1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 2 - - - - - - - - - x 3 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal Action No. 4 Plaintiff, 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Thursday, November 4, 2021 5 10:05 a.m. vs. 6 JENNIFER LEIGH RYAN, 7 Defendant. - - - - - - - x 8 9 TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING 10 HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER R. COOPER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 11 12 **APPEARANCES:** 13 14 For the United States: KAREN E. ROCHLIN, ESQ. DOJ-USAO 15 99 Northeast 4th Street Miami, FL 33132 16 (305) 961-9234 karen.rochlin@usdoj.gov 17 For the Defendant: 18 GUY L. WOMACK, ESQ. GUY L. WOMACK, ATTORNEY AT LAW 609 Heights Blvd. 19 Houston, TX 77007 20 (713) 224-8815 quy.womack@usa.ne 21 Lisa A. Moreira, RDR, CRR 22 Court Reporter: Official Court Reporter 23 U.S. Courthouse, Room 6718 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 24 (202) 354-3187 25

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good morning, everyone. 3 We're here for a sentencing in Criminal Case 21-50, 4 Defendant No. 1, United States of America vs. Jennifer Leigh 5 Ryan. 6 Starting with counsel for the government, if you 7 could please approach the lectern and identify yourself for the record. 8 9 MR. ROCHLIN: Good morning, Your Honor; Karen 10 Rochlin for the United States, and with me at counsel table 11 is Special Agent Amie Stemen from the Federal Bureau of 12 Investigation. 13 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Rochlin. You can 14 feel free to remove your mask at the podium, if you're 15 comfortable doing so. 16 MR. ROCHLIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. WOMACK: Good morning, Your Honor; Guy Womack 19 for Ms. Ryan. 20 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Womack. Nice to 21 meet you. 22 Ms. Ryan, good morning. How are you feeling? 23 THE DEFENDANT: All right. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MR. WOMACK: Your Honor, while we're sitting here

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 3 of 54

1	at the table, at counsel table, can we remove our mask as
2	well? I will be easier to talk.
3	THE COURT: You may.
4	MR. WOMACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: When you speak, please make
6	sure you hit the microphone if you're going to speak from
7	counsel table.
8	MR. WOMACK: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you.
9	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: If you're going to speak
10	from counsel table, make sure your microphone is on. That's
11	all. There's a microphone there.
12	Probation, if you can approach the lectern,
13	please.
14	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor;
15	Crystal Lustig from the probation office.
16	THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Lustig.
17	All right. The Court has reviewed the presentence
18	investigation report, the memoranda submitted by both sides,
19	including the government's supplemental memorandum, the
20	videos cited in the government's memo, and the letter
21	submitted by Ms. Ryan. Any other written materials for the
22	Court's consideration this morning?
23	MR. ROCHLIN: Not from the United States, Your
24	Honor.
25	THE COURT: And will the government be playing any

Γ

1	of the video clips this morning, or no?
2	MR. ROCHLIN: Your Honor, if I can be a little
3	indecisive about that? It was my original plan, but I may
4	just need to see how things go. I'm leaning towards not
5	right now, but if I think it would be of assistance, I may
6	change my mind, if the Court will indulge me.
7	THE COURT: That's up to you.
8	Mr. Womack, any other materials?
9	MR. WOMACK: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	All right. Ms. Ryan, has Mr. Womack reviewed the
12	presentence investigation report with you?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: And are you satisfied with his
15	services in this case?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely.
17	THE COURT: All right. Just to start with the
18	factual summary of the offense and the defendant's
19	background in the PSR, any objections just to the factual
20	submission in the report?
21	I'll tell you what, you all can just you can
22	stay at counsel table and just speak into the microphone
23	from counsel table, okay?
24	MR. ROCHLIN: Your Honor, the United States has no
25	objections to the final version of the presentence report.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Womack?
2	MR. WOMACK: No objection, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Ryan pled guilty to
4	one count of parading, demonstrating, or picketing in the
5	Capitol Building in violation of 40 USC 5104(e)(2)(G). That
6	statute authorizes the Court to impose a term of
7	imprisonment of up to six months and a fine up to a maximum
8	of \$5,000. The statute does not authorize a term of
9	supervised release.
10	Pursuant to the plea agreement, the defendant has
11	agreed to pay restitution in the amount of \$500 to the
12	Architect of the Capitol to help compensate for the damage
13	to the Capitol. The offense is a Class B misdemeanor so the
14	federal sentencing guidelines do not apply.
15	Any objections? Did I get that right, Counsel?
16	MR. ROCHLIN: You did, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Womack
18	MR. WOMACK: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: any objections?
20	All right. We've received a recommendation from
21	the probation office of a sentence of 24 months probation as
22	well as the \$500 restitution payment.
23	Would the government like to address the 3553(a)
24	factors?
25	MR. ROCHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 6 of 54

Γ

25

1	Your Honor, I will try not to replow what I said
2	in the government's sentencing memorandum. There are a few
3	things I will try to emphasize as briefly as I can.
4	The first is the unprecedented nature of what
5	happened on January 6th at the Capitol. While I don't
6	want to belabor it, I do think it is important to note that
7	the facts of this offense I am not aware of anything
8	remotely similar in any other jurisdiction or in the recent
9	history of the United States where an angry mob breached the
10	Capitol with the intent of disrupting or overturning the
11	certification of the 2020 Presidential Election. There were
12	multitudes of people on the Capitol grounds and who entered
13	the Capitol. Not all of them were alike, and that is
14	something that I am going to be focusing on as my remarks
15	continue.

16 I'm sure the Court has seen a lot about that day 17 so I won't belabor the specific details of what happened 18 where moment by moment, but regardless of how any individual 19 defendant has been charged, this was a serious event. It 20 was a violent event. It set in motion other events that 21 caused injury and loss of life and what many believe to be a 22 continuing injury to our democratic process, and I think 23 that is something that should be on one's mind when it comes 24 to evaluating the facts of this case.

The next thing I would like to emphasize, which is

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 7 of 54

touched on in the government's papers, is the fact that this case is not about the First Amendment. This is not about prosecuting people for any belief that any individual holds regardless of what that belief may be or which side of the political line it lands.

6 The First Amendment is not unrestricted. One can 7 famously not yell "fire" in a crowded theater when there is no fire. One cannot use the First Amendment to make 8 9 threats, to conspire, to commit a crime, to cause others to 10 commit injury. There are -- or to defame, as this defendant 11 certainly recognizes having filed a defamation suit, which 12 she prevailed in. Words in many contexts have consequences, 13 and the First Amendment is not an exit ramp from 14 accountability.

15 The United States is not prosecuting every single 16 individual who has a particular political point of view 17 associated with the events on January 6th. We are 18 prosecuting people who broke the law based on evidence to 19 support that conclusion. And it is in that context that we 20 ask the Court to impose a sentence on this particular 21 defendant based on the evidence that addresses not just the 22 offense, but what she did leading up to the offense, during 23 the offense, following the offense as part of her particular history and characteristics, because those actions are one 24 25 part of a larger picture showing the serious nature of the

offense.

1

2 So when the United States focuses on statements of 3 the defendant, we do not contest the defendant's right or 4 the right of any individual to make certain statements. 5 Part of what we are saying is that the remarks, the social 6 media posts, the recordings that the United States has 7 referenced in its memorandum provide a window into the mind 8 of the person who made those statements and signify part of 9 what the ongoing offense of January 6th was all about.

