•	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
2	
3	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	Criminal Action No. Plaintiff, 1:21-cr-00457-CRC-1 Thursday, January 20, 2022
5	vs. 10:04 a.m.
6	ANTHONY SCIRICA,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER R. COOPER
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the United States: GRACE ALBINSON, ESQ.
14	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Tax Division
15	150 M Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20002
16	(202) 616-3311 grace.e.albinson@usdoj.gov
17	
18	For the Defendant: MARK A. JONES, ESQ. BELL DAVIS PITT
19	100 N. Cherry Street Suite 600
20	Winston-Salem, NC 27101 (336) 722-3700
21	mjones@belldavispitt.com
22	Court Reporter: Lisa A. Moreira, RDR, CRR
23	Official Court Reporter U.S. Courthouse, Room 6718
24	333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001
	(202) 354-3187
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, we're on the record for Criminal Case 21-457, United States of America 3 vs. Anthony Scirica. 4 5 Counsel, please identify yourselves for the record 6 starting with the government. 7 MS. ALBINSON: Good morning, Your Honor; Grace Albinson for the United States. 8 9 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Albinson. 10 MS. ALBINSON: Good morning. 11 MR. JONES: Good morning, Your Honor; Mark Jones 12 for Anthony Scirica. I'm here with Mr. Scirica in Winston-13 Salem, North Carolina. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, gentlemen. 15 Mr. Scirica, I can see you. Can you see and hear 16 me okay? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I can. 18 THE COURT: I've granted your motion to appear 19 this morning by video. Do we still have your consent to 20 appear by video? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And we have someone from probation? 23 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor; 24 Hana Field with probation. 25 THE COURT: So the Court has reviewed the

```
1
       presentence investigation report, the government's
2
       sentencing memo, as well as the electronic exhibits that the
 3
       government provided to the sentencing memo.
 4
                 Mr. Jones, I did not receive a sentencing memo
 5
       from you or any other associated material; is that correct?
 6
                 MR. JONES: That's correct. Only argument today,
 7
       sir.
 8
                 THE COURT: If there are any guests or family
 9
       members listening in, the Court welcomes everyone.
10
                 All right. Let's start with the factual findings
11
       in the presentence investigation report. Any objections to
12
       the factual findings with respect to the circumstances of
13
       the offense or the defendant's background?
14
                 MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.
15
                 MS. ALBINSON: No, Your Honor.
16
                 THE COURT: Has Mr. Jones reviewed the presentence
17
       investigation report with you?
18
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
19
                 THE COURT: And have you been satisfied with his
20
       services in this case so far?
21
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
22
                 THE COURT: Hearing no objections, the Court will
23
       accept the factual findings in the presentence investigation
24
       report and adopt those facts for purposes of this
25
       sentencing.
```

1 All right. This is a Class B misdemeanor so the 2 sentencing guidelines do not apply. The offense of 3 conviction carries a maximum of six months in prison, a period of supervised release of up to two years, I believe, 4 5 a \$5,000 fine, and a \$10 special assessment. Any objections 6 for the record? 7 MR. JONES: No, Your Honor. 8 MS. ALBINSON: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: The government in this case has 10 recommended a sentence of incarceration of 15 days, I 11 believe. The probation office has made a recommendation of 24 months probation and a \$500 fine. 12 13 Ms. Albinson, would you like to address the 14 3553(a) factors as they relate to Mr. Scirica. 15 MS. ALBINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 16 The government asks that this Honorable Court 17 sentence Anthony Scirica to 15 days imprisonment for the 18 crime he committed on January 6th. The government 19 understands that 15 days imprisonment is a significant 20 sentence for a misdemeanor crime, but this is not a normal 21 crime and does not merit a normal sentence. 22 The crime that Mr. Scirica committed and the

The crime that Mr. Scirica committed and the crimes of thousands of other rioters on January 6th were unique and significant to our country's history, and the riot would not have occurred were it not for the actions of

23

24

25

thousands of individual rioters, including Mr. Scirica.

nation's Capitol Building, it was an attack that went to the heart of our democracy, to fair democratic elections, and the peaceful transfer of power. For general and also specific deterrent purposes, it's important to send a message that just because an election does not go your way does not mean that you can violently take over a government building without punishment. The government is also asking for this significant sentence because of specific aggravating factors that are present for this defendant.

First, the defendant entered the Capitol Building through the Senate Wing door shortly after the first breach.

Second, the defendant played a leadership role in directing and leading rioters through Statuary Hall in the direction of the House Chamber doors.

