UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \* Case No. 19-CR-117(JBW)

\*

\* Brooklyn, New York

March 7, 2019

\*

SINMYAH AMERA CEASAR,

\*

Defendant.

\*

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR
ARRAIGNMENT AND PLEADING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT M. LEVY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

V.

For the Government: JOSHUA G. HAFETZ, ESQ.

Asst. United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office

271 Cadman Plaza Brooklyn, NY 11201

For the Defendant: SAMUEL JACOBSON, ESQ.

Federal Defenders of New York,

Inc.

One Pierrepont Plaza, 16th Fl.

Brooklyn, NY 11201

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Fiore Reporting and Transcription Service, Inc. 4 Research Drive, Suite 402 Shelton, Connecticut 06484 (203)929-9992

```
2
 1
             (Proceedings commenced at 1:22 p.m.)
 2
                  THE CLERK: Criminal cause for arraignment and
 3
        pleading, United States vs. Sinmyah Amera Ceasar, case no.
        19-CR-117.
 4
 5
                  Counsel, can you state your appearances?
                  MR. HAFETZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Josh
 6
 7
        Hafetz for the government. I'm joined at counsel table by
 8
        Jacqueline Ross of the FBI.
 9
                  THE COURT: Good afternoon.
                  MR. JACOBSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
10
        Jacobson, Federal Defenders, on behalf of Ms. Ceasar, who's
11
12
        present next to me. We're joined by Carina Bruka (ph) a
13
        paralegal in our office.
                  THE COURT: Good afternoon.
14
15
                  So could you all come up and approach the bench,
16
        please.
17
                  (The defendant is sworn.)
                  THE CLERK: Could you please also just state your
18
19
        name for the record.
20
                  THE DEFENDANT: Sinmyah Amera Ceasar.
21
                  THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.
22
                  THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.
23
                  THE COURT: All right. And what is Ms. Ceasar
24
        going to do today?
25
                  MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, she needs to be
```

```
3
        arraigned on the information that was filed in this case and
 1
 2
        she'd like to waive indictment and proceed before Your Honor
 3
        and plead guilty to the sole count in the information.
                  THE COURT: Okay. And there's an agreement as
 4
        well?
 5
 6
                  MR. HAFETZ: There is, Your Honor.
 7
                                  There is, Judge.
                  MR. JACOBSON:
 8
                  THE COURT: Okay. Great.
 9
                  So why don't we start with the information. Have
        you had a chance to read the information?
10
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11
12
                  THE COURT: So I'm just going to advise you you
        have the right to remain silent. Anything you say here today
13
14
        can be used against you.
15
                  Even if you've made statements to the government,
16
        you don't have to say anything else. And you can consult with
17
        your lawyer at any time if you wish.
                  Do you understand?
18
19
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                  THE COURT: So it's a very short information. I'm
21
        just to read it.
                  It says, "Obstruction of an official proceeding. In
22
23
        or about and between June, 2018 and July, 2018, both dates
24
        being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District
25
        of New York, the defendant, Sinmyah Amera Ceasar, while
```

```
1
        released under Title 18, United States Code, Chapter 207, did
 2
        knowingly, intentionally and corruptly alter, destroy,
 3
        mutilate and conceal one or more records, documents and other
        objects, to wit, Facebook messages and text messages with the
 4
        intent to impair the object's integrity and availability for
 5
        use in one or more official proceedings, to wit, A, bail
 6
 7
        proceedings in the Eastern District of New York, and B,
        sentencing proceedings in the Eastern District of New York.
 9
                  Do you have any questions about the information?
                  THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10
                  THE COURT: And are you satisfied that your client
11
        understands her rights and the information?
12
13
                  MR. JACOBSON:
                                  Yes.
14
                  THE COURT: All right. So I also have a here a
15
        waiver of indictment.
16
                  And do you understand what an indictment is?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
                  THE COURT: So on this form it appears that there's
18
19
        a signature above your name.
20
                  Did you read the waiver form?
2.1
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
                  THE COURT: And did you sign it?
23
                  THE DEFENDANT: Not yet.
24
                  MR. JACOBSON: You signed the copy.
25
                  THE COURT: Okay. You signed another copy?
```

```
5
 1
                  THE DEFENDANT: Oh, yes. Yes.
 2
                  THE COURT: Okay. So your copy you need to sign
 3
        and this copy -- yeah.
 4
                  And before you signed it, did you discuss it with
        your lawyer and discuss with him what it means to waive an
 5
        indictment?
 6
 7
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
                  THE COURT: And are you making this decision
 9
        voluntarily?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
                  THE COURT: DO you have any questions about what
11
12
        you're doing?
1.3
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
                  THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Jacobson, can you
14
15
        just explain that it is, without breaching attorney/client
16
        confidentiality, what it is your explained to Ms. Ceasar, the
        significance of waiving the indictment is.
17
18
                  MR. JACOBSON: That ordinarily she would have the
19
        right to have a grand jury go -- to have the government
20
        before a grand jury to return an indictment and that by
2.1
        proceeding on the information, she's waiving that right.
22
                  THE COURT: Okay.
23
                  MR. JACOBSON: And that the government would have
24
        to show sufficient evidence to return the indictment.
25
                  THE COURT: And do you feel that she understood
```

```
6
 1
        your discussions?
 2
                  MR. JACOBSON: Yes, she did.
 3
                  THE COURT: So I'm just going to go through this as
        well.
 4
 5
                  So you understand that -- you understand what a
 6
        grand jury is.
 7
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
                  THE COURT: So a grand jury is a group of citizens
 9
        who are part of an entity that evaluates whether the
        government has enough proof to bring criminal charges against
10
        someone for a felony.
11
12
                  Do you understand?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                  THE COURT: And you have a right, as your lawyer
14
15
        said, to have the grand jury review this evidence and decide
16
        whether there's probable cause before they bring these formal
        criminal charges against you.
17
18
                  Do you understand that?
19
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
                  THE COURT: And it's unclear at this -- I mean, the
21
        grand jury could decide not to indict you.
22
                  You know, we haven't heard what evidence the
23
        government's going to bring. The grand jury hasn't been
24
        empaneled in your case and the grand jury hasn't made a
25
        decision whether or not to indict you.
```

```
1
                  So it is possible that a grand jury may or may not
 2
        indict you.
 3
                  Do you understand that?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