10 THE COURT: So the government has obviously 11 focused on many of Ms. Ryan's social media postings and 12 media interviews after January 6th. She's obviously free to 13 make all of those statements. The government argues that it 14 shows a lack of a true acceptance of responsibility and 15 remorse, and I guess my question is, what is the 16 relationship between those statements temporally and her 17 guilty plea?

18 She has now pled guilty and has accepted 19 responsibility, at least for the elements of that criminal 20 offense. How should the Court view her prior social media 21 and media statements now that she has pled guilty and 22 accepted responsibility for that offense?

23 MR. ROCHLIN: I apologize for the beeping, Your 24 Honor. That was my computer shutting down, and I think I'm 25 going to give up on the technical aspect of my presentation for today.

1

Your Honor, I think the Court should take those statements into consideration for a number of reasons. First, because it is true, and the government has acknowledged that, yes, the defendant has pled guilty, and she has, in what the government would consider to be a limited fashion, accepted responsibility for what she has done.

9 As I read the proffer supporting her plea 10 agreement and the statements of the defendant that were made 11 to probation and in her most recent letter to the Court, she 12 is acknowledging responsibility for her physical presence 13 inside of the United States Capitol. What I don't see 14 acknowledgement of is the full context of the offense and 15 the rest of what she did; and so, therefore, the United 16 States feels it is appropriate to question the extent of 17 that acceptance, whether it is complete and whether -- I 18 think acceptance can be one thing, an admission of what one 19 has done and an acknowledgement of that fact. And remorse 20 for what one -- for the conduct one is accepting is perhaps 21 a related but separate issue. And the government, certain 22 protestations notwithstanding, does not see remorse.

23 So the statements that the government has 24 presented to the Court through its memorandum and through 25 the recordings themselves were made before the defendant's

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 10 of 54

1 plea of guilty. I cannot point the Court to a -- well, I 2 cannot point the Court to a particular recording that has 3 occurred after the guilty plea. I can point the Court to 4 the letter the defendant has written, which in many respects 5 the United States finds somewhat troubling and not fully 6 truthful. And I would suggest to the Court that one way to 7 view acceptance is, if you'll allow me a metaphor that is 8 somewhat trite, to view it as something of a bubble. 9 Untruths relating to the offense may be something that 10 bursts that bubble. So while there has been some acceptance 11 post-offense, when the defendant has been identified and 12 charged and is facing consequences, it can also be viewed in 13 that context.

THE COURT: What in her letter is untrue?

MR. ROCHLIN: Your Honor, the defendant insists, for example, that she had no knowledge that there was violence at the Capitol when she went there, and the United States, or at least this prosecutor, simply cannot fathom how that can be true given the evidence that the United States has seen and discussed.

14

One of the reasons why we distinguish Ms. Ryan's case from others is, first, because she went to the Capitol not directly from the rally she attended not knowing what was happening at the Capitol or would occur in the future upon her arrival, but after she got back to her hotel room,

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 11 of 54

1 after she observed a news broadcast reporting that people 2 were, quote, climbing the walls at the Capitol. She 3 recorded herself in parts of that broadcast and knew and saw 4 that people were climbing up the western side of the 5 building at the what I assume is the lower west terrace, 6 since that's easier to climb up towards the area of the 7 scaffolding on that side of the Capitol. There is, in the 8 recording, very quickly, some visual about the interaction 9 between the climbers and the police, and no right-thinking 10 person at the very least, and giving the defendant every 11 benefit of the doubt, could think that this was a normal way 12 to enter the Capitol, a proper way to enter the Capitol, a 13 peaceful way to enter the Capitol.

14 The defendant had that knowledge; that in effect 15 there was a mob at the Capitol; that there was a riot at the 16 Capitol; that people were not supposed to be at the Capitol; 17 and that is reinforced by a message the defendant received 18 that the United States has also referenced where there was a 19 forwarded text from a commentator describing, in his words 20 that I'm slightly paraphrasing, every barrier to the Capitol 21 has been breached.

THE COURT: I saw that. One question. Who sent her that Tweet? Did that come from one of her co-defendants in this case?

25

MR. ROCHLIN: Your Honor, it came from a member in

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 12 of 54

1	the group that she traveled with, that she attended the
2	rally with, who has not been charged, and that is why the
3	United States has not identified that individual by name.
4	THE COURT: The group was larger than the two co-
5	defendants and the defendant?
6	MR. ROCHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. There were two
7	additional individuals.
8	THE COURT: Very well. And were they all staying
9	at the same hotel?
10	MR. ROCHLIN: Honestly, Your Honor, I don't have
11	direct knowledge if they were all staying at the same hotel.
12	I know the defendant and two others were. And my assumption
13	is they were all staying at the same hotel, but I truly do
14	not want to say that without having something concrete that
15	I can point to.
16	THE COURT: Very well.
17	MR. ROCHLIN: So from the perspective of the
18	United States, Ms. Ryan had an off ramp. She had good
19	reason not to go to the Capitol at all because there were
20	broadcasts of a riot, because while she was still in her
21	hotel room, based on the time stamp for the message, she
22	knew that police were overrun. I think any rational adult
23	person would conclude that if police are being overrun it's
24	because they don't want you going where you're running. She
25	went to the Capitol notwithstanding any of that.

1 She did arrive after a good part of the mob that 2 was engaging in the assault on the Capitol, and it is 3 entirely possible, when she approached the building from the 4 east side, that what she saw from her perspective on the 5 outside in that moment was not violent, was simply a group 6 of people in an area that she had every reason to know no 7 people were supposed to be.

8 THE COURT: All right. She passed a broken window 9 when she went in.

10 She passed a broken window, Your MR. ROCHLIN: 11 Honor. She passed through the sound of audible alarms. She 12 passed people streaming out of the building. And there is 13 at least one audible comment from somebody else referring to 14 pepper spray as people are streaming out and the defendant 15 is entering, and the defendant herself makes comment --16 makes a comment as she enters and after she enters about 17 tear gas inside of the Capitol, and she's, from the sound of 18 it, concerned because she doesn't have goggles, and she 19 doesn't want to encounter the chemical irritants that the 20 police are deploying.

All of those things, Your Honor, again, I submit, are clear indications that this is not someplace where it is lawful or appropriate to be.