Third, the defendant observed violence inside the Capitol Building but remained inside and took video with his cell phone.

Finally, this defendant expressed no remorse when interviewed by the FBI.

Your Honor, this was not just a momentary lapse of judgment or a bad day for this defendant. His actions were deliberate and controlled on that day. Mr. Scirica told the FBI that he moved to the front of the crowd outside of the

1 Capitol Building because he, quote, wanted to see what was 2 happening with his own eyes. And, in fact, Mr. Scirica was 3 one of the early rioters to enter the building. He entered 4 at 2:24 p.m., 11 minutes after the first breach. 5 Before entering, he admitted to the FBI that he 6 heard people yelling and shouting and alarms going off, a 7 window breaking. These were clear signs of violence, Your Honor. 8 9 Here's a video that the defendant took when he 10 entered through the Senate Wing door. You can see from this video that Mr. Scirica focuses his camera on the broken 11 12 window that was the site of the first breach of the Capitol, 13 and you can hear yelling and alarms going off. 14 (Pause) 15 THE COURT: Ms. Albinson, are you sharing your 16 screen with us? 17 MS. ALBINSON: Yes, one moment, Your Honor. Ι 18 apologize. 19 (Audio playing) 20 MS. ALBINSON: Your Honor, were you able to hear 21 that? 22 THE COURT: I heard the audio. I was not able to 23 see the video, but I have reviewed all of the exhibits. 24 MS. ALBINSON: Okay. I apologize. 25 Once inside the Capitol, the defendant did not

play the role of a mere follower. Instead, he took it upon himself to lead a large group of rioters through Statuary Hall to just outside the House Chamber doors where they were met with a line of law enforcement officers blocking entry to the House Chamber.

Here's a video from the defendant's phone where he directs the crowd to go, quote, through there and points in the direction of Statuary Hall which led to the House Chamber doors.

(Audio playing)

MS. ALBINSON: In his own words to the FBI, Your Honor, he led a crowd to where he thought the, quote, electors were located.

Once he passed through Statuary Hall and got to the front of the House Chamber doors violence ensued as at that point the crowd pushed through a line of police officers in order to gain access to the House Chamber, which thankfully they were not able to do that day. Mr. Scirica was feet away from the front of the line as the crowd pushed and broke through the police line to proceed to the House Chamber doors.

Instead of leaving after witnessing this violence, Mr. Scirica recorded this video on his phone of rioters banging on the House Chamber doors.

(Audio playing)

MS. ALBINSON: Despite seeing violence and tear gas that had been detonated by the police in the area of the House Chamber doors, and despite being near the Speaker's Lobby at the time of the shooting of Ashli Babbitt, the defendant remained inside of the Capitol Building.

He has not shown an ounce of remorse for his decisions and actions that day. That's how we know this wasn't just a bad day. But what we don't know is whether the defendant will take it upon himself to behave this way again if another election doesn't go his way.

A recording of the defendant's interview with the FBI shows the defendant was very unaffected as he spoke about his actions on January 6th. I'll play a short clip of that interview.

(Audio playing)

MS. ALBINSON: I'm sorry. I wasn't able to get to the point in the video that I wanted to share, but when the defendant is asked about whether or not he regrets his actions on that day, he says he doesn't know, and this could be a good story for the grand kids.

For the reasons I've just stated and the reasons in the government's sentencing memo, we respectfully ask this Honorable Court to sentence the defendant to 15 days incarceration.

THE COURT: Okay. Your recommendation is 15 days

incarceration. Probation has recommended two years of probation. Those seem to be the two options available to the Court as appropriate to impose in this case. If you are concerned -- and I will say that the statute, as I read it, does not allow the Court to impose a period of incarceration followed by a period of supervised release as a felony statute or Class A statute or misdemeanor statute would.

So given that, and if your concern is what Mr. Scirica might do or, you know, whose call he might heed in the next election, why wouldn't probation, where he was under some form of court supervision, be a more appropriate sentence than a period of incarceration that cannot be followed by supervised release, if you follow me?

MS. ALBINSON: Your Honor, I do believe that a split sentence is allowed on a petty misdemeanor, which is what Mr. Scirica pled guilty to.

But to answer your question, I think that this defendant merits jail time not only towards specific deterrent purposes but general deterrent purposes. A sentence of jail time would send a message to other would-be rioters in future elections that this will not be accepted even if what you do is enter the building and you don't engage in violence yourself. I think that 15 days jail time will be enough to specifically deter Mr. Scirica as well, even though it does not include monitoring after that time.