                  THE COURT: And it's -- these are serious charges
 5
        that are in the information.
 6
 7
                  And if you proceed by way of an information, the
 8
        grand jury will not have the opportunity to screen the
 9
        charges and determine if there's probable cause to bring
        them.
10
                  Do you understand?
11
12
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: So that's -- and your lawyer explained
13
        that to you thoroughly, as I hear.
14
15
                  So do you have any questions at all about what
16
        you're doing?
17
                  THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
                  THE COURT: And are you voluntarily, as I asked
18
19
        before, giving up your right to have a grand jury evaluate
        the evidence and decide whether to bring forth an indictment?
20
2.1
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
                  THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to ask you a lot of
23
        questions and you will be answering them under oath.
24
                  If you make any false statements, you could be
25
        prosecuted for perjury.
```

```
8
 1
                  Do you understand that?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 2
 3
                  THE COURT: If you have any questions at all, feel
        free to consult with your lawyer privately, or to ask me, or
 4
        both.
 5
                  Okay. So I'm going to ask you, as I said, a number
 6
 7
        of questions. The first one is what is your full name?
 8
                  THE DEFENDANT: Sinmyah Amera Ceasar.
 9
                  THE COURT: How old are you?
                  THE DEFENDANT:
                                  24.
10
                  THE COURT: What's the last level of schooling that
11
12
        you completed?
                  THE DEFENDANT: I didn't obtain my high school
13
        diploma. I went to 12th grade, but I dropped out before my
14
15
        senior year.
16
                  THE COURT: Okay.
                  THE DEFENDANT: Before my senior graduation.
17
18
        Sorry.
19
                  THE COURT: Okay. Are you now or have you recently
20
        been under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist?
2.1
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do see a psychologist.
22
                  THE COURT: Okay. And I think you're getting some
23
        medical assistance as well?
24
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
                  THE COURT: And the medical assistance, I know
```

```
9
 1
        we've talked about it in other proceedings.
 2
                  Are you taking any -- as a result of seeing either
 3
        a doctor or a psychiatrist, are you currently taking any
        medication?
 4
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 5
                  THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you taken
 6
 7
        any medicine or pills?
 8
                  THE DEFENDANT: No. Only for my health, like high
        blood pressure and for like my asthma, stuff like that. But
 9
        it has nothing to do with mental -- psych meds.
10
                  THE COURT: Okay. Do for your physical conditions
11
        you've been taking medication --
12
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
14
                  THE COURT: And do you take that everyday?
15
                  THE DEFENDANT: As needed.
16
                  THE COURT: Have you taken any medication in the
        last 24 hours?
17
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
18
19
                  THE COURT: No. Does the medication you take for
20
        blood pressure and for other physical issues, does that ever
2.1
        affect your ability to think, or to concentrate, or to pay
22
        attention?
23
                  THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
24
                  THE COURT: And have you taken any psychiatrist
25
        medications?
```

```
10
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
 1
 2
                  THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken
 3
        any narcotic drugs or alcoholic beverages?
 4
                  THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
 5
                  THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized or
        treated for a narcotic addiction?
 6
 7
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
                  THE COURT: Or alcoholism.
 8
 9
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
                  THE COURT: For a mental or emotional problem,
10
        other than what you just told me?
11
12
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                  THE COURT: Okay. Is your mind clear now?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14
15
                  THE COURT: And do you understand why you're here
16
        and what's happening here today?
17
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                  THE COURT: Okay. So these are all standard
19
        questions that, obviously, I have to ask.
20
                  So I'm just going to ask your lawyer a few
2.1
        questions and then come back to you.
                  Have you discussed this matter fully with your
22
23
        client?
24
                  MR. JACOBSON: I have, Your Honor.
25
                  THE COURT: Does she understand the rights that she
```

```
11
 1
        would be waiving by pleading quilty?
                  MR. JACOBSON: She does.
 2
 3
                  THE COURT: Is she capable of understanding the
 4
        nature of these proceedings?
 5
                  MR. JACOBSON: Yes, she is.
                  THE COURT: Do you have any doubts as to her
 6
 7
        competency to plead at this time?
 8
                  MR. JACOBSON: No, Your Honor.
 9
                  THE COURT: In preparing for this plea, have you
        advised her of the possible maximum and minimum sentence and
10
        fine?
11
12
                  MR. JACOBSON: I have.
                  THE COURT: Have you advised her of other possible
13
        penalties that could be imposed and how it might affect her
14
15
        in other proceedings she has before the court?
16
                  MR. JACOBSON: Yes, I have.
                  THE COURT: Have you advised her -- and I know this
17
        may not be applicable, that if she's not a citizen of the
18
19
        U.S. a guilty plea could result in deportation?
20
                  MR. JACOBSON:
                                   Yes.
21
                  THE COURT: Have you explained to her how the
22
        sentencing quidelines work and that there's no quarantee at
23
        this time what her sentence will be?
24
                  MR. JACOBSON: Yes, I did.
25
                  THE COURT: Do you think that she understood all of
```

```
12
 1
        your discussions?
 2
                  MR. JACOBSON: I believe so.
 3
                  THE COURT: So you heard what your lawyer said. Do
        you agree with what he just said?
 4
 5
                  THE DEFENDANT:
                                 Yes.
                  THE COURT: And have you discussed your case with
 6
 7
        him fully?
 8
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 9
                  THE COURT: Are you satisfied to have him represent
        you?
10
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
12
                  THE COURT: Okay. So the next series of questions
        has to do with your rights, because when you plead guilty
13
        you're doing it now with a full array of constitutional and
14
15
        legal rights. Once you plead guilty, you'll be giving up some
16
        of those rights.
                  So the first question is do you understand that
17
        it's your right to plead not quilty if you wish to?
18
19
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                  THE COURT: And if you continue to plead not
21
        quilty, you would have a right under the Constitution and
        laws of this country to a speedy and public trial by jury,
22
23
        with the help of your lawyer, on the charges contained in the
24
        information.
25
                  Do you understand?
```