We also acknowledge that the defendant was not inside the Capitol for a great length of time, although I'm

1	not sure in this context it's the best or strongest or most
2	accurate indicator of culpability because the reason that
3	the defendant herself gives for exiting when she did is,
4	again, because of the chemical irritants. It's not because
5	she's overcome with remorse about being in the Capitol.
6	It's not because she recognizes that it's wrong for her to
7	be there. She is uncomfortable there, and
8	THE COURT: Well, she's indicated otherwise in
9	other contexts. She says she didn't feel comfortable.
10	She
11	MR. ROCHLIN: She didn't feel comfortable because
12	of the crowd, which, again, is not a recognition of the
13	impropriety of her presence. It is not a recognition that
14	what she's doing is wrong. It is not a recognition or any
15	kind of acknowledgement that the police are trying to make
16	the people who were there go away. Most of her statements
17	in real time and even after the fact, in later Tweets and
18	other communications apart from the presence of the crowd,
19	reflect that the defendant left because of tear gas.
20	And when she left, Your Honor and this is
21	another distinguishing factor for the United States she
22	didn't go back to her hotel. She didn't go to some other
23	part of the city. She remained on the Capitol grounds, and
24	I would submit to the Court it is appropriate to consider
25	that conduct as well in evaluating the 3553(a) factors and

-	
1	what sentence is appropriate in this case.
2	THE COURT: And I reviewed a number of videos
3	yesterday, including the destruction of some of the media's
4	property outside of the Capitol, and the defendant is
5	pictured in that scrum of people. That was after she
6	entered and left; am I correct?
7	MR. ROCHLIN: As best I can place things on the
8	timeline, Your Honor, yes, that is my understanding; that
9	this was after she had left the Capitol, and she and one of
10	her co-defendants encountered the crowd at what was an
11	outdoor media enclosure. And the Court has seen what
12	happened and the results.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And the GoPro video that you
14	submitted, that was for the purpose of establishing her
15	presence at that media enclosure; is that right?
16	MR. ROCHLIN: In the GoPro video, Your Honor,
17	that was for the purpose of establishing what happened
18	at the enclosure. Ms. Ryan's co-defendant does appear
19	briefly in that video at about five minutes and 30 seconds
20	in, give or take a few seconds, and the photograph shows
21	that Ms. Ryan was there observing as well, in a photograph
22	with her co-defendant, as somebody appears to be actively
23	taking it looks like a pole or a flag and jamming it into
24	equipment. So, yes, that is one of the events that occurred
25	after Ms. Ryan left the interior of the Capitol.

1 Other recordings that the United States feels it 2 can place after Ms. Ryan's exit from the Capitol include a 3 recording of a crowd that -- you know, notwithstanding the 4 fact that people have come out, there is a crowd that is 5 trying to go in. And Ms. Ryan is recording that, and she is 6 shouting, "We are pushing our way in." So even after her 7 own exit she seems to be encouraging, promoting, endorsing, 8 if you will, the effort of the crowd to push its way in, to 9 force its way in.

10 She, at one point, as she herself has explained to 11 the Court, is standing on the steps. She is preaching to 12 the crowd. The United States, by referring to this, does 13 not in any way mean to criticize Ms. Ryan for preaching to 14 the crowd that was assembled, but she allows herself to be 15 interrupted by someone who approaches her to report that a 16 window is being broken, and she should film it, which she 17 immediately proceeds to try and do.

18 She goes over to the window. She is shouting 19 slogans. She refers to the -- she refers to Senator Mitch 20 McConnell in a disparaging way. She approaches the window. 21 She films it. By the time she gets to this particular 22 window the people who have damaged it have already finished 23 that particular job, and I can't tell the Court with any 24 accuracy that they're even in the video, but it appears to 25 be the same window that the defendant photographs and Tweets

1 with a caption saying that they're coming for the news media 2 next. 3 THE COURT: You say in your memo that she joined in the "Hang Mike Pence" chant. I looked for that in the 4 5 video and did not see it. Is that in the video, or no? 6 MR. ROCHLIN: My recollection is that it is in the 7 video, Your Honor, and my notes reflect that as well, and I am -- I strive for accuracy, Your Honor. I am not going to 8 9 rule out the fact that I could have misinterpreted or 10 misheard. I think the video should speak for itself. 11 I do believe it is still potent evidence, the fact 12 that the defendant, who to this day says she has no 13 knowledge of violence, that she didn't witness any 14 violence -- which seems to be contradicted by the evidence 15 the government has presented -- is, again, present for a 16 chant where the crowd is shouting "Hang Mike Pence." That 17 has relevance even if the defendant did not join in with 18 that. But she joined in with plenty of other chants that 19 I'll refrain from repeating at this moment unless the Court requests. 20 21 The other kind of stand-out video for the United 22 States is when the defendant acknowledges hearing -- I am 23 not assuming it's the same window as the one in the Tweet or 24 the one she was asked to film, but she announces that she

hears windows being broken. And this is at the same time or

25

1 in the same recording, at least, where knowledge that 2 somebody inside the Capitol, a woman, has been shot with 3 what the defendant refers to as a real bullet, and in that 4 recording you can hear members of the crowd in the 5 background referring to the fact that someone has been shot. 6 The defendant makes note of that in her self-recording, and 7 then she comments on the sound that windows are being broken. 8

9 She says this is the start of a war, returning to 10 a theme that she has been presenting since approximately 11 7:00 a.m. that morning; that what is happening on January 12 6th, even before anybody has gone to the Capitol, even 13 before there's a mob at the Capitol, that this day is a 14 prelude to war, to paraphrase some of her phrasing. And she 15 comments as well in the aftermath of the reference to the 16 beginning of a war and the breaking of windows essentially 17 "What do you expect to happen?" and "The military isn't 18 going to come," and "Really, we could go in there."

And all of this is being not simply said in apparent endorsement and approval of the events taking place. These things are being recorded and broadcast. And many of the recordings the United States has presented in this case I can say with almost near certainty were broadcast on social media because that's how the United States found them. We recovered them from social media.

1 Other recordings were in her phone.

2 But the purpose was clearly to broadcast, to 3 disseminate. And I don't think this was meant to occur in 4 an objective way. I don't think this was meant to be 5 presented as criticism of what was happening. This is 6 someone who said we are going to storm the Capitol, and that 7 is why we came here. This is someone who was advocating to stop the steal. This is someone who was encouraging others 8 9 to get on their planes. This is someone who said in one 10 recording it's not just about me, it's about all of you, and 11 it wouldn't be just me confronting tear gas, breaking 12 windows.

Again, I'm not quoting exactly word for word, but that's the sense of what she says.

And this is someone who isn't your Average Joe, Your Honor. This is someone with a significant social media following. This is someone who can take a picture of a broken window, and it will get, rounding upwards a little bit, a quarter of a million likes.

20 So the United States, I would suggest, is 21 rightfully concerned not that this defendant engaged in 22 violence hands on, but that through approving it, through 23 broadcasting it, through, in effect, inciting it, there is a 24 risk in the future, if there are similar setbacks for her 25 political objectives or the objectives of those she joins,

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 20 of 54

Γ

1	that there could be additional violence at some other state
2	house or in this city or wherever some other mob decides it
3	is appropriate to react in that fashion.
4	This is something that concerns the United States,
5	especially when the defendant appears to be in a state of
6	denial about her own conduct and has said so frequently;
7	that she is a martyr; that she deserves a medal; that what
8	she did was noble; that what she did was an act of duty.
9	THE COURT: The Justice Department has the
10	difficult task of trying to distinguish between the hundreds
11	of defendants in these cases I think the latest count is
12	upwards of 700 both in its charging decisions and in its
13	sentencing recommendations. I know that it is not a
14	science, but give me a sense as to why the department has
15	come up with the recommendation it has made in this
16	particular case.
17	Why not probation? Why not three months? Why not
18	six months? Why the two-month recommendation, to the extent
19	that you can flesh that out?
20	MR. ROCHLIN: It is an art, Your Honor, but let me
21	try and give you a sense of some of the factors the United
22	States has considered in assessing this defendant.
23	First of all is the fact that in effect she was
24	drawn to the riot, unlike many others, all right. She can't
25	say that she walked from the rally directly to the Capitol

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 21 of 54

1	with a large group of people that became a mob and how could
2	anybody possibly know what would transpire? She saw what
3	was happening in a news broadcast, and she went to the riot.
4	Other defendants for whom in some cases the United
5	States has also recommended incarceration and in this
6	respect I would submit they are not as bad as the
7	defendant include the defendant in the Griffith case, the
8	Mazzoco case, and the Gallagher case. This defendant went
9	to the riot, and that is significant in the view of the
10	United States, Your Honor.
11	Other defendants this defendant argues that to
12	some extent she has engaged in an effort at cooperation. I
13	would point out to the Court, while we don't fault what
14	cooperation the defendant has provided, for example, by
15	turning over passwords for certain devices, the defendant
16	did not make those devices available, as is written in her
17	memorandum and as she acknowledges in the Today show
18	recording, and it's simply a fact. The United States
19	executed search warrants to obtain her computers and her
20	phone.
21	So I would suggest to the Court in that respect
22	this is not fully making devices available. This is the
23	United States went and, under lawful authority, procured
24	them, and so that is something that may distinguish this
25	defendant from others.

