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PROCEEDINGS
COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good morning, Your Honor.
This is Criminal Case No. 21-578, United States of America versus Defendant No. 1, Zachary Wilson, Defendant No. 2, Chelsea Leigh Ann Wilson.

Jacob Strain and James Pearce for the government.

Joanne Slaight for Defendant Zachary Wilson.
Kira West for Defendant Chelsea Wilson.

And Jessica Reichler for the Probation Office.
Both defendants are appearing via videoconference for this hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, everyone.
Mr. and Ms. Wilson, good morning to both of you. Can you both hear me okay?

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Yes.

DEFENDANT KELSEY WILSON: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Terrific.
So we're here for sentencing this morning. I want
to make sure that everybody is ready to proceed.
MR. STRAIN: Yes, Your Honor, the government is ready.

MS. SLAIGHT: Yes, Your Honor, for Mr. Wilson, Zachary Wilson.

MS. WEST: Good morning, Your Honor.
Yes, Ms. Wilson is ready to proceed.

THE COURT: Before we get going, let me confirm with defense counsel, and then I'll turn to the Wilsons themselves, that they are prepared to waive an in-person sentencing hearing. We are proceeding remotely this morning, and I want to make sure they understand what their rights are and that they're waiving their right to appear in person.

MS. SLAIGHT: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Wilson does waive his right to appear in person and agrees to a remote appearance for a video hearing for this sentencing.

MS. WEST: Likewise, Your Honor, Mrs. Wilson also waives her right to an in-person sentencing. And I wanted to thank the Court for doing this remotely with regard to what's going on with the pandemic.

THE COURT: So let me just confirm with the Wilsons.

Mr. Wilson and Ms. Wilson, let me make sure you understand that you have a right to an in-person hearing; that is, you have a right to come to the courthouse and be in the courtroom for your sentencing.

You understand that, Mr. Wilson?
DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Ms. Wilson, do you understand that?

DEFENDANT KELSEY WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And we are, however, proceeding remotely today, and I want to make sure that this is something you're agreeing to.

Mr. Wilson?
DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Yes.
THE COURT: And, Ms. Wilson?
DEFENDANT KELSEY WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
So I do find that the Wilsons are knowingly and voluntarily waiving their right to an in-person sentencing hearing. I further find that it is in the interest of justice to proceed with sentencing remotely, given the circumstances of COVID and importantly I know the Wilsons are interested in bringing this matter to a close, and so for that reason, it's in the interests of justice to proceed. And further, we still do have authority under the CARES Act by order of the Chief Judge to proceed remotely for sentencing.

Okay.
COURT REPORTER: Judge, one second.
Can I ask the defendants to mute? I think their background noise is drowning you out a little bit.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.
I'll just ask Mr. and Ms. Wilson to mute their phone or whatever device they're using. Thank you.

All right. Let me first go over what I've received and reviewed in advance of sentencing and then we'll turn to the government and then to defense counsel.

Let me just first go over, as I said, what I've received on behalf of Mr. Wilson: The Presentence Investigation Report at ECF 45, and Probation's recommendation at ECF 46, the government's memorandums in aid of sentencing at 32 -- no, I'm sorry, I misspoke, hang on -- at 49, there was the defendant's -- excuse me, the defense sentencing memorandum at 47, and then the reply at 52.

On behalf of Ms. Wilson, I've received the Presentence Report at 43, Probation's recommendation at 44, the defendant's sentencing memo at 48 , and the defendant's response at 55, and the government's sentencing memo at ECF 50.

I've received, also on behalf of Ms. Wilson, I've reviewed the two letters that were submitted on her behalf. And there were letters also submitted on behalf of Mr. Wilson, I've reviewed those.

And I've also reviewed the government's supplemental brief concerning 18 U.S.C. 3561 and their request for a probationary sentence that includes a term of imprisonment, and the legality of doing so, which is at ECF 53.

So that's all I've received, and I'll make sure there's nothing I've missed on behalf of either defendant or on behalf of the government.

MS. SLAIGHT: That's all for Mr. Zachary Wilson, Your Honor.

MS. WEST: That's correct, Your Honor, for Mrs. Wilson.

MR. STRAIN: And nothing else from the government.
THE COURT: All right.
Are there any objections to the Presentence Report on behalf of either defendant that have not been addressed?

MS. SLAIGHT: No, Your Honor.
MS. WEST: With regard to Mrs. Wilson, our objection about her criminal history, I believe, has been addressed.

THE COURT: Right.
MS. WEST: I don't know that it's been resolved. But as I said, Your Honor, in my memo, that's purely an academic exercise, because it would still be zero criminal history points, but I thought it was important for the Court to know that Ms. Wilson doesn't really understand why that's there.

THE COURT: Okay.
Well, I guess my view is that I agree it's not material, at least insofar as sentencing computation,
because the Guidelines are not applicable here, so I'm not being asked to consider and compute a Criminal History score.

And if memory serves, I think that offense, to the extent it was -- let me just make sure -- hang on one second. Right. I mean, the offense was -- looks like a traffic violation, maybe a little bit more than that; I don't know what the Missouri law is. But in any event, it's something that happened, if it happened at all, you know, almost ten years ago, more than ten years ago, and so I think its significance is fairly -- even if it happened, I don't think it's all that weighty a consideration, let's just put it that way, okay?

All right. Let's start with the government then and hear from Mr. Strain. Although I do have a question about the government's argument with respect to 18 U.S.C. 3561, and so I don't know whether Mr. Pearce is the person to address that with in the first instance.

MR. PEARCE: I am, Your Honor. I'm happy to respond to any of the Court's questions on that supplemental filing.

THE COURT: Okay.
So let me just ask. It's a strange construction of a statute, because it sets down a rule, then an exception, but then it includes sort of an exception to an
exception.
And I'm talking about $3561(a)(3)$. And it says:
"A defendant who has been found guilty of an offense may be sentenced to a term of probation unless the defendant is sentenced at the same time to a term of imprisonment for the same or different offense that is not a petty offense." And the government's construction is that, as long as the offense is a petty offense, then it's still eligible for a term of imprisonment.

Can I ask -- and maybe I'm the only one to read it this way, but I almost read this to suggest that the eligibility for -- in a period of incarceration only for a petty offense occurs -- excuse me, for -- right -- for a period of incarceration only occurs for a petty offense when the person is being sentenced to more than one offense. In other words, you know, it says a defendant who has been found guilty of an offense may be sentenced to a term of probation unless the defendant is sentenced at the same time to a term of imprisonment for the same or different offense.

So I almost read this to suggest that there have to be two offenses, and it's only applicable when there are two offenses which a person is being considered for sentencing.

Mr. Pearce, am I reading that incorrectly, in your view?

MR. PEARCE: So I don't think it is incorrect to suggest that is a reading and that $I$ think that is certainly encompassed by the adjective, "a different offense." And so we certainly wouldn't disagree that it would apply if a defendant were facing multiple offenses, at least one of which is a petty offense. That, I think, would fall under the terms of the statute.

But I think, Your Honor, quite obviously, has to construe all of the words there, and I think the same has to do some work as well. And, in fact, that's exactly what the Fourth Circuit relied on in the Posley case that we cite, which, to our knowledge, is -- and, of course, we acknowledge it's nonbinding here, it's out of Circuit, it's unpublished, but it's the only court, one way or the other, to really have dealt with this question.

And it, when it was dealing with a question of a single petty offense where there was a term of both incarceration and probation, in fact, quoted the language the same and then used an ellipsis, because in that context, "different" didn't make a difference, there was only one petty offense which the defendant had been convicted.

So to give the word the same meaning, I think it has to cover -- or at least our view is that it covers the situation where a defendant is convicted of one.

It certainly also addresses or comes to --

THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt, but --
MR. PEARCE: Please.

THE COURT: -- how does that then explain the "at the same time" language that's found in Subsection 3?

I mean, the defendant -- you know, the statute begins with, "a defendant who has been found guilty of an offense may be sentenced to a term of probation, unless he is sentenced at the same time," which suggests to me that when you're -- that there's something else happening at the same sentencing, in addition to the sentencing with respect to the offense to which the person has been found guilty.

MR. PEARCE: So we read "at the same time" to refer to the imprisonment, right.

So at the same time imprisonment and probation. Those are the two things that the Court is -- because, otherwise, again -- and I think maybe the historical piece, the fact that when Congress and the Sentencing Reform Act first enacted this language, it enacted "at the same time" to the same or a different offense, and then added, you know, subsequently, as we set out in our briefing, that is not a petty offense.

So I think if somebody is being sentenced just for one offense and let's -- not a petty offense and they get imprisonment, they are not eligible for probation. And that's true if they get it on the same or another
offense; in other words, the "same time" refers to the same time getting both imprisonment and probation, not the same time multiple offenses; or at least -- maybe this is better -- at least the multiple offenses is a different offense piece, at the same time to two different offenses.

And I will certainly concede this is not a model of clear draftsmanship, but we do think that this is the best reading, again, taking into account not so much the legislative history, although that's relevant, but the statutory history, the fact that "that is not a petty offense" is added after the language that precedes it. MS. SLAIGHT: Your Honor -THE COURT: Hang on one second, Ms. Slaight. MS. SLAIGHT: Sure. THE COURT: I guess I wonder why the word "same" is even in there then. And maybe it's just to present a distinction from "different," because if what you've suggested is that -- why wouldn't it make more sense for the statute to simply say, unless the defendant is sentenced at the same time to a term of imprisonment for the offense that is not a -- is not, I guess, for the offense if not a petty offense?

MR. PEARCE: So, again, $I$ agree that if we were in a draftsmanship class, there would have been ways that this could have been written more clearly to either make clear
that, you know, there is -- the view that we have is correct, we think that is the natural and best reading, but one could have written it more clearly to make it absolutely crystal clear that that's correct and likewise could have made it absolutely crystal clear that it is not correct.

I don't think that gives rise to a lenity problem, because, again, lenity only kicks in where we've got such ambiguity. But I don't disagree that there could have been ways to draft this, perhaps avoiding the double, if not triple, negative here that would have lent it -- made it easier to interpret.

THE COURT: Okay.
Just -- I'm still trying to wrap my head around
the construction of this statute.
Ms. Slaight, I think you asked to be heard on this.

MS. SLAIGHT: Your Honor, yes.
Initially I would say that your interpretation agrees with the implicit interpretation of Judge Kotelly in the Spencer case, which I had filed her opinion in that case.

She doesn't specifically say what you said, but the implication is obvious, especially the Court had extensive briefing on that case and gave examples.

The defense filed a document -- a pleading, it was

ECF 69, and said exactly what you said, that it relied on -that the -- that there were three ways that that clause was applicable: If the person was sentenced to a petty offense and a non-petty offense, two petty offenses or two non-petty offenses, well, then it wouldn't apply, but the -- the wording of the statute goes to people who were sentenced to more than one offense, including one which is a petty misdemeanor offense.

And I think that if you look at the statute in relation to the Sentencing Reform Act in general and 3551 -and I think that they -- we -- the defense and the government agree that the Sentencing Reform Act was enacted largely to abolish split sentences, which is exactly what the government is trying to do today, which is have split sentences, even though the point of this Sentencing Reform Act was to abolish them. And the government says in its pleading on page 3, in its most recent pleading, which is, I believe, document 53 on page 3, that the point that a major purpose of the Sentencing Reform Act was to abolish split sentencing.

And the government and the defense also agree, as the government said on page 5 of that pleading, ECF 53, that it's clear that supervised release is not permitted for petty misdemeanors. So for petty misdemeanors, the statute is very clear that release is not permitted.

If the government, if the -- in light of the fact that the Sentencing Reform Act, the purpose was to eliminate these split sentences, if the legislature wanted to actually go back and allow for supervision after incarceration, it would have changed 3583, the supervised release statute, and would have said -- would have taken out that 3553 (a)(3) says for a Class E felony or for a misdemeanor, other than a petty offense, the maximum supervised release is not more than one year. If the legislature wanted to continue -wanted to change that and suddenly allow for supervision for petty offenses, it would have changed the supervised release statute, it wouldn't have changed the probation statute.

The probation statute was amended for circumstances where persons are sentenced to two offenses or more to allow for one offense, if it's a petty offense, for the person to receive probation and the other offense to receive incarceration.

That's the only way you can interpret that and still follow the -- really, what the -- I would like -- it's not plain reading of the statute, but follow the reading of the statute, especially in conjunction with the supervised release statute in 3551, if the government -- if the legislature wanted to continue supervision, they would have done it as supervised release after incarceration, which was their intent of the Sentencing Reform Act.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PEARCE: May I briefly respond, very briefly, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure, Mr. Pierce, go ahead.
MR. PEARCE: Yeah, thank you.
So I think it's certainly correct that in 1984, Congress did aim to abolish the split sentences and set that in the legislative history and did that basically across the board.

I also think that when Congress amended $3561(a)(3)$, it brought them back, right. Although not across the board, we certainly acknowledge that they are not widely available, but they are widely available for an offense that is not a petty offense.

And it would be particularly peculiar to read that to suggest they brought it back but only in a situation where there was at least two petty offenses or multiple offenses, at least one of which is a petty offense. That seems a very narrow universe in which to bring that back.

I think, again, the more consistent reading of the text, as well as the more logical rationale of bringing back some term of supervision, of course, in the context of probation and not supervised release, is a reading that would allow the Court to split the sentences.

And then very briefly, and I'll keep this quite
brief, we certainly -- and I'll defer to Mr. Strain in terms of the nature of the recommendation and why we make it, but here, in asking for 14 days, this Court also has the ability under $3653(\mathrm{~b})(10)$ to impose incarceration as a condition of probation. In our view, a full 14 weeks is a brief period that could be done continuously without needing to do it in so-called intermittence -- intervals -- intermittent confinement. As we said, intermittent would be fine as a matter of the Court's legal authority, but, of course, we recognize the conditions under which we are operating in the pandemic and thus we have not been pressing Courts to do that, although some of your colleagues, not at the government's request, have imposed those sentences.