13 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: And if you can't afford to hire an 3 attorney, the court will make sure that you have an attorney who's appointed to represent you all the way through trial, 4 at every stage of this case, including through appeal if you 5 were convicted. 6 7 Do you understand? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: And if you decided to go to trial, you would be presumed innocent. The government would have to 10 prove your guilt by what's called competent evidence that's 11 admissible in court and by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. 12 Do you understand? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: Even if you did everything the 16 government has accused you of, if it can't prove beyond a reasonable doubt that you're guilty, the jurors would have a 17 duty to find not quilty. 18 19

Do you understand?

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions so far?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: So if you decided to go to trial, the government would have to bring its witnesses to court. would have to testify. You would be there to listen to them. Your lawyer would have a right to cross examine them, to object to the government's evidence, to present evidence in your defense and to subpoena or to call witnesses to testify on your behalf.

Do you understand?

2.1

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And if you did go to trial, you would have a choice whether or not to testify.

If you wish to, you could testify in your own defense, but you don't have to because under the Fifth Amendment, you have a right to remain silent and not to incriminate yourself.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So if you decided to go to trial, but not to testify, Judge Weinstein, or whoever the judge is who'll be supervising your case, would instruct the jurors that they couldn't hold that against you and assume that you're guilty just because you didn't testify in your defense.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Any questions so far?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: So are you willing to give up your

```
1
        right to a trial, the right to remain to silent, the right to
 2
        confront witnesses and the other rights that have just been
 3
        discussed?
                  THE DEFENDANT: I do.
 4
                  THE COURT: Okay. So do you have a copy of the
 5
        agreement there to follow along?
 6
 7
                  MR. HAFETZ: Your Honor, I apologize for the
 8
        interruption.
                  Does Your Honor have a copy of the written consent
 9
        to proceed before the magistrate?
10
                  THE COURT: Oh, you know, that's a good question.
11
                  I don't think we went through that, did we? And I
12
        don't think I have a -- I do have it. Thank you. Thank you
13
14
        very much.
15
                  MR. HAFETZ: You're welcome, Judge.
16
                  THE COURT: Yes. So this is a consent form. And
        in it it says that the defendant and the government are
17
        consenting to have a magistrate judge hear the quilty plea,
18
19
        and make a recommendation to the district judge.
20
                  Did you sign this consent?
2.1
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
                  THE COURT: You did sign it?
23
                  THE DEFENDANT: It's on there (indiscernible).
24
                  THE COURT: And before you signed it, did you
25
        discuss it with your lawyer and understand what you were
```

```
16
 1
        doing?
 2
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
                  THE COURT: And do you understand that if you wish,
        Judge Weinstein would be happy to hear your guilty plea and
 4
 5
        to -- would not be upset in any way. It's totally all right
        if you'd rather have him hear the plea than me.
 6
 7
                  Do you understand?
 8
                  THE DEFENDANT: I do.
 9
                  THE COURT: Okay. And are you agreeing to have me
        hear the plea voluntarily?
10
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
12
                  THE COURT: Do you have any questions about what
13
        you're doing?
14
                  THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
15
                  THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. And thanks for
16
        mentioning that.
17
                  Okay. All right. So let's take a look at the plea
18
        agreement. It's been marked as Court Exhibit No. 1 and on
19
        the last page there's a signature page and there's a
20
        signature above your name there.
2.1
                  Did you sign the plea agreement?
22
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                  THE COURT: Do you want to see this copy?
24
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
                  THE COURT: Okay. And before you signed it, did
```

```
17
 1
        you have a chance to read it carefully and discuss it with
 2
        your lawyer?
 3
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: Was he able to answer all of your
 4
 5
        questions?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: So I'm -- let me ask the government
 8
        first, is this the sole agreement between the parties on this
 9
        case?
                  MR. HAFETZ: It is, Your Honor.
10
                  THE COURT: And the defense?
11
                  MR. JACOBSON: Yes, Your Honor, aside from some
12
        proffer agreements executed between the parties.
13
                  THE COURT: Okay. All right.
14
15
                  So looking at page 1, paragraph 1, says that you'll
16
        waive indictment and plead quilty to the information that we
        went through earlier charging you with obstruction of
17
18
        justice.
19
                  It says in addition you stipulate and agree that at
20
        your guilty plea you will state that you committed the
2.1
        obstruction of justice while on release in the Eastern
22
        District of New York, pursuant to the Bail Reform Act, and
23
        that you knowingly made multiple false statements during your
24
        January 2nd, 2019 interview with the government, including
```