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 22 of 54

1 Other defendants have certainly cooperated more 2 fully. As one example on the cooperative side of the 3 spectrum that exceeds anything this defendant has done, I 4 can point the Court to the case of a defendant named Eliel 5 Rosa, Case No. 21-CR-68, who appeared -- whose case was 6 assigned to Judge McFadden, and approximately two days after 7 January 6th, two or three days, Your Honor -- he wasn't even a suspect -- he self-reported to the FBI and turned himself 8 9 The level of cooperation here is certainly not at that in. 10 level, and I don't know that that weighs strongly in favor 11 of a lower sentence as opposed to a higher one. 12 THE COURT: And am I correct to conclude that 13 there is some coordination within the department to

14 distinguish between defendants, to make sure that there are 15 not undue disparities in the recommendations that the 16 government is making in all of these cases?

MR. ROCHLIN: Absolutely, Your Honor. There is a very dedicated effort. I consider it to be thorough and painstaking, if the Court will indulge me in expressing one prosecutor's opinion, but there is certainly a process. There are efforts to list and compare and contrast the different cases in the process of arriving at a recommendation.

24There are other factors that make this defendant25stand out from various others, including defendants who, on

1 different timelines, immediately expressed remorse and 2 contrition and regret for what they had done. That is not 3 something that happened in this case, and in its papers the 4 United States has cited the comments of your colleagues on 5 the bench who have reviewed expressions of remorse with some 6 skepticism and have decided to attach less weight to such 7 expressions when they have been after the fact, so to speak; 8 after getting caught, after a plea of guilty, when 9 confronting sentencing, at a point in time when there is 10 self-interest in making such expressions of remorse as 11 opposed to -- even when genuine. I think the Mazzoco case 12 is one example of that, and I believe that's the one we 13 cited in our papers.

14 What the defendant said at the time of the ongoing 15 riot on January 6th is also striking to the United States 16 because, in the view of the government, this was -- this 17 defendant was an individual advocating violence, even if she 18 did not participate in such violence herself. She endorsed 19 it. She celebrated it. She promoted it. She broadcast it. 20 She broadcast it in such a way that no one could possibly 21 think, as she stands next to a broken window and says we're 22 coming for the news media next, that this was a disincentive 23 to act violently.

24It was the opposite, and it was done by someone25who has every reason to know that words matter and have

consequences and every reason to think that she had
influence over others because that is what she did. That is
what she intended to have over various social platforms.
She wanted people to follow her.

5 And I know she disputes that she wasn't asking 6 people to follow her in the sense of urging them to 7 violence, but the government treats many of her remarks with 8 skepticism partly because they appear to have changed over 9 time. This is someone who said she didn't go into the 10 Capitol at all, and then she was at the door frame, and then 11 she was in there for two minutes, which happens to be the 12 truth, the last part.

But these are not signs of credibility, Your Honor. This is a defendant who began that morning by speaking of a prelude to war, who indicated that she would be willing to essentially lay down her life to enter the United States Capitol on January 6th because it was that important to her.

And when pressed on that point, because the logical assumption is if one is laying down one's life one expects to encounter violence, in an interview the defendant explains that she didn't mean she would be fighting. She was referring to essentially that if somebody shot her it would be worth it. Again, I'm paraphrasing somewhat, but I think that's a fair rendition of the import of her

```
statement.
```

1

2 And then now, in her letter, she claims the war 3 she was talking about was an information war, and no 4 violence was intended. And I think that evolution sends a 5 clear signal that there is a lack of credibility in these 6 explanations, which are situational and designed to deflect 7 the true intent that happened in the moment of the events of 8 January 6th because, Your Honor, one doesn't lay down one's 9 life when conducting an information war, and people 10 generally, as this defendant expressed, don't, quote, freak 11 out because they are about to go to war, which was in one of 12 the video clips that the government presented. 13

THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. ROCHLIN: So given this broadcasting, given 15 this advocacy for violence, the United States puts the 16 defendant in a separate category.

17 Another factor, if the Court will bear with me, 18 that distinguishes this defendant is what the government 19 views as her dishonesty. Again, coming back to the claim 20 that she did not know that a riot was taking place, which 21 was said to probation, which was repeated even more strongly 22 in her letter, that she didn't witness any violence, I think 23 the evidence shows pretty clearly that she did. She heard 24 windows breaking. She was told someone was destroying a 25 window and went to film it. She seemed to think that she

1	was in a situation where the military could come but wasn't
2	going to on this particular day. She saw what happened at
3	the media enclosure. Yet she emphasizes to probation,
4	literally to this Court, that she did not know of any
5	violence, and her letter states she did not witness or
6	observe or participate in violence.
7	Well, the United States will grant she didn't
8	commit violence hands on, but in some sense, as an observer
9	and an encourager, she did participate, and she certainly
10	witnessed and observed and then transmitted the violence of
11	that day.
12	All of these factors, coupled with a lack of
13	remorse, coupled with some concern that the defendant may
14	seek to do this again, make the United States conclude that
15	its sentencing recommendation is appropriate.
16	And, again, in anticipation of First Amendment
17	arguments, Your Honor, the defendant cannot use the First
18	Amendment to incite. The defendant cannot use the First
19	Amendment to further unlawful conduct, and it is not
20	something that strips one of accountability for one's own
21	actions.
22	THE COURT: Great. Thank you.
23	Mr. Womack.
24	MR. WOMACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	Your Honor, I agree with what the government said.