MS. SLAIGHT: All right, if $I$ could reply. THE COURT: Sure.

MS. SLAIGHT: I just point out that on page 3 of the government's ECF 53, they actually talk about wording for the statute, that one proposed amendment that didn't go into effect, was they actually used the words, "for another such offense," and I would suggest to the Court that had been their intent all along, it's just poor drafting when the statute was actually enacted, that they didn't include those words when they finally passed the statute in Congress.

THE COURT: Do either defense counsel have a view
on the government's alternative argument; that is, with respect to 18 U.S.C. 3563, that, as a condition of probation, intermittent incarceration is permissible, including for the brief period of confinement, that, in the government's view, was sort of a week or two?

MS. SLAIGHT: Your Honor, I would just say, as to that section of the statute, the government, again, has, in their brief at ECF 53, page 9, footnote 3, notes that the point of that Section (b)(10) is not to give people split sentences. It's really to -- I would suggest it's more to allow for work -- when they talk about intermittent confinement, it's more to allow for people for work, it's not to effect a split sentence.

And if you have a 14-day sentence, that is effectively -- you're effectively giving somebody a split sentence. And that's what they're asking for, incarceration or let's try another way to give them a split sentence through this 3563 (b) (10). It was never intended for that, I would suggest. And their own brief notes that there is reason to believe that that's just a way to finagle around to get a split sentence which had not been intended. That should be more for allowing work release.

MS. WEST: May I, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Sure, Ms. West, go ahead.
MS. WEST: First of all, I would like, for the
record, to join Ms. Slaight's reply that she filed; we would take the exact same position.

With regard to the Court's question, does -- and I'm just asking this question: Intermittent confinement to me, in other cases I've had, is where somebody is sentenced to a term of imprisonment, but they have a job and they get to go to the D.C. jail on Friday at 6:00, and they get out, you know, Sunday night at 10:00, and they do that for 14 weekends or 10 weekends and that's their sentence. To me, that's intermittent.

But what's been happening in these cases is, judges have been giving people, you know, 14 days or 20 days, and that person goes and spends their whole 20 days, they don't spend it intermittently, which I think is a problem.

And my other issue is, would intermittent confinement be the same as home confinement? Are we not trying to address the same thing with the home confinement?

THE COURT: Well, I think -- yeah, I mean, the issue is just what this means.

And we can move on shortly, but, you know, it says that essentially, as a condition of probation, that defendant can remain in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons. So that's by virtue of that, that means confinement, not home confinement --

MS. WEST: Right.
THE COURT: -- during nights, weekends, or other intervals of time.

You know, the question is, what does "other intervals of time" mean? The government's suggestion is it can be a block of time, a continuous block of time, of up to two weeks, I suppose, although, you know, I think the fact that the other words in that sentence are all plural, including nights, weekends, or other intervals of time, suggests there being more than one period of incarceration.

I know the government suggests that, you know, the singular ought to be treated as -- the plural ought to be treated as singular and vice versa, though I'm not sure that sort of general rule makes a lot of sense here, because otherwise $I$ suppose what this suggests is that, as a condition of probation, somebody could be incarcerated for one night, which would be a very odd sentence, but I suppose, under the government's reading, that might be allowable.

In any event, that's the issue, an interesting one, I think, and one that we all ought to be thinking about.

MS. SLAIGHT: I would suggest --
MR. STRAIN: Your Honor, may $I$ just make just a
factual correction?

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MS. SLAIGHT: I was just going to say, I think that's just a way of avoiding the prohibition on split sentences --

THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. SLAIGHT: -- by not sentencing people for
the -- in intervals, which is specifically in the statute.
THE COURT: Mr. Pearce.
MR. PEARCE: Yeah.
Again, we don't think there's a prohibition on split sentences as to a petty offense, but I'll leave that.

Just factually, to my knowledge, no court in a Capitol breach case has imposed a sentence of a block of 15 or 20 days. I believe Judge Nichols and Judge McFadden, in cases, have imposed imprisonment under this provision and have imposed it over weekends, up to, I think, in one case, ten days, in another, 20, and maybe in the third, 15.

And so there has not been a sentence of exceeding weekends. Again, we think the statute is clear and the legislative history makes clear that a week or two is fully consistent with what Congress was trying to do. But that is not a factually accurate description, to my knowledge, of any sentence that has yet been imposed in a Capitol breach prosecution.

MS. WEST: And I would just like to correct the
record there, Your Honor.
In my experience, in United States versus Glenn
Croy -- I'd have to get the Court the number --
Chief Judge Howell, it's a weird thing, my client was not in the -- I guess he was in BOP custody, but he didn't go to a Bureau of Prisons place, he went to Denver to a confinement area. And he served his straight 14 days. It wasn't intermittent. So I don't know if that's -- just for the record, I know that that's what happened, he was there for a straight 14 days.

THE COURT: But was he also -- was he then also on probation or was it just that --

MS. WEST: Yes, he also got a sentence of
36 months' probation.
So I'm really struggling with what to do with those cases now.

THE COURT: Okay.
All right. Well, look, let's sort of move forward with the sentencing, and then if $I$ need more information on this, $I$ can -- or if I need to think about it some more, I will do that.

But, you know, I think this is the first time it's been presented to me. This is, in fact, only the second January 6th case I've actually had come to sentencing and the first one in which this issue has been squarely
presented, so $I$ wanted to have a conversation about it.
All right. Let's move forward then and let's go ahead and we'll move forward with the traditional part of sentencing and start with the government's allocution.

MS. WEST: Your Honor, I just wanted to let the Court know the case number is $21-162$ for Glenn Croy, C-r-o-y.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. STRAIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
I'll provide the Court with the sort of factual background as the basis for our recommendation. And I won't go over everything in the sentencing memo, I will just highlight a couple of things that should stand out in the Court's decision.

The January 6th riot interfered with the sacred tradition in America of the peaceful transition of power, and the government's view is that everyone who participated in the breach of the Capitol needs to be held accountable.

And these two defendants drove to Washington, D.C. to attend the "Stop the Steal" rally, where former President Trump told the crowd that the election had been stolen and that in reality he had won the election in a landslide victory.

These defendants then marched to the Capitol, where they trespassed on to the grounds, they bypassed
barriers, they witnessed at least one man shot with tear gas or pepper spray, one or the other, and then proceeded to trespass into the Capitol building.

Mr. Wilson entered through a window, Ms. Wilson entered through the Senate wing doors, just right next to the window, and then they were together after that inside the building the entire time.

And once they were inside, they went pretty much everywhere in the building. They went to the Crypt, the Rotunda, the House wing, they entered Nancy Pelosi's office, and they exited on the far south side of the building.

They spent --
THE COURT: Can I interrupt you for a moment?
Can you just -- what does the government know
about entry into the Speaker's office? In particular, I guess a few things; one, how people were able to enter the office? Was, for example, the exterior door to that office closed, locked in some way, and it was broken into?

And then, you know, I don't have a sense of what the Speaker's office looks like, and I think it's probably unwise to provide a description of it, but can you at least say whether, within the sort of perimeter of that office, there were actual members of her staff or others in that space while the outer part of the office had been breached?

MR. STRAIN: Judge, yes, I can answer some of
those questions.
So as far as $I$ know, the Wilsons did not engage in any violence or destruction, they didn't break in any doors. So if there was a breach of Speaker Pelosi's area, the Wilsons did not participate in it when -- and I had seen them on camera walk up to an open door. And I -- to be clear, I am not aware that that door was broken into or -maybe it was, maybe it wasn't, I'm not sure.

But without getting into too much description of what the office looked like, it had personal decorations, you know, a desk, table, windows, paintings on the wall, furniture, that kind of thing. I'm not aware --

THE COURT: And was this her actual office?
I mean, I envision the Speaker's office as having multiple -- consisting of multiple rooms. And I know I've seen the photo of another defendant with his feet up on the Speaker's desk. Is that the room in which the Wilsons were able to enter and did enter?

MR. STRAIN: I'm not sure, Your Honor. They may have. We only have one -- the only -- there's no cameras inside the Speaker's room.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. STRAIN: So we only have one video that the defendant, Mr. Wilson, took. And in that video, it does not look like it's the same room as the famous picture with the
individual with the feet up on the desk, it doesn't -- at least not to me.

And to circle back to the Court's other question, I think this is a large space with multiple rooms and multiple areas. It's not just a single office, I think there's multiple areas. And from what I understand, there were staffers that were in a conference room that they had locked the door, barricaded it, and were hiding under the conference room table, and they could hear rioters outside banging on the door.

We don't have any information that the Wilsons, who were part of that, that they were near that. It's unclear that that all happened in the same time and temporal proximity. I'm unable to answer that definitively, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. STRAIN: But in total, they spent approximately 20 minutes inside.

And that video that I mentioned to the Court, they did take their pictures and the video from the Speaker's office and they did -- Mr. Wilson did post it on Facebook. And the caption on Facebook is, "First ones in, and the first thing we found was Pelosi's office."

And then shortly after the events on that day, the FBI did go to the Wilsons' house and conduct an interview of them, and they both lied to the FBI multiple times.

To their credit, they did sit down with the FBI and speak with them. Unfortunately, they didn't tell the truth. Mr. Wilson lied at least three times, mostly about Ms. Wilson's involvement. And as far as I'm aware, Ms. Wilson never told the FBI that she had entered the building.

So they did -- I want to say as well, Judge, that they did provide the FBI with videos and pictures that they had, and they went through some effort to get the FBI those videos and pictures. And so I don't want to suggest that they didn't actually try. They did try.

And the Wilsons wore no tactical gear, they didn't have any goggles on, they carried no weapons, they were cooperative during the arrest process, and they complied with their release conditions.

And given all these factors, Judge, those are the factors that leads the United States to recommend the 14 days' incarceration, followed by 36 months' probation.

THE COURT: Let me ask, factors among the factors you've identified, to the extent the U.S. Attorney's Office has recommended either straight probation or home confinement for others, how do you, in your view -- what facts in this case set this case -- these defendants apart from those as to whom probation and/or home confinement has been recommended?

MR. STRAIN: I think the four main factors that drive the United States' recommendation are the witness -the witnessing of violence. As I said, they saw a man who was pepper sprayed, they walked right past him. They entered a private office, a private area of the Capitol, a sensitive area of the Capitol. They posted a video from that area on Facebook and bragged about it, and then they lied to the FBI about it. Those are the main four reasons.

THE COURT: Okay.
Anything else, Mr. Strain?
MR. STRAIN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right.
Why don't we begin with Ms. Slaight and hear her on behalf of Mr. Wilson.

MS. SLAIGHT: Yes, Your Honor.
I would first ask that Mr. Wilson be allowed to read his statement into the record before I speak.

THE COURT: If you want to do it in reverse order than we traditionally do, if that's how you want to do it, that's fine.

MS. SLAIGHT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
So, Mr. Wilson, at this time, your lawyer has said that you'd like to read a statement into the record, and you can go ahead and do that.

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Okay. I just want to say --

THE COURT: And, Mr. Wilson, can I interrupt you? DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Just for present purposes, could I ask you to move your screen over so that I can see your full face? There you go. Thank you.

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Okay.
I just want to say thank you, Your Honor, for your time and consideration in my case. I wholly and fully understand the consequences of my actions last year. I understand how detrimental and divisive it was for our country to be in such a fragile place. I know that what I did was wrong, and I know how detrimental it was and continues to be for our country, who is torn apart and divided right now and how it will continue to hurt us for years to come.

I cannot apologize enough or express remorse I have for the actions that day. I can assure you that if I could take it all back, I would in a heartbeat.

My wife and I went to Washington, D.C. to hear former President Trump and his guest speakers. We had no intention of interfering with congressional proceedings. We saw the crowd and got caught up and followed them up to the building.

I knew better and I realized that staff members were in the building and I apologize to them and I apologize for taking advantage of the gap in security and entering in the building myself.

I'm incredibly sorry for my part in what is now such a stain on American history. My life and my family's lives are forever marred by my actions.

I am not a political person by nature, and that was my very first time being anywhere involved in
politicians [sic] and my very first time ever voting.
I'm a family man. I just want to take care of my family and give my kids the best life I possibly can. If my wife and I are sentenced to jail, I fear that we will lose everything that we've worked for for the past decade of our lives.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Ms. Slaight, would you mind if I asked Mr. Wilson a question?

MS. SLAIGHT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Wilson -- and thank you for your statement.

I guess what I'd like to understand is, when you were there on January the 6th, what was going through your mind as you were entering the Capitol building and why you thought that was something that was permissible?

MS. SLAIGHT: Your Honor, Mr. Wilson -- I just want to note, did you ask why he said he thought it was permissible?

THE COURT: Right; in other words, why he --
MS. SLAIGHT: He's acknowledging that he knew it wasn't permissible. So he's acknowledging he violated the law.

THE COURT: Right.
I think my question is slightly different, at least maybe I'm misunderstanding.

I know he's acknowledging that now. I assume at the time when he actually entered the Capitol building, he didn't understand it was not permissible. Maybe I'm wrong about that, and so maybe needs some clarification. But if he thought it was okay to enter the Capitol building, I guess the question I have is why he thought that.

MS. SLAIGHT: I think that he acknowledges that he knew it was wrong. He said, I knew better, but that --

THE COURT: Well, let me just ask him.
I mean, Mr. Wilson, when you entered the building, what was your understanding at the time? Did you believe it was okay to be there, acceptable, permissible, or did you do so knowing that it was not allowed?