the false statements that are included in Attachment A to the

```
18
 1
        plea agreement.
 2
                  Do you understand that provision?
 3
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: So if you plead guilty to this count,
 4
        the charge carries with it a minimum of zero years in prison
 5
        and a maximum of 20 years.
 6
 7
                  Do you understand?
 8
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: And if you are sentenced to prison on
 9
        this charge, the maximum period of time you could be placed
10
        on supervised release after you get out of prison is three
11
        years and there is a penalty if you violate any conditions of
12
        your release.
13
                  And that would be that you could be sentenced back
14
15
        to prison for up to two years without any credit for the time
16
        you'd been serving in prison on this sentence or on
        supervised release.
17
                  That's on page 2. It's provision B.
18
19
                  And do you understand what supervised release is?
20
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2.1
                  THE COURT: Okay. So you'd be released with
22
        conditions. You'd have to follow them and there is, as I
23
        mentioned a serious penalty if you don't follow the
24
        conditions of release.
```

Do you have any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

2.1

THE COURT: All right. There's a maximum fine of \$250,000. There's a fee called a special assessment of \$100 that you would have to pay, and then G says there is also a penalty of administrative forfeiture, as set forth in paragraph 8.

Paragraph 8 says that you consent to the administrative forfeiture of all right, title and interest in the following assets. This is on page 7.

It says one silver HD [sic] laptop bearing serial number 5CG7295MQW, with a power cord, and one J3 Luna Pro Galaxy Android cellular phone, IMEI number 354308089279698, with the assigned telephone number of 347-452-5345.

Are you familiar with those assets?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And it says that if you don't forfeit the assets and execute any document to make that happen, on timely notice there would be -- this may constitute a material breach of the agreement.

And at that time, if there were such a breach, the defendant would not be entitled to withdraw the plea, but the U.S. Attorney's Office may bring additional criminal charges against you.

Do you understand what that provision means?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

```
1
                  THE COURT: Mr. Jacobson, have you gone through
 2
        that provision with Ms. Ceasar?
 3
                  MR. JACOBSON:
                                 Yes, Your Honor.
                  THE COURT: And are you satisfied she understands
 4
        it?
 5
                  MR. JACOBSON: She does.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: Okay. Now going back to page 2, at the
 8
        end of paragraph 1, there's a paragraph that talks about how
        sentencing works in this case and the other case that you
 9
        have.
10
                  It says that the sentence imposed for the
11
        obstruction of justice offense, that's the one in this case,
12
13
        may run consecutively for the sentence imposed for the
        material support offense, for which I think you already have
14
15
        a sentencing date, as defined in paragraph 3.
16
                  Additionally -- well, first of all, do you
        understand what that means, for the sentences to run
17
        consecutively.
18
19
                  THE DEFENDANT: No. I don't understand. What is
20
        consecutive?
21
                  THE COURT: Mr. Jacobson, would you like to explain
22
        it first and then I'll do it?
                  MR. JACOBSON: Sure. If I could have a moment,
23
24
        Your Honor.
25
             (Defendant and counsel confer.)
```

```
1
                  MR. JACOBSON: We're ready to proceed, Judge.
 2
        Thank you.
 3
                  THE COURT: Okay. So do you understand what that
 4
        means, consecutively, now at this point?
 5
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: Okay. So, for example, well, that
 6
 7
        paragraph refers to the material support offense and that is
        described in paragraph 3, which says that you previously pled
 8
 9
        quilty to one count of providing material support to a
        foreign terrorist organization in violation of 18 U.S. Code
10
        2339 (b) (A) (1).
11
12
                  And the government and the defendant will request
        that you be sentenced on the material support offense at the
13
        same time as your sentencing for the obstruction of justice
14
15
        offense.
16
                  Do you understand that?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
18
                  THE COURT: Okay. And it also says that the
19
        presentence investigation report that was prepared by the
        Probation Department for this district determined that your
20
2.1
        total offense level for the material support offense is 40
22
        under the guidelines.
23
                  Do you understand how the guidelines work and what
24
        they mean, or do you want me to go into that?
25
                  THE DEFENDANT: Well, can you explain that to me?
```

THE COURT: Sure. So let me just talk about sentencing for just a minute.