A jail sentence is a strong message to send to someone, and I believe that that would sufficiently deter Mr. Scirica.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Field, would you mind -can you shed some light on whether the Court would be
authorized to order both incarceration followed by a term of
supervised release on this offense?

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, it was our understanding, because this is a petty offense, that a -- and it's a Class B misdemeanor, that a custodial sentence can be ordered but that supervised release is not applicable.

It was brought to my attention that -- I believe the interpretation of the statute is subject to legal argument and that we are aware of a couple of cases before this Court where I believe the government has recommended and advocated for the split sentence, for a jail term to be followed by a period of probation. I don't have any additional information to provide as to any updates on that, but our position is that we would not be recommending this type of split sentence.

THE COURT: Ms. Albinson, anything else?

MS. ALBINSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Jones, I'm sorry not to see you in person. My general philosophy is that if defendants managed

to get to Washington last January, then they should be able to manage to get to Washington this January. I understand there were some extenuating circumstances related to the weather, though, which I understand, so I was glad to grant your motion to appear remotely.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

MR. JONES: I appreciate that tremendously, and so does Mr. Scirica.

I think I'll pick up first with the question the Court asked last, which is about a term of supervised release. I think the law is very clear that supervised release for a Class B petty offense is not authorized by statute. The presentence report says that it's not authorized by statute, and we agree to that. And the government, on Page 13 of its sentencing memorandum, in their footnote says that it's not authorized. So I'm not sure what's warranting the sudden change of heart, but I think any arguments being put forward now that you can impose supervised release or probation in addition to incarceration on this offense is certainly a novel interpretation, and I would ask the Court to reject it.

As to the 3553(a) factors, Your Honor, I believe that the probation office has it right. You know, I would say that the Court obviously has discretion to do whatever

it believes is appropriate in relation to those factors, and just because the government has recommended 15 days doesn't mean that the Court couldn't select a different number of days for an incarceratory term. So the Court could obviously select five days or ten days or one day. It's not bound by the government's recommendation or the probation office's recommendation.

Nevertheless, Your Honor, we think that the probation office has it correct. They have looked at the history and characteristics of this defendant, and they are well aware of the nature and circumstances of the offense, which the government did a fine job of pointing out in their sentencing memorandum in their presentation today.

But speaking as to how the characteristics of Mr. Scirica interplay with the 3553(a) factors, the things that the presentence report notes that I would highlight about him are that this is his first run-in in any way, shape, or form with the law. And that doesn't detract from the severity of it or how the Court should address him, but it's not a situation in which we have a serial recidivist. And I think we actually have very low information about his potential for future recidivism.

After January of last year, he reenrolled in college and finished his degree at the University of North Carolina. One thing that is notable -- at least in our

district -- about this case is that the FBI made the decision, instead of arresting him, which was common, to reach out to him and to reach out to us, and he turned himself in. He turned himself in and spent a day in custody in June, and he's been on supervision by our pretrial service officers down here in the Middle District of North Carolina successfully now for about seven or eight months. So we have good reason to think that another period of probation would be completed successfully.

He has a strong history of employment and staying busy with lawful pursuits. When he took a break from college around 2019 and '20, the presentence report notes that he had some mental health issues that were appropriately addressed with counseling, but during that time he worked first at a pet hospital and then for the American Red Cross where he developed some expertise in phlebotomy and apheresis, the separation of the platelets and the plasma.

He has, while on pretrial release, found a job, steady employment, with a law firm here in town doing legal work and title work in the Debtor/Creditor Relations Board, and so I have a concern that -- you know, he is an entry-level employee, but that any period of incarceration, particularly one of 15 days or so, would exceed the days of paid time off that he has and very well could result in him

losing that employment.

The Court has options within the probation context that it can use if it needs or feels that it needs to send a stronger signal to others for the purposes of general deterrence. The Court can impose inside the probationary term a period of home detention, and were the Court to do that, we would ask only that he be allowed to travel outside of the home for work and then for medical and legal appointments.

You know, the Court has options here. I do believe that his age, his compliance, his -- with pretrial release, his current employment, and his efforts to better himself should be accounted for in the Court. I believe the probation office did that, took all those things into account and was fully aware of the nature of the offense when it reached its recommendation of 24 months probation.

You know, I'll tell the Court -- and I'm sure

Mr. Scirica will do the same -- you know, the reason why

there's a recording of him talking to the FBI is because

from the very beginning he was cooperative and wanted to be

as helpful as he could, and he voluntarily sat down and

spoke with them even signing a nonattribution agreement

which had the curious clause that they could use --

THE COURT: Just to be clear, he did that after the FBI contacted him and went to his house.