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: I think it really was just kind of like a -- you know, a lack of my thought
process, I think, you know.
I did not believe it was okay. I mean, my common sense told me that it -- maybe I didn't, like, register it at the moment, but afterwards, I was like, that wasn't okay, you know.

THE COURT: How do you explain the -- I mean, the government has identified a social-media posting you made in which, I think -- I don't remember the exact terms, but a social-media posting in which seemed to suggest that you didn't think it was wrong and you were sort of proud of your actions.

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Right.
I mean, I didn't realize that I had broken a law at the time, you know. I didn't realize that I'd broken a law.

I wasn't necessarily bragging, but $I$ was just more like -- I was caught up in, you know, what -President Trump telling everybody that, you know, this election got stolen, and he had kind of, you know, everybody enraged and just -- I didn't really -- how do I explain this -- like, I didn't -- I just really got up, you know.

And we didn't have any idea that when we were going to do a march, we thought we were just there for the speech. And then when he said, yeah, turn around and march, and everybody was like, yeah, let's march. And he had
already everybody so worked up. When we got up there, I just reacted wrongly. I really feel stupid, to be honest.

And I posted to Facebook not really -- not
thinking, you know. Like more or less of I felt like it was, like, a movement-type deal. You know, like just -- not necessarily -- yeah, when I realized it was wrong and I realized the consequence, like, the gravity of my situation and stuff, of course, I deleted it. I was like, whoa, okay, I think I did cross a giant line there without thinking.

You know, and $I$ honestly did not realize it at first; that's why I posted it to social media. I just thought we were being more of like activists type, you know. And when I realized literally --

THE COURT: So why then not tell the truth to the FBI? I mean, according to the government, you had multiple opportunities to tell the truth and you didn't, at least initially. You did eventually, but...

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: I just panicked, Your Honor. I just panicked.

I didn't -- you know, it was a lot to take in at once. Everything happened so rapidly.

And, you know, I was thinking of my kids.
I didn't want to lose my kids.
THE COURT: Is Ms. Wilson sort of whispering in your ear? If she is, I understand, but I'd rather get
answers from you and I'll ask her some.
DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Right, right. I'll ask her to stop. She's --

THE COURT: Okay.
Go ahead, if you want to finish the answer.
DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: But, yeah, I mean, I believe that I just panicked.

You know, seeing the FBI is a lot to take in all at once and stuff. And not knowing -- you know, you just -basically, your mind just goes to the worst thing possible.

And I didn't know how to react. You know, honestly, I panicked. I was worried about my wife and worried about my kids, you know.

And -- but we did, we really did try to just help the FBI, come clean. We might not have been truthful about absolutely every detail, but we weren't there to destroy or hurt anybody or nothing like that.

THE COURT: I'll be honest with you, you're lucky the government didn't charge you with making false statements to the government. I mean, they could have done that --

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- and you could be looking at far more worse consequences than you are right now. I hope you appreciate that.

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: I do appreciate it very much.

THE COURT: Okay.
All right. Ms. Slaight.
MS. SLAIGHT: Your Honor, first, I want to say
that I think that Mr. Wilson realizes -- I think it's clear that he realizes the significance of his actions, and he is remorseful for that day.

And he has had a very difficult background, as you could see from his Presentence Report. But he really insists on lifting himself up.

And he takes his rehabilitation seriously. He really acted on it. After he was arrested here for this case, he increases his workload actually. His wife was fired. His feelings of panic were not unrealistic, because his wife was actually fired from her job. And he increased his workload; he worked overtime.

He has an excellent letter from his employer, saying about his -- and $I$ think this shows really what his character is, that he's unafraid to help others. They've seen him help other people at multiple times on his shift.

And he's continued that despite the stress of the last year, the stress of this case. And I can't -- I can't emphasize enough that this has been very stressful not only because his wife lost her job, he's been publicly
humiliated, there's been things in the press, he's always worried about his family.

He hasn't been able to talk to his lawyer in person, and I want to point out to the Court that that is really problematic. This is a very unusual thing to happen. Yes, what happened on January 6th was unusual, but not being able to communicate in person with your attorney is very unusual. And despite that, he's cooperated fully; he, as the government has knowledged, gave the government -- made every effort to give the videos to them.

The video that he made was only on January 6th. And like other people, days later, he didn't continue to post videos and say, you know, what I did was okay, I didn't do anything wrong. He -- that was only in -- unfortunately, when he was there as part of the mob, that he did that.

So I would ask the Court to talk about when he posted a statement or posted a video, it was while he was in the mob. And it is unfortunate that he made a choice to be in the mob, but that it -- when he had an opportunity to reflect, he didn't -- he realized what -- the actions that he took.

And I want to address the point that the Court made about him making a false statement. And I think that it's true that obviously he was panicked, but I think the Court should also understand that in this jurisdiction --

I don't know what happens in other jurisdictions, but in this jurisdiction rarely, if ever, is someone prosecuted for a false statement to the FBI. So this -- because the agents realized, number one, that this happens, people don't have an opportunity to talk with their lawyer first, and that isn't --

THE COURT: Yeah, Ms. Slaight, you know, we could -- it's okay. That's not a point we need to debate, but there are plenty of people that are prosecuted in this jurisdiction for making false statements.

MS. SLAIGHT: I'm sure there are people in this jurisdiction. But I've certainly had plenty of people who have made statements that they've later retracted that were not prosecuted for false statements, particularly when they cooperate with the FBI and resolve their case as quickly as possible.

Certainly, I would note the U.S. has, and it's undisputed, the highest incarceration rates in the world. But still, for petty misdemeanors, the standard is -- and the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines doesn't keep track of petty misdemeanors, $I$ don't believe, but certainly for petty misdemeanors, the standard, I would suggest, would be probation in not only U.S. District Court but in courts all over the country. And I think that if the court should take that into account, that this is a petty misdemeanor.

The government -- and just one note in terms of the split sentencing which I didn't bring up, is that if the legislature had intended to read the statute, as the government intended for the statute to be interpreted as the government now says, the penalty for a petty misdemeanor would actually be more punitive than for a Class A misdemeanor or one-year misdemeanor, because for a Class A misdemeanor, if the person was sentenced to six months' incarceration, they could only get a maximum of one year supervised release. But, according to the government's interpretation, if they are sentenced to six months' incarceration for a petty misdemeanor, they could get five years' probation. So that doesn't make sense to me, that the sentence, under the government's interpretation, would be more serious for a petty misdemeanor than less serious.

The government in this case chose not to prosecute Mr. Wilson for a felony, and they chose not to prosecute him for insurrection because $I$ believe they could not have met the elements for that and they could not have gotten a conviction. They chose not to prosecute him for assaulting officers because he did not do that, so they could not charge him for that felony. They chose not to prosecute him for destruction-of-property felony because he, in fact, didn't do that.

Mr. Wilson made a choice to be unlawfully in the
building, he acknowledges that, and he's fully accepting that. That is a petty misdemeanor.

But he also made choices that have to be acknowledged and that are ignored in the government's sentencing memorandum; that he chose not to be involved in violence; that he chose not to argue with law enforcement; that he chose not to damage property.

There were people, and we saw them, and we've seen many of the videos, in that mob who made different choices. He made a choice, and that choice was responsibility for a petty misdemeanor, and that's, I would suggest to the Court, how he should be sentenced.

Because in the U.S., we are sentenced according to our individual responsibility, not for the actions of others, not for the actions of people who committed those offenses, which we all acknowledge happened. But he did not. He should not be penalized for other people's choices and other people's actions.

And the government has not explained why a sentence of probation is inadequate, especially for a person who was working and who could really likely lose his job if he got a 14-day sentence, lose his job and then lose his mortgage, lose everything, because nobody pretends that the Wilsons are making a lot of money, they need that job, they need both of their jobs to continue.

So given that, given the responsibility that he took, the early plea, he's probably -- and he helped the government allow for Mrs. Wilson to be surrendered as soon as possible, as soon as they decided to charge her and get an attorney and work out a plea in record time. So he certainly realized the severity of his actions and took responsibility.

Given all of that --
THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to wrap up if you've got final words.

MS. SLAIGHT: Sure.
Given this, probation is appropriate in this case and a sentence of imprisonment would be more punitive than necessary.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Slaight.
All right, Ms. West.
MS. WEST: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
The Court has an opportunity today, an incredible opportunity, to promote healing not only within this family, but within the country. As the Court knows, these cases are -- they're hard, these are hard cases.

With regard to Mrs. Wilson, I'm not going to go through what I already put in my memo because I know you read them.

But with regard to punishment, every day

Mrs. Wilson has to worry about her children. Why? Because there's been threats on social media against her family. And they have been consistent. They've had to put security cameras around their home.

THE COURT: Ms. West, I'm going to -- can I
interrupt you for a second?
So, Mr. Wilson, can I just ask you to swing the camera over to your wife while her counsel is -- there we go. Thank you.

MS. WEST: Mrs. Wilson has already been fired from two jobs, and has, during this time since January 6th, had to find another job after being fired.

The first job that she was fired from, she was a schoolteacher in a private Christian school where both of her kids were students, and they fired her, even though she hadn't pled guilty, she hadn't been sentenced, she had only been charged.

And this is a constant, constant theme throughout all these cases. So that's punishment. She's lost friendships, and she continues to struggle every day.

And if the Court gives her probation, which is what we've asked, it's not like she's not going to have restrictions. Her liberty is still going to be restricted and she's still going to have to report.

I want to tell the Court that the prosecutor in
this case, Jacob Strain, has been an unbelievable prosecutor. He's given us discovery in record time, which allowed us to have a record-time plea, 40 days. He answered all of my questions, when I had questions similar as to what the Court is asking. And I just really wanted to thank him publicly for being so great.

On a positive note with regard to punishment, Mrs. Wilson, since she's been on pretrial release, has been a model person. In fact, her Pretrial Officer has said she's just been fabulous.

So with regard to sentencing and the questions specifically that the Court had, we're prohibited, the defense lawyers, on these Capitol tours, from taking pictures. So we can't -- I can't answer that same question, you know, was it an out- -- I know it was an outer office, because as the Court -- I had to Google how many offices do all these -- somebody like the Speaker of the House have in the Capitol, but we're prohibited from taking photographs, we didn't even get to see the inside, so we can't answer those questions.

With regard to the four issues that you asked, the Court, what supports your sentence of incarceration? Witnessing violence, being pepper sprayed. Mrs. Wilson didn't have anything to do with that. When she witnessed it, she ran away from it. I think that that's good conduct.

She did enter a private office. But I've been on a Capitol tour three times, and if it's your first time, you really don't know where you're going, and Ms. Wilson told me that when I discussed it with her. She didn't post a video. And I think the Court is absolutely correct that they're very lucky that they've not been charged with a false statement.

But we're not talking about somebody here with a Ph.D. from Georgetown or Duke. We're talking about somebody who raises two little kids, exhausted every day, trying to do the best she can. And when the FBI shows up, all you're worried about is your kids. You're a mom. I'm a mom, and I can't say, if I were in her shoes, I wouldn't have done the same thing to make sure that the police were not going to take my kids.

Unfortunately, Your Honor, you weren't there, I wasn't there, so we don't know how the FBI engaged in that interview, except to say that they didn't read them their rights, they didn't tell them they had a right to a lawyer, and at the time she did --

THE COURT: But, of course, Ms. West, you know they weren't required to do that unless they were arrested.

MS. WEST: I do, Your Honor.
But, you know, people that -- you're absolutely correct, and I think that we would have lost that in a
motion.
But they don't know that. And foremost in her mind is, what is going to happen to my kids? And that's not an excuse for her conduct, but Ms. Wilson has said I'm sorry, I did -- I said something that was wrong. And she went to, as I put in my memo, extraordinary lengths to cooperate with the FBI, did everything they asked, and even more.

So I think when you try to discern what sentence is appropriate, based on her past conduct of what she's done since January 6th, since she was arrested in this case, you can be assured that she is going to be on the straight and narrow, because that's all she's done is been good.

So with that, Your Honor, we would ask that the Court exercise grace and give her a sentence of 12 months' probation without any incarceration. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Would Ms. Wilson like to be heard?
MS. WEST: Yes, she would, Your Honor. She has a letter that she would like to read to you.

THE COURT: Okay.
Ms. Wilson?
DEFENDANT KELSEY WILSON: My name is
Kelsey Wilson. I am a devoted wife and mother of two of the sweetest boys that you could ever meet.

I'm a caring member of my community. I have fed and visited with the homeless in my neighborhood. We have frequently delivered cases of water and food to an area that we know they congregate at out of our own pockets.

My husband and I even cooked up a turkey for them on Thanksgiving.

I say all of this to hopefully help you get to know me before you make your decision on what will undoubtedly be one of the most life-changing things that I will ever go through.

I know what I did on January 6th was wrong. We got caught up in everything that had been happening over the last year and we got swept up in the crowd. I'm deeply and truly sorry and embarrassed for my actions that day. And if I could go back in time and do things differently, without a doubt, I would do it.

I'm incredibly sorry and embarrassed that I let myself get caught up in that mess. I'm usually such a levelheaded and careful person. I've never been a risk-taker.

My life will never be the same after this. My family and I have received threats of violence towards us and our property to the point we had to get security cameras to protect ourselves and our belongings.

I have already lost not one but two jobs because
of my actions that day and my family's struggling.
We've worked so hard to break the cycle of poverty and give our kids the best life that we can in a two-parent household, something my husband and I did not have ourselves growing up.

I know what we did was wrong, but please consider the fact that we did not break or steal anything and we did not cause harm to any police officers or security.

My family is truly sorry for the embarrassment that we brought on our country and we will definitely pay for this for the rest of our lives. I sincerely hope that you take this into consideration as you sentence us.

If myself or my husband are sentenced to jail time, we'll lose our jobs, our home, everything we've worked so hard for, and, most importantly, we'll lose our children.

