

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

NADER SALEM ELHUZAYEL and
MUHANAD ELFATIH M. A. BADAWI,

Defendants.

No. SA CR 15-00060-DOC

ORDER FOR (1) CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL
DATE AND (2) FINDINGS OF
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT [36]

CURRENT TRIAL DATE: July 28, 2015
NEW TRIAL DATE: June 7, 2016

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation for (1) Continuation of Trial Date, and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on July 27, 2015. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that : (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,

1 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
2 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
3 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
4 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
5 diligence.

6 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

7 1. The trial in this matter is continued from July 28, 2015 to
8 June 7, 2016.

9 2. The time period from July 28, 2015 to June 7, 2016,
10 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
11 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
12 and (B)(iv).

13 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
14 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
15 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
16 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
17 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
18 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
19 commence.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 July 27, 2015

23 DATE

David O. Carter

HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24 Presented by:

25 /S/

26 CELESTE CORLETT

27 Assistant United States Attorney
28