1 MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE COURT: He didn't volunteer for that, that he 2 had breached the Capitol, did he? 3 MR. JONES: Oh, no, that's right. 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. MR. JONES: And the agreement had, you know, an 6 7 interesting clause in it, which essentially said we will be 8 able to use whatever you say against you, you know, as it 9 has done in this sentencing hearing. 10 But near the end of that he was asked, you know, sort of to reflect on it, and he said, "I think this will 11 12 make a good story some day." My take on that, Your Honor, 13 was that it was a failed effort at sardonic humor and one 14 that fell fully flat. 15 But as to the question of what is the amount of 16 just punishment, what is the punishment that will be 17 sufficient but no greater than necessary for this defendant 18 with these characteristics accounting for his role in the 19 conduct, I believe the probation office has it right, that a 20 period of 24 months probation and restitution in the amount 21 recommended by the plea agreement is appropriate, Your 22 I would ask the Court to impose that sentence. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So address, if you would --24 you've addressed the government's point regarding lack of

remorse. Address the other aggravating factor that they

25

have emphasized, which is his seemingly leadership role in igniting and encouraging and pointing others towards where he thought the electors might be and being -- you know, being there before a lot of other people, ten minutes after the initial breach.

MR. JONES: Sure. So the way I tallied them down is the early -- the aggravating factors were that he was in early, that he was near the front of the crowd, that he observed violence, and that his behavior was controlled and measured.

I think all of those are explained and were explained to the FBI agent, and what he said is that his intention was to bear witness. He wanted to see what was going on. He wanted to be an observer of what was happening in the building that day.

His intention -- and I don't think there's any allegation otherwise -- was not to use violence. It was not to destroy property. And I know that's accounted for already in the nature of the charge, but it's also important in thinking about his role in the conduct.

So yes, he was early in, and yes, when people were standing around the Statuary Hall he did say, you know, "Through there." The presentence report, though, correctly identifies and notes that he does not have any connections to any group, and so I don't think the Court should believe,

1 when we say "leadership," that it's leadership of people who 2 have, you know, any connection to him. 3 None of the people in any of those videos are known to him. They're all strangers to him. They are all 4 5 people that he has had no -- he just doesn't know. He was 6 there on his own solely as an individual, and I believe he 7 saw himself there to bear witness as to what was happening. 8 And while he did stay once he saw people pushing and 9 shoving, and he did stay while he saw things that he knew 10 were inappropriate, not once did he engage in any of that 11 conduct, and I think the government has correctly reached 12 the right resolution as to this charge with Mr. Scirica. 13 I don't dispute the facts as they say them. I 14 don't believe, however, when you balance that as part of the 15 3553(a) factors, that they detract from what I think is the 16 correct result here, which is the one identified by the 17 probation office in this case. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 All right. Mr. Scirica, anything you want to tell 20 me before I impose sentence? 21 MR. JONES: You can take your mask off. 22 THE COURT: Let's just have a conversation, okay? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. Sorry, I'm a little 24 nervous. 25 THE COURT: Take your time. And if you'd like me

1 to start the conversation, I'd be happy to. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Would you, please. 3 THE COURT: All right. So what were you thinking? THE DEFENDANT: Just as what was said, that I 4 5 wanted to see what was going on with my own eyes. 6 About the leadership part, I definitely did not 7 have any kind of connection to anybody; and with the 8 pointing and everything, I think I was maybe just a little 9 naive. Excuse me. 10 I guess I was a little naive about the intentions 11 of the people around me. I guess I sort of thought they would all be a little bit like me so... 12 13 I am remorseful now, but... 14 Yes, that's all. 15 THE COURT: So, you know, I listened to your FBI 16 interview. I read the presentence investigation report. As 17 Mr. Jones says, you say that you were there just to bear 18 witness, to see with your own eyes what was happening. You 19 talked about, you know, recording it and leaving after your 20 cellphone died. 21 But I look at the videos and I see more than that, 22 okay? I see you at the -- I understand that you're not a 23 leader. You're not a Proud Boy. You're not an Oath Keeper. 24 You don't know those folks. I get that. But I see you 25 telling people where to go. I see you saying you wanted to