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 27 of 54

1	This case is not about the First Amendment. And the
2	government said that in the very first comment, and then for
3	43 minutes all they talked about was the First Amendment.
4	THE COURT: Well, Counsel, you have spent a lot of
5	time in your memo, and the defendant has in her letter,
6	talking about the First Amendment.
7	MR. WOMACK: Absolutely, Your Honor. We're trying
8	to defend it, and I'm doing that again today.
9	Your Honor asked the government during their
10	speech, if you will, was anything that Ms. Ryan said not
11	protected by the First Amendment, and they conceded, yes, of
12	course it was protected.
13	She's not here for inciting violence; she didn't
14	do that. She's not here for encouraging people to commit
15	violence or destruction; she didn't do that. Her acts,
16	which is what she's charged with and what she pled guilty
17	to, are that on January 6th of this year she and some
18	friends of hers had flown out here from Dallas, Texas, on a
19	private jet to go to a party, a celebration of American
20	freedom.
21	She went to the rally by President Trump the
22	morning of January 6th. She was full of patriotic vim and
23	vigor and fervor, but she went back to her hotel. No
24	intentions of going to the Capitol. You know, that wasn't
25	part of the plan. But once back at the hotel her friends

1	were watching TV. She wanted to go take a nap, and they
2	said, "Hey, people are in the Capitol. Why don't we go down
3	there."
4	She didn't see any violence. There wasn't any
5	violence that she would have known of, and so they went back
6	to the Capitol
7	THE COURT: She didn't get the text with the folks
8	scaling the walls of the Capitol or watch the
9	MR. WOMACK: I don't consider that violent. It's
10	stupid. It's dangerous. You can fall and hurt yourself. I
11	think it would be wrong to be climbing up there even
12	THE COURT: You don't think that act would elicit
13	violence on behalf of by the police who were trying to
14	defend the Capitol?
15	MR. WOMACK: Well, I haven't seen pictures of the
16	police doing anything other than standing there, but if they
17	were fighting police, that's illegal. If they were hitting
18	police, yelling at police, I think that's wrong.
19	Climbing the Capitol, I think it's stupid, but I
20	wouldn't say it was violent, if they're just climbing the
21	Capitol.
22	But it doesn't matter how I interpret it. The
23	fact is she didn't do that.
24	THE COURT: Was it peaceful? Would you
25	characterize that as peaceful protest?

Γ

1	MR. WOMACK: I wouldn't, Your Honor. I think it's
2	stupid, and a lot of things they did were stupid.
3	But she didn't participate in any of that. If she
4	saw people climbing up the Capitol, I mean, that was silly.
5	But she went back down there with her friends.
6	She did not push aside barriers. She didn't push police out
7	of the way. She walked into the Capitol with a crowd. She
8	stood and the video shows this. The government can see
9	this. She was in the Capitol for about two minutes, and she
10	was never as far away from the door as I am to the bench.
11	She was within eight or ten feet at all times at most.
12	Police officers were sitting nearby. She greeted
13	them. They said hello. No one said, "Don't come in here,
14	darling. Get out of here." Nothing like that.
15	She was standing among the crowd. She walked out.
16	She did not see a broken window going in, but she did see
17	the broken window when she came back out.
18	Remember, she probably came out a different side
19	of the crowd. The crowd was going in on the right. It was,
20	I guess, coming out on the left. When she came back out,
21	you know, she saw the window. She took a picture standing
22	in front of it, and she made comments afterwards about what
23	a great time it was. She was glad she went.
24	Again, in her mind, she was this was the kind
25	of experience that she'd had in Texas at a demonstration;

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 30 of 54

1	the only demonstration she'd ever been to before this. They
2	were protesting something there in Texas, and she and a
3	group walked around the Texas Capitol a copy of the
4	nation's Capitol, by the way and she walked around that.
5	And there was no destruction, no violence. No one was
6	arrested. It was a completely peaceful demonstration.
7	That's the only demonstration she'd ever been to in her
8	life. It was a good experience.
9	So she came up here on this private jet. She
10	walked in with her friends. She stood in the Capitol for
11	two minutes. She doesn't know what pepper spray smells like
12	or tear gas, but she said it stunk inside the building.
13	THE COURT: Isn't it fair to assume that she left
14	because that tear gas hit her, not because she had second
15	thoughts?
16	MR. WOMACK: No, Your Honor, it's not.
17	THE COURT: Why not?
18	MR. WOMACK: She wasn't hit by there is no
19	evidence at all, no suggestion in photographs, that her eyes
20	were watering.
21	I don't know if Your Honor has a military
22	background or not. I'm a retired lieutenant colonel of the
23	Marines. I've been in tear gas chambers half a dozen times.
24	THE COURT: Well, I meant that metaphorically.
25	She clearly experienced the tear gas. She said, "I don't

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 31 of 54

1	have goggles." She didn't like it. Is that why she left,
2	or did she leave for another reason?
3	MR. WOMACK: She left because she says it in
4	the video. "I have nothing to do here."
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. WOMACK: She had no business being in there so
7	she left, and if she had been close enough to tear gas or
8	I don't know about pepper spray. If she'd been near tear
9	gas, all of the fluid in her eyes and nose would be running.
10	She'd be a mess. Make-up would be washed off. It would be
11	a complete mess. Physically you would see it. So she
12	wasn't close enough to anything like that. But she left.
13	And, again, she left. She didn't go further in.
14	She didn't go try to find what was going on. She said, "I
15	have no business being here." She walked out.
16	She took a picture of the broken window that had
17	already been broken apparently. She didn't see it broken.
18	Nothing illegal about that. We've probably taken pictures
19	of car wrecks or fires or other things that have happened.
20	She did nothing more than that.
21	The comment, which is what the government is
22	trying to punish her for, is that she was boasting about
23	this. She is a social butterfly. You know, she goes on the
24	Internet and posts things, and she's saying, "Oh, it was a
25	great day. I'm glad I was there." And she was there.

Γ

1	And I think she ended up getting an attorney like
2	immediately after she was encountered by the FBI, not me,
3	and the attorney was saying, "Hey, you know, you should go
4	to the press and say President Trump told you to do this,"
5	but that was a lie. But she did agree to some interview and
6	said the things that she said in the interview. That was
7	within days or a week or so of when the event happened.
8	In February, after she had encountered the FBI,
9	she hired me, and of course I said, "Knock off all that
10	stuff. We don't need that. I don't want Your Honor hearing
11	that kind of stuff." She's done nothing like that since
12	then.
13	Let's go over what happened that day. She goes
14	back
15	THE COURT: Let's focus on the statements. We
16	both know what they are. You've made the point in your memo
17	that, you know, they're protected speech. I agree with
18	that.
19	MR. WOMACK: And it is.
20	THE COURT: She's perfectly entitled to have said
21	what she said, but you would agree that I can still consider
22	those statements for purposes of sentencing when I think
23	about, you know, deterrence and acceptance of responsibility
24	and true remorse and respect for the law. Those are all
25	legitimate purposes of sentencing, correct?

-	
1	MR. WOMACK: I would agree. I would agree.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So you're not saying I
3	shouldn't consider them. You're just saying that she was
4	entitled to make them, right?
5	MR. WOMACK: Exactly.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MR. WOMACK: And Your Honor can also consider
8	the fact that since well, beginning immediately when she
9	was when the FBI came to see her, she gave them her cell
10	phone. They didn't have to show her a warrant. She gave it
11	to them. She gave them the password that protects her cell
12	phone. She gave them her computer, laptop, also other
13	equipment, which the government has I think it was two weeks
14	ago returned to her finally.
15	But she gave them that. She gave them the
16	passwords. She gave up all of that stuff so they could look
17	at it. She told them what website she uses or what, you
18	know, podcasts and blogs she participates in or watches,
19	what news programs she watches. She told them all about
20	that.
21	Very importantly, when she first hired me, I was
22	not then admitted to the District of Columbia. I belong to
23	20 other federal districts, but not this one. So I
24	submitted my application, and it took weeks and weeks to
25	finally get admitted. I think it was March 3rd or something

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 34 of 54

1	before I was admitted to this Honorable Court. But during
2	those two or three weeks I was applying for admission, I was
3	busy talking to Frances Blake.
4	Frances Blake is an Assistant U.S. Attorney for
5	the Southern District of Texas in the McAllen Division. She
6	was the originally prosecutor on the case. Ms. Rochlin
7	joined the case almost a month ago, October 5th, according
8	to her appearance.
9	From the very first conversation with Frances
10	Blake Ms. Ryan was offering to plead guilty. She felt
11	terrible about what she had done. She realizes this wasn't
12	just something fun or something that was celebrating
13	President Trump. This was wrong, to go into the Capitol,
14	even to demonstrate by standing there for two minutes.
15	And so she was wanting to plead guilty, and I
16	related that to the government. I said, "She's also willing
17	to come in and make a proffer. She'll tell you what
18	everybody else did that she knows. There's only a handful
19	of people there that she knew, but she'll tell you all of
20	that."
21	The government didn't take part of that. They
22	said, "Well, we'll wait until we develop the case and get
23	you some more evidence to look at, more discovery." But
24	from literally I think it was 18 February of this year
25	Ms. Ryan was attempting to plead guilty in this case and was

1 telling the government that.