Please, Your Honor, think about these things and about how truly sorry we are as you make your decision.

THE COURT: Ms. Wilson and Ms. West, can I inquire of your client?

MS. WEST: That's never a good idea, Your Honor. But I've had many cases in front of you, and I have deep respect for the Court and so okay.

THE COURT: Ms. Wilson, I'll just ask the same question I asked your husband, which is sort of what was going through your mind, to the extent that you can convey
it and describe it, on January the 6th that made you go into the Capitol?

DEFENDANT KELSEY WILSON: Your Honor, I think a lot of it just had to do with somewhat seeing everything over the summer with -- you know, I love my country, I love everything about it. Seeing the cities burn and people divided for the last several years and then getting there and getting caught up in the crowd. It was a stupid mistake that I let myself get caught up. I thought I was doing a good thing.

I never went with intention of stopping any proceedings or hurting anybody. I simply got caught up in it. I made a stupid decision.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Wilson, thank you.
All right. I am going to take a few minutes, collect my thoughts, and I will be back with you all momentarily. Thank you.
(Recess from 12:10 p.m. to $12: 17 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
THE COURT: Thank you, everyone, for your thoughtful presentations, and the Wilsons also, for their statements and their responses to my questions.

So ordinarily, I would be required to begin with a Guidelines assessment. I do not need to do that here, obviously, because this is a Class B misdemeanor, I believe, and, in any event, everyone agrees that the Guidelines don't
apply.
That said, I still am required by law to consider all the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) and impose a sentence that's sufficient but not greater than necessary to achieve the objectives of sentencing set forth in the statute.

The factors I must consider are the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant and the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence, to protect the public, to provide the defendant with any needed educational, vocational training or medical care, and I also should consider the kinds of sentences available and the need to avoid unwarranted disparities.

Let me just begin with the nature and circumstances of the offense. They have been described in detail, but I'll just recap them.

The Wilsons were present in Washington on January the 6th, began that day at a rally and ended up entering the Capitol building that afternoon. Ms. Wilson entered through a door. Mr. Wilson, as part of the crowd, entered through a window.

To their credit, they were not involved in any
destruction of property or any assaulting or threatening or even denigrating of police officers.

They did, however, make their way into the Speaker's office. And they were there momentarily, but, nevertheless, by being there, they contributed to the fear that people in that office felt that day, and that is not insignificant, $I$ think in some sense having entered a private office, and they knew it was a private office, Mr. Wilson apparently knew he was in the Speaker's office, because he posted on social media that he knew he was there, makes their conduct a little different than others who might not have entered a private office, because we do have evidence here that their presence there did directly contribute to the fear that people in the Speaker's office felt that day.

They were there for about 20 minutes. As I said, Mr. Wilson did post something on social media either that day or soon after, including a video of their presence at the Capitol.

When they were confronted by the FBI, they were not truthful initially. Mr. Wilson, in fact, was not truthful on three different occasions, initially denying his presence at the Capitol, and ultimately denying his wife's presence at the Capitol. Ms. Wilson also denied their presence inside the Capitol.

They did, however, much to their credit, eventually begin to cooperate, did go to great lengths to provide videos and other evidence of their conduct to the FBI, and that deserves a lot of credit.

You know, I'll just say this. And I think -- it's hard to avoid getting on a soapbox in these cases, and I am trying to resist doing that. But $I$ don't think it would be appropriate to at least not let any sentencing pass without reflecting on the magnitude of what occurred on January the 6th and how you all contributed to it.

This was, as the government said, a day in which the tradition of this country is to transition power peacefully, and that's how it's always been. And regrettably, you all made the decision to do something that contributed to a transition of power that ultimately was marred by violence, destruction, and death. It's not to say that either of you contributed to that directly, but your presence inside the Capitol building that day certainly contributed to that.

And, you know, that's not something that anybody ought to try and downplay or suggest was not significant or could be justified by events earlier in the summer. It's really not justifiable. There's no real good explanation for it, except, I think, and I've said this at my one other sentencing before, which is that I think in many ways people
like you, the Wilsons, were, in some sense, victimized yourselves. You were told lies about election fraud, about the country being taken from you. They were lies, and regrettably you believed them and you acted on them.

And at the end of the day, people did act differently that day. And some were certainly far more culpable than the both of you are, but, nevertheless, I don't want it to be lost that, in my view, anybody that was in that Capitol building that day contributed to a very sad and terrible day. I think Mr. Wilson described it best: As a stain on our history.

But defense counsel is right that I do have to consider the individual characteristics, and, in fact, what you all did, and $I$ have done that individually.

In terms of your characteristics I will say this:
That both defendants, it is my view, I think you are both decent people. Do not think for a moment that $I$ think that by virtue of what you did that day, that reflects on who you truly are. I think you are decent, hard-working Americans, who regrettably were caught up in something that $I$ think if you could do again, I genuinely believe you would not.

I think very much of your two children, eight and six, I have young children of my own, and what it must be to have to describe to them why mom and dad are in trouble with the law. I know that cannot be easy.

Mr. Wilson, $I$ was very much taken by your life story and the difficulties that you've overcome and what you have made of your life.

Ms. Wilson, you know, I know your upbringing was no walk in the park, the both of you have tried to make your lives better not only for yourselves but for your children. And truly, if there's anything that is American, it is that, it's to try and make your lives better for your children than it has been for you, and I think you all deserve a lot of credit for that and my sentence will reflect that.

In terms of the other factors I'm supposed to consider: Promoting respect for the law and just punishment, deterrence, all of that, I think, will be reflected in the sentence as I've considered it.

I don't think there's a need to protect the public from these two individuals, as there's certainly nothing about them that $I$ think suggests that they will engage in criminal conduct in the future, let alone this kind of criminal conduct.

I've considered the various cases that the government has cited, $I$ think they are different in many respects, the Ericson decision in which there is a 20-day sentence over weekends. You know, the individual posed on his feet -- posed at a desk with his feet on the desk and took out a beer in the Speaker's office; Spencer case, the
person not only entered the Speaker's office but also attempted to enter the House chamber. The cases against Jancart and Rau, 45 days, where both involved disorderly conduct.

Judge Chutkan in Miller, it's a little bit closer to this, it seems to me, but certainly in that case, there were multiple statements by both defendants suggesting no remorse or recognition of wrongdoing in the aftermath of the events.

The one person I've sentenced, I did sentence to 14 days in prison, and that was Mr. Lolos. And he, during his sentencing, exhibited, well, let's just put it this way, not nearly the level of contrition and acceptance of responsibility that the Wilsons have here today.

I'm not going to accept the government's sentencing recommendation of a period of incarceration; however, I will sentence each of you to 24 months of probation.

There will be a period of home incarceration for each of you as part of that sentence. For Mr. Wilson, it will be 45 days; for Ms. Wilson, it will be 30 days. That difference is in recognition of the fact that Mr. Wilson did make multiple false statements to law enforcement, and I think that is worthy of some additional punishment. That is not the case for Ms. Wilson.

Those sentences, to be clear -- and this will be clear in my ultimate sentencing -- that is, your periods of home detention -- if I said incarceration, I meant to say home detention -- home detention will allow you to be outside the house for, among other things, employment and the like. And I will also add to that -- well, home detention will allow you to be outside the home for employment so you will not lose your jobs. I don't want anything here to be -- anything to be done here that would cause you to lose your employment.

I also will want to make clear in the sentencing, in the judgment, that these periods of time will be served consecutively; in other words, Mr. Wilson and Ms. Wilson won't be serving their home detention periods at the same time. Once one completes their home detention, the other will then start. I don't want to put the family in a position where both parents are unable to help the children and get them to where they need to go and do the things that they need to do in terms of their daily lives and to cause the least amount of disruption to their lives as we can. So let me just -- I'll also include a component of community service for both of you of 60 hours as part of the sentence.

But let me go ahead and just formally enter the sentence and all of its terms and conditions.

With respect to Mr. Wilson, as I said, it is the
judgment of the Court that you'll be sentenced to a term of 24 months of probation. In addition, you'll be ordered to pay a special assessment of $\$ 10$ in accordance with 18 United States Code 3013.

While on supervision, you shall abide by the mandatory conditions, as well as the standard conditions of supervision which are imposed to establish the basic expectations for your conduct while on supervision. Those mandatory conditions include not committing any other federal, state, or local crime; not -- you shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance; you must refrain from unlawful use of a controlled substance; you also must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 3663 and 3663(a). And in this case, I think you all have agreed to a restitution amount of $\$ 500$.

The Court will authorize supervision and jurisdiction of this case to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Is that where you all are both located in Missouri; is that correct?

DEFENDANT ZACHARY WILSON: (Nodding head.)
DEFENDANT KELSEY WILSON: (Nodding head.)
THE COURT: All right. For a moment, I thought you were in Salt Lake, but then I realized that's where

Mr. Strain is.
You shall comply with the following special conditions:

You must provide the probation officer access to any requested financial information and authorize the release of any financial information. The Probation Office may share financial information with the U.S. Attorney's Office.

You must complete 60 hours of community service within 24 months.

The Probation Office will supervise the participation in the program by approving the program, and you must provide written verification of completed hours to the Probation Officer.

Mr. Wilson, just because of some of the historical facts of your background, you shall submit to substance abuse testing to determine if you have used a prohibited substance and you shall not obstruct or tamper with any testing methods. You also must undergo substance abuse assessment and participate in any inpatient or outpatient substance abuse treatment program and follow those rules and regulations, if that program is recommended by the Probation Office.

And also I'm going to ask the Probation Office to make available to Mr. Wilson, if appropriate, any mental
health services that it believes are appropriate for Mr. Wilson.

While you are on supervision, you shall not own or possess or have access to a firearm, ammunition, or destructive device or dangerous weapon.

You also shall submit to a search of your person or property, house, residence, office, vehicle, papers, computers or other electronic communication or data storage devices or media and effects to a search conducted by the Probation Office at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of a condition of release. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation. The defendant shall warn any other residents that the premises may be subject to search pursuant to this condition.

As I said, you will be on home detention for a period of 45 days, to be done by GPS. You must follow the rules and regulations of a monitoring program. The cost of the program will be waived.

Location monitoring technology can include GPS, Smartlink, or voice recognition. This form of location-monitoring technology will be used to monitor the following restrictions of your movement. You will be restricted to your residence at all times except for employment, education, religious services, medical,
substance abuse or mental health treatment, attorney visits, court appearances, court-ordered obligations, or other activities that are pre-approved by the Probation Office.

The Court finds that you don't have the ability to pay a fine and therefore waives the imposition of a fine in this case.

You shall make restitution to the Architect of the Capitol in the amount of $\$ 500$. The Court determines that you don't have the ability to pay interest and therefore waives any interest or penalties that may accrue on the balance.

Restitution payments shall be made to the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, with reimbursement to the Architect of the Capitol.

You also shall pay the balance of the restitution owed at a rate of no less than, I'll make it $\$ 50$ each month, and provide verification of that to the Probation Office.

Financial obligations are immediately payable unless the Court has set a schedule, which I have, for purposes of restitution to the Clerk of the Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue.

Within 30 days of any change of address, you shall notify the Clerk of the Court of any change until such time as the financial obligation is paid in full.

You shall, as I said, pay the financial penalty, that is, the restitution, in accordance with the schedule of payments. You also shall notify the Court of any changes in economic circumstances that might affect your ability to pay.

The Probation Office is authorized to release the Presentence Investigation Report to all appropriate agencies, which includes the probation office in the approved district of residence, in order to execute the sentence of the Court.

Any treatment agencies shall return the Presentence Report to the probation office upon completion or termination from treatment.

You have the right to appeal your sentence, Mr. Wilson, if the sentence imposed by the Court is longer than the statutory maximum. If you choose to appeal, you must file an appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment.

You also have a right to challenge the conviction that's been entered or the sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or you believe that you have received ineffective assistance of counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the offense of conviction or in connection with sentencing. If you are unable to afford the cost of an appeal, you may request
permission from the Court of Appeals to file an appeal
without cost to you.

All right. Ms. West, any questions or any objections that you'd like to place on the record?

MS. WEST: No, Your Honor.
But I didn't hear you say the $\$ 10$ special
assessment. Maybe I missed it.
THE COURT: I thought I said it at the beginning, but I'll say it again.

MS. WEST: Okay.
THE COURT: You will be ordered, Mr. Wilson, to pay a Special Assessment of $\$ 10$, too, okay?

Let me then turn to Ms. Wilson.
MS. WEST: And Ms. Slaight is Mr. Wilson's lawyer.
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
MS. WEST: That's all right.
MS. SLAIGHT: I don't have any questions either.
THE COURT: All right. I apologize for that.
MS. SLAIGHT: No problem.
THE COURT: So with respect to Ms. Wilson, as I said, you will be sentenced to a term of 24 months' probation on Count 1. In addition, you'll be ordered to pay a Special Assessment of $\$ 10$ in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 3013.

While on supervision, you shall abide by the
following mandatory conditions, as well as the standard conditions of supervision which are imposed to establish the basic expectations for your conduct while on supervision. Those conditions include:

Not committing another federal, state, or local crime; you must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance and must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance; you must submit to one drug test within 15 days of placement on supervision and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the Probation Office.

You must make restitution in accordance with
18 U.S.C. 3663 and $3663(a)$ or any other statute authorizing a sentence of restitution.

The Court is authorizing supervision and jurisdiction in this case to be transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

You must provide the Probation Office any access to -- requested access to financial information, authorize the release of any financial information. The Probation Office may share that financial information with the U.S. Attorney's Office.

You shall complete 60 hours of community service within 36 months -- excuse me, within 24 months. The Probation Office shall supervise your participation in
the program by approving the program. You must provide written verification of completed hours to the probation officer.

