mediums like Twitter to communicate messages to supports?

- A. Extensively, on multiple platforms.
- 24 Q. And does it also use to gain supporters?
 - A. Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

United States District Court

10:11:05

- Q. Is it used to carry out messages from the Islamic State?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Junaid Hussain's role within the Islamic State, what's his position? Well, let me ask a better question. What was his position back in May of -- well, let's do January through May

of 2015?

A. Junaid Hussain was a hacker and had become one of the heads of the Islamic State's external operations so he was tremendously intimately involved recruiting, encouraging, and sometimes even directing people to carry out attacks on multiple platforms -- Twitter, Kick, Surespot and many others -- and has been tied to a significant number of cases,

not only in the United States but around the world.

Q. Are you familiar with the execution videos that are released by the Islamic State?

16 A. I'm afraid so.

Q. Based upon your research in this field, familiarity with the Islamic State, what's the purpose for both the release of those videos as well as having individuals watch those videos?

A. Islamic State released both videos that tried to show its kind of idyllic caliphate that it was building, but it also released these horrific videos of beheadings and burning people alive and stuff like that as a means of demonstrating how powerful they are, how ascendent they are. Remember this millennial apocalyptic idea had been purveyed by others,

United States District Court

10:11:05

10:11:14

10:11:38

10:11:54

10:12:13

10:12:35

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MATTHEW LEVITT - Direct

including Anwar al-Awlaki, who we discussed yesterday. here they are actualizing that prophecy and from the -- from their perspective, it also helped, as we discussed yesterday, radicalize people and get recruits, inspire people to do things of their own and sometimes also would be successful in terms of fundraising.

10:13:00

10:12:40

From the consumers' point of view, especially when we're talking about the home-grown violent extremist which is, maybe not in Europe but certainly in the United States, the primary terrorist threat we face. This is a way of giving someone a sense of belonging. This like-minded follower watches these things and feels like he or she is a part of And for many it can actually be a form of not only belonging but of worship, but of -- because this is a religious This is getting in on the ground floor and doing something that is bigger than yourself and is changing the world in God's image and is helping to bring Islam around the world. Borders don't matter, countries don't matter and you're a part of it. And it becomes a form of worship.

10:13:15

10:13:40

And it's a key element in helping not only radicalize 10:13:58 people but then mobilize people. Lots of people get radicalized. We stub our toes and get angry but far fewer people get mobilized to go do something violent about it. And mobilization that is critical and part of the video is, we've come and done this. You can do it. You can come and join us

10:14:15

here and do it. You can do where you are at home, and it's become a very effective radicalizing and mobilizing tool.

10:14:18

Q. You had mentioned also the component of you can come here and help or you can act where you are, where you happen to be; right?

10:14:33

10:14:43

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The concept of coming to the Islamic -- well, coming to the area of Iraq and Syria to help, is that called hijrah?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And are you familiar with Government's Exhibit Number 19, already admitted, placing on the overhead? Are you familiar with this?
- 13 A. I am.
 - Q. In terms of locations where individuals may fly in in order to commit hijrah, are there some popular destinations?

10:15:01

A. The most popular and simplest way to get to Syria was via Turkey, especially before the Turks really started monitoring that about 100-mile swath of the border which then President Obama actually called them out on publicly. So it was very common for people to fly into Istanbul and then either rent a car, take a bus or take a flight to airports closer to the border. So you see here to the left here Gaziantep, Sanliurfa, et cetera, towns closer to the border through which they could then make the final leg of the journey south into northern Syria.

10:15:45

10:15:27

MS. BROOK: I'm placing on the overhead now, Your Honor, Exhibit Number 42 already admitted, screen shots from the phone, also admitted, that was found in Simpson and Soofi's apartment on that phone.

10:15:45

10:16:10

10:17:14

10:17:55

10:18:14

Q. What is Sabihan Gökcen?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

- A. An airport, Turkish airport.
- Q. And how about Ataturk?
- A. That's the main airport, yes. Istanbul.
- Q. I'm going to place back on the overhead the next page of
 Exhibit 42 already admitted. Can you read that message for us?
- 11 A. "You're not one way. You're have a trip both ways to
 12 IST and back to Bulgaria."
- Q. Is that message consistent with the instructions in the hijrah literature put out by the Islamic State?
- A. Yes. It was better not to book a one-way trip ticket which is more suspicious to law enforcement.
 - Q. Placing on the overhead one of the screen shots from
 Exhibit Number 74, also already admitted. Can you read for us
 what Elton Simpson there under Bird of Green wrote?
 - A. "I wonder what it means when one sees imam Anwar in a dream. You don't have to ask the sheikh akhi lol."
- 22 Q. And then there we have Miski.
- 23 A. Who responds, "Maybe he's telling you what he told nidal."
- Q. Let's break that apart just for a moment. Miski, who is that?

A. Goes by the name Muhajir Miski. He's on your board here in the bottom middle, Mohamed Abdullahi Hassan, originally from Minneapolis, went to Somalia, joined al-Qaeda there, the al-Shabaab group, but over time became a follower and promoter of the Islamic State.

10:18:35

10:18:53

10:18:15

- Q. Based upon the context of this direct message, who do you interpret Nidal to be?
- A. I interpret this to be a reference to Nidal Hassan, Major Nidal Hassan, who carried out a shooting attack in Fort Hood in 2009 killing I think 13 and wounding 30 something people. The reason is because Nidal Hassan had also been or had been in direct contact with Anwar al-Awlaki. That was very much in the public domain. And here you have Elton Simpson talking about Imam Anwar, which seems clearly to be a reference to Anwar al-Awlaki, saying, what happens if I saw Anwar al-Awlaki in my dream? Maybe he's telling you what he told Nidal. And what

10:19:18

Q. Placing on the overhead what has not yet been admitted, Government's Exhibit Number 72. So we can see it in its

entirety. I'm going to scan it so you can see it in its

Anwar al-Awlaki told Nidal was to go carry out this attack.

10:19:56

entirety.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Do you recognize this?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And have you had the opportunity to review all of Exhibit
 Number 72?

10:20:06

Government's Exhibit Number 72.

MR. WAHID: No.

THE COURT: All right. 72 is admitted.

(Exhibit Number 72 was admitted into evidence.)

10:21:05

10:21:10

BY MS. BROOK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Who does that photograph depict? Q.
- This is Geert Wilders whose is a far right Dutch

parliamentarian who has been convicted actually of inciting -incitement against the Moroccan community in the Netherlands
and he's quite the Islamophobic politician.

Q. Are you familiar with these pages here? Whose pictures are these?

10:21:42

10:21:13

A. This is Simpson and Soofi.

- Q. And what does the article say about them and their actions specifically?
- A. It lauds them as examples to follow, as people whose actions should help inspire others to overcome any reticence they may have to like Simpson and Soofi, do what needs to be done on behalf of the Islamic State.
- Q. Here it says, "As for those who continue to suffer from the disease of being indifferent or the obligations of hijrah, jihad, and bay'ah, so much so that they see nothing wrong with residing amongst, and paying taxes to," that particular section, does that resonate with the message?
- A. It does. In other words, for those people who might still, as they put it here, suffer from the disease of not yet following the message and they continue living among disbelievers and they maybe even continue paying taxes to those countries that are fighting the Islamic State and who belittle Islamic law, Shariah, and on their entertainment programs and who imprison and torture Muslims, maybe even burn the Qur'an and mock the Prophet Muhammad, specifically as mentioned here,

United States District Court

10:21:57

10:22:15

10:22:34

10:22:52

then -- and I quote here at the end of that paragraph, quote, then let them prepare their flimsy excuses for the angels of death, end quote, meaning even -- not just disbelievers who are not Muslim but even Muslims who are not sufficiently believers and are, therefore, disbelievers, they are eligible to face a flimsy death, too. They will have no argument to make to God at the end of the day when they go up to the pearly gates having not followed through on this call to action.

Q. Does this article state exactly how the Islamic State views Elton Simpson and Nadir Soofi?

A. Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What does it say?

A. I'll quote: "The hypocrites will sit back, the true men will step forward, and the kuffar will have no peace and no security."

"May Allah accept our two brothers amongst the leaders of the shuhada in Jannah." They are martyrs in paradise.

Q. Based on your knowledge of terrorist attacks, specifically home-grown extremist attacks in the West, are you familiar with cases where material is found in the aftermath of the attack that would have been and was of value to law enforcement?

A. That describes just what every case there's been. The

nature of investigating case terrorism or otherwise is running down the leads that you get access to, especially in the

United States District Court

10:22:57

10:23:14

10:23:42

10:23:54

10:24:17

10:24:40

MATTHEW LEVITT - Direct

immediate aftermath of the attack. This material can often have a short expiration date. In other words, its value is only useful for a short period of time. So there's a rush against the clock to get it and exploit it to be able to identify not only kind of what that material was, was it money? Was it access to a locker, you know, a key for something, or was it just to be able to identify who it was being delivered to and whether that person or persons are some type of network, whether there's additional plotting going on. So that can be very important.

10:25:18

10:24:44

10:24:59

- Q. Why is the transfer of currency or money important?
- A. Well, we have plenty of cases where people raised or collected funds to do something and didn't use it all. Think of 9/11. The 9/11 highjackers actually sent back to the Middle East unused funds so that that money, considered sacred for them, can be used for another attack.

10:25:36

Q. Based upon your understanding of the Islamic State, is the return of unused money for an attack an important concept to them?

10:25:50

A. I do think that they would consider that an important concept. Again, because for them these types of attacks are a form of worship. They are a form of service. That money is, in a sense, sacred and just operationally, the religious or ideology side, why waste that money? Provide to it someone else who can do something else with it in support of the

10:26:13

Islamic State.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:26:16

We've talked about different forms of support, du'a, that people can make towards the Islamic State, does the Islamic State ask for people to make financial contributions?