Ms. Blake said, "Well, I can't make the decision. This is coming out of Washington." And she started contacting her superiors, whoever that is, and the date that she -- that Ms. Ryan pled guilty, and I forget what it is now, that was the very first date available after they gave her a plea agreement.

8 As soon as they finally came up with a plea 9 agreement that we'd been talking about for months, we 10 contacted the Court. We tried to schedule the plea for 11 immediately, and they did it August, I guess. I forget when 12 it was. But that was the first available date that we could 13 get after she got a plea agreement. But she was asking for 14 that plea agreement back in February before I was even 15 admitted to the District.

16 As late as two weeks ago or one week ago I talked 17 to Ms. Rochlin. She had called me, graciously, and told me 18 she was filing her memorandum. I was at a restaurant 19 somewhere on another case, and I told her that the offer 20 still stands. Ms. Ryan has always offered to meet with the 21 government and talk to them, and no one's taken advantage of 22 that, but it exists today. She's always been willing to do 23 that. And Ms. Rochlin said, "Well, we're not sure if we 24 want to talk to her," and they had valid reasons. They may 25 or may not want to. I'm a former AUSA. I understand her

1 position. 2 THE COURT: So, Counsel, the point is that her decision to plead guilty was earlier than might be inferred 3 from just the docket given the timing? 4 5 MR. WOMACK: It was in February. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And after she decided to plead guilty 6 7 in February, did she then go on all those news shows? 8 MR. WOMACK: I'm sorry, what? 9 THE COURT: After she decided to plead guilty in 10 February, did she then go on all those news shows and send 11 out all these Tweets, or those were all before that? 12 MR. WOMACK: They were before that, Your Honor. 13 And she's been nothing but remorseful because she realizes 14 what she thought was protected, to the extent that she was involved, really was wrong, and she should have known that 15 16 then. 17 And she came in here and said, "Yes, I did 18 demonstrate inside the Capitol by being in there," and she 19 chanted, you know, "USA, USA." She is on video making a 20 biblical quote invoking Jesus's name. She wasn't saying, 21 "Let's burn this" -- "Let's break the place down." She was 22 not part of any insurrection or anything violent, and 23 watching something happen as -- keep in mind when she left 24 the Capitol she saw the broken window. 25 She now had gotten separated from her friends that

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 37 of 54

1	had flown out here, the party group on that plane, so she
2	left the Capitol premises and walked across to the grass. I
3	call that still on the Capitol grounds, but she's across all
4	the concrete in the grass sitting on a bench. And she sat
5	there for a while waiting for her friends to come back out
6	from whatever they were doing, and they were leaving.
7	And the only, if you'll call it an act of
8	violence I would. She saw one of the friends apparently
9	hit at a camera, a tripod or something. She had nothing to
10	do with that. She was not in a position to stop it. She
11	didn't know it was going to happen. It was spontaneous.
12	She saw it at most, did nothing to encourage that. And she
13	would agree that it's illegal to do that. She didn't do
14	that.
15	So her acts, as was pointed out by the probation
16	officer not the one we have here in court. The probation
17	officer who wrote the PSR said that Ms. Ryan is among the
18	least culpable, the minimum, of all the defendants in this
19	case. And literally her act for which she committed she
20	walked into the Capitol unimpeded, stood for two minutes and
21	eight seconds, I think the government says, and walked out.
22	No damage. No destruction. No violence.
23	And the fact that, you know again, she was on
24	social media after that in those ensuing days and said,
25	"Yes, I was part of this great thing." Her acts since then

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 38 of 54

1	show her remorse. Giving everything to the government
2	without having to have a warrant, giving them passwords that
3	they never would have gotten with a warrant. Let's face it;
4	they wouldn't have gotten it legally. She gave it to them.
5	And she's offered repeatedly, even to this prosecutor, to
6	come in and do a proffer, if they want it. And they haven't
7	accepted it, but they could have. It's been offered, and no
8	one will deny that. And so I think we should look at what
9	she actually did.
10	Probation did consider the 3553 factors and said
11	that there was no reason Ms. Ryan doesn't need to be
12	rehabilitated. There was no reason for incarceration in
13	this case. This is a case that probation themselves
14	recommend probation.
15	We agree with that. We think that would be an
16	adequate punishment.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. WOMACK: Ms. Blake had offered that as well, I
19	mean, to be unopposed to that, but it was not in writing. I
20	told her, "Look, I understand. I'm a former AUSA. You
21	can't say that."
22	She said, "Washington will tell me what to ask
23	for. But yes, for what she did, I don't mind probation."
24	And that doesn't mean anything except I know
25	that's how she felt, and she had the case for all these

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 39 of 54

-	
1	months until October 5th.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
3	MR. WOMACK: Nothing else, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
5	Ms. Rochlin, Mr. Womack mentioned the prior
6	negotiations with the Justice Department and the timing of
7	her plea. Do you want to address that?
8	MR. ROCHLIN: Your Honor, I'm not going to dispute
9	that negotiations may have started sometime before her plea
10	colloquy actually occurred and the plea was accepted by the
11	Court. I'll give counsel that point. I will note sometimes
12	the federal government does move slowly.
13	With respect to his negotiations with Ms. Blake,
14	who is now in private practice, I want to assure the Court
15	that before she left the Department of Justice we spoke
16	several times, and she and I did discuss what would be an
17	appropriate sentence in this case, as I had those
18	discussions with other supervisors, and I was not a witness
19	to conversations with counsel, but based on my
20	conversations, there is no disunity within the United States
21	about what this defendant should receive as a result of the
22	offense that she committed.
23	And I would point out and I would ask the Court
24	to indulge me just a little bit on the comment that this
25	defendant is among the least culpable. It's true she was in