While on supervision, you must not own, possess, or have access to a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or dangerous weapon.

You also shall submit to a search of your person, property, house, residence, office, vehicle, papers, computer, or electronic communication or data storage device or other media and effects to a search conducted by the Probation Office at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of a condition of release. Failure to submit to search may be grounds for revocation. You shall warn other residents that the premise may be subject to search pursuant to this condition.

You will be monitored by a form of
location-monitoring technology for a period of 30 days, and you must follow the rules and regulations of the location-monitoring program. The cost of the program is waived.

Location-monitoring technology, at the discretion of the Probation Office, will include radiofrequency monitoring, GPS monitoring, Smartlink or voice recognition. This form of location-monitoring technology will be used to
monitor the following restriction on your movement in the community.

You're restricted to your residence at all times except for employment, education, religious services, medical, substance abuse or medical treatment, attorney visits, court appearances, court-ordered obligations or other activities approved by the Probation Office.

The Court finds you don't have the ability to pay a fine and therefore waives the imposition of a fine in this case.

You're ordered to make restitution to the Architect of the Capitol in the amount of $\$ 500$. The Court is waiving any interest on any balance with respect to the restitution.

The restitution payments will be made to the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, as I said, in the amount of $\$ 500$ to the Architect of the Capitol.

You must pay the balance of the restitution owed at a rate of no less than $\$ 50$ each month and provide verification of the same to the Probation Office.

As I said, the financial obligations, including the $\$ 10$, are immediately payable to the Clerk of the Court for the District of Columbia.

Within 30 days of any change of address, you shall
notify the Clerk of the Court of the change until such time the financial is paid in full. You shall, of course, also pay the restitution according to the schedule of payments I've just articulated.

The Probation Office is permitted to release the Presentence Investigation Report to all appropriate agencies, which includes the U.S. Probation Office in the approved district of residence, in order to execute the sentence of the Court.

You have the right to appeal, Ms. Wilson, if the penalty -- or, excuse me, if the sentence is longer than the statutory maximum. If you choose to appeal, you must file an appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment.

As defined in 28 U.S.C. 2255, you also have the right to challenge the conviction that's been entered or the sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or on a claim that you have not received effective assistance of counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the offense of conviction or in connection with sentencing. If you cannot afford the cost of an appeal, you may request permission from the Court to file an appeal without cost to you.

All right. Ms. West, I'll get this right this time, any objections you'd like to place on the record?

MS. WEST: None at all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So that is the formal sentence of the Court. I want to thank counsel for your presentations, both written and here in person today.

For the Wilsons, let me just say this:
I appreciate that you all have reflected on what happened on January 6th and are taking responsibility for it.

That said, as I said, I am confident that you are both going to put that behind you, that you will put your lives together again not only for yourselves but, more importantly or as importantly, for your two young children.

You know, I think the hardest part of all of this, frankly, is not the period of home detention or any of that, it's what you all have endured so far and the fact that you are going to have to explain to your kids what happened that day and how their parents got caught up in something that they shouldn't have. Hopefully, hopefully, that will be a lesson to them and a lesson to others about the consequences of actions, no matter how innocent they may seem at the time.

I wish the both of you the best of the luck. I have every confidence that you will get through this just fine and become better people for it on the other end.

All right. Thank you, all, very much, and I wish you all the best of luck. Thank you.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Judge, I think the
Probation Office --
PROBATION OFFICER: Yes. I just ask the Wilsons
to hang on, because I have reporting instructions for them.
So if you could put us in a break-out room.
THE COURT: Thank you, everyone.
(Proceedings concluded at 12:43 p.m.)
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| C | M |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| disparities [1] 48/16 | each [4] 53/17 53/20 | entry [1] 24/15 envision [1] 25/14 | facing [1] 10/5 <br> fact [14] 10/10 10/18 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 / 1630 / 930 / 1033 / \\ & 35 / 537 / 541 / 1343 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sruption [1] 54/20 | 58/17 63/20 | $\text { Ericson [1] } 52 / 22$ | 11/17 12/10 15/1 20/7 | ve [1] 38/12 |
| distinction [1] 12/17 district [16] 1/1 1/1 |  | especially [3] $13 / 23$ | 22/23 38/23 42/9 46/7 | follow [5] 15/19 15/20 |
| 1137/23 55/18 55/18 | early [1] 40/2 | 15/21 39/20 | 49/21 51/13 53/22 | 56/21 57/17 62/19 |
| 13 58/1458/22 59/9 | easier [1] 13/11 | essentially [1] 19/22 establish [2] 55/7 61/2 | 65/13 <br> factors [8] 27/16 27/17 | followed [2] $27 / 18$ $29 / 24$ |
| /17 61/17 63/16 | easy [1] $51 / 25$ | establish [2] 55/7 61/2 even [8] $8 / 1112 / 16$ |  | following [4] 56/2 |
| 24 64/8 | ECF [8] 6/6 6/7 | even [8] $41 / 15$ 42/19 44/7 | 48/7 52/11 | $57 / 2361 / 163 / 1$ |
| trict Court [1] | 14/1 14/22 17/17 | 45/5 49/2 | facts [2] 27/23 56/16 | food [1] $45 / 3$ |
| /18 | 18/8 | event [3] 8/8 20/20 | factual [2] 20/25 23/10 | footnote [1] 18/8 |
| ided [2] 29/16 47/7 | ECF 5 | ${ }_{47 / 25}^{\text {event }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | factually [2] 21/12 | foregoing [1] $67 / 3$ |
| [44] | economic [1] | events [3] 26/23 50/22 | 21/22 | remost [1] 44/2 |
| [44] 4/23 5/9 5/16 <br> 5 10/10 12/7 14/14 | education [2] 57/25 63/4 | 53/9 | 2] 57/12 62/13 | [1] 30/7 |
| 17/6 17/11 17/25 | educational [1] | eventually [2] 33 | taill | [3] 57/21 6 |
| 21/21 22/15 | effect [2] 17/19 18/13 | 50/2 | fall [7] |  |
| 27/22 28/18281/ | effective [1] 64/18 | ever [4] | false [7] 34/ | nal [1] 65/1 |
| 28/19 28/25 31/22 32/6 | effectively [2] 18/15 | 44/25 45/10 | 37/3 37/10 37/14 43 | ally [1] 54/23 |
| /20 32/23 35/1 36/14 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { every [6] 34/16 } 36 \\ & 40 / 2541 / 2043 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | family [8] 30/11 30 | [1] 48/5 |
| 38/21 38/24 42/16 | effects [2] 57/9 62/10 | 65/21 | 36/2 40/19 41/2 45/2 | rward [3] 22/18 23/2 |
| 42/24 43/11 43/22 | effort [2] 27/9 36/10 | everybody [5] 3/19 | 46/9 54/16 | 23/3 |
| 43/23 45/15 45/16 47/4 | eight [1] 51/22 | 32/18 32/19 32/25 33/1 | family's [2] 30/6 46/1 | und [6] 9/3 $9 / 17$ |
| $47 / 23$ 47/23 49/12 $50 / 1451 / 1251 / 17$ | either [8] 7/2 7/11 | everyone [5] 3/12 | famous [1] 25/25 | 11/6 11/11 26/22 |
| 1/12 51/17 | 2/25 17/25 27/21 | 23/17 47/19 47/25 66/5 | far [6] 24/11 25/2 27/4 | ur [3] 28/1 28/8 |
| /18 54 | 49/17 50/17 60/17 | everything [9] $23 / 12$ | 34/23 51/ | 42/21 |
| ument [2] | el | 30/14 33/21 39/23 44/7 | FBI [17] 26/24 26/2 | Fourth [1] 10/11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cument [2] } \\ & 4 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | 23/22 32/19 51/2 | 45/12 46/14 47/4 47/6 | 27 | ragile [1] 29/13 |
| [6] 4/8 11/3 19/3 | 62/9 | everywhere [1] $24 / 9$ | 15 34 | frankly [1] 65/12 |
| 24/14 | elements [1] | evidence [4] 49/13 | 37/15 43/11 43/17 | [1] 51/2 |
| 1 13/22 | eligibility [1] | 50/3 57/12 62/13 | /20 50/4 | quently [1] 45/3 |
| - 38 | eli | exact [2] 19/2 32/8 | fear [3] 30/13 49 | lay [1] 19/7 |
| [ 4/13 6 |  | exactly [3] 10/10 14/ |  | ships [1] |
| 47/9 50/7 | ellipsis [1] 10/19 | example [1] 24/17 | February [1] 67/10 fed [1] 45/1 | [1] [4] 17/5 29/6 58/25 |
| J [1] | else [3] 7/8 11/9 28/10 | examples [1] 13/24 | $\text { federal [2] } 55 / 1061 / 5$ | fuli $[4] \quad 17 / 529 / 658 / 25$ $64 / 2$ |
| J-USAO [1] 1/13 | Email [3] 1/16 2/5 2/9 | exceeding [1] 21/18 | feel [1] $33 / 2$ | fully [4] 21/20 29/10 |
| don't [31] $7 / 178 / 88 / 12$ $8 / 1710 / 1$ 13/6 13/8 | embarrassed [2] 45/14 | excellent [1] $35 / 18$ | feelings [1] 35/15 | 36/8 39/1 |
| 8/17 10/1 13/6 13/8 | 45/17 | except [4] 43/18 50/24 | feet [4] 25/16 26/1 | rniture [1] 25/12 |
| 19/14 21/10 22/8 24/19 26/11 27/10 28/13 32/8 | embarrassment [1] | 57/24 63/4 | 52/24 52/24 | rther [2] 5/11 5/16 |
| 26/11 27/10 28/13 32/8 |  | exception [3] 8/25 8 | felony [4] 15/7 38/17 | future [1] 52/18 |
| 43/17 44/2 47/25 50/7 | employer [1] 35/18 |  | 38/23 | G |
| 15 54/8 54/16 | employment [5] 54/5 | excuse [5] 6/9 9 <br> 44/461/24 $64 / 11$ | felt [3] 33/4 49/6 49/15 few [2] 24/16 47/15 |  |
| 9 60/17 63/8 | 54/8 54/10 57/25 63/4 |  | few [2] 24/16 47/15 <br> file [4] 59/17 60/1 | $\text { ap [1] } 30 / 3$ |
| done [9] 15/24 17/6 | enacted [4] 11/18 | execute [2] 59/9 64/8 exercise [2] 7/19 44/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5ile [4] 59/17 60/1 } \\ & 64 / 1264 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | [ [2] $13 / 24$ |
| $34 / 2043 / 13$ 44/10 $44 / 13$ 51/14 54/9 57/17 | 11/18 14/12 17/22 | exhausted [1] 43/10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ve [2] } 13 / 24 \\ & \text { ar [1] } 27 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 351/14 54/9 57/17 | encompassed [1] 10/3 | exhausted [1] 43/10 exhibited [1] 53/12 |  | neral [2] 14/10 20 |
| door [6] 24/17 25/6 25/7 26/8 26/10 48/23 | end [2] 51/5 65/2 | exited [1] 24/11 |  | genuinely [1] $51 / 21$ |
| 25/7 26/8 26/10 48/23 doors [2] 24/5 25/3 | ended [1] 48/21 | exited [ations [2] 55/8 | finagle [1] 18/2 | eorgetown [1] 43/9 |
| doors [2] 24/5 $25 / 3$ double [1] $13 / 9$ | endured [1] 65/13 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { agle }[1] \text { 18/2 } \\ & \text { al }[1] 40 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | t[20] 4/1 11/23 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { double [1] } & 13 / 9 \\ \text { doubt [1] } & 45 / 16\end{array}$ | enforcement [2] 39/ |  | nally [1] 17/23 | 11/25 18/21 19/6 1 |
| doubt [1] 45/16 | $53 / 23$ | experience [1] explain [4] $11 / 3$ $32 / 6$ | ancial [11] 56/5 56/6 | 22/3 27/9 33/25 38 |
| n [2] $8 / 2427 / 1$ | engage [2] 25/2 52/17 | ${ }_{32 / 20}$ exp/14 | 56/7 58/19 58/25 59/1 | 38/12 40/4 42/19 45 |
| nplay [1] 50/21 | engaged [1] 43/17 | explained [1] 39/19 | 61/19 61/20 61/21 | 45/18 45/23 47/9 54/1 |
| [1] 13/9 | enough [2] 29/18 | explanation [1] 50/23 | 63/22 64/2 | 64/23 65/21 |
| ting [1] 17/21 smanship [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { enr } \end{aligned}$ | express [1] 29/18 | find [3] 5/9 5/11 41/12 | getting [5] 12/2 25/9 |
| 4 |  | extensive [1] 13/2 | finds [2] 58/4 63/8 | 47/7 47/8 50/6 |
| 28/2 | 25/18 31/15 43/1 53 | extent [3] 8/5 27/2 | e [7] 17/8 28/20 58 | giant [1] 33/9 |
| drove [1] 23/19 | 5 |  | 63/9 63/9 65/2 | give [7] 10/22 18/9 |
| drowning [1] 5/22 | entered [14] 24/4 24/5 | exterior [1] 24/17 <br> extraordinary [1] 44/6 | finish [1] 34/5 <br> firearm [2] 57/4 62/5 | $11 / 30 / 1236$ <br> /15 46/3 |
| g [2] 61/861/10 | 10 27/5 28/5 31/12 |  | fired [6] 35/15 35/1 | ven [7] |
| [1] 43/9 | 22 4823 | F | 41/10 41/12 41/13 | 40/1 40/1 40/8 40/ |
| during [5] 20/2 27/14 41/11 53/11 67/5 | entering [5] 30/3 30/24 | fabulous [1] 42/10 | 15 | 42/2 |
|  | 48/21 59/23 64/19 enters [2] 59/17 64/13 | face [1] 29/7 <br> Facebook [4] 26/20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { first [17] } 6 / 16 / 48 / 18 \\ & 11 / 1818 / 2522 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | gives [2] 13/6 41/21 giving [2] 18/15 19/12 |