Sure. Α.

10:26:27

10:26:46

10:27:09

And is that, too, a form of support that a person who Q. supports the Islamic State can provide?

Α. Yes.

Q. Based upon the research you've done, your awareness of what happens in the aftermath of these terrorist attacks, have you encountered situations where information may appear innocuous but actually has value to the investigation?

Again, that's a description of almost any case I've ever heard of. Sometimes you'll find assumptions that -- that clearly is relevant. You'll find a weapon. You'll find a suicide note. But you'll also find all kinds of other material that you simply cannot know if it's relevant until you run it Which is why, you know, if you -- in your exercise of a search warrant, you're going to be looking for all kinds of things whether or not they have a brass plate next to them saying "this was related to the attack" to be able to determine

So I would argue that the majority of the information | 10:27:39

United States District Court

if it had anything to do with the attack, with the people

involved in the attack, again, whether there were others

involved in the planning.

10:27:27

actually is going to seem innocuous and won't be known to be relevant or not until people who are properly trained and have the tools to be able to run down those leads can do so.

Q. You had mentioned a moment ago that time was of the essence in gathering that information. How have you seen that appear in cases that you're aware of --

A. Like I said, the most pressing is concern that perhaps there are other operatives who are planning similar types of activities and it can become even more time-sensitive because when an operation, a terrorist attack happens, when one is thwarted, we have many cases where then operatives who were planning other attacks decide to move up their timetable and attack more quickly.

So, for example, there was one last Islamic State terrorist from the late 2015 attacks in Paris, Abduo Salam, who had escaped. He was ultimately found in Brussels, which is where he had been from -- but when authorities kicked down a door in an apartment and he had just escaped but found one of his compatriots and an Islamic State flag and a weapon, et cetera, other people who were part of that cell and were planning a series of attacks moved up their planning and carried out attacks at the Brussels Metro and the Brussels Airport earlier than they had anticipated because they were afraid that they, too, would get caught. So there really is a rush against the clock.

United States District Court

10:27:41

10:27:55

10:28:15

10:28:31

10:28:57

10:29:13

Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 24 of 92	
MATTHEW LEVITT - Cross	
Q. And the type of involvement in a cell can include	10:29:14
financial providers?	10.27.11
A. Absolutely. It can include financial providers, people	
who are actually going to carry out an attack, people who might	
provide weapons or lookout or automobiles or safe houses.	10:29:25
There's a tremendous spectrum of types of activity that need to	
go into a successful operation.	
MS. BROOK: May I have one moment, Your Honor?	
THE COURT: You may.	
MS. BROOK: I don't have any other questions.	10:29:48
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
Mr. Wahid, do you have any questions for this	
witness?	
MR. WAHID: I just got one question.	
CROSS - EXAMINATION	10:29:57
BY MR. WAHID:	
Q. I am Muslim myself and even I don't know very much about	
ISIS and like most Main Street Islam	
MS. BROOK: Objection, Your Honor. Statement, not a	
question.	10:30:24
THE COURT: You need to get to the question, Mr.	
Wahid.	
MR. WAHID: Okay.	
III. WAILLD. OKAY.	

What is your opinion on the matter, do you agree that ISIS 10:30:31

United States District Court

BY MR. WAHID:

of extreme bias. The bias is against anybody who does not practice the Muslim faith as they say it should be practiced.

And as I said yesterday, that excludes the vast majority of Muslims around the world who reject them. So this extreme bias, even more than al-Qaeda and others, means for the Islamic State if you are not Muslim like us, then you are liable to be killed just like anybody else. So they will absolutely kill Muslims as well.

10:31:31

10:31:43

MR. WAHID: Gotcha.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nothing else, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Is there any redirect?

	Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 26 of 92 343	
	STEWART WHITSON - Direct	
1	MS. BROOK: No.	10:31:44
2	THE COURT: All right. I'm he going to be able to	
3	excuse you and let you go. Thank you.	
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
5	(Witness excused.)	10:31:58
6	THE COURT: If the Government will call its next	
7	witness, please.	
8	MS. BROOK: Thank you, Your Honor. The Government	
9	calls Special Agent Stewart Whitson.	
10	THE COURT: Agent Whitson, if you would step forward	10:32:33
11	to the courtroom deputy, she'll swear you in.	
12	COURTROOM DEPUTY: If you can please state your name	
13	and spell your last name for the record.	
14	THE WITNESS: Stewart Whitson. W-H-I-T-S-O-N.	
15	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thanks you. Raise your right	10:32:44
16	hand.	
17	(STEWART WHITSON, a witness herein, was duly sworn or	
18	affirmed.)	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
20	BY MS. BROOK:	10:33:09
21	Q. Good morning.	
22	A. Good morning.	
23	Q. Would you please introduce yourself to the Court?	
24	A. Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Stewart Whitson. I'm a	
25	Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation.	10:33:16

Α.

10 11 here in the Phoenix Division?

I was a counter-terrorism agent so it was essentially a Α. case agent that investigated terrorism investigations here in Arizona.

10:33:55

10:34:10

10:34:22

Prior to joining the FBI, what was your educational background?

Prior to joining the FBI, I have -- I had obtained a law degree from the University of Minnesota and a bachelor of arts degree from the University of Minnesota.

And were you in the military? Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

What was the nature of your service in the military? Q.

I was in the U.S. Army. I was an infantry officer and so I served as a platoon leader in Iraq where I was stationed for 16 months and then I was later a company commander for an

STEWART WHITSON - Direct

1 infantry company.

Q. In early May of 2015, did you become the lead case agent in the Phoenix FBI investigation into the attack on the Draw the Prophet Muhammad?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:34:42

10:34:25

- Q. And can you briefly describe for us the scope of the FBI investigation into the attack?
- A. Yes. So following the attack, which occurred on May 3, 2015, the FBI launched what could be described as the largest terrorism investigation in the history of the office here in Phoenix. Obviously it spanned three different divisions because the attack had occurred in Dallas. It involved the Dallas Division as well as the Phoenix Division. But it encompassed work being done by hundreds of agents, not just from Phoenix but across the nation, as well as numerous

Q. At what point did it become clear that this was a terrorism investigation?

intelligence analysts and other FBI professionals.

- A. Immediately from the outset of the investigation it was clear that this was a terrorism investigation.
- Q. How was that?
- A. Numerous factors. One was the tweet that one of the attackers sent out 16 minutes prior to the attack pledging loyalty to Amir al-Muminin, commander of the faithful, is Arabic for commander of the faithful, which is a title bestowed

United States District Court

10:34:59

10:35:15

10:35:28

10:35:52

STEWART WHITSON - Direct

on Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, the leader of ISIS. So that tweet that gone out 16 minutes prior to the attack. And then obviously the nature of the attack itself. It was an attack upon a contest, a drawing contest of the Prophet Muhammed which was obviously something offensive to many Muslims. So that would be a natural target.

10:36:11

10:35:53

And then obviously the subjects involved in the attack, one of which was Elton Simpson, which had been known to the FBI as an individual who had been investigated for terrorism-related offenses in the past.

10:36:26

- Q. Based upon your work in the military and your work in the FBI, specifically in the Counter-Terrorism Division, did you have specific knowledge and training as it related to terrorism investigations?
- A. Yes.

10:36:43

- Q. And can you explain how you came about that information and what sort of special skills you had?
- A. Well, obviously there's -- all agents receive training at FBI academy at Quantico and with terrorism being the number one priority of the FBI, a large part of that training is focused on terrorism-type training. So that includes familiarization with the groups in the areas where a lot of these groups operate out of but also just the investigative techniques that are involved in these kinds of investigations which oftentimes are the same techniques we use in criminal investigations as

10:37:17

10:37:00

Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 30 of 92 347	
STEWART WHITSON - Direct	
well. But just the added knowledge of the groups involved such	10:37:20
as ISIS and other terrorist groups.	
Q. So let's turn back to the investigation itself. Out of	
the Phoenix Field Division roughly how many interviews were	
conducted in this terrorism investigation?	10:37:31
A. My best estimate would be somewhere between 300 and 400	
interviews were conducted related to this investigation.	
Q. And roughly how many search warrants were executed in the	
aftermath of the attack here in Phoenix?	
A. My best estimate would be well over 30 and probably a lot	10:37:52
more than 30 but at least 30 search warrants.	
Q. Additionally, were 2703(d) warrants sought and obtained?	
A. Yes.	
Q. So during this investigation, did you familiarize yourself	
with the associates of Nadir Soofi and Elton Simpson?	10:38:11
A. Yes.	
Q. And did you come to learn that there was a core group of	
individuals who hung out with Simpson and Soofi?	
A. Yes.	
Q. In terms of adults, like on a more regular daily basis,	10:38:28

Their closest most intimate group I guess you would

Mr. Wahid, and Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem. And there was another

United States District Court

10:38:48

describe them as would have been Elton Simpson, Nadir Soofi,

individual that was close to others was Saabir Nurse but he

who would that be?

investigation.

Q.

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- In fact, did he provide valuable information in the Q. investigation of Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem?
- Α. Yes. 24
 - Are you familiar with Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem being

United States District Court

10:40:11

STEWART WHITSON - Direct

10:40:12

10:40:24

10:41:00

10:41:13

10:41:33

1 indicted in this courthouse back in 2015?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. In CR -- case number CR 15-00707?
- 4 A. That sounds right.
- Q. Was that a case in front of Judge Bolton?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

20

21

22

- Q. And what was he charged with?
- A. On June 10 he was initially charged with illegal transportation of firearms and I believe under 18 USC 92 and then ultimately there was a superseding indictment where he was charged with additional charges including material support to terrorism under 18 USC 2339(b) as well as felon in possession of a firearm. And then I believe the two charges of 924 were
- of a firearm. And then I believe the two charges of 924 were
 already there and then also false statement under 1001.
- Q. The factual basis of that indictment, did it span from -well, tell us. What conduct did it come from?
- 17 A. The factual basis?
- Q. Yes. So what, in essence, was the indictment? What conduct did it relate to?
 - A. It related to the conduct of the group essentially conspiring together and planning to conduct attacks first in the Phoenix area and then later the Garland attack.
- 23 Q. And was that during the time period of 2014 and 2015?
- A. Yes. The current time would have been from June of 2014 through the attack that occurred on May 3, 2015.

Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 33 of 92 350	
STEWART WHITSON - Direct	
Q. As the lead case agent, did you sit through the trial in	10:41:35
that case?	
A. Yes, I did.	
Q. And are you familiar with one of the subjects at trial	
being Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem's conduct and activities that	10:41:41
occurred inside Soofi's 19th Avenue apartment?	
A. Yes.	
Q. As it is specifically related to actions inside the	
apartment, which witness testified specifically about that	
conduct?	10:41:58
A. Ali Soofi.	
Q. Was he the only witness that testified about Abdul Malik	
Abdul Kareem's conduct and actions inside Simpson's and Soofi's	
apartment on 19th Avenue?	
A. Yes.	10:42:12
Q. Are you familiar with a search warrant that was issued on	
that apartment, the 19th Avenue apartment, on the evening of	
May 3 of 2015 and into the early morning hours of May 4 of	
2015?	

10:42:50

10:42:58

Α. Yes.

> As part of the execution of that search warrant, did agents locate a small notebook?

A. Yes.

And inside that small notebook -- I'm placing on the overhead exhibit that was already admitted, Exhibit Number 45,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- the small blue notebook and you had mentioned you recognized
- discovered in Simpson's and Soofi's apartment.

10:44:09

10:44:29

- I'm placing on the overhead Exhibit Number 44 which is already admitted. Is that the notebook we're talking about?
- Yes, it is. Α.
- Do you recall where it was found? 23
- Yes. It was found in the common area, the living room, 24 next to the couch. There's an L-shaped couch that faced the 25

STEWART WHITSON - Direct

only TV in that room and it was found next to that couch on the 10:44:32 floor.

- Q. What value, if any, did that name have in the course of this investigation?
- It had a lot of value. Α.

10:44:40

How so? Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

That name was a name that was included on a kill list that was published by the Islamic State Hacking Division back on March 20 of 2015, also known as the ISHD. ISIS had essentially published a list of 100 U.S. service members along with their addresses and provided that list to U.S.-based supporters as essentially a target list for U.S.-based supporters who were unable to travel to the Islamic State or perform hijrah.

On that list of 100 was this -- this was the only name of a person who resided in Arizona that was on that list of 100, and it was Major Gena Fedoruk who resided at the address that you can see on that page in Phoenix, Arizona.

- That's exactly what I was going to ask. I'm going to place on the overhead already admitted Exhibit Number 21. you spoke about the Islamic State Hacking Division's list of 100, the kill list, is this what you were referring to?
- Α. Yes.
- Do you know approximately when this list was released? 23 Q.
 - It was on March 20 of 2015. Α.
 - Based upon your training and experience in the aftermath Q.

United States District Court

10:44:59

10:45:15

10:45:35

10:46:20

STEWART WHITSON - Direct

of a terrorist attack, what sort of material, as an FBI agent, are you looking to collect?

10:46:24

A. Well, you're looking for evidence, first of all, to see if there's a follow-on attack. So safety is first and foremost so you're worried about a follow-on attack, so you're looking for evidence to see if there is any such follow-on attack that is about to take place and you want to try to disrupt that.

10:46:39

The other thing you're looking to do is to understand why that attack took place and to gather evidence that might bring to justice those involved in the attack that had already occurred and so, obviously, a motive is part of that as well as physical evidence that might implicate people.

10:46:51

- Q. Is time of the essence in collecting that information?
- 14 A. Absolutely.
- 15 Q. How so?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:47:06

- A. Well, every bit of time that is allowed to pass could lead to the loss of evidence, evidence could be destroyed or simply misplaced or lost by individuals involved. People's memory fades over time. Your ability to go out and conduct interviews of individuals that might be yet unknown co-conspirators. With the passage of time they are able to get with each other and establish common stories and things like that. So time is definitely of the essence in this or any kind of investigation.
- Q. Are you looking for farewell messages?
- A. Absolutely.

10:47:46

Q. In what sense?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:47:48

10:48:01

A. Well, so it's common for individuals engaging in terrorist attacks where they give their lives or martyr themselves to leave farewell messages and things like that. And a lot of times those messages will explain that very thing we're looking for, their motive. And sometimes it can implicate others, whether they mean for that to happen or not. So, obviously, that's one thing we're searching for.

Q. Somewhat obvious question, but are those types of messages things that can be easily destroyed?

10:48:16

- 11 A. Absolutely.
 - Q. Are you looking for evidence of financial transfers?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. How so? I guess a better question, what sort of information would those provide you?

10:48:30

A. Obviously, in order to conduct an attack, it generally costs money so it costs money for weapons or ammunition or things like that. And so conducting a financial investigation allows to us determine where people got money from to use in support of the attack and what they spent that money on. It's just one of the many pieces that we can pull together to try to get a clear picture of what happened, who all was involved and kind of establish a timeline of the events leading up to the attack and after the attack but it's obviously a critical component.

10:49:04

10:48:47

Q. Throughout the course of this particular investigation, did you come to learn at some point that Elton Simpson had provided Abdul Khabir Wahid an envelope less than 48 hours before the attack?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:49:22

10:49:05

- Q. And did you also come to learn that Elton Simpson had provided a set of keys to Mr. Wahid less than 48 hours before the attack?
- A. Yes. A key.
- Q. How was it you came to learn that?

that that had indeed happened.

10:49:34

10:50:00

A. Well, the first time I think it became clear that an envelope and a key had been given to Mr. Wahid by Elton Simpson was when Mr. Wahid himself told us that on June 10 of 2015. So the same day that Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem was arrested Abdul Wahid was interviewed and he told them, after being interviewed earlier, he then told them that there was an envelope and he revealed that the envelope had a title in it. And then some months later we then interviewed -- once we heard that it had the title to his car, we interviewed Elton Simpson's father, Dunston Simpson and learned that he had received the title of Simpson's car from Saabir Nurse which is who the person that Wahid said he had given the envelope to with the title to. I

10:50:21

10:50:38

Q. So back on May 6 of 2015, would having known that Elton

guess at that moment on 11-30, then it kind of became clear

Simpson had given the defendant, Mr. Wahid, an envelope been of 10:50:44 consequence to you in your investigation?

10:50:55

10:51:12

10:51:46

10:51:59

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

Q. What would you have done?

A. We would have immediately sought to obtain that envelope for its evidentiary purposes. So quickly we would have determined where was it? Try to seek a search warrant using the evidence. So had he told us that, we would take that statement, include it in a warrant and ask permission of a judge to go search whatever we thought that might be with the aim of looking at the content and seeing if that would develop other leads, or clues if you will, that would lead us down other paths and in furtherance of the investigation.

- Q. Without having that information on May 6 of 2015, were you able to obtain a search warrant or attempt to obtain a search warrant of Nurse's house?
- A. We were not able to secure a warrant of Nurse's house.
- Q. Were you able to seek to obtain or -- let me put it a better way. Were you able to successfully obtain a
- 20 2703(d) order on Nurse?
 - A. We were not able to successfully obtain one.
- Q. Would that information have been placed inside a document in an attempt to get it?
- A. Yes. We would have used that information to try to seek a 2703(d) certainly against Nurse.

Q. If at that time there was a suspected financial transfer between Simpson's and Nurse, would that have been important to your investigation?

A. Yes.

Q. And what would you have done?

10:52:13

10:52:06

A. Well, we would have immediately focused in on Nurse's finances obviously to see if we could find evidence of that exchange. In our questioning of Nurse and other related individuals we would have asked pointed questions about that to get to the bottom of that, how much was the amount? When did the exchange take place? What was the purported reason for the exchange? Numerous investigative actions would have taken place. Obviously resources, massive resources that were allocated to many different subjects and target would have been shifted and focused toward Nurse immediately.

10:52:28

10:52:46

Q. So you say that resources would have been shifted and focused to Nurse at that point based upon this information, the knowledge that Simpson that given Wahid the envelope and keys with directions to give them to Nurse after the attack. Why is that? Why would your resources have been shifted to Nurse?

10:53:03

A. Because it was so important. The envelope, this was the last package or envelope that he had given to anyone. There's nowhere in our investigation had we found any evidence that he had given anything like this to anyone else other than Mr. Wahid.

10:53:23

15 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Actually, let's use the exhibit. The PDF is a little easier. It's Exhibit 49.

MR. KOEHLER: There's 48 and 49. You want 49.

10:54:23

10:54:44

BY MS. BROOK: 22

> We're looking a the 48 and then going to 49. Do you recognize this?

Α. Yes.

Ο.	7 n d	what	ia	i+⊃
· 0.	Allu	wnat	$\pm s$	エしょ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:54:45

10:54:56

A. This is the indented letter. This is one of the copies.

And, ma'am, could I ask you, could you go back to 48 real

quick?

Yes. So this is the same letter. It's two different copies. What Mr. Frazier had provided is one where the writing is in black with a background white and another, which is the other exhibit, the writing is in white but the background black, with the idea being by comparing the two, you can get a better idea of what the message is. But it's essentially the same letter in two different formats.

10:55:17

Q. You said be able to look at it and get an idea of what the message is. Through the course of your investigation, did you do just that?