1 find where the electors are. I see you leading the chants 2 or at least joining in them, pointing people to where you 3 want them to go. You're at the front of the pack. To me that's not just bearing witness or being a 4 5 citizen journalist or satisfying, you know, yourself as to 6 what was going on. That's being more of a participant. 7 Am I reading that wrong? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. All of the 9 material facts I agree with. 10 THE COURT: And so why were you doing those 11 things? You know, what motivated you to go in and to 12 participate like you did? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Honestly --14 THE COURT: And more importantly, you know, do you 15 regret having done that? Honestly. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Honestly, yes, I do. It's much 17 easier to judge my own actions in retrospect. 18 I will say I got caught up in the moment a little 19 I had never been in such a circumstance before, and -bit. 20 I don't know -- I guess my emotions took hold of me more 21 than I would have liked them to. I wouldn't necessarily say 22 I was controlled and measured because of that, but I can --23 I can understand how it looks certainly. 24 And if there's a question about if I will ever do 25 that again, the answer is certainly not. I guess I just

```
1
       didn't realize the impact that my actions were having when I
2
       was there.
 3
                 THE COURT: Ms. Albinson mentioned Ashli Babbitt.
       She was shot, I believe, at 2:44. Did you hear the gunshot?
 4
 5
                 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'm sorry, your
 6
       microphone broke up, and I didn't hear what you said.
 7
                 THE COURT: Ms. Albinson mentioned Ashli Babbitt.
       Do you know who she is?
 8
 9
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
                 THE COURT: And she was shot at about 2:44 not too
11
       far from where you were standing. Do you understand that?
12
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I do.
13
                 THE COURT: Have you thought about, you know, if
14
       you would have been in a slightly different place either a
15
       little bit before or a little bit afterwards, going through
16
       a door that you didn't know what was on the other side of
17
       that, that could have been you?
18
                 THE DEFENDANT: I have --
19
                 THE COURT: Go ahead.
20
                 THE DEFENDANT: I have thought about that.
21
       didn't hear the gunshot myself, and honestly, the thought of
22
       true violence like that never crossed my mind at the moment.
23
                 But in reflection, yes, I definitely have thought
24
       about that, and I've talked a lot about that with my
25
       parents, yes.
```

1 THE COURT: And do you know how many people died 2 on January 6th? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I don't. I only knew of Ms. Babbitt. 4 5 THE COURT: Four others, either through violence or through heart attacks or other means. 6 7 Do you know how many Capitol police officers or other law enforcement took their own lives after January 6th 8 9 because of the trauma that they went through? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Four. 12 Do you know how many congressional staffers were 13 behind some of those doors that you were passing and 14 pointing people towards cowering, calling their parents, 15 calling their spouses, wondering if someone was going to 16 crash through the door at any minute with a weapon or with 17 their fists? Have you thought about that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Have I thought about it? Yes, I 19 have thought about it. I just, at the time -- I don't know. 20 I mean, it's totally out of character for me. I've never 21 been violent a day in my life, and I certainly had no 22 intentions of anything like that. 23 But yes. I have thought about that, yes. 24 THE COURT: Having thought about all those things 25 and hearing the statistics, do you still think it will be a

cool story to tell your grand kids 50 years from now?

THE DEFENDANT: No. That really was just poor humor. I guess it was a really stressful situation for me being there with the FBI, and it was more like -- excuse

5 me -- it was more like gallows humor for myself. Obviously 6 I shouldn't have said that, but that totally just came off

7 wrong.

THE COURT: But before you said that, the agent asked you do you regret having been there, and I think your answer was I don't know. And then you said the joke about telling your grand kids about it.

And so -- and I accept -- I listened to your interview. You're a highly intelligent guy. You're very thoughtful. You're very logical. Your answers were very measured and precise, and I took the last answer as being genuine; that sitting there today on that day you didn't know whether you regretted it or not regardless of whether the joke fell flat or not.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand how it sounds, Your Honor. I mean, I -- all of the statistics you just read, honestly I didn't know any of those at that time. I just learned that this morning. All I had known about was the Ashli Babbitt thing.