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 40 of 54

1	the Capitol for the two minutes, but that's not the shortest
2	amount of time of any defendant who has been charged for
3	spending time inside of the Capitol.
4	I would also point out that when I looked at other
5	defendants who have been charged
6	THE COURT: Counsel, I think we covered the
7	landscape in terms of the offense so we don't need to
8	replow.
9	MR. ROCHLIN: Very well, Your Honor. I won't beat
10	a dead horse then, but there are reasons to distinguish this
11	defendant, and I thank the Court for its consideration.
12	THE COURT: Very well.
13	Ms. Ryan, anything you want to tell me before I
14	impose your sentence? Why don't you both come to the
15	microphone.
16	THE DEFENDANT: I just want to say that I'm very
17	sorry. I mean, there's really not words to describe. I was
18	foolish, and I made a mistake, and I learned from that
19	mistake, more like a thousand lessons, and I was just I
20	made a mistake, and I'm sorry, and you will never see me in
21	this light again. I promise. And it's just not anything
22	that remotely resembles who I am, and I'm sorry.
23	THE COURT: All right. I am not the kind of judge
24	that lectures defendants, and certainly not grown women like
25	yourself, but I do try to, in all cases, explain the reasons

1	why I have come to the sentence that I have, and I will do
2	so in this case and, in the course of doing that, try to
3	address as many of the arguments that Mr. Womack has made
4	and the points that the government has made in its memo.
5	And the starting point is what we call the nature
6	and seriousness of the offense. It's what you did, right?
7	And there is a tendency in these January 6th cases, to lump
8	everybody together. Those people. Those rioters. These
9	people. On both sides of the aisle.
10	But, you know, there are over 700 of these
11	defendants now, and each one of them is different. Each
12	defendant's role is different, and your particular
13	involvement has been discussed at length in the memos and
14	here in court today, and it is true that you played a lesser
15	role in the criminal conduct that took place than many
16	others did. You were not an organizer. You were not a
17	planner. You did not break any windows or knock down any
18	doors or hurt anybody or steal anything, nor did you bring
19	any guns or knives. And we see folks all over the map who
20	have done all of those things.
21	And you didn't make your way onto the Senate floor
22	like many others did. And as your counsel has emphasized,
23	you were only in the building for about two minutes.
24	I think there's a dispute as to why you left. I
25	think it is fair to assume that you may have left not

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 42 of 54

because you had second thoughts but because you didn't want to experience the tear gas that you obviously noticed when you hit the building.

But in any event, all those factors explain why you are here on a single misdemeanor count rather than the felony offenses that many others are facing, but that does not mean that you don't have any culpability in what happened that day.

9 Obviously you pled guilty to the count that you 10 did, but beyond that, I think the government is correct by 11 emphasizing that you knowingly took part in something that 12 was much more serious. And I don't doubt that, you know, 13 you probably didn't appreciate the full seriousness of what 14 was going on that day, but it was much more dangerous than 15 just your stepping foot into the Capitol for two minutes.

16 You joined a large group of people who were intent 17 on, in your own words beforehand, storming the Capitol in 18 order to prevent the Senate and the Vice President from 19 performing their constitutional duty to certify the election 20 results. And when you chose to leave your hotel room and 21 march down to the Capitol, I think it's clear that you knew 22 that this was no ordinary peaceful protest. You knew that 23 because you were watching Fox News in real time in your 24 hotel room and commented that they're climbing the walls of 25 the Capitol. You knew it at 12:28 when you got a Tweet

1	saying, quote, Trump supporters are now actively destroying
2	and trying to occupy the Capitol. You claim you don't
3	remember reading that Tweet, but the metadata presented by
4	the government indicates that it was read. You knew it when
5	you walked out of your hotel room and said we're going to
6	war, and we're going to be breaking windows.
7	THE DEFENDANT: I did not say that.
8	THE COURT: Now that may be hyperbolic
9	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't say that.
10	THE COURT: but it's on the video. And you
11	knew it when you got to the Capitol when the riot was still
12	going on. You passed by a broken window. You heard the
13	alarms going off, and you smelled tear gas; so I don't think
14	you could have missed the fact that this was no peaceful
15	protest and that there was violence going on around you.
16	Now, I know you didn't participate in it
17	THE DEFENDANT: Right.
18	THE COURT: but you did celebrate it, and you
19	were a cheerleader. You cheered it on. You posted a Tweet
20	next to the broken window after you left and saying, you
21	know, to the media, "We're coming after you next." And you
22	stood by and Tweeted while folks destroyed the media
23	equipment and the encampment after you left. All right?
24	You didn't have to be there. You could have gone
25	home.

1	THE DEFENDANT: It was already done.
2	THE COURT: You could have gone home.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Once that tear gas hit you and you
5	realized what was going on and this was not the place to be,
6	you could have gone home; but you didn't, okay?
7	And in any case, even if your own conduct was
8	peaceful, as a number of my colleagues have noted, you still
9	bear at least some degree of responsibility for the tragedy
10	of that day.
11	I believe you. You didn't see any police. You
12	walked right by a police officer. He didn't try to stop
13	you. He didn't say, "Don't go in." I get that.
14	But one reason for that is because they were
15	outnumbered. They were overwhelmed, all right? And it was
16	the presence of the group. There is strength in numbers.
17	It was the presence of the mob that caused law enforcement
18	to be overwhelmed and not to be in a position to stop people
19	from going in. And that makes every person who decided to
20	go in that day even if it was somewhat after the fact
21	like you. You weren't the first person in. I get that.
22	But your very presence, your very numbers, mean that you
23	have at least some responsibility for what happened that
24	day.
25	Let me make clear, we've talked about the First

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 45 of 54

1	Amendment. I will reiterate what the government has said.
2	No one is being prosecuted for coming to Washington, D.C.,
3	that day. No one is being prosecuted for the belief that
4	the election was stolen. If you had the good sense not to
5	leave your hotel room or even to go down there and to stop
6	one foot before you went in, you wouldn't be here today,
7	okay? The only reason that you are here is because you
8	decided to join in and to go into the building.
9	And not to belabor the point, but I think it's an
10	important one. We get protesters all the time in
11	Washington, D.C. I see them from my window virtually every
12	week. Sometimes I don't even know what they're protesting.
13	And that's great. That's part of our democracy. We
14	encourage it.
15	But when folks get unruly, when they break stuff,
16	when they hurt people, the police make arrests, and
17	sometimes those people get charged.
18	A few years ago the U.S. Attorney's Office here in
19	D.C. charged five protesters with disrupting a Supreme Court
20	argument. They didn't like the Supreme Court's decision in
21	Citizens United. Do you know what Citizens United is?
22	THE DEFENDANT: (No verbal response)
23	THE COURT: It's a Supreme Court decision that
24	permitted or said that corporations and other organizations
25	have a First Amendment right to contribute unlimited sums of

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 46 of 54

Γ

1	money in support of political candidates, okay? And a lot
2	of people didn't like that.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
4	THE COURT: And most of those folks were on the
5	left. And five folks came, and they stood up and disrupted
6	a Supreme Court argument. Like you, they didn't hurt
7	anybody. They didn't break anything. But they were charged
8	with federal misdemeanors, just like you, all right?
9	So you're not being singled out for your political
10	views or anything like that. And I told them the exact same
11	thing. It's not about the political views that you
12	expressed, but it's how and where you decided to express
13	them. Okay?
14	The next thing I have to consider is you, all
15	right, your particular characteristics. I've read all of
16	the materials. You were dealt some bad cards as a kid, and
17	I don't feel the need and in your adult life as well, and
18	I feel no need to go into that on the record. But
19	especially given where you started from, you've obviously
20	had to work very hard, and you've had to hustle for the
21	professional success that you have achieved, both in your
22	real estate business and in your various social media
23	ventures.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And you should be proud of that, and

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 47 of 54

you can take credit for that.