Do you want to say anything before I do it, or -MR. JACOBSON: No. We've discussed it. I think
she'd like to hear Your Honor's --

THE COURT: Okay. Great.

All right. So at this point in both of your cases there's no guarantee what your sentence will be.

And the court considers a number of factors in deciding how to sentence you. One of them is the sentencing quidelines.

So the guidelines are just guides. They're rules that exist that Judge Weinstein has to refer to. He's going to calculate what he thinks your guideline range is and then decide whether he should sentence you within the guidelines, above the guidelines or below the guidelines.

And there's no guarantee at this time what your guideline range is going to be, or what Judge Weinstein will decide as far as a sentence goes.

But the guidelines are one factor in deciding how to sentence you. There are other factors, and I'm just going to read them out to you and if you have any questions, just let me know. They're not written down there on that page there. And then we'll get back to the guidelines.

So in deciding what sentence to give you, the court

has to consider the nature and the circumstances of the offenses that you're charged with, your history, your characteristics, what you're like, and then also the need for the sentence.

And that's -- I'm just reading from 3553 of 18 U.S. Code.

The need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment, in other words, what's fair. Provide deterrents to criminal conduct. In other words, to make sure that it doesn't happen again. To protect the public from further crimes that you might commit and to provide you with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner. Those are some of the factors that the court looks at.

So they look at your individual characteristics, what would be fair, and the other things that I just mentioned.

Do you have any questions about that that you'd like to discuss with your lawyer, or ask me about?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I understand.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

So getting back to the sentencing guidelines, the guidelines are just one factor there.

So Judge Weinstein's going to calculate your guideline range and then decide whether to sentence you, as I said, within the guidelines, above the guidelines or below the guidelines.

And in paragraph 3 you have agreed to the calculation for the material support offense that is listed in paragraph 3.

And that is that there's a base offense level of 26, there is -- well, actually, let me let the government -- this is the government's --

MR. HAFETZ: That's correct, Your Honor.

The calculation that she has stipulated to and will not take a FATICO hearing on it is as follows, for the material support offense.

It's a level 26 base offense level, plus an enhancement for two points under Section 2M5.5(b)(1)(E) and then a 12 point enhancement, because the offense was intended to promote a federal crime of terrorism. And that's pursuant to Section 3A1.3.

And again, that results in a total offense level of 40 for the material support offense.

THE COURT: Thank you. And what does it mean, just for the defendant's sake, that she's not going to seek a FATICO hearing with respect to any aspect of the calculation?

MR. HAFETZ: That she's not going to challenge at

1 sentencing the factual basis, or legal basis, of any of those 2 enhancements. That's she's agreeing with the government, and 3 probation, which as I said, or you said, already calculated that as her offense level. And she's not in any way going to 4 challenge that offense level. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions about 6 7 what was just said? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And then going to the charge in the information, which is the obstruction of justice charge, 10 would the government mind placing on the record its 11 calculation, again, of the guidelines? 12 MR. HAFETZ: Certainly, Your Honor. 13 The total projected calculation for that is I think 14 15 contained on page 3 and 4 of the plea agreement, and that's a 16 30 to 37 month, and that's assuming, again, the defendant falls within category 1, which I believe she does fall in. 17 So that's a total, again, of 30 to 37 months. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. HAFETZ: And, again, Your Honor, the defendant 21 has also stipulated, as noted on the top of page 4, the same 22 thing I just said, for the offense level for the material 23 support.

she's stipulating to the guidelines calculation put forward

In other words, for the obstruction of just offense

24

in the plea agreement on page 3, which includes a base level of 14, an enhancement for the substantial interference with the administration of justice, which is three points, an additional two points for the destruction of a significant volume of records, and another three points because she committed the offense while on pretrial release.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So do you have any questions about what's been said so far?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: So consecutive sentence would mean that since you have two charges that you're being sentenced on, the charges could run one after the other.

So let's say, for example -- well, let's take the level of imprisonment that the obstruction count provides for under the guideline that's been stipulated to is 30 to 37 months.

So let's say Judge Weinstein gave you 30 months on the obstruction of justice and then turning to the second one, the material support charge, that carries a range of imprisonment of up to 292 to 365 months.

If Judge Weinstein were to give you 292 months, you would start serving those -- that term after you finished serving the term for the obstruction charge.