A. Yes.

10:55:28

- Q. And when was it that you obtained this information back from the FBI forensic examination lab?
 - A. So I received this on or about October 20 of 2015.
 - Q. What were you able to do with this document?
 - A. Well, so the first step was to look at it and essentially come up with my assessment of what's written there and then once I had that information, to then launch into a myriad of investigative leads based off of it.
 - Q. Were you able to do just that?
- A. Yes.

10:56:01

10:55:47

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Allah. There was a change in plans indeed. Something dreadful came up. The money that I had from you was being used for what was needed for the initial plan but that changed. This money is what was left over. And then that word I can't tell so I've written "unintelligible."

I will leave you with the title of my car to do as you please with it. I believe Abdul Malik knows how to get notarized. I was also going to give you my tax return but it won't be here in time. Please forgive me if you do not get all the money back.

10:57:34

Always fear Allah and keep me in your du'a insha

Allah. You have benefited me greatly. Allah grant you Jannah.

Forgive me for my shortings and may Allah unite us in Jannah.

Signed Ibrahim.

Q. Based upon the results of your investigation, were you able to determine who this letter was intended for?

A. We were able to assess who the letter was intended for but not ultimately to determine with certainty.

- Q. And that's a good word choice. Were you able to assess who you suspect this letter was intended for?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q. And who was that?
- 13 A. Saabir Nurse.
- Q. And I just want to go back for a second to the letter

 itself. So how was it you were able to actually read it and

 pull words from the page off of it?
 - A. So, again, essentially had to look at two separate copies that are in the different formats. You piece together part of a word from one and then you could flip over to the other to get the rest of the word and so I did that exercise. I had an analyst also do that exercise with me and we arrived at the same language and then we -- where we found parts were where we simply could not determine what was there I wrote "unintelligible" or with the acronym UI inside my 302.
 - Q. And approximately when, timewise, was it that you were

United States District Court

10:57:35

10:57:54

10:58:13

10:58:25

10:58:44

10:59:06

able to do exactly that process with this indented letter?

A. I serialized this 302 the day after I received this and so I don't recall the precise time but it would have been in less than 24 hours from receiving the CD from Antoine Frazier, the 302 was serialized into FBI database.

10:59:29

10:59:08

Q. We've talked a fair bit about the letter itself and the envelope. Was there investigative value that would have come from knowing that Simpson provided a key to Wahid to give to Nurse on May 6 of 2015?

10 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:59:52

11:00:01

11:00:17

- Q. And what value would that have been?
- 12 A. For the key?
 - Q. For your investigation.
 - A. For our investigation, obviously, the first question would be, what is that key to? Sometimes in terrorism investigations it's a key to a storage facility or something like that that leads to other evidence.

Again, backing up, we're worried about follow-on attacks. So, obviously, knowing there's a key, we would be searching what is it a key to? If it's a key to a vehicle, that's important, too, because we know that a lot of times people will mask the transfer of funds between folks by using valuable commodities to trade. And vehicles are a perfect one for them to do that with and so they will provide a vehicle to someone else as a way that they think is masking a contribution

to someone else, so that would be important.

11:00:40

But, again, all that financial analysis we talked about before, all of that would be taking place right away related to this to try to get to the bottom of it.

11:01:12

Q. I think you answered this but in this investigation in May and during the month of May, were you able to do any of that follow-up that you said would have been necessary and you would have wanted to do as it relates to Simpson's vehicle?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Were you ever able to find out how much money accompanied 11:01:54

11 | that letter?

12 A. I'm sorry. Could you say that again?

did that indented letter come to be?

Q. Yes. Were you ever able to ascertain how much money accompanied that letter?

15 A. No.

11:02:06

11:02:33

- Q. And a more obvious question, were you ever able to actually find the letter?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. When we talk about the indented letter, we spoke yesterday about the indented letter process, based on your knowledge, how 11:02:15

A. So essentially that little blue notebook we spoke about earlier, next to that a large spiral notebook was discovered. That spiral notebook had a blank page in it. We sent that entire spiral notebook to the FBI lab for processing. And in

one of the processes they did to that was called an ElectroStatic Detection Apparatus Test upon the pages are just white. And in conducting that test, they were able to see indents that are left on the page from a page that was on top of that page as the author wrote their letter.

11:02:52

11:02:39

So, essentially, someone wrote that letter presumably in that notebook, ripped the page out leaving the indent behind on the notebook which we were able to capture at the FBI lab.

Q. Changing subjects, have you had the opportunity to review and to read Government's Exhibit 109 through 117?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

25

- Q. And what do they represent?
 - A. If I'm remembering which part, it's the trial testimony of Mr. Wahid in the previous trial about Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem.
 - Q. So we had spoken a moment ago about the trial in CR 15-00707. Being the case agent, you obviously were sitting at counsel table during the presentation of evidence in that case.

Did Mr. Wahid testify?

- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you see Abdul Khabir Wahid here with us in the courtroom?

22 A. Yes.

- Q. Can you point to him and identify something that he's wearing?
 - A. Yes. He's the gentleman seated at the table to my right.

United States District Court

11:03:13

11:03:42

11:04:00

11:04:08

That testimony occurred on March 8 of 2016?

United States District Court

11:05:02

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

BY MS. BROOK:

Yes.

Soofi that evening of May 1?

I can't remember. I think I may have. I think I may have, yeah.

11:06:42

11:06:53

Did they stop by your house? Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- They stopped by my house. Α. Yeah.
- And what if anything, did they give you? Q.
- Α. Okay. Nadir came to me. I was kind of surprised because

I think it was more like kids answered the door and they came to me and they said Ibrahim and Nadir is here. And I was like Nadir? Because Nadir never comes to my house. So I thought it was kind of strange that he came with him.

Q. What time was it approximately, if you remember?

11:07:15

11:06:57

- A. I want to say probably about maybe eight or 9 o'clock he came to my house.
- Q. Okay.

tonight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. He came to my house. Nadir gave me a bowl of soup.

He said: Are you hungry?

11:07:30

11:07:46

And I said: Yeah, why?

He said: I got a bowl of soup here for you fresh off the stove.

He had just made it.

And I said: Okay, thanks. I don't have to cook

So I laughed and I took the bowl from him and Ibrahim turned around said: I need you to give this to Saabir for me.

And at the time, I didn't know what it was, but he gave me an envelope and then he gave me a key. And I didn't look at the key, because if I had looked at the key, I would have realized that it was actually his car key. But I didn't pay nothing. I just grabbed it and said okay.

He said: Give it to him by Wednesday.

And I said: Okay. Fine, and that was that.

United States District Court

11:07:59

11:08:18

He then he said to me about my daughter needing, I believe, a female role model in my house, you know, as far as a Muslim is concerned. And he said my son is a good Muslim. And he stood there for like -- after there was like silence. Him and Nadir just stood there like for five minutes, like -- it was almost like they wanted to say something to me but they didn't say nothing. And they turned around and they left and that was the last time I ever saw them.

MS. BROOK: Turning now to Exhibit 114, if we can place that on the overhead. And it's 114.

MR. KOEHLER: That's 114.

MS. BROOK: Hang on one second. Go to 113 then.

They are all admitted, Your Honor, but for some reason --

THE COURT: 113 and 114 are reversed.

15 MS. BROOK: Yes. So this is going to be 113.

BY MS. BROOK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

25

- Q. Do you have that?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Let's do the same presentation where I read the question.

Okay. At some point you now have this envelope and a key that Elton Simpson has given you the last you've seen him?

- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. All right. Who do you give that envelope to?
- 24 A. I gave it to Saabir.
 - Q. Saabir who?

United States District Court

11:08:22

11:08:40

11:09:02

11:09:51

11:10:01

11:10:15

	STEWART WHITSON - Direct	
1	A. Saabir Nurse.	11:10:16
2	Q. And how do you know Saabir Nurse?	
3	A. From the masjid. You know I've known him for a few years.	
4	Q. Do you know whether or not he was friends with Elton	
5	Simpson?	11:10:30
6	A. He was friends with him.	
7	Q. Okay. How do you know that?	
8	A. I don't know. Maybe because we all had sat down and ate	
9	before and laughed and talked and, you know, they used to work	
10	together at the same job.	11:10:41
11	Q. Where do you understand that Elton Simpson and Saabir	
12	Nurse worked together?	
13	A. What do you call a dental practice.	
14	Q. What did you do with the car key or the key that you	
15	had?	11:10:54
16	A. I gave it to Saabir like he asked me to.	
17	Q. Did you ever learn what was in the envelope that you gave	
18	to Saabir Nurse?	
19	A. Saabir called me on the phone and he told me: You know	
20	what was in that envelope?	11:11:07
21	I said: What?	
22	He said, you know: It was his title to his car.	
23	Q. I want to turn next to what we hope is 115. Starting on	
24	the bottom of that page:	
25	Question: On that Friday, so the Friday before the	11:11:33
		•

Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 53 of 92 STEWART WHITSON - Direct attack, Ibrahim texted you two times that day, correct? 11:11:38 I believe so. Α. And you, after Ibrahim was killed, deleted those text Q. messages, correct? Right. Α. 11:11:53 In fact, you went through and deleted your entire contact history with Ibrahim after you found out he was killed, correct? Α. Right. Right. Okay. Do you want to read that answer again, just so it's 11:12:02 clear? Right. Right. Α. Question: Okay. So you would agree with me that it was Ο. on May 6th -- I'm sorry -- yes, on May 6th of 2015 that you sat down and talked to the FBI for the first time? 11:12:18 Yeah. And on that day you told the FBI that immediately after

16 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

you learned Ibrahim was killed, you deleted your entire contact history and all of your text messages with him, correct?

Uh-huh, yes. Α.

Back in May, so on May 3rd of 2015, was your phone number,

11:12:31

11:13:06

in fact, 480-432-1637? 22

> Yeah. Α.