1

2 On the other side of the coin, your statements and 3 your media appearances after January 6th, I think, 4 demonstrate a certain lack of accountability for your 5 actions, okay? You've played down your role in the events. 6 You've been very up front that you feel no sense of shame or 7 quilt. You've blamed the FBI. You've called this a witch 8 hunt. You've suggested that Antifa was somehow involved 9 despite no evidence whatsoever of that, and perhaps most 10 famously, in words that I'm sure that you regret, you 11 predicted that you wouldn't go to jail because you have 12 blonde hair and white skin. 13 THE DEFENDANT: I was taking up for myself. 14 Someone -- I was being attacked, and I was answering them, 15 and they were saying you're a blonde insurrectionist. So I 16 was taking up for myself. I didn't just Tweet that as a --17 but of course that was -- I shouldn't have -- I just

18 shouldn't Tweet.

23

I mean, I was really taking up for myself, and Ididn't do a great job at that.

THE COURT: Okay. And, again, you're free to say all of those things.

THE DEFENDANT: Well --

THE COURT: But by making those appearances and going on social media, you know, the folks who respond have

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 48 of 54

1	a First Amendment right to respond even if they do so in
2	completely inappropriate
3	THE DEFENDANT: They were doing it already.
4	THE COURT: ways, okay? But you put yourself
5	out there, all right, and they responded. And if they
6	responded by vandalizing your real estate signs or doxing
7	you or, you know, threatening you, then that is not
8	protected by the First Amendment. But certainly their
9	Tweets are, and, you know, you get yourself into that briar
10	patch, and you've got to live with it, right?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And as Mr. Womack acknowledged, you
13	know, while you have a right to go on ABC News and say all
14	those things and Tweet, you know, I can't disregard that. I
15	can assess whether you've shown genuine remorse, whether
16	you've truly accepted responsibility beyond just signing
17	that plea document
18	THE DEFENDANT: Right.
19	THE COURT: and whether you have shown, you
20	know, respect for the law and respect for this Court; and
21	it's not about me personally, but about respect for the
22	process. And your actions since January 6th, you know,
23	makes me doubt some of those things.
24	But that brings me to the need for general
25	deterrence, right? And you noted somewhere I read that for

Case 1:21-cr-00050-CRC Document 55 Filed 11/10/21 Page 49 of 54

1 better or for worse you've become one of the faces of the 2 January 6th incident, right? Now, I think you may have --3 you know, that may be part of your responsibility, by being 4 so public in your statements about it, but for whatever the 5 reason your case has generated a fair amount of public 6 interest, and as a result, people will be interested to know 7 what sentence you get, and that sentence will tell them 8 something about how the courts and about how our country 9 responded to what happened on January 6th. And I think that 10 the sentence should tell them that we take it seriously; 11 that it was an assault on our democracy; that it cost the 12 lives of five people; that it had lasting and potentially 13 dangerous effects on our government institutions; and that 14 it should never happen again.

That does not mean that everyone who participated should be charged with a felony. That does not mean that, you know, misdemeanant defendants should not get probation in appropriate cases, and I suspect that many of them will, and many of them have thus far.

The Justice Department has a very difficult role of balancing all of the competing factors, including the need to just physically process the 700 and growing number of defendants that have been charged thus far. And they have to do that while at the same time reaching individualized charging decisions and sentencing recommendations.

1

Folks are going to second-guess those decisions from both sides. That's only natural. But in this case, at least, I believe that the Department has exercised that responsibility appropriately and has struck the right balance in the sentencing recommendation that it has provided me.

8 So with that, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform 9 Act of 1984 and in consideration of the provisions of 18 USC 10 Section 3553 as well as the advisory sentencing guidelines 11 or at least the factors set forth, it is the judgment of the 12 Court, that you, Jennifer Leigh Ryan, are hereby committed 13 to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 60 14 days imprisonment on Count 4. In addition, you are ordered 15 to pay a special assessment of \$10 in accordance with 18 USC 16 3013. The Court will also impose a fine of \$1,000 to be 17 payable within 90 days. You are also ordered to make 18 restitution in the amount of \$500 to the Architect of the 19 Capitol. The Court waives any interest or penalties that 20 may accrue on that balance.

Fine and restitution payments shall be made to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the District of Columbia for disbursements to the following victim: The Architect of the Capitol. And the address will be in the judgment and committal order.

1	Financial obligations are immediately payable to
2	the Clerk of the Court of the United States District Court.
3	Within 30 days of any change of address you shall notify the
4	Clerk of the Court of the change until such time as the
5	financial obligation is paid in full.
6	You have a right to appeal the sentence imposed by
7	the Court if the period of imprisonment is longer than the
8	statutory maximum. If you choose to appeal, you must file
9	any appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment.
10	You also have the right to challenge the
11	conviction entered or the sentence imposed if new and
12	currently unavailable information becomes available to you
13	or on a claim that you received ineffective assistance of
14	counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the offense of
15	conviction or in connection with this sentencing. If you
16	are unable to afford the cost of an appeal, you may request
17	permission from the Court to file an appeal without cost to
18	you.
19	The Court will direct no issue with self-
20	reporting, Ms. Rochlin?
21	MR. ROCHLIN: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. The Court will order the
23	defendant to report on a date after January 3rd.
24	Any other objections to the sentence?
25	MR. ROCHLIN: Not from the United States, Your

1 Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Womack? 3 MR. WOMACK: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Placement recommendation? 4 5 Recommend someplace near the Northern District of Texas? 6 MR. WOMACK: Yes, Your Honor. The only facility I 7 believe is in Bryan, Texas, in the southern district. It's not too far from Dallas. 8 9 THE COURT: Ms. Ryan, we will recommend a 10 placement near your home in Dallas. The Court's recommendation is not binding. The Bureau of Prisons will 11 12 determine where you will be placed, and you should await 13 further instructions from them, all right? 14 There is no probation or supervised release in 15 this case so I will not see you again. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Unless you decide to come back to D.C. 18 for some reason. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Never. 20 THE COURT: I know that in your letter you said 21 that you plan to stay away from politics and stick to, as 22 you say, make-up and macaroni and cheese. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I think that's a good idea. 24 THE COURT: That might be a wise idea, although 25 I -- you know, I encourage everyone to remain, you know,

1	active in political life. I would simply suggest and you
2	don't have to take my suggestion that if you do so
3	perhaps be a little more discriminating and far-ranging in
4	your selection of news and information sources, okay?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
6	THE COURT: All right. Good luck to you, ma'am.
7	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
8	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, the remaining
9	charges
10	THE COURT: The government should dismiss the
11	other charges in the information.
12	MR. ROCHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. Forgive me for
13	being a little slow on the uptake, but the United States so
14	moves.
15	THE COURT: All right. So ordered.
16	Anything else, Counsel?
17	MR. WOMACK: No, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Good luck, Ms. Ryan.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
20	(Whereupon the hearing was
21	concluded at 11:22 a.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Γ
1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, LISA A. MOREIRA, RDR, CRR, do hereby
4	certify that the above and foregoing constitutes a true and
5	accurate transcript of my stenographic notes and is a full,
6	true and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best
7	of my ability.
8	Dated this 10th day of November, 2021.
9	
10	/s/Lisa A. Moreira, RDR, CRR
11	Official Court Reporter United States Courthouse
12	Room 6718 333 Constitution Avenue, NW
13	Washington, DC 20001
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	