And, I mean, obviously I knew that the electors must have been scared, but I really didn't understand the

```
1
       gravity of my personal actions and how they, you know,
2
       played a role in that at the time.
 3
                 THE COURT: You majored in philosophy, I
       understand.
 4
 5
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Any particular type that you studied?
 6
 7
                 THE DEFENDANT: I really enjoyed existentialism.
 8
       I also thought that metaphysics was very interesting,
 9
       although I barely understood it. I thought if I, you know,
10
       spent more time on that I would have gotten that a little
11
       better. But I thought it was interesting, yes.
12
                 THE COURT: Did you study ethics and political
13
       philosophy?
14
                 THE DEFENDANT: I, of course, read some, but that
15
       wasn't what I was most interested in, no.
16
                 THE COURT: You work for a law firm, I understand.
17
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I started on September
18
       3rd doing mostly kind of like mortgage law stuff.
19
                 THE COURT: Have you gotten an opportunity to
20
       spend a lot of time with the lawyers at your firm?
21
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor, I haven't.
22
                 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I would encourage you to
23
       talk with some of them about the importance of complying
24
       with the law and about the rule of law and the role that it
25
       plays in our system of government, okay? And don't just
```

```
1
       take it from me, but, you know, get to know some of those
2
       folks.
 3
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: And you are fortunate to have a job in
 4
 5
       a law firm frankly, okay.
 6
                 THE DEFENDANT: I'm very grateful for it, Your
 7
       Honor.
 8
                 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Field, you may not know
 9
       the answer to this question, but any idea whether the --
10
       where are -- you're in the Western District of North
       Carolina, Mr. Jones?
11
12
                 MR. JONES: Middle District of North Carolina.
13
                 THE COURT: -- whether the Middle District has any
14
       experience with intermittent incarceration?
15
                 MR. JONES: It does, and I actually reached out
16
       this week to the United States Marshals Service here, which
17
       is based in Greensboro, and the response was, you know, if
18
       the Court orders intermittent confinement to the custody of
19
       the marshals, they absolutely can accommodate that.
20
                 I'll tell the Court, the Middle District has some
21
       federal territorial lands with a national park, and so we
22
       have misdemeanors that come through on that docket with
23
       frequency, and so intermittent confinement on weekends is
24
       not a foreign concept or practice to our marshals service.
25
                 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Albinson, any comments on
```

that?

MS. ALBINSON: Your Honor, I believe Mr. Jones is more familiar with that than I am; I apologize. I'm not very familiar with that.

If you wouldn't mind, could I just clarify the record on the question that Your Honor asked before about supervised release? I apologize. I thought that you were asking about a split sentence, which I do believe is authorized for a petty misdemeanor. So supervised release is not authorized, but a split sentence is.

THE COURT: You're using the term "split sentence" in the sense of incarceration for a period and then home confinement or community detention thereafter? Is that what you mean by "split sentence"?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ ALBINSON: I mean incarceration followed by a period of probation.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, if I may just clarify? With respect to the intermittent confinement, because I believe Your Honor asked if I had any experience with that, I did speak with a U.S. probation officer in the Middle District of North Carolina. He advised me that they do have intermittent confinement in the district; however, it can be difficult due to available jail space, and since COVID it has been a challenge.

He didn't provide any additional information, but I wanted the Court to have that.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.

All right. Mr. Scirica, each one of these cases is different. Each defendant's role is different. It's up to the Court to consider all these factors and do what is right, and I tried to do that in this case.

Mr. Jones is right. You have no criminal record.

You were not a leader of the January 6th insurrection in any sense. You didn't break anything. You didn't assault anyone. And the Court obviously has taken that into account.

By the same token, as I said, I don't think that you were just a passive observer. You were there to document it to satisfy your own self. I think once you got there, for whatever reason -- you got caught up in the moment or perhaps you were truly committed to some cause -- you know, you did more than that. I think you fashioned yourself as one of the sheep dogs, one of the ones who is leading the herd in the direction that you think they ought to go. And for someone as young as you to take a position like that says something about you. You know, it says that you view yourself as wanting to be, you know, a leader in that sense.

And regardless of what you did -- and I've told

many other defendants this -- as the government has pointed out, you were part of a bigger and much more dangerous and serious enterprise, whether you realized it then or not.

And I think given where you were, given what you saw, given, you know, how smart you are, you know, you should have realized that, okay? And because of, you know, your education and your smarts and all that I think you should have known better. All right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you should have not got caught up in it.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And we've talked a lot about this in our conversation, which I do appreciate, and I'm just -- I'm going to end it there, and I'm going to impose a sentence consistent with the government's recommendation, but because you are employed, which I would encourage you to continue to do, I will impose a sentence of 15 days -- or 14 days -- excuse me, 15 days with credit for the one day that you have served on an intermittent weekend seven-weekend basis.

And we will accommodate a report date that is -that makes sense with the Middle District based on COVID and
all of the other considerations that they have, okay? So
I'm not ordering an immediate report date.

So with that, Mr. Scirica, pursuant to the

Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and in consideration of the provisions of 18 USC 3553, it is the judgment of the Court that you are hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 15 days incarceration to be served intermittently on weekends. You're ordered to make restitution to the Architect of the Capitol in the amount of \$500. The Court has determined that you do not have the ability to pay interest and therefore waives any interest or penalties that may accrue on that balance. Restitution payments shall be made to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the District of Columbia for disbursement to the Architect of the Capitol, and the address will be in the judgment.