Turning now to 116. Question: You had told Malik that you would call Ali Soofi and tell him not to talk to the FBI,

correct? 1 11:13:10 I may have. I don't know. I don't remember. 2 3 Q. It sounds like something you would have said to Malik? Probably. 4 Α. 5 And in fact, you did call and tell Ali Soofi to not talk 11:13:19 to the FBI? 6 7 Α. Right. And as you testified here earlier on direct, you knew that 8 Q. 9 Ali Soofi himself had nothing to hide from the FBI, correct? Yeah. 10 Α. 11:13:31 11 But yet you still called and told him not to talk to the FBI, correct? Just "yes" or" no." 12 13 I can't answer that "yes" or "no". Α. You did, in fact, though, call him to tell him not to talk 14 15 to the FBI? 11:13:53 16 Α. I guess I'd say no. 17 Say that again? Q. 18 I said I'd say no. I did tell him don't talk to the FBI. 19 Okay. Because you did tell Ali Soofi not to talk to the Q. 20 FBI? 11:14:06 Right. But not because he didn't have anything to hide, 21 Α. because he didn't have anything to hide. 22 Just answer the question. You called and told him not to 23 Ο. talk to the FBI? 24 25 Α. Right. Yeah. 11:14:22

And where were you when he showed you the video of the man

United States District Court

11:15:40

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Α.

His phone.

In my house.

being beheaded on his phone?

And was Nurse there?

1	A. No.	11:15:41
2	Q. Was Malik there?	
3	A. Nope.	
4	Q. You mentioned a third video as well that Ibrahim showed	
5	you. What did he show you on that video what did he show	11:15:45
6	you that video on?	
7	A. That video was the one where the guy was being thrown from	
8	a building.	

11:15:56

11:16:04

- Q. What did he show you that on?
- 10 A. Oh. On his phone.
- 11 Q. His phone as well?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.

9

- Q. And, again, where were you when he showed that you video
- on his phone?
- 15 A. In my house.
- 16 Q. Who was there?
- 17 A. My kids were there, but they weren't in the room with us.
- 18 Q. Anybody else?
- 19 A. Nope.
- Q. Did he show you these three videos all on the same day or 11:16:12
- 21 different days?
- 22 A. Different days.
- 23 Q. You testified earlier that in the months before the attack
- 24 Ibrahim had told you about his plan to attack a U.S. Marine
- 25 base? 11:16:30

1	Α.	Uh-huh.	11:16:3
2	Q.	Is that a "yes"?	
3	Α.	Yes. Sorry.	
4	Q.	Malik also told you about Simpson's plan to attack a	
5	Mari	ne base?	11:16:3
6	Α.	I think so. I'm not sure. I think he did.	
7	Q.	Yes?	
8	Α.	Yes.	
9	Q.	And, in fact, Malik told you that Simpson and Soofi	
10	toge	ther were going to attack a Marine base; is that true?	11:16:4
11	Α.	I believe so, yeah.	
12	Q.	And Malik went on to tell you that Simpson and Soofi must	
13	want	to die.	
14	Α.	I'm not sure. I think so, but I'm not sure.	
15	Q.	Does it sound like what you recall him saying?	11:16:5
16	Α.	I think so.	
17	Q.	And in that conversation you didn't tell him that you had	
18	hear	d from Ibrahim about that plan too?	
19	Α.	Say that again?	
20	Q.	You didn't tell Malik that you had heard about that plan	11:17:15
21	from	Ibrahim?	
22	Α.	I think I may have mentioned it to him.	
23	Q.	Now, you didn't tell Malik about what Ibrahim told you	

A. As I said, I think we discussed it. I'm almost sure we

about the plan to attack the U.S. Marine base?

	Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 58 of 92 375	
	STEWART WHITSON - Direct	
1	may have talked about it, yeah.	11:17:34
2	Q. Malik told you that Ibrahim and Nadir had this plan before	
3	the Garland attack, correct?	
4	A. I believe so.	
5	Q. Is that a "yes"?	11:17:45
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. On March 15 of 2017, did you interview the defendant?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. During that interview was it recorded?	
10	A. Yes.	11:18:10
11	Q. During that interview, did the defendant admit to you that	
12	he watched ISIS type videos at Simpson and Soofi's house one	
13	time?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Did he also say that Ali was also present in the	11:18:21
16	residence?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	MS. BROOK: May I have one moment, Your Honor?	
19	THE COURT: Yes.	
20	BY MS. BROOK:	11:19:23
21	Q. Are you familiar, based upon your role in this	
22	investigation as well as the investigation against Abdul Malik	
23	Abdul Kareem, with the recorded calls that Ali Soofi made to	

11:19:39

United States District Court

the defendant?

A. Yes.

	Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 59 of 92 376 STEWART WHITSON - Direct	
1	O Are you in fact familiar with one record call on Tune 6	11,10,20
2	Q. Are you, in fact, familiar with one record call on June 6 of 2015?	11:19:39
3	A. Yes.	
4	MS. BROOK: And, Your Honor, I'm going to read from a	
5	clip.	11:19:49
6	Your Honor, may we play once again a short portion of	
7	the clip of already admitted Exhibit 118?	
8	THE COURT: It's in evidence. You may.	
9	(Exhibit 118 played.)	
10	BY MS. BROOK:	11:21:41
11	Q. Who do you interpret Hassan to be?	11.61.41
12	A. A close friend of Simpson and Saabir Nurse's known as	
13	Abujihaad to the group whose last name was Hassan.	
14	Q. Who is Abujihaad?	
15	A. Abujihaad was an individual who was a former member of the	11-21-54
16	U.S. Navy who, back in or about 2008, was indicted on espionage	11.21.54
17	and terrorism related charges based upon the allegation that he	
18	had provided information to al-Qaeda and he was sent to prison	
19	and then subsequently maintained contact through writings,	
20	letters, to Saabir Nurse and Simpson and then would	11:22:21
21	occasionally talk to them on the phone.	11,62,61
22	Q. So based upon your investigation, at some point Hassan	
23	lived here in Phoenix?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And based upon your investigation, Hassan had ties to	11:22:32
2.5	2. Ima sasca apon jour investigación, nassan naa etes co	11.22.32

Simpson and the defendant? 1 11:22:35 2 Α. Yes. 3 MS. BROOK: I don't have any other questions. THE COURT: All right. 4 5 Mr. Wahid, do you have any cross-examination? 11:22:49 MR. WAHID: No. 6 7 THE COURT: You do not? All right. Then, Agent, you may step down. You are excused. 8 9 Thank you, sir. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 11:23:01 11 (Witness excused.) THE COURT: Does the Government have any further 12 13 witnesses? MR. KOEHLER: It does not, Your Honor. If we may 14 15 have a moment to confer with the clerk that our exhibits that 11:23:09 16 we intend to admit are in. 17 THE COURT: You may. 18 MR. MCBEE: Your Honor, may we go to the restroom? THE COURT: As soon as the Government is finished 19 20 conferring with the clerk, I'm going to call the morning break. 11:24:01 MR. KOEHLER: Your Honor, the Government rests. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Koehler. 22 THE WITNESS: Mr. Wahid, I know that you intend, or 23 at least the last time we spoke, you intended to testify. 24 25 going to go ahead and call the morning break before we do that. 11:25:24 United States District Court

1	We'll come back and you may do that and/or call any other	11:25:27
2	witnesses that you plan on calling.	
3	So let's take a 15-minute break. We'll come back at	
4	11:40 and resume with trial. Thank you.	
5	MR. WAHID: I hope you feel better.	11:25:40
6	THE COURT: Thank you.	
7	(Recess at 11:25; resumed at 11:41.)	
8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everyone. Please	
9	be seated.	
10	The Government having rested, we are ready to hear	11:42:17
11	from the defense now.	
12	Mr. Wahid, you can call your first witness.	
13	MR. WAHID: Say it again?	
14	THE COURT: You can call your first witness. And if	
15	that's you, that's fine.	11:42:27
16	MR. WAHID: Sorry.	
17	THE COURT: That's fine.	
18	Mr. Wahid, if you would please step forward, the	
19	courtroom deputy will swear you in.	
20	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your name for the	11:44:41
21	record and spell your first and last name for me.	
22	THE WITNESS: Spell my first and last name?	
23	My name is Abdul Khabir Wahid. I spell my name	
24	A-B-D-U-L, K-H-A-B-I-R, W-A-H-I-D.	
25	(ABDUL KHABIR WAHID, a witness herein, was duly sworn	11:45:01
	United States District Court	

or affirmed.)

11:45:01

11:45:26

THE WITNESS: No, I affirm.

THE COURT: All right. Please step into the witness box.

All right. Sir, you may begin whenever you're ready.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: First I want -- first I want to address the issue of tampering with a witness. First and foremost and most important, I want to say I believe a lot of what was transcribed out of the recordings between I speaking to Ali Soofi were taken completely out of context. And, therefore, deliberately made me look suspect. That's why I decided to take the stand, because the prosecution can only assume and speculate what I was trying to say to Ali Soofi. And the truth is, only I would know what I was trying to say since I was the one that spoke the words.

First I need to clarify something and get this out of the way. In the first recordings with Ali Soofi, I did state that if -- when asked, he should tell the authorities that he should say either there was no guns or he didn't see any guns or videos, in my defense, I will say this. I will say this: I mentioned that statement was made initially in our very first conversation because I had only been in Ali Soofi's apartment no more than two times. And, therefore, naturally I forgot that Ali Soofi may have been in Nadir's apartment when Nadir

United States District Court

11:45:46

11:46:08

11:46:36

11:47:09

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

showed me a gun and Ibrahim started to play a video. It wasn't until Ali Soofi jarred my memory in the third recording that I realized that Nadir showed me a gun. Therefore, it was important to understand I was not trying to mislead Ali Soofi by mentioning that he told the authorities that he didn't see any guns or videos. I just simply forgot.