2 24/24 25/5 25/10 26/7 27/5 27/9 29/22 32/13 32/19 32/25 33/15 35/9 36/19 37/12 38/3 41/3 41/11 41/16 42/4 42/12 42/16 43/19 45/12 45/23 46/21 47/4
hadn't [2] 41/16 41/16 hang [4] 6/8 8/5 12/13 66/3
happen [2] 36/5 44/3 happened [10] 8/9 8/9 8/12 22/9 26/13 33/21 36/6 39/16 65/5 65/14 happening [3] 11/9 19/11 45/12
happens [2] 37/1 37/4 happy [1] 8/19
hard [6] 40/21 40/21
46/2 46/15 50/6 51/19
hard-working [1] 51/19
hardest [1] 65/11 harm [1] 46/8
has [36] 7/14 9/3 9/16 10/8 10/9 10/23 11/6 11/11 17/3 18/7 21/13 21/18 21/23 22/25 27/21 27/24 28/23 32/7 35/9 35/18 35/24 36/9 37/17 39/19 40/18 41/1 41/10 41/11 42/1 42/8 42/9 44/4 44/19 52/9 52/21 58/20
hasn't [1] 36/3 have [92]
having [2] 25/14 49/7 he [72] he didn't [3] 31/13 36/12 36/20
he said [3] 31/2 31/18 32/24
he's [11] 31/5 31/6 31/11 35/20 35/22 35/25 36/1 36/8 39/1 40/2 42/2
head [3] 13/13 55/22 55/23
healing [1] 40/19 health [2] 57/1 58/1 hear [6] 3/14 8/15 26/9 28/13 29/21 60/6
heard [2] 13/15 44/18 hearing [7] 1/10 3/11
4/4 4/10 4/19 5/11 67/5
heartbeat [1] 29/20
held [1] 23/18 help [5] 34/14 35/20
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helped [1] 40/2
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13/20 24/23 25/13 28/13 34/1 34/3 35/16 35/25 40/4 41/1 41/2 41/8 41/15 41/15 41/21 41/23 42/9 43/4 43/13 44/2 44/4 44/10 44/15

10/13 13/10 17/3 20/14 35/13 43/8 47/23 49/13 53/14 54/9 54/9 65/3
hiding [1] 26/8
highest [1] 37/18
highlight [1] 23/13
him [9] 28/4 31/19
35/21 36/23 38/17
38/20 38/22 38/22 42/5
himself [1] 35/11
his [29] 4/9 22/7 25/16
28/17 29/22 35/7 35/10
35/12 35/14 35/14
35/15 35/16 35/17
35/18 35/19 35/19
35/21 35/25 36/2 36/3
39/21 39/22 39/22 40/6
49/22 49/23 52/24
52/24 53/12
historical [2] 11/16 56/15
history [10] 7/14 7/20
8/2 12/9 12/10 16/8 21/20 30/6 48/8 51/11
home [17] 19/17 19/18 19/25 27/21 27/24 41/4 46/14 53/19 54/3 54/4 54/4 54/6 54/7 54/14
54/15 57/16 65/12
homeless [1] 45/2
honest [2] 33/2 34/18 honestly [2] 33/10 34/12
Honor [43] 3/2 3/20
3/22 3/24 4/8 4/11 4/22
4/25 5/7 7/5 7/6 7/12
7/18 8/19 10/8 12/12
13/17 16/3 18/6 18/23
20/24 22/1 23/5 23/9
25/19 28/11 28/15 29/9
30/19 31/1 33/19 34/22
35/5 40/17 43/16 43/23
44/14 44/19 46/16
46/20 47/3 60/5 64/25
HONORABLE [1] 1/10
hope [2] 34/24 46/11
hopefully [3] 45/7
65/16 65/16
hours [5] 54/22 56/9
56/13 61/23 62/2
house [7] 24/10 26/24
42/17 53/2 54/5 57/7
62/8
household [1] 46/4
how [18] 11/3 24/16
27/22 28/19 29/12
29/14 29/16 32/6 32/20
34/11 39/12 42/16
43/17 46/17 50/10
50/13 65/15 65/18
Howell [1] 22/4
however [4] 5/1 49/3
50/1 53/17
humiliated [1] 36/1
hurt [2] 29/16 34/17
hurting [1] 47/12
husband [4] 45/5 46/4
I
Ialso [2] 48/15 54/11

I am [7] 8/19 25/7 30/8 44/24 47/15 50/6 65/7 I apologize [3] 30/2
30/2 60/18
I appreciate [1] 65/5
I assume [1] 31/11
I believe [6] 7/14 14/18 21/14 34/7 38/18 47/24 I can [4] 22/20 24/25 29/6 29/19
I can't [3] 35/23 35/23 42/14
I cannot [1] 29/18
I did [7] 29/14 32/2
33/9 36/13 44/5 45/11

## 53/10

I didn't [11] 32/3 32/13
32/14 32/20 32/21
33/20 33/23 34/11
36/13 38/2 60/6
I don't [11] 8/8 8/12
10/1 13/6 13/8 32/8
37/1 37/21 50/7 51/8 52/15
I don't have [2] 24/19 60/17
I guess [7] 7/24 12/15 22/5 24/16 30/20 30/22 31/16
I have [9] 29/19 31/16 45/1 45/25 46/21 51/14 51/23 65/21 66/3
I hope [1] 34/24
I just [11] 17/16 29/1
29/9 30/11 31/1 32/21 33/2 33/11 33/18 33/19 34/7
I know [13] 5/13 20/11
22/9 25/2 25/15 29/13
29/14 31/11 42/15
45/11 46/6 51/25 52/4
I love [1] 47/5
I mean [8] 8/6 11/5 25/14 31/20 32/2 33/15 34/6 34/20
I misspoke [1] 6/8
I reading [1] 9/24
I say [1] 45/7
I think [51] 8/4 10/2
10/6 10/8 10/9 10/22
11/16 13/15 14/9 14/11 16/20 19/14 20/7 20/21
21/2 22/22 26/4 26/5
28/1 31/9 31/17 31/24 32/8 33/9 35/6 35/6 35/19 36/23 36/24
37/24 42/25 43/5 43/25
47/3 49/7 50/5 50/24
50/25 51/10 51/16
51/17 51/19 51/20
51/22 52/9 52/13 52/17
52/21 55/14 65/11
65/25
I thought [4] 7/20 47/9

795/24 60/8
I understand [3] 26/6 29/12 33/25
I want [8] 3/18 4/5 5/2 35/5 36/4 36/22 41/25 65/2
I wanted [1] 23/1
I was [7] 32/4 32/16
32/17 33/22 34/12 47/9 52/1
I wasn't [1] 43/17
I will [7] 22/21 23/12 45/10 47/16 51/15 53/17 54/6
I would [1] 18/19
I'd [4] 22/3 30/22 32/14 33/25
I'Il [17] 4/2 5/24 7/1
16/25 17/1 21/11 23/10
34/1 34/2 34/18 46/23
48/19 50/5 54/21 58/17
60/9 64/23
I'm [33] 6/8 8/1 8/19
9/2 9/10 11/1 13/13
19/4 20/13 22/15 25/8
25/12 25/19 26/14 27/4
30/5 30/11 31/10 31/13
37/11 40/9 40/22 41/5
43/12 44/5 45/1 45/13
45/17 45/18 52/11
53/15 56/24 60/15
I'm going [3] 40/9 41/5
56/24
I'm just [1] 19/4
I'm not [4] 8/1 25/12
40/22 53/15
I'm not sure [3] 20/13
25/8 25/19
I'm sorry [3] 6/8 44/5 60/15
I'm unable [1] 26/14
I've [21] 6/1 6/4 6/12
6/17 6/17 6/20 6/21 7/1 7/2 19/5 22/24 25/15
37/12 43/1 45/19 46/21
50/24 52/14 52/20
53/10 64/4
idea [2] 32/22 46/20
identified [2] 27/20 32/7
ignored [1] 39/4
immediately [2] 58/19
63/23
implication [1] 13/23
implicit [1] 13/19
important [1] 7/20
importantly [4] 5/13
46/15 65/10 65/10
impose [2] 17/4 48/3
imposed [11] 17/13 21/13 21/15 21/16 21/23 48/10 55/7 59/15 59/20 61/2 64/16 imposition [2] 58/5 63/9
imprisonment [12]
6/24 9/5 9/9 9/19 11/13
11/14 11/24 12/2 12/20

$\square$ interpreted [1] 38/4 judgment [4] 54/12 interrupt [4] 11/1 24/13 55/1 59/18 64/13

29/3 41/6
intervals [5] 17/7 20/3 20/5 20/9 21/7
interview [2] 26/24 43/18
Investigation [3] 6/6 59/7 64/6
involved [4] 30/9 39/5
48/25 53/3
involvement [1] 27/4
is [154]
isn't [1] 37/6
issue [4] 19/16 19/20 20/20 22/25
issues [1] 42/21
it [126]
it happened [2] 8/9 8/12
it would be [2] 16/15 50/7
it's [41] 5/15 7/17 7/24 8/9 8/12 8/23 9/8 9/21 10/13 10/13 10/13 10/14 12/16 14/23 15/15 15/19 16/6 17/21 18/10 18/10 18/12 18/12 22/4 22/22 24/20 25/25 26/5 26/12 35/6 36/24 37/8 37/17 41/22 43/2 50/5 50/13 50/16 50/22 52/8 53/5 65/13 its [4] $8 / 1114 / 1614 / 17$ 54/24

## J

Jacob [3] 1/13 3/6 42/1 jacob.strain [1] 1/16 jail [3] 19/7 30/13 46/13
James [1] 3/6
Jancart [1] 53/3
January [13] 1/5 22/24
23/15 30/23 36/6 36/11 41/11 44/11 45/11 47/1 48/20 50/9 65/6
Jessica [2] 2/11 3/9
Joanne [2] 2/2 3/7
Joanne Slaight [1] 3/7
job [8] 19/6 35/16
35/25 39/21 39/22
39/24 41/12 41/13
jobs [5] 39/25 41/11
45/25 46/14 54/8
join [1] 19/1
jslaight [1] 2/5
JUDGE [13] 1/11 5/17
5/20 13/19 21/14 21/14 22/4 24/25 26/14 27/7 27/16 53/5 65/25
Judge Chutkan [1] 53/5
Judge McFadden [1] 21/14
Judge Nichols [1] 21/14
jurisdiction [6] 36/25
37/2 37/10 37/12 55/17 61/16
jurisdictions [1] 37/1
just [67] 4/15 5/24 6/4
8/5 8/13 8/23 11/22
12/16 13/13 17/16 17/21 18/6 18/20 19/4 19/20 20/24 20/24 21/2 21/3 21/12 21/25 22/8 22/12 23/5 23/12 24/5 24/14 26/5 29/1 29/5 29/9 30/11 31/1 31/19 31/25 32/16 32/20 32/21 32/23 33/2 33/5 33/11 33/18 33/19 34/7 34/9 34/10 34/14 38/1 41/7 42/5 42/10 46/23 47/4 48/11 48/17 48/19 50/5 52/12 53/12 54/21 54/23 56/15 64/4 65/4 65/21 66/2
justice [2] 5/12 5/15 justifiable [1] 50/23 justified [1] 50/22
K
keep [2] 16/25 37/20
KELSEY [3] 1/7 2/6 44/24
Kelsey Wilson [1] 44/24
kicks [1] 13/7
kids [11] 30/12 33/22 33/23 34/13 41/15 43/10 43/12 43/15 44/3 46/3 65/14
kind [4] 25/12 31/25
32/19 52/18
kinds [1] 48/15
Kira [3] 2/6 2/7 3/8
Kira West [1] 3/8
kiraannewest [1] 2/9
knew [7] 30/1 31/5
31/18 31/18 49/8 49/9
49/10
know [71]
knowing [2] 31/23 34/9
knowingly [1] 5/9
knowledge [3] 10/12
21/12 21/22
knowledged [1] 36/9
knows [1] 40/20
Kotelly [1] 13/19
L
lack [1] 31/25
Lake [2] 1/15 55/25
landslide [1] 23/22
language [4] 10/18
11/4 11/18 12/11
large [1] 26/4
largely [1] 14/13
last [4] 29/11 35/23
45/13 47/7
law [11] 2/7 8/8 31/7 32/13 32/15 39/6 48/2 48/11 51/25 52/12 53/23
lawyer [5] 28/23 36/3 37/5 43/19 60/14
lawyers [1] 42/13
leads [1] 27/17
least [16] 7/25 10/5
10/23 12/3 12/4 16/17
16/18 24/1 24/21 26/2 27/3 31/10 33/16 50/8 54/20 61/9
leave [1] 21/11
legal [1] 17/9
legality [1] 6/24
legislative [3] 12/9 16/8 21/20
legislature [4] 15/3 15/9 15/23 38/3
LEIGH [3] 1/7 2/6 3/5
lengths [2] 44/6 50/2
lenity [2] 13/6 13/7
lent [1] 13/10
less [4] 33/4 38/15
58/17 63/20
lesson [2] 65/17 65/17
let [19] $4 / 14 / 154 / 17$
6/1 6/4 8/5 8/23 23/5
27/19 31/19 45/17 47/9
48/17 50/8 52/18 54/21
54/23 60/13 65/4
let's [9] $8 / 138 / 14$
11/23 18/17 22/18 23/2 23/2 32/25 53/12
letter [2] 35/18 44/20
letters [2] 6/18 6/19
level [1] 53/13
levelheaded [1] 45/19
liberty [1] 41/23
lied [3] 26/25 27/3 28/8
lies [2] 51/2 51/3
life [7] 30/6 30/12 45/9
45/21 46/3 52/1 52/3
life-changing [1] 45/9
lifting [1] 35/11
light [1] 15/1
like [32] $8 / 6$ 15/19
18/25 21/25 24/20
25/10 25/25 28/24
30/22 31/25 32/3 32/4
32/17 32/21 32/25 33/4
33/4 33/5 33/5 33/7
33/8 33/12 34/17 36/12
41/22 42/17 44/18
44/20 51/1 54/6 60/4
64/24
likely [1] 39/21
likewise [2] 4/11 13/4
limitations [1] 67/7
line [1] 33/9
literally [1] 33/13
little [5] 5/22 8/7 43/10 49/11 53/5
lives [8] 30/7 30/15
46/11 52/6 52/8 54/19 54/20 65/9