You are also ordered to pay a fine in the amount of \$500. The Court has determined that you do not have the ability to pay interest and therefore waives any interest or penalties that may accrue on the balance.

You must pay the financial penalty in accordance with the schedule of payments, a sheet that will be listed on the judgment. You must also notify the Court of any changes in economic circumstances that might affect your ability to pay the financial penalties.

Having assessed your ability to pay, payment of the total criminal monetary penalties is due as follows:

Payment in equal monthly installments of \$200 over a period

of five months to commence after the date of this judgment.

You have the right to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court if the period of imprisonment is longer than the statutory maximum. If you choose to appeal, you must file any appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment.

You also have the right to challenge the conviction entered or sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or on a claim that you received ineffective assistance of counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the offense of conviction or in connection with this sentencing. If you are unable to afford the cost of an appeal, you may request permission from the Court to file an appeal without cost.

Any objections for the record?

MR. JONES: No objection. One potential clarification request that might make it easier for imposition?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. JONES: I believe the Court can order that he serve it in the custody of the United States Marshals

Service, and if he's to be housed in a local jail facility
on the weekends, it would be the Marshals Service that would
have custody of him.

The Court had ordered the BOP, but I think the

Court can instead, on the judgment form, indicate custody of the Marshals Service and that would effectuate the intermittent confinement.

THE COURT: Ms. Field, any reaction to that? I think that's correct.

THE PROBATION OFFICER: I think that's correct,

Your Honor. Our only request is that -- we would ask that
the Court order that the defendant start the sentence on a
date on or after to allow for designation purposes because I
think he would still have to be processed through the BOP,
and then the Court could make the recommendation that he
serve that through the United States Marshals Service.

But we would ask that the Court order that he start the sentence on or after a specific date to allow them -- to allow the BOP time to appropriately designate him on their own process.

THE COURT: All right. We will research that point. I do think it makes sense to start the sentence on or after a particular date, and we will research as to whether he will be committed to the custody of the BOP just for placement purposes or whether to submit custody to the Marshals.

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. And relatedly to that, if the Court concludes that the BOP designates this, we would obviously make a recommendation for a facility as

```
1
       close to his home in North Carolina as possible.
                 THE COURT: We will include that.
2
                 Ms. Albinson, anything else?
 3
                 MS. ALBINSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scirica, you're a
 6
       young man. I always tell defendants that you shouldn't be
 7
       judged by the worst mistake that you've ever made. This was
 8
      a really bad mistake, okay?
 9
                 I don't care what your political views are.
10
      don't care, you know, what issues you advocate. That's not
11
      why we're here, all right? You can't break the law in doing
12
      that, all right?
13
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you for
14
       your time.
15
                 THE COURT: Good luck to you, sir.
16
                 MS. ALBINSON: Your Honor?
17
                 THE COURT: Yes, I'm sorry.
18
                 MS. ALBINSON: I need to dismiss Counts 1 through
19
       3 of the information pursuant to the plea agreement.
20
                 THE COURT: So ordered.
21
                 All right. We're adjourned. We will get out a
22
       standard judgment and committal order.
23
                 MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
24
                 MS. ALBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
           (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned until 4:01 p.m.)
```

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. We are back on the record for Criminal Case 21-457, United 2 3 States of America vs. Anthony Scirica. Counsel, please identify yourselves again for the 4 5 record. 6 MS. ALBINSON: Grace Albinson for the United 7 States. MR. JONES: And Mark Jones here in North Carolina 8 9 with Anthony Scirica. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon again, everyone. 11 My apologies for bringing everyone back, but following the 12 initial portion of the hearing this morning my crack staff 13 advised me -- and this is why federal judges should not try 14 to improvise -- they reminded me that I am actually not 15 authorized to impose a sentence of intermittent 16 incarceration unless it is a condition of either supervised 17 release or probation. 18 So I could impose -- so, therefore, the sentence I 19 imposed was actually not authorized so I thought it was --20 that I should bring you all back and reopen the hearing from 21 this morning. 22 I could impose a probationary sentence with 23 intermittent incarceration as a condition of probation, but 24 following the hearing I believe probation consulted with the 25 Eastern District of North Carolina and was advised that it

strongly recommends against that approach given COVID and other logistical difficulties. Is that correct, Ms. Field?