11:47:28

11:47:13

Please also remember I was only at Nadir's apartment twice and each time not very long. The first time for no more than about -- the first time for no more than about an hour and the second time I was there no more than a half-hour. After

11:47:46

that I never returned.

Now that I've gotten through -- now that I have gotten through part of the initial conversation, I will go on and explain my language as to what I meant when I was talking to Ali Soofi. Ali Soofi called me up one day in about midafternoon sounding frantic and said that the FBI wanted him to come in and testify and he sounded worried. Naturally, my first response was to try and help him and offer assistance. Delieved in my mind I was giving Ali Soofi advice on how to protect himself from FBI harassment. I also believe erroneously that Ali Soofi not talk to the FBI would be exercising his Fifth Amendment that is preserved by the U.S. Constitution.

11:48:00

11:48:22

11:48:40

I also had no problem with Ali Soofi talking to the FBI. In fact, I even stated in the recorded conversation that

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

I couldn't stop him from talking to the FBI and using -- I couldn't stop -- oh. I screwed up.

11:48:44

Basically, I couldn't stop him and this does not imply that I was trying to stop him from talking to the FBI. It meant the choice is yours and it is strictly up to you what you decide to do. The only thing I stated -- the only thing I stated and was concerned about, and I constantly repeated this to Ali Soofi, that should he decide to talk to the FBI, to pay attention to what he was saying to the FBI and to make sure by him involving someone by name that he does not get someone

11:49:09

11:49:33

hurt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I will explain momentarily what I meant by "getting someone hurt." Most of my conversations with Ali Soofi were spent with my constantly and repetitively telling Ali Soofi, "Don't mention other names. Don't mention other people's names, " meaning just generally mention anybody's name, that he might get that person hurt, meaning by the FBI. I also stated to Ali Soofi that if he was going to mention people's names, then make sure you're being truthful, meaning if are you going to mention a person's name, then make sure are you going to tell the truth about that person when you mention their name.

11:49:48

11:50:08

I didn't mean what was I implying that by mentioning people's names, that I was trying to hide something about that person or conceal something.

11:50:21

What I meant by mentioning people's names is that it

United States District Court

24 25

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

is best for him to be silent and not mention anyone's names because by Ali mentioning names, he could be implicating totally innocent person who has nothing to do with the situation at all. That's what I meant by "getting the person hurt." By implicating somebody by name who may be completely innocent, he has now subjected that person to the scrutiny of the FBI and gives the FBI the automatic right to treat this person as suspect and now this person is being harassed by an FBI investigation.

This is exactly how I was implicated in the FBI investigation, because little did I know Ali Soofi had mentioned my name to the FBI. And, therefore, I became a part of the investigation. And here I sit in the courtroom today. I am a prime example of what I mean by mentioning names in general and getting them hurt. Let me repeat that. I'm a prime example of what I meant by mentioning people's names in general and getting them hurt. I am hurt by the fact that I was completely innocent, yet my name was given to the FBI and I was treated suspect because over two years ago my home was invaded by FBI agents with a search warrant, all because simply because someone decided to give the FBI my name.

And also if Ali Soofi had not given the FBI an innocent man's name, I most likely would not be sitting in this courtroom fighting for my freedom.

Also, I was not trying to influence Ali Soofi to not
United States District Court

11:50:25

11:50:40

11:50:57

11:51:12

11:51:30

11:51:45

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

say anything at all, nor was I trying to get him to testify in a certain way. That was never my intention. All I was trying to tell Ali Soofi, that if he was going to talk you, then be truthful and leave innocent people's names out of the conversation with the FBI. As I stated before, I had no idea Ali Soofi had implicated me by name in the FBI investigation until about a year later when I went to get a copy of the search warrant and read it. That's when I realized he had implicated me.

More important, I put the finishing pieces to the puzzle together when I was called as a witness for the defense by attorney Daniel Maynard in the Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem case is when I learned from Mr. Maynard, who played these audio CDs of recorded conversations of Ali Soofi and myself, is when I learned that Ali Soofi had been working with the FBI all along and had been recording all of my conversations. It was also said that I had been calling Ali Soofi on his cell phone and harassing him as to why he told the FBI about our wrongdoings. Wrongdoings may not assume conspiracy with Abdul Malik and Nadir Soofi and Elton Simpson.

However, that is the furthest thing from the truth, an absolute untruth. I never once talked to Ali Soofi by telephone since August of 2015.

After I found out that he had been recording me, which was a year later, I did not talk to Ali Soofi by

United States District Court

11:51:55

11:52:09

11:52:25

11:52:43

11:53:03

11:53:19

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

telephone. For one, I had lost his number so I reached out to him on Facebook. I messaged him several times and I wanted to know from him why did he do what he did by getting me involved in something I clearly had nothing to do with? And that I felt I deserved an apology from him. He saw my messages but he never responded. He even had his mother at one point talk to me. The last time I actually talked with Ali Soofi on Facebook, I said something to him about him being cowardly because he couldn't man up and stand up and apologize to me. Those words actually made him angry because then he finally messaged me and basically told me to shut up, that he knew jujitsu. And if I didn't shut my mouth, he would personally come to Phoenix and do bodily harm, and then he blocked me from sending him any more messages.

Also in my defense, there was no information whatsoever in our phone conversation that I intended to hinder, delay, or prevent communication to a law enforcement officer, nor is there a possible commission of any federal offense, nor was there any indication in our conversation that demonstrated that a crime was going to take place.

Like I said, before, what I stated to Ali Soofi was taken out of context by the prosecution which -- let me repeat that. Like I said before I stated to Ali Soofi -- like I said before, what I stated to Ali Soofi was taken out of context by the prosecution, which made what I said to appear suspect.

United States District Court

11:53:21

11:53:36

11:53:54

11:54:11

11:54:27

11:54:48

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

I never intended to hinder, delay, or prevent Ali Soofi from saying anything. What I actually was trying to convey -- what I actually was conveying to him was, it's better for you not to say anything if you should say something about anyone who was truly innocent. And if you do say something about anyone, just make sure that person really isn't -- let me slow down. I'm going to repeat that.

Ali Soofi from saying anything that was actually conveyed to him was, it's better for you not to say anything. If you should say something about anyone who is truly innocent and if you do say something about anyone, just make sure that person really isn't innocent.

And I did -- I didn't try to corrupt Ali Soofi. Like I just stated previously, I was conveying to him it's better for you not to say anything. If you should say something about someone who was truly innocent, and if you do say something about something -- about anyone, just make sure that person is innocent -- isn't innocent.

Moving along with the false statement, it is true that I did omit that what I was given by Elton Simpson, an envelope and a key. However, the material fact of the admission that made the statement false was corrected by the defendant before the false statement charge was even filed. Even Kim Jensen testified and admitted the fact that I corrected the statement. Doesn't matter whether he asked me

United States District Court

11:54:52

11:55:08

11:55:23

11:55:41

11:55:55

11:56:13

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

two or three times. The fact still remains it was corrected.

Also in my defense, was never my intention to mislead investigation as far as the false statement is concerned. As you heard in the exhibit recorded clip, I real didn't give it much thought about importance considering it was just an envelope and a key. And when I found out what was in the envelope, which was Elton Simpson's certificate of title to his vehicle and the key was -- and the key was to his vehicle, I still did not understand how a certificate of title to someone's vehicle shed a lot of light on investigation as well as a key to the vehicle.

However, when the FBI agent asked me if Elton Simpson gave me anything, I was hesitant at first but then I did volunteer and shared information about the envelope and the key. I shared this information with the FBI agent because I assumed that Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem had already mentioned it to the agent.

So when he asked me I told him because I felt that if I did not tell them then I stood chance of being brought up on lying to the FBI.

And my reasons for not volunteering this information when I first decide to do talk to him was because I didn't want to dash let me start all over.

In my reasons for not volunteering this information, when I first decided to talk to him was because I didn't want

United States District Court

11:56:15

11:56:30

11:56:45

11:57:00

11:57:18

11:57:31

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Direct

11:57:35

11:57:52

11:58:14

11:58:31

11:58:42

11:58:53

the FBI to harass Saabir like they were harassing me. I didn't feel that he needed to be aggravated by the FBI. That's all.

There was no secret scandal or conspiracy or unfinished terror plot as the prosecution would like you to believe, Your Honor.

the key -- sorry. If that were the case, how come since I handed over the key -- sorry. If that were the case, how come since I handed over the vehicle key and the title to the car to Saabir Nurse no terror attacks have taken place? Also, as Kim Jensen testified, that since the envelope and the key were turned over to Saabir Nurse, no one was injured or killed. It has been almost four years since I gave Saabir Nurse the key to Elton Simpson's vehicle and the title to his vehicle. I believe naturally, as a result of me giving the key and the car and title to Saabir Nurse, if something was going to happen, such as more attacks, don't you think it would have happened by now, Your Honor?

With that, Your Honor, I have finished testifying. I'm done.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

Ms. Brook, do you have any cross?

Mr. Wahid, she -- Ms. Brook gets the opportunity to ask you a question.

MS. BROOK: Thank you, Your Honor. May I have just a moment to grab a couple of things?

THE COURT: You may.

ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Cross

1 CROSS - EXAMINATION 11:58:53 BY MS. BROOK: 2 3 Good afternoon. Q. Hello. 4 Α. 5 Back in May of 2015 at the time of the attack, you did not Q. 11:59:58 6 own a car; correct? 7 Α. No, I don't think so. You traveled around by bus? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. Sometimes your friends would pick you up and take you to 10 Q. 12:00:15 11 locations if you needed to get there? Sometimes. 12 Α. 13 The night that Ibrahim was killed, your friend Malik Ο. picked you up that night; right? 14 15 I can't say if he really picked me up the night that 12:00:34 16 Ibrahim was killed because I wasn't sure whether Ibrahim was 17 dead or not. 18 So that night of the attack on May 3 when you suspected 19 that something had happened to Ibrahim, you met up with Malik; right? 20 12:00:50 Well, he met up with me. He came to my house. 21 Α. So Malik came to your house. Did you call him or did he 22 Q. call you? 23 No. He didn't call me. He just showed up because my 24 Α. 25 daughter, she answered the door. 12:01:00

12:01:26

12:01:41

12:01:46

12:01:57

Α.

Q. The three of you drove over to Ibrahim's house on 19th

Avenue, that apartment?

Α. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

21

22

24

25

And as you looked around, you saw that the FBI were 10 11 swarming around that apartment.

I didn't see the FBI swarming. I just saw police cars 12 Α. 13 like Christmas lighting up around the apartment.

So like Christmas. There were a lot of police cars and 14

15 lights that you could see?

16 Α. Right.

Is that correct?

Α. Yes.

And you looked up and you saw over the wall that they were 19 Q.

20 there; correct?

> I didn't look over the wall. Α.

Did you learn that they were there just by looking? Q.

could see the lights? 23

> I could see that there was police presence there but I didn't know the FBI were there.

placing on the overhead what has been marked as Government's Exhibit 52.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- You recognize this, don't you, sir? Q.
- 20 I'm not sure. What is it?

Take a look. You notice that it's Simpson's writing.

I notice it's Simpson's writing because you told me it was his writing.

12:03:12

12:03:26

Okay. Do you remember talking to the FBI before telling 24 25 them that you noticed this was Simpson's writing?

1 A. I'm not sure. 12:03:35

12:03:54

12:04:06

12:04:22

12:04:38

- Q. In fact, as you look at this, you recognize it as something that was found in your home; right?
 - A. Oh, you're referring to I guess this page that Elton had gave to my son?
 - Q. So, yes, this was found in your home?
- 7 A. Yes. Yes. Yes.

4

5

6

16

21

22

23

- Q. And in fact, you know that on May 1, that Friday of 2015,

 Elton Simpson had come to your house before he met with you at
- 9 o'clock that night. He had come earlier that day; right?
- 11 A. No. He did not. That is totally incorrect.
- Q. This list was given to your son Waseem by Ibrahim;
 correct? Just "yes" or "no"?
- A. Wait a minute. No. That's not -- that's an incorrect question. What he did was, he came by my house when I wasn't
- Q. That's what I'm saying. He came by --

there. And you know that already.

- A. Well, why would you say that I knew this when you know he was already there before I got there, because I was angry about that.
 - Q. You were angry about that. You were angry about that because you didn't like the idea of Simpson talking to your son Waseem without you there?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And that frustrated you because you knew that Simpson was 12:04:46

1 obsessed with violent jihad?

12:04:51

A. Exactly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

22

- Q. You didn't want Simpson putting ideas into your son's head about violent ISIS attacks?
- A. This is true.

12:05:00

- Q. And you knew that because Simpson, just months before, had asked you to partake in an ISIS attack with him; right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. He had asked you to, with guns, go in and attack a military base; correct?

12:05:14

- 11 A. Right.
- Q. And you would agree with me, as we look at this
- 13 Exhibit 52, which the Government would move to admit.
 - MS. BROOK: I guess procedurally the Government moves to admit Exhibit 52.

12:05:44

12:05:58

- THE COURT: Let's hold that off until the witness is done testifying and then when he resumes his role as his own counsel, I'll ask him if he has any objection.
- 19 BY MS. BROOK:
- Q. You would agree with me that this list lists seven of the world's worst terrorists?
 - A. First of all --
- 23 Q. Just "yes" or "no".
- A. No, I can't agree with you because I don't know who these people are.

12:06:11

Q. You don't know who Anwar al-Awlaki is.

A. No. I don't. I know the first one? The name you mentioned, where is he? Where is he? Okay, Anwar al-Awlaki, I heard of him. The rest of these people, I don't know who they

12:06:26

12:06:12

- Q. Simpson was a very close friend of yours; right?
- 7 A. True.

are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

20

21

22

25

- Q. You and he would spend nearly every other day spending some portion of time together; right?
- 10 A. Not every other day. Just a few times a week he would
 11 come over. He didn't come over every single day.
 - Q. He would come over at least a few times a week to your
- 13 home; right?
- A. Sporadically. I would say, like, maybe two, three times a week, no more. Maybe every two weeks or something like that.
- He didn't come over every day. He didn't come over every other day of the week.
- Q. So fair to say, on average, a few times a week he would come over to your house; correct?
 - A. I just told you that he would only come over a few times
 every two weeks meaning like that. Not every day every week or
 anything like that.
- 23 Q. You all would also go out to eat often; correct?
- 24 A. True.
 - Q. You would go to an Afghani restaurant called Khyber Halal? 12

United States District Court

12:06:42

12:07:00

12:07:18

- A. I don't know the name of the restaurant but it was an Afghani restaurant. That's all I know.
- 3 Q. And you would eat together?
- 4 A. M'hum.

1

2

6

7

12

18

19

20

21

25

- 5 Q. You would get coffee together?
 - A. Sometimes.
 - Q. You would go to the mosque together?

know where he was going to mosque at.

- A. No. We used to go to the mosque together many, many years ago. By the time I would say -- I don't know. There was a mosque that we used to go to on 27th Avenue and Orangewood. We stopped going there years ago and we split our ways. I don't
- Q. So other than your family, who was a closer friend to you than Simpson or was he probably the closest?
- A. I can't say he's the closest, because I have a lot of friends. But I'm a very private person so a lot of people don't come to my house.

So I want to say that -- I can't really say that -- he's close but he maybe probably the only person that visited my the most, put it that way.

- Q. And Ibrahim trusted you; right?
- 22 A. I assume he did.
- Q. He trusted you enough to ask you to attack that military base with him; right?
 - A. I can't say "yes" to that.

United States District Court

12:07:47

12:07:41

12:08:00

12:08:31

12:08:52

12:09:15

15 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

25

- Q.
- 17 Α.
- 18 19 recordings?
- 20 Α. Yes.

12:10:21

12:10:51

- And it's your testimony that if Ali Soofi hadn't told the 21
- FBI about you, that the FBI would not have had you under 22
- investigation; right? 23
- Α. True. 24
 - The last time you saw Ibrahim and Nadir was outside your

house at 9 o'clock at night on Friday, May 1; correct? 1

- Incorrect because I wasn't sure whether it was 8 o'clock 2
- 3 or 9 o'clock. It was at night and I was in the house and they
- knocked on my door. I was tired. 4
- 5 So fair enough. Q.

12:11:09

- 6 Α. Yeah.
- 7 Q. So at some point between 8 or 9 o'clock that evening was
- the last time that you saw the two of them? 8
- 9 Α. Correct.
- And as you stood with them outside your porch or on your 10
- 11 porch, you saw Nadir's black car outside your house; right?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 You knew that the two of them had driven over to your home Ο.
- to talk to you; correct? 14
- 15 I knew that they had driven to my house. I didn't know
- 16 that they were coming to talk to me.
- 17 You lived back on that day in the 3400 block of Port au Q.
- Prince; right? 18
- 19 Correct. Α.
- And that is near Greenway and 35th Avenue; right? 20 Q.
- Correct. 21 Α.
- Do you know where Nurse lived? 22 Q.
- Actually I don't. 23 Α.
- Did you know that he lived at Northern and I-17? 24 Q.
- 25 Α. No, but thanks for sharing that because I didn't know.

United States District Court

12:10:54

12:11:17

12:11:35

12:11:58

12:12:13

	ABDUL KHABIR WAHID - Cross	
1	Q. Did you know that?	12:12:16
2	A. No.	
3	Q. So that night as Simpson handed you the envelope and the	
4	keys, Simpson told you that was headed out of town?	
5	A. Could you repeat that? I didn't hear it.	12:12:36
6	Q. As Simpson handed you the envelope and the keys, he told	
7	you he was headed out of town?	
8	A. No, he didn't.	
9	Q. So it's your testimony that you believed he was going to	
10	be in town?	12:13:02
11	A. I didn't know what to think. He didn't tell me where he	
12	was going.	
13	MS. BROOK: Can we place on the overhead what's going	
14	to be marked as Government's Exhibit Number 164, please. It's	
15	on the computer.	12:13:16
16	THE WITNESS: And before you play that	
17	MS. BROOK: There's no question. Just hang on one	
18	second.	
19	BY MS. BROOK:	
20	Q. This is marked for identification. Can you see that	12:13:33
21	picture all right there as Government's Exhibit number 164?	
22	A. Which one. There's three of them.	
23	Q. So just look at the one in the middle there.	

Q. So just look at the one in the mid A. Yeah. Q. Do you recognize that individual?

- 1 A. That's Saabir Nurse.
 - Q. The same Nurse that Simpson instructed you to deliver the envelope and the keys to on that coming Wednesday?

Correct.

2

3

4

7

8

17

22

25

Α.

Q. The same Nurse who you didn't know where he lived?

•

- 6 A. Correct.
 - Q. You would agree with me that he was somewhat of a distant associate of yours, not a close friend?
- 9 A. It was kind of strange. We were sort of close. We just never saw each other.

Q. So let me ground you back in May of 2015, not what's transpired since. Back in May of 2015, you would agree with me

- that he was Ibrahim's friend much more than he was your friend?
- A. I guess you could say that because they both went to high school together.

16 Q. So "yes"?

- A. Yeah.
- Q. When you interviewed with law enforcement in your living room on May 6 of 2015, you did not tell them, as you just testified, about the envelope or the keys that Simpson had

21 given you?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And you didn't tell them about the envelope and the keys because you didn't want to get Nurse in trouble?
 - A. No. I didn't say that.