Bocal [2] 55/10 61/5
located [1] 55/20
location [6] 57/20
57/22 62/18 62/20
62/22 62/25
location-monitoring
[5] 57/22 62/18 62/20 62/22 62/25
locked [2] 24/18 26/8 logical [1] 16/21
Lolos [1] 53/11
long [1] 9/7
longer [2] 59/15 64/11
look [3] 14/9 22/18
25/25
looked [1] 25/10
looking [1] 34/23
looks [2] 8/6 24/20
lose [10] 30/13 33/23
39/21 39/22 39/22
39/23 46/14 46/15 54/8 54/10
lost [5] 35/25 41/19
43/25 45/25 51/8
lot [7] 20/14 33/20 34/8
39/24 47/4 50/4 52/9
love [2] 47/5 47/5
Lrm [1] 1/14
luck [2] 65/20 65/24
lucky [2] 34/18 43/6
M
made [18] 13/5 13/10
32/7 36/9 36/11 36/18
36/23 37/13 38/25 39/3
39/9 39/10 47/1 47/13
50/14 52/3 58/12 63/15
magnitude [1] 50/9
main [3] $1 / 1428 / 128 / 8$
major [1] 14/19
make [27] $3 / 194 / 5$
4/17 5/2 7/1 8/5 10/20
12/18 12/25 13/3 17/2
20/24 38/13 43/14 45/8
46/17 49/3 52/5 52/8
53/23 54/11 55/13
56/25 58/7 58/17 61/12
63/11
makes [3] 20/14 21/20
49/11
making [4] 34/19 36/23
37/10 39/24
man [3] 24/1 28/3
30/11
mandatory [3] 55/6
55/9 61/1
manner [2] 57/11
62/12
many [5] 39/9 42/16
46/21 50/25 52/21
march [3] 32/23 32/24
32/25
marched [1] 23/24
marred [2] 30/7 50/16
material [1] 7/25
matter [4] 5/14 17/9
65/18 67/4
maximum [4] 15/8 38/9
may [17] 9/3 9/17 11/7 16/2 18/23 20/24 25/19 56/7 57/13 57/15 58/10 59/25 61/21 62/14 62/15 64/21 65/18 maybe [13] 8/7 9/10 11/16 12/3 12/16 21/17 25/8 25/8 31/10 31/13 31/14 32/3 60/7
McFadden [1] 21/14 me [29] 3/14 4/1 4/15 4/17 6/1 6/4 6/9 8/5 8/23 9/13 11/8 19/5 19/10 22/23 26/2 27/19 31/19 32/3 38/13 43/3 45/8 48/17 53/6 54/21 54/23 60/13 61/24 64/11 65/4
mean [12] $8 / 611 / 5$ 19/19 20/5 25/14 31/20 32/2 32/6 32/13 33/15 34/6 34/20
meaning [1] 10/22
means [2] 19/20 19/24 meant [1] 54/3 mechanical [1] 2/17 media [8] 32/7 32/9 33/11 41/2 49/10 49/17 57/9 62/10
medical [4] 48/14
57/25 63/5 63/5
meet [1] 44/25
MEHTA [1] 1/10
member [1] 45/1 members [2] 24/23 30/1
memo [6] 6/14 6/15 7/18 23/12 40/23 44/6 memorandum [2] 6/10 39/5
memorandums [1] 6/7 memory [1] 8/4
mental [2] 56/25 58/1
mentioned [1] 26/18
Merit [1] 2/12
mess [1] 45/18
met [1] 38/18
methods [1] 56/19
might [4] 20/18 34/15 49/11 59/4
Miller [1] 53/5 mind [5] 30/17 30/24 34/10 44/3 46/25 minutes [3] 26/17 47/15 49/16 misdemeanor [12] 14/8 15/7 37/25 38/5 38/7 38/7 38/8 38/12 38/15 39/2 39/11 47/24 misdemeanors [5] 14/24 14/24 37/19 37/21 37/22
missed [2] 7/2 60/7
Missouri [4] 8/8 55/19 55/21 61/17
mistake [1] 47/8 misunderstanding [1] 31/10
mob [4] 36/15 36/18 36/19 39/9
model [2] 12/6 42/9 mom [3] 43/12 43/12 51/24
moment [4] 24/13 32/4 51/17 55/24
momentarily [2] 47/17 49/4
money [1] 39/24
monitor [2] 57/22 63/1
monitored [1] 62/17 monitoring [9] 57/18 57/20 57/22 62/18 62/20 62/22 62/24 62/24 62/25
month [2] 58/17 63/20
months [5] 53/17 55/2
56/10 61/24 61/24
months' [6] 22/14
27/18 38/8 38/11 44/15 60/21
more [27] 8/7 8/10 9/15 12/18 12/25 13/3 14/7 15/8 15/15 16/20 16/21 18/10 18/12 18/22 20/10 22/19 22/20 32/16 33/4 33/12 34/24 38/6 38/15 40/13 44/8 51/6 65/9
morning [6] 3/2 3/12 3/13 3/18 3/24 4/5
mortgage [1] 39/23
most [3] 14/17 45/9 46/15
mostly [1] 27/3
mother [1] 44/24
motion [1] 44/1
move [5] 19/21 22/18
23/2 23/3 29/6
movement [3] 33/5 57/23 63/1
movement-type [1] 33/5
Mr. [51] 3/13 3/22 4/8 4/17 4/21 5/4 5/24 6/5 6/20 7/4 8/15 8/17 9/24 16/4 17/1 21/8 24/4 25/24 26/20 27/3 28/10 28/14 28/16 28/23 29/3 30/18 30/20 31/1 31/20 35/6 38/17 38/25 41/7 48/23 49/9 49/17 49/21 51/10 52/1 53/11 53/20 53/22 54/13 54/25 56/1 56/15 56/25 57/2 59/15 60/11 60/14
Mr. and [2] 3/13 5/24
Mr. Lolos [1] 53/11 Mr. Pearce [3] 8/17 9/24 21/8
Mr. Pierce [1] 16/4
Mr. Strain [4] 8/15 17/1 28/10 56/1

4/8 4/17 4/21 5/4 6/5 6/20 24/4 25/24 26/20 27/3 28/14 28/16 28/23 29/3 30/18 30/20 31/1 31/20 35/6 38/17 38/25 41/7 48/23 49/9 49/17 49/21 51/10 52/1 53/20 53/22 54/13 54/25
56/15 56/25 57/2 59/15 60/11
Mr. Wilson's [1] 60/14 Mr. Zachary [1] 7/4
Mrs. [9] 4/11 7/7 7/13 40/3 40/22 41/1 41/10 42/8 42/23
Mrs. Wilson [9] 4/11 7/7 7/13 40/3 40/22 41/1 41/10 42/8 42/23 Ms. [45] 3/13 3/25 4/17 4/23 5/6 5/24 6/12 6/17 7/21 12/13 13/15 18/24 19/1 24/4 27/4 27/5 28/13 30/17 33/24 35/4 37/7 40/15 40/16 41/5 43/3 43/21 44/4 44/18 44/22 46/18 46/18 46/23 47/14 48/22 49/24 52/4 53/21 53/25 54/13 60/3 60/13 60/14 60/20 64/10 64/23 Ms. Slaight [8] 12/13 13/15 28/13 30/17 35/4 37/7 40/15 60/14
Ms. Slaight's [1] 19/1 Ms. West [7] 18/24 40/16 41/5 43/21 46/18 60/3 64/23
Ms. Wilson [28] 3/13 3/25 4/17 4/23 5/6 5/24 6/12 6/17 7/21 24/4 27/5 33/24 43/3 44/4 44/18 44/22 46/18 46/23 47/14 48/22 49/24 52/4 53/21 53/25 54/13 60/13 60/20 64/10
Ms. Wilson's [1] 27/4 much [8] $12 / 8$ 24/8 25/9 35/2 50/1 51/22 52/1 65/23
multiple [14] 10/5 12/3 12/4 16/17 25/14 25/15 26/4 26/5 26/6 26/25 33/15 35/21 53/7 53/23 must [20] 48/7 51/23 55/11 55/12 56/4 56/9 56/13 56/19 57/17 59/17 61/6 61/7 61/8 61/12 61/18 62/1 62/4 62/19 63/19 64/12 mute [2] 5/21 5/24 my [54] 7/18 7/24 13/13 19/16 21/12 21/22 22/2 22/4 29/10 29/11 29/21 30/5 30/6 30/6 30/7 30/9 30/10 30/11 30/12 30/12 31/9
 33/23 34/12 34/13 40/23 42/4 43/15 44/3 44/6 44/23 45/1 45/2 45/5 45/14 45/21 45/22 46/1 46/1 46/4 46/9 46/13 47/5 47/16 47/21 50/24 51/8 51/16 51/23 52/10 54/2
My family [1] 45/22
My husband [1] 45/5 myself [4] 30/4 45/18 46/13 47/9

## N

name [1] 44/23
Nancy [1] 24/10
narrow [2] 16/19 44/13
natural [1] 13/2
nature [4] 17/2 30/8
48/7 48/17
NE [1] $2 / 7$
near [1] 26/12
nearly [1] 53/13
necessarily [2] 32/16 33/6
necessary [2] 40/14 48/4
need [11] 22/19 22/20
37/8 39/24 39/25 47/23
48/9 48/16 52/15 54/18
54/19
needed [1] 48/14
needing [1] 17/6
needs [2] 23/18 31/14
negative [1] 13/10
neighborhood [1] 45/2
never [6] 18/18 27/5
45/19 45/21 46/20
47/11
nevertheless [2] 49/5 51/7
new [2] 59/20 64/16 next [1] $24 / 5$
Nichols [1] 21/14
night [2] 19/8 20/17
nights [2] 20/2 20/9
no [21] $1 / 43 / 33 / 43 / 4$
6/8 7/12 21/12 25/20
27/12 27/13 28/11
29/22 30/19 50/23 52/5
53/7 58/17 60/5 60/19
63/20 65/18
nobody [1] 39/23
Nodding [2] 55/22 55/23
noise [1] 5/22
non [2] 14/4 14/4
non-petty [2] 14/4 14/4 nonbinding [1] 10/13
None [1] 64/25
not [122]
note [5] 31/2 37/17 38/1 42/7 67/5
notes [2] 18/8 18/19
nothing [4] 7/2 7/8
34/17 52/16
notify [3] 58/24 59/3
now [6] 22/16 29/16 30/5 31/11 34/24 38/5 number [3] 22/3 23/6 37/4
NW [2] 2/3 2/14
0
objection [1] 7/14
objections [3] 7/10 60/4 64/24
objectives [1] 48/5
obligation [1] 58/25
obligations [4] 58/2
58/19 63/6 63/22
obstruct [1] 56/18
obvious [1] 13/23
obviously [3] 10/8
36/24 47/24
occasions [1] 49/22
occurred [2] 50/9 67/5
occurs [2] 9/13 9/14
odd [1] 20/17
offense [47] 8/4 8/6 9/3
9/6 9/6 9/8 9/8 9/13
9/14 9/15 9/17 9/19
10/3 10/6 10/17 10/21
11/7 11/11 11/19 11/21
11/23 11/23 12/1 12/5
12/11 12/20 12/21
12/22 14/3 14/4 14/7
14/8 15/8 15/15 15/15
15/16 16/14 16/14
16/18 17/20 21/11 48/8
48/10 48/12 48/18
59/23 64/19
offenses [13] 9/21 9/22
10/5 12/3 12/4 12/5 14/4 14/5 15/11 $15 / 14$ 16/17 16/18 39/16 office [53] 2/7 3/9 24/10 24/15 24/17 24/17 24/20 24/22 24/24 25/10 25/13 25/14 26/5 26/20 26/22 27/20 28/5 42/15 43/1 49/4 49/6 49/8 49/8 49/9 49/12 49/14 52/25 53/1 56/6 56/8 56/11 56/23 56/24 57/7 57/10 58/3 58/18 59/6 59/8 59/12 61/11 61/18 61/21 61/22 61/25 62/8 62/11 62/23 63/7 63/21 64/5 64/7 66/1
officer [5] 2/11 42/9 56/4 56/14 62/3
officers [3] 38/21 46/8
49/2
offices [1] 42/16
Official [1] 2/13
Oh [1] 5/23
okay [31] 3/12 3/14 3/17 5/8 5/19 5/23 7/23 8/13 8/22 13/12 16/1 22/17 23/8 26/15 28/9 28/22 29/1 29/8 31/15 31/22 32/2 32/4 33/8