So you'd serve one completely and then serve the

```
1
        other one.
                  Do you understand?
 2
 3
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
                  THE COURT: Okay. But, again, there's no guarantee
        what Judge Weinstein will do. At this point, it's up to
 5
        Judge Weinstein to determine, based on all the factors that
 6
 7
        the statute provides for, what the fair sentence would be at
 8
        this time.
 9
                  Do you have any questions so far?
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
10
                  THE COURT: Okay. So next you've agreed not to
11
        appeal or otherwise challenge in any way your conviction or
12
        your sentence if the court gives you a term of imprisonment
13
        of 365 months or less.
14
15
                  Do you understand?
16
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
                  THE COURT: Okay. Do we need to go through the
18
        entire paragraph 6? Would that make sense?
19
                  MR. HAFETZ: No, Your Honor. There's two things
20
        I'd like to put on the record so that they're just clear for
2.1
        later.
22
                  Number one is that as noted in the plea agreement,
23
        that the new appellate waiver number that Your Honor just
24
        described of 365 months or below, supercedes and entirely
```

replaces anything and any prior agreement between the

government and the Unites States.

2.1

And that was specifically an agreement signed on February 13th of 2017. That's number one.

Number two, before we leave the subject of punishment, I do think it's important to note, and I apologize if Your Honor was getting to it, but that the violation of 18 USC 3147, which the defendant is going to allocute today, the punishment for that has a maximum term of ten years in imprisonment, and it must run consecutive to any other sentence imposed on the defendant.

THE COURT: All right.

Is there anything else in paragraph 6 that needs to be placed on the record?

MR. JACOBSON: Only one point of clarification. It must run consecutive to today's count of 18 USC 1512. It doesn't have to be imposed consecutive to the material support sentence. I know that's sort of an academic --

MR. HAFETZ: That's fine, Judge. I believe the statutory language is to any other sentence. That's why it's worded the way it is in the plea agreement, but I'm not going to contest as a factual matter that that's accurate.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

Is there -- getting back to the earlier charge, I think we've done -- we've gone through all of paragraph 1 and we've now completed everything in paragraph 2.

```
1
                  Is that correct?
 2
                  MR. HAFETZ: Yes, Judge.
                  THE COURT: So do you have any questions about
 3
 4
        anything having to do with sentencing at this time?
 5
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
                  THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
                  MR. HAFETZ: Judge, I apologize.
 8
                  Before you move on, I just also just want to make
 9
        clear, paragraph 4, because we've talked about each offense
        in the stipulation as regards to each offense.
10
                  She has, the defendant, in the plea agreement,
11
12
        pursuant to paragraph 4, agreed that under the grouping that
        will have to take place, because these are two separate
13
        offenses, that she has stipulated that her total combined
14
15
        offense level is 40 and she's not going to challenge that
16
        offense level.
                  THE COURT: Yes.
17
18
                  MR. HAFETZ: Okay. I just want to make sure she
19
        understands that.
20
                  THE COURT: Do you understand that?
2.1
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
                  THE COURT: I'm going to go through paragraph 4, as
23
        that's next on the list anyway.
24
                  MR. HAFETZ: Thank you, Judge.
25
                  THE COURT: So let's turn to page 4, paragraph 4.
```

```
1
        So pursuant to the grouping analysis, which means the two
 2
        charges, the material support offense and the obstruction
 3
        offense, the total offense level for the combination of those
        two charges is 40.
 4
 5
                  You stipulate or agree that your total combined
        offense level is 40 under the quidelines, and this level does
 6
 7
        carry with it a range of imprisonment of 292 to 365 months,
 8
        assuming that you fall within criminal history category 1.
 9
        In addition the sentence imposed pursuant to 3147.
                  Do you have any questions about that? Have you
10
        discussed that with your lawyer?
11
12
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                  THE COURT: All right. Any questions?
                  THE DEFENDANT:
14
                                  No.
15
                  THE COURT: Mr. Jacobson, are you satisfied that
16
        Ms. Ceasar understand this fully?
                  MR. JACOBSON: Yes, Your Honor.
17
                  THE COURT: Are you satisfied that she understands
18
19
        every element of the plea agreement?
20
                  MR. JACOBSON: I am.
2.1
                  THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else in the
22
        plea agreement that either party would like to put on the
23
        record, or ask questions about?
24
                  MR. HAFETZ: Nothing from the government, Judge. I
25
        think that's clear.
```

```
31
 1
                  MR. JACOBSON: Not from Ms. Ceasar.
                                                        Thank you.
 2
                  THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything you'd like to
 3
        ask your lawyer privately or ask me about your case at this
 4
        time?
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
 5
                  THE COURT: Are you ready to plead?
 6
 7
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
                  THE COURT: Is there any reason why Ms. Ceasar
        should not plead guilty to the obstruction offense?
 9
                  MR. JACOBSON: No, Your Honor.
10
                  THE COURT: As to the offense in the information,
11
        obstruction of an official proceeding, how do you plead;
12
13
        quilty or not quilty?
                  THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
14
15
                  THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily?
16
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: Has anybody forced you or threatened
17
        you to make you agreed to plead guilty?
18
19
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
20
                  THE COURT: Has anyone promised you anything that's
21
        not in the plea agreement to make you agree to plead guilty?
22
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
23
                  THE COURT: Has anyone promised you what your
24
        sentence will be?
25
                  THE DEFENDANT: No.
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: So can you tell me in your own words
 2
        what it is that makes you guilty of this charge?
 3
                  THE DEFENDANT: At some point between June, 2018
        and July, 2018, when I was out on bail, I knowingly and
 4
        intentionally deleted some Facebook messages. I did this
 5
        because I did not want Pretrial or the government to find
 6
 7
        them.
 8
                  I also had a meeting with the government around
 9
        January the 2nd of 2019 and I told them that I had not used
        the name Umnatella(ph) when, in fact, I had. I also told
10
        them that I did not create and use email account
11
        ExoticD123@gmail.com when, in fact, I had.
12
                  THE COURT: Is it satisfactory to the government?
13
        Is there anything -- questions you'd like to ask or to add?
14
15
                  MR. HAFETZ: Just in terms of where she committed
16
        these offenses, Your Honor.
                  MR. JACOBSON: We would stipulate that she was
17
        released in the Eastern District of New York, although she
18
19
        was residing in the Southern District at the time.
20
                  THE COURT: Okay. Are you challenging venue in any
21
        way?
22
                  MR. JACOBSON:
                                  No, Your Honor.
23
                  THE COURT: Are you stipulating to venue in this
24
        district?
25
                  MR. JACOBSON:
                                  We are.
```