United States District Court

12:14:04

12:13:50

12:14:24

12:14:46

12:15:20

12:15:34

- Just "yes" or "no"? 1 Q.
- 2 No. Α.

5

6

9

- 3 Q. You would agree with me that you were afraid of the FBI talking to Nurse? 4
 - Say that again. Α.
 - You didn't want the FBI talking to Nurse? Q.
- 7 Α. No, I wouldn't say that, I didn't want him to talk to 8 them, no.
 - Q. So why is it that you didn't tell them about Nurse?
- Were you listening to my narrative? So why would you ask 10 11 me that?
- Why is it that you didn't tell them about Nurse? 12 Q.
- 13 The reason why I didn't tell them -- I'm over here. Α. Okay.
- The reason why I didn't tell them about Nurse was 14 15 simply, like I said, I did not want them to harass him. Had 16 nothing to do with there was some secret plot or anything like 17 that. I just didn't want them to harass him. That's all.
- 18 Before you gave the envelope and the keys to Nurse, you 19 talked to Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem about having the envelope and the keys; right?
- Yes. 21 Α.

20

- And Malik told you that he wanted you to open the 22 envelope? 23
- Correct. 24 Α.
- 25 You didn't open the envelope?

United States District Court

12:15:36

12:15:44

12:15:59

12:16:15

12:16:42

12:16:55

12:17:43

12:18:05

so fat so I would say -- he looks like he's five 11, something 10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12
- 13 He tried to snatch it from me but he didn't open -- I Α.
- didn't let him. 14
- 15 Q. How did you keep him from snatching it from you?
- 16 Pulled it back like, "No." Α.
- 17 And you told him that it would be disrespectful to Ibrahim Q.
- for him to open it; right? 18
- 19 Α. M'hum.
- 20 Q. Is that a "yes"?

21 Α. Yes.

- You have three children; correct? 22 Q.
- They are right behind you. 23 Α.
- And they are all adults. They are all over the age of 18? 24 Q.
- 25 Α. Yeah. 12:18:36

doesn't mean that he was with me all the time. This is one

time a few years ago when he and me and his son were together

and he came and he picked me up and he took me to somewhere far

United States District Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was a river, and a lot of people and stuff like that. 1 away. That was it. We just took with them. 2

BY MS. BROOK:

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

- So this was something that happened occasionally? Q.
- No. No. This happened one time. Α. No.

12:21:51

12:22:18

12:22:32

- One time? Q.
- Α. Right.
- But you didn't remember this road trip that you took with 8 Q. 9 Nadir?
- It's been a few years. Why should I remember it? I'm not 10 11 going to sit here and think about it. It has been a while since this -- I don't even know how you got this picture. 12
 - And so this was certainly a bit before Simpson asked you to join Nadir and he and attack a military base in support of ISIS?

I would say so. Do you see any gray in my hair?

- 17 So was that a, "yes"? This picture was taken before Ο. 18 Simpson asked you to --
 - Way. Way before. Α. Way.

It was way before.

You would agree with me when the FBI came to your home to interview you on May 6 of 2015 that they were there to talk to you about Simpson and Soofi and the attack on Garland?

- I will assume that, yeah. 24 Α.
- 25 Q. Yes? 12:23:18

Α. 1 Yes. 12:23:19 2 And it was important for them to know the truth about information that you might have had about Simpson and Soofi; 3 right? 4 5 I guess so. Α. 12:23:34 6 Q. Yes? 7 Α. I guess so because I'm not sure. But you think that sounds right, right? The FBI needed to 8 9 know the truth about information that you had about Simpson and Soofi; right? 10 12:23:47 11 To be honest with you --Just "yes" or "no"? 12 Q. 13 I'm going to say no then. Α. They didn't need to know the truth? 14 15 No, I'm not saying that. 12:23:52 Α. 16 You're refusing to answer the question? Q. 17 Just that you're wording the guestion where I can't Α. 18 answer "yes" or "no" to it. 19 Do you remember, as we all heard, that the FBI told you it Q. was important to tell them the truth and, in fact, to lie to 20 12:24:08 them was a crime; right? 21 True. 22 Α. And you knew that well before; right? 23 Q. What do you mean? 24 Α. 25 You've always known that it's a crime to lie to the FBI? 12:24:19

Α. Yeah. 1 12:24:24 2 You knew that back from Hassan's investigation in 2008; 3 right? I didn't know anything about it. I just know they came to 4 5 my house. 12:24:38 6 You didn't want the FBI to go after Nurse; right? Q. 7 Α. Yes. You didn't want the FBI to go after Malik; right? 8 Q. 9 Α. I don't follow you. 10 You didn't want the FBI to investigate Malik; right? Q. 12:25:00 11 I didn't know Malik was being investigated. But you wouldn't want the FBI to be doing that; correct? 12 Q. 13 I quess I wouldn't but it depends on the circumstances. Α. So you didn't want the FBI investigating your friends; 14 15 right? 12:25:24 16 Α. Would you want the FBI investigating your friends? 17 The way this is set up, I need you to answer the question, 18 sir. 19

So you did not want the FBI investigating you;

20 correct?

12:25:37

- Investigating me? Α.
- 22 Correct. Q.
- 23 Α. Me?

21

- Yeah. 24 Q.
- 25 Α. Sure. 12:25:43

Q. You didn't want them investigating you; right? 12:25:44

12:25:57

12:26:20

12:26:34

12:26:43

12:27:00

- 2 A. Sure. For what? I didn't do anything.
- Q. So that's a "yes," you didn't want them investigating you?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew, obviously, the investigation was an investigation into a terrorist attack; right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. I had asked you before about when you learned that lying
 to the FBI is a crime. You would agree with me that back when
 Simpson was prosecuted in 2010, Elton Simpson, he was your
- Simpson was prosecuted in 2010, Elton Simpson, he was your
- 11 friend; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you learned through his prosecution that it's a crime
- 14 to lie to the FBI; right?
- 15 A. Yes. But he actually lied to the FBI.
- 16 Q. "Yes" or "no," you learned back then?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is that a "yes"?
- 19 A. Yes.
- MS. BROOK: Your Honor, I don't have any other questions.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Brook.
- Mr. Wahid, as you noticed during the trial, the order
 is that the person who puts on -- or the side who puts on the
 witness has a direct, then there's a cross and then if there's

any redirect, then the proponent of the witness gets to do redirect.

12:27:03

It's a little awkward to have you do redirect of yourself. But if there's anything that you need to say to testify in response to the questions you were just asked, you have that right now. If you don't have anything, that's fine, too, but you have the opportunity.

12:27:15

THE WITNESS: To say what?

THE COURT: The idea of redirect, sir, is that if a side thinks that anything has been muddied by cross-examination, they can hit issues to attempt to clear it up. So if you think something was muddied by the cross-examination, I give you an opportunity to make a statement to clear it up. If not, you don't have to.

12:27:32

THE WITNESS: Okay. Let me think about it.

12:27:52

I just wanted to state that in my defense, it is true Ibrahim -- because he told me, he -- I forget what he said. It was something really stupid, too, but he actually -- oh. He mentioned something about jihad and he used the word "jihad" in a recorded conversation that he didn't know he was being recorded. And the FBI asked him if he ever used the word "jihad" and he said, "no," and that's how he they were able to get him, because he said, "no."

12:28:27

And my situation, I didn't actually lie. I did omit but I did not lie. And so to be honest with you, I mean from

12:28:56

1	what I know, I really thought that I had not told a lie because	12:29:09
2	I admitted it. I didn't think that lying meant that by me not	
3	saying something, I was lying. I really did think that. So,	
4	no.	
5	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You are excused and you	12:29:29
6	may step down.	
7	(Witness excused.)	
8	THE COURT: And Mr. Wahid, now, resuming your go	
9	ahead, please take your place at the table. Resuming your role	
10	now as advocate, there is a motion to admit an exhibit	12:29:48
11	currently pending. I believe it's 52.	
12	Is that right?	
13	MS. BROOK: Yes, Your Honor. And I also didn't move	
14	to admit the other exhibit simply based upon the same.	
15	THE COURT: Let's take care of 52 first.	12:30:02
16	Mr. Wahid, is there an objection?	
17	MR. WAHID: No.	
18	THE COURT: All right. 52, which was the list, is	
19	admitted.	
20	(Exhibit Number 52 was admitted into evidence.)	12:30:09
21	THE COURT: Now, Ms. Brook, what was the other?	
22	MS. BROOK: 84.	
23	THE COURT: All right.	
24	MS. BROOK: Which was the photo on the Elmo right	
25	now?	12:30:18

```
Case 2:17-cr-00360-JJT Document 171 Filed 03/08/19 Page 91 of 92 4 0 8
                THE COURT: That was the photo in front of the body
 1
                                                                            12:30:21
 2
     of water; correct?
 3
                MS. BROOK: Yes.
                THE COURT: All right.
 4
 5
                Any objection, Mr. Wahid, to the photo?
                                                                            12:30:27
 6
                MR. WAHID: No.
 7
                THE COURT: All right. Then 84 is also admitted.
                (Exhibit Number 84 was admitted into evidence.)
 8
 9
                (End of excerpted portion.)
10
                                                                            12:30:32
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                        United States District Court
```

CERTIFICATE

I, ELAINE M. CROPPER, do hereby certify that I am

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arizona.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion of

duly appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter

for the United States District Court for the District of

the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript was prepared under my direction and control, and to the best of

my ability.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 8th day of February,

2019.

s/Elaine M. Cropper

Elaine M. Cropper, RDR, CRR, CCP

12:30:32

United States District Court

12:30:32

12:30:32

12:30:32

12:30:32

12:30:32