THE PROBATION OFFICER: That's correct, Your

Honor. Just one thing to clarify, it's the Middle District of North Carolina.

THE COURT: So based on that feedback, the Court is prepared to revise its oral sentence and impose the recommended sentence by the government, which is 15 days of straight incarceration with credit for one day of time served and, as previously stated, a \$500 fine and \$500 in restitution. Because the oral pronouncement of the sentence trumps the written sentence in the J&C, the Court thought it was appropriate to bring everyone back and revise the oral sentence.

Mr. Scirica, I know that this is inconsistent with what the Court said before, and frankly it is inconsistent with the way that I would have liked to have imposed the sentence, but I cannot give an illegal sentence, and I'm not prepared to give a sentence that the district is uncomfortable implementing due to all of the factors in play.

So I know that you are working, but I would suggest to your employer that they obviously should accommodate this through leave or vacation time or whatever other accommodations you folks can work out.

And, Mr. Jones, you should feel free to convey the Court's sentiment to the employer, if that is going to be an issue.

MR. JONES: I'll certainly do that. And I don't want to speak out of turn without the Court's permission, but I'll tell the Court, you know, in the interim I also reached out to the Marshals Service about exactly this question, you know, who confirmed again for me that if ordered to their custody for intermittent confinement that it's -- you know, they would do what the Court ordered.

And so I think that there is a way to effectuate what the Court originally pronounced because the Court can instruct that the Marshals are the people who are engaging in the conversations with the jail for the purpose of intermittent confinement, and I think that Mr. Scirica could be on a term of probation while that's occurring.

I mean, I fully appreciate that, you know, it is usually the Marshals and not the probation who are arranging for that, and so to the extent there's any doubt, you know, a call to the Marshals Service may be more appropriate in finding out how comfortable they are with it than compared to the probation office.

THE COURT: I appreciate that, but I'm going to stick with the sentence so as not to cause any more confusion than has already been caused. BOP, I understand,

1 has a contract with a local facility there so they will 2 likely not have to do a placement. We will recommend a 3 placement close, but my understanding is that, you know, the 4 place where he will be may be the same place that the 5 Marshals have some understanding with. So it will be local, 6 and we will get it out of the way and move forward. All 7 right? 8 Anything else, Counsel? Ms. Albinson? 9 MS. ALBINSON: No, Your Honor. I apologize that I 10 wasn't on to that issue, but thank you. 11 THE COURT: You know, we don't do a lot of 12 misdemeanor sentencings in federal court here in D.C. given 13 the nature of our docket and our relationship to the local 14 court system, but I've actually encountered this issue 15 before and so I should have flagged it. I apologize for 16 that as well. 17 Ms. Field, anything else? 18 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, just that the 19 Court -- if the Court could ask Mr. Scirica to please make 20 himself available by telephone after the hearing so that I 21 may go over the voluntary surrender instructions for him and 22 how he'll be notified of where and when he needs to report. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Jones, how much does Mr. Scirica 24 need to prepare himself for a self-report? 25 MR. JONES: May I consult with him, Your Honor?

```
1
                 (Pause)
2
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And, Your Honor, one
 3
       particular -- one matter, and this is off of Ms. Field's,
 4
       are we still imposing the special assessment fee of $10 in
 5
       this matter?
 6
                 THE COURT: Yes.
 7
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay. Thank you.
                 MR. JONES: Your Honor, if the -- after the BOP --
 8
 9
       a reporting date within a month should be fine. That should
10
       at least give him the time to attempt to accrue more hours
11
       in hopes of saving that job.
12
                 THE COURT: The Court will order a report date
13
       after March 1st.
14
                 Anything else?
15
                 MS. ALBINSON: No, Your Honor.
16
                 THE COURT: Okay. We are adjourned. Good luck to
17
       you, Mr. Scirica.
18
                 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                 MS. ALBINSON: Thank you.
20
                     (Whereupon the hearing was
21
                      concluded at 4:09 p.m.)
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, LISA A. MOREIRA, RDR, CRR, do hereby
4	certify that the above and foregoing constitutes a true and
5	accurate transcript of my stenographic notes and is a full,
6	true and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best
7	of my ability.
8	NOTE: This hearing was held remotely by Zoom or some
9	other virtual platform and is subject to the technological
10	limitations of court reporting remotely.
11	Dated this 26th day of January, 2022.
12	
13	/s/Lisa A. Moreira, RDR, CRR
14	Official Court Reporter United States Courthouse
15	Room 6718 333 Constitution Avenue, NW
16	Washington, DC 20001
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	