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAFETZ: Your Honor, I'd just note for the record that at the time the defendant deleted the messages that she's talking about and during that time period she was — she was out on bail but also was facing sentencing proceedings within the Eastern District of New York.

MR. JACOBSON: She was aware of that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you were on pretrial release, as you mentioned, from the Eastern District of New York, but in a facility that was outside the district.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. HAFETZ: And the last part, Your Honor, is just to verify the defendant understood when she committed the offense of deleting those messages she was aware that she faced additional punishment, separate from the offense itself, for committing a violation of her release conditions.

MR. JACOBSON: She was aware that she could be punished for violating her conditions, Your Honor. I don't know that she was aware specifically of 18 USC 3147, but she certainly knew that there could be additional punishment for violating conditions of release.

THE COURT: Well, when you were released on pretrial release, you were told that you had to follow those

```
1
        conditions, and failure to do so could result in revocation
 2
        of the bond, as well as punishment for failing to comply with
 3
        the conditions of your bond. That's correct, isn't it?
 4
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 5
                  THE COURT: All right.
                  MR. HAFETZ: Your Honor, I don't think there would
 6
 7
        any objection to stipulating, in fact, that the defendant, in
 8
        fact, signed a from as part of her conditions of release,
 9
        which stated the sum and substance of 18-3147, which is that
        she faced a penalty of up to ten years for committing a
10
        violation while on release. I have a copy of it.
11
12
                  MR. JACOBSON: If I could just review it for a
13
        moment, Your Honor.
14
                  (Pause.)
15
                  MR. JACOBSON: Thank you, Judge. And we would
16
        stipulate that the parties, in fact, signed that rider to the
        bond.
17
                  THE COURT: Do you recall that?
18
19
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                  THE COURT: Okay.
2.1
                  MR. HAFETZ: Judge, I have one more thing. May I
22
        talk to defense counsel for one moment?
23
                  THE COURT: Yes.
24
             (Counsel confer.)
25
                  MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, I think the government
```

```
1
        is requesting some additional allocution on a number of
 2
        points.
 3
                  If I could just briefly confer with Ms. Ceasar
 4
        before we proceed?
 5
                  THE COURT: Yeah, and before you do that, I just
        want to remind you I think this was told when you had your
 6
 7
        original plea, but there's no longer parole in the federal
 8
        system. We do have parole in the state system, so that if
 9
        you're sentenced to prison, there's no early release on
        parole. Do you understand that?
10
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
12
                  THE COURT: Okay.
             (Counsel and defendant confer.)
13
                  MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, I think we're ready to
14
15
        proceed.
16
                  THE COURT: All right.
                  MR. JACOBSON: The government had simply wanted
17
18
        clarification that the false statements that Ms. Ceasar had
19
        allocuted to were made knowingly and intentionally and I
20
        believe Ms. Ceasar can confirm that, in fact, they were.
2.1
                  THE DEFENDANT: The knowingly and intentionally
22
        false statement that I made about -- of me using
23
        Umnatella(ph), I had lied to the government about.
24
                  THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear --
25
                  THE DEFENDANT: Using Umnatella.
```

```
36
 1
                  THE COURT: Yes.
 2
                  THE DEFENDANT: They had asked me if I ever used or
 3
        sent any message.
                  THE COURT: Yes.
 4
                  THE DEFENDANT: I used Umnatella and I said I
 5
        didn't and I made a false statement to the government.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: And you knowingly made that false
 8
        statement for the purpose --
 9
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: -- for the purpose of concealing what
10
        you had done. Is that correct?
11
12
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                  THE COURT: All right. Is that what the government
        was looking for?
14
15
                  MR. HAFETZ: I'd ask for it for both, Judge.
16
        That's what's stipulated to in the Schedule A.
                  That's sort of the point of the whole rider is that
17
        she knowingly admitted and intentionally -- as it read in the
18
19
        rider, knowingly and intentionally made multiple false
        statements during that interview, including, number one and
20
2.1
        number two.
22
                  Number one she just allocuted was knowing and
23
        false. She's yet to allocuted to the second one.
24
                  THE DEFENDANT: All the false statements I made was
25
        knowingly and intentional.
```

```
1
                  MR. HAFETZ: That's sufficient. Thank you, Judge.
 2
                  THE COURT: And that's in the January, 2019
 3
        interview. January 2nd?
                  MR. HAFETZ: January 2nd.
 4
                  THE COURT: Is that correct?
 5
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: Okay. So just to summarize, under the
 8
        obstruction charge, 18 U.S. Code 1512(c), you're telling me
 9
        that you destroyed information, in effect, altering,
        destroying or concealing it, with the intent of making -- of
10
        concealing from the government the information that was
11
12
        contained in -- the information that was contained and
13
        specifically preventing that information from being used in a
        bail revocation proceeding.
14
15
                  MR. JACOBSON: Your Honor, I guess I would use --
16
        I think the word "delete" is more precise here, as opposed to
        destroy, because the government was ultimately able to obtain
17
        the information.
18
19
                  MR. HAFETZ: I want to clarify that. That's true
20
        in certain cases and in other cases not at all. So delete
2.1
        and destroy is appropriate.
22
                  THE COURT: Delete and destroy?
23
                  MR. HAFETZ: The point is though she deleted and
24
        destroyed the message with the intent, as Your Honor said, to
25
        prevent their use in a bail and sentencing proceeding,
```

```
1
        correct?
 2
                  THE COURT: Is that what you meant?
 3
                  MR. JACOBSON:
                                 I think the thrust of what I was
        trying to say is that destroy has a technical legal meaning
 4
        here and she's allocuting to the factual basis of what
 5
        constitutes that destruction, which is deleting the messages.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: Right. And concealing. I mean, it
 8
        could be -- right. Right. So it's deleting it with the
 9
        intent of either destroying or concealing it. Is that
        correct?
10
11
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I'm sorry.
12
                  THE COURT: Okay. And the intent was to impair the
        availability of that information in any bail revocation
13
        proceeding and in sentencing proceedings in this district?
14
15
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
                  THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else that
        we've missed?
17
                  MR. HAFETZ: Not from the government, Judge.
18
19
                  MR. JACOBSON: No, Your Honor.
20
                  THE COURT: All right. So I find that you're been
21
        acting voluntarily, that you fully understand your rights,
22
        the charges against you, the rights you're giving up by
23
        pleading guilty, the consequences of a guilty plea, including
24
        the possible sentence and fine and how a quilty plea on this
```

obstruction count can and will affect your sentencing in the

```
1
        material support charge; that you understand what a
 2
        consecutive sentence is and you understand what you're
 3
        stipulating to in the plea agreement.
 4
                  You also understand that there's no guarantee what
        your sentence will be at this time.
 5
                  So I, therefore, recommend that the court accept
 6
 7
        your plea of guilty to information.
 8
                  Is there anything else we need to do?
 9
                  MR. HAFETZ: No, Judge. Thank you.
                  MR. JACOBSON: No, Your Honor.
10
                  THE COURT: And so I'm supposed to tell you, Judge
11
12
        Weinstein's chambers had asked that I consult with you and
        set a later sentencing date for these two cases. Any date
13
        you choose would be fine, but Judge Weinstein had issued an
14
15
        order.
16
                  Have you seen the order? The recent order?
                  MR. JACOBSON: We have, Your Honor.
17
18
                  MR. HAFETZ: We have, Judge.
19
                  THE COURT: So -- in which he was asking you to
20
        provide expert witnesses and material as to assist with
2.1
        sentencing.
22
                  MR. HAFETZ: Correct.
23
                  THE COURT: In that light, the March 14th date
24
        seems unrealistic.
25
                  MR. HAFETZ: Agreed.
```

1 THE COURT: So are you prepared to come up with a 2 date now, or do you want to meet and consult and get back to 3 June shortly? 4 MR. JACOBSON: I think the later, Your Honor I would need to consult with my experts, and co-counsel, and 5 with the government as well. 6 7 MR. HAFETZ: That's fine Judge. Thank you. THE COURT: And 10:30 any day except Friday works. 8 So June said essentially that she'll make it work. 9 MR. JACOBSON: Great. 10 THE COURT: Okay? 11 12 MR. HAFETZ: Thank you, Judge. Thank you, Your Honor. 13 MR. JACOBSON: THE COURT: Thank you. Good luck. 14 15 (Proceedings concluded at 2:08 p.m.) 16 I, CHRISTINE FIORE, court-approved transcriber and certified electronic reporter and transcriber, certify that 17 18 the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official 19 electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-20 entitled matter. 21 Christine Fiere 22 23 April 19, 2019 24 Christine Fiore, CERT 25 Transcriber