| $0 \quad$ Case 1:21 |  | people'ş¢] Б¢p/ed 02 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /3 | 54/7 | 39 | political [1] 30/8 | 61/11 61/18 61/20 |
| 36/13 37/8 44/21 46/22 | over [10] | pepper [3] 24/2 28/4 | politicians [1] 30/ | 2/2 |
|  | 23/12 29/6 37/24 41/8 | 42/23 | poor [1] 17/21 | 3/7 63/21 64/5 64/7 |
| once [4] 24/8 33/21 | 45/12 47/5 52/23 |  | [2] 52/23 | 66/1 |
| 34/9 54/15 |  | perimeter [1] | tion [2] 19/2 54/17 | Probation Office [13] |
| one [38] 5/20 8/5 9/10 | overtime [1] 35/17 | period [10] | positive [1] 42/7 | 3/9 56/6 56/11 56/23 |
| 9/15 10/5 10/14 10/20 | owed [2] 58/17 63/19 | 1 | Posley [1] 10/11 | 6/24 57/10 58/18 59 |
| 10/24 11/23 12/13 13/3 | own [5] 18/19 45/4 | 53/19 57/17 62/18 | [4] 55/11 | /11 61/18 62/23 64 |
| 14/7 14/7 15/9 15/15 | 51/23 57/3 62/4 | 65 |  | 66/1 |
| 16/18 17/18 20/10 | $\mathbf{P}$ | periodic [1] 61/10 | possible [3] | bation's [2] 6/6 |
| 20/17 20/21 20/21 | p.m [3] 47/18 47/18 |  |  |  |
| 21/16 22/25 24/1 24/2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { p.m } \\ 6 / 6 \end{gathered}$ |  | [4] 26/20 36/ | $\text { blem [3] } 13 / 6 \text { 19/1 }$ |
|  | pa | 30/25 31/3 31/6 31/1 | 43/4 49/17 | 60/ |
|  | 14/22 17/16 18/8 | 31/2 | [6] 28 | roblematic [1] 36/5 |
|  | paid [2] 58/25 64/2 | permission [2] 60/ | $1136 / 17$ 36/ | roceed [5] 3/19 3/25 |
| one-year [1] 38/7 | p | 64/2 |  | 5/12 5/16 5/17 |
| ones [1] 26/21 |  |  | posting [2] 32/7 32/9 | oceeded [1] 24/2 |
| only [23] 9/10 9 |  |  |  | oceeding [2] 4/4 5/1 |
| 9/14 9/21 10/14 10/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 13/7 15/18 16/16 22/23 | nicked [5] 33/18 | 4/12 4/18 5/10 8/1 | 50/15 | 2/17 29/23 47/12 66/6 |
| 25/20 25/20 25/23 | 33/19 34/7 34/12 36/2 | 9/15 9/22 11/11 14 | [1] | 67/4 |
| 35/24 36/11 36/14 | papers [2] 57/7 62/8 | 15/16 19/13 30/8 36/4 | approved | process [2] 27/14 32/1 |
| 37/23 38/9 40/19 41/1 |  | 36/7 38/8 39/20 42/9 | cedes [1] | duced |
| 52/6 53/1 65/9 | parents [2] 54/17 | 45/19 53/1 53/10 57/ | mise [1] 62/1 | ogram [10] |
| op |  | 62/7 65/3 | [1] | /12 56/21 |
|  |  | personal [1] | [1] | /19 62/1 |
| op | part [9] | persons [1] | sence [6] 49/13 | 2/20 62/20 |
| $0$ | 26/12 30/5 36/15 48 | petty [34] | 3 | 3] 42/1 |
| 33/16 | 0 54/22 65 | 9/14 10/6 10/17 10/2 | 0/1 | /18 56/17 |
|  | participate [2] | 11/21 11/23 12/10 | ent [3] 12/16 29 | ohibition [2] 21/3 |
| 37/5 40/18 40/19 |  | 12/21 14/3 14/4 14/4 | 0 |  |
|  | p | 14/4 14/7 14/24 14/21 | S | romote [2] 40/19 |
| $5$ | participation [2] 56/12 | 15/8 15/11 15/15 16/14 |  | 48/11 |
|  | 61/25 | 1/1 | ented [ | not |
| ordered [6] <br> 60/11 60/22 | particular [1] | 19 |  | operty [6] 38/23 39/7 |
|  | particularly [2] 16/15 | 37/25 38/5 38/12 38/15 | Presentence [7] 6/5 | 45/23 49/1 57/7 62 |
|  | 37/14 | 39/2 39/11 | /13 7/10 35/10 59/7 | oposed [1] |
|  |  | Ph.D [1] 43/9 | 59/12 64/6 | osecute [4] 38/1 |
|  | passed | phone [1] 5/2 | President [3] | 38/17 38/20 38/22 |
|  | past [3] 28/4 30/14 | photo [1] 25/1 | 29/22 32/18 | osecuted [3] 37 |
| $35 / 2136 / 1237 /$ | 44/10 | photographs [1] 42/18 | dent Trum | 37/9 37/14 |
| $39$ | pay [12] | picture [1] 25/25 | 2/18 | osecution [1] |
| 54/5 54/13 | 58/5 58/9 58/16 59/1 | pictures [4] 26/19 27/8 | press [1] 36/1 | prosecutor [2] 41/25 |
| 57/8 | 60/22 63/8 | 10 42/14 | 1] | 42/2 |
| 62/10 62/15 63/7 65/22 |  |  | S 1 ] | otect [3] 45/24 |
| others [6] 24/23 27/22 | payable [2] 58/19 | [1] | [2] $42 / 842$ | 2/15 |
| 35/20 39/15 49/11 |  | 4] 22/6 | ] | oud [1] 32/ |
|  | p | 60/4 64/24 | man [1] | ovide [12] |
| otherwis | 59/3 63/15 64/3 | 1/ | 1] $53 / 11$ | 4/21 27/8 48/11 48/ |
| 20/15 |  | 1] $15 / 20$ | isons [2] 19/24 22 | /4 56/13 58/1 |
|  | peacefully [1] 50/13 | Plaintiff [1] 1/4 | private [7] 28/5 28/5 | 1/18 62/1 63/ |
|  | Pearce [4] 3/6 8/17 | a [5] 40/2 40/5 42/3 | 1/14 43/1 49/8 49/8 | [1] |
|  | 9/24 21/8 | /19 |  | oximity [1] 26/1 |
| 10/23 11/20 17/5 23/1 | peculiar [1] 16/15 | pleading [4] 13/25 | probably [2] 24/20 | ublic [2] 48/13 52/1 |
| 29/12 29/15 30/14 | Pelosi's [3] 24/10 | 14/17 14/17 14/22 | 40/2 | ublicly [2] 35/25 42/6 |
| 39/14 45/4 45/23 45 | 26/22 | please [4] 11/2 46 | -18 [5/18 11/7 | punishment [6] 40/25 |
| 46/3 46/10 46/11 46/1 | penalized | 7/5 | /4 9/18 10/18 11/7 | 41/19 42/7 48/12 52/ |
| 46/14 46/15 51/11 | 38/5 | 1] $41 / 16$ | 11/14 11/24 12/2 15/12 | 3/24 |
| ourselves [2] 45/24 | penalty [3] 38/5 59/1 | [2] 37/9 37/1 | 23 | nitive [2] 38/6 40/ |
| 46/4 | 64/11 | 2] 20/8 20 | 18/3 19/22 20/16 22/12 | urely [1] 7/18 |
| out [13] |  | pockets [1] |  | pose [2] 14/19 |
| 11/20 15/6 17/16 19/7 | 35/21 36/12 37/4 37/9 | point [8] 14/15 14/18 | $27 / 24$ 37/23 38/13 | purposes [2] |
| 23/13 36/4 40/5 42/1 | 35/21 36/12 37/4 37/9 | 17/16 18/9 36/4 36/22 | 39/20 40/12 41/21 | 58/21 |
| 45/4 52/25 66/4 | 14 | 37/8 45/23 |  | uant [2] 57/15 |
| [2] 24/24 42/15 | /6 49/14 | points [1] 7/2 | 56/6 56/11 56/14 56/23 | 62/16 |
| outpatient [1] 56/20 | 50/25 51/5 51/17 65/22 | police [3] 43/14 46/8 | 56/24 57/10 58/3 58/1 | put [9] 8/13 40/23 41/3 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| question [12] 8/15 |  |  |  | 59/20 61/14 64/9 64/1 |
|  | 27/21 27/25 56/22 record [11] 19/1 22/1 | residents [2] 57/14 62/15 | 31/2 31/18 32/24 42/9 | sentenced [23] 9/4 9/5 |
|  |  |  | 44/4 44/5 48/2 49/16 | 9/15 9/17 9/18 11/7 |
|  | record [11] 19/1 22/1 |  |  | 1/8 11/22 12/19 1 |
|  | 42/2 42/3 60/4 64/24 | resolved [1] 7/17 <br> respect [9] 8/16 11/10 | 57/16 59/1 60/8 60/21 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67/3 } \\ & \text { record-time [1] } 42 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5 | 38/8 38/11 39/12 39 |
|  |  | respect [9] 8/16 11/10 18/2 46/22 48/11 52/12 54/25 60/20 63/13 |  |  |
|  | ```recorded [1] 2/17 refer [1] 11/13 refers [1] 12/1 reflect [3] 36/20 48/10 52/10``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { same [32] 9/5 9/6 9/18 } \\ & 9 / 19 \text { 10/9 10/19 10/22 } \end{aligned}$ | 60 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 54 / 2560 / 2063 / 13 \\ & \text { respects [1] } 52 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | response [1] 6/15 <br> responses [1] 47/21 <br> responsibility [6] | 11/25 12/1 12/1 12/2 <br> 12/5 12/15 12/20 19/2 | 8/15 54/1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 52 / 10 \\ & \text { reflected [2] } 52 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 512 / 1512 / 2019 / 2 \\ & 19 / 1719 / 1825 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | sentencing [44] 1/10$3 / 184 / 44 / 104 / 124 / 20$ |
|  | $65 / 5$ | responsibility [6] 39/10 39/14 40/1 40/7 | 26/13 42/14 43/14 |  |
|  | reflecting [1] 50/9 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 53 / 1465 / 6 \\ \text { rest [1] } 46 / 11 \end{array}$ |  | 5/10 5/12 5/18 6/2 6/8 |
|  |  |  |  | 6/10 6/14 6/15 7/25 |
|  |  |  |  | 23 11/10 |
|  | Reform [7] 11/17 14/10 14/12 14/15 14/19 15/2 | 55/15 58/7 58/12 58/16 | saw [3] 28/3 29/24 | (14/10 14/15 |
|  | 15/25refrain [2] 55/11 61/7 | 58/21 59/2 61/12 61/14 |  | 14/19 14/20 15/2 15/25 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | refrain [2] 55/1161/7 regard [8] 4/13 7/13 | $63 / 19$ 64/3restricted [3] 41/23 | $13 / 2218 / 621 / 2$ $27 / 729 / 229 / 9$ $35 / 5$ | 23/12 37/20 38/2 39/5 |
|  | 19/3 40/22 40/25 42/7 |  | 43/18 45/7 | $42 / 1148 / 550 / 850 / 25$$53 / 1253 / 1654 / 254 / 11$ |
|  | 42/11 42/21 <br> register [1] 32/3 <br> Registered [1] 2/12 | $57 / 2463 / 3$ | 50/5 50/16 51/15 54/3 60/6 60/9 65/4 |  |
|  |  | restriction [1] 63/1 restrictions [2] 41/23 |  | serious [2] 38/15 38/15 |
|  |  |  | saying [1] 35/19 | serious [2] 38/15 38/15 |
|  | Registered [1] 2/12 regrettably [3] 50/14 | $57 / 23$ | says [6] 9/2 9/16 14/16 |  |
|  | $51 / 451 / 20$ | eturn [1] 59/11 <br> everse [1] 28/18 eviewed [4] 6/2 6/18 | $\text { schedule [3] } 58 / 20$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | served [2] $22 / 754 / 12$ serves [1] $8 / 4$ |
|  | rehabilitation [1] |  |  | service [3] 54/22 56/9 |
|  |  |  | school [1] 41/14 schoolteacher [1] | 61/23 |
| 16/20 16/23 20/18 | reimbursement [1] 58/14 | revocation [2] 57/13 62/14 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 41 / 14 \\ & \text { score [1] } 8 / 3 \end{aligned}\right.$ | services [3] 57/1 57/25 63/4 |
| ready [3] 3/19 3/2 | relation [1] 14/10 <br> release [18] 14/23 <br> 14/25 15/5 15/8 15/11 | right [46] 4/6 4/9 4/12 <br> 4/18 4/19 5/10 6/1 7/9 |  |  |
|  |  |  | screen [1] 29/6 <br> search [8] 57/6 57/9 | set [5] 11/20 16/7 27/23 48/5 58/20 |
|  |  | 8/6 8/14 9/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { search [8] 57/6 57/9 } \\ & 57 / 1357 / 1562 / 762 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| realize [3] 32/13 32/14 | 15/22 15/24 16/2318/22 27/15 38/10 42/8 |  |  | 27/23 48/5 58/20 <br> sets [1] $8 / 24$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| realized | 56/6 57/12 59/6 61/20 62/13 64/5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28/4 28/12 29/16 31/4 } \\ & 31 / 832 / 12 \text { 34/2 34/2 } \end{aligned}$ | 12/13 22/23 41/6 | several [1] 47/7 severity [1] 40/6 |
| 33/7 33/13 36/20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | relevant [1] 12/9 <br> relied [2] 10/11 14/1 | $\begin{aligned} & 34 / 2435 / 440 / 1643 / 19 \\ & 47 / 1447 / 1551 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | security [4] 30/3 41/3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hall [22] } 55 / 555 / 10 \\ & 56 / 256 / 1656 / 1857 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| [2] |  |  |  | 57/6 57/14 58/7 58/12 |
| [19] 7/21 10 | religious [2] 57/25 | 55/24 59/14 59/19 60/3 60/16 60/18 64/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { see [3] } 29 / 635 / 10 \\ & 42 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 59/11 60/25 61/23 |
|  | remain [1] 19/23 <br> remember [1] 32/8 | $64 / 1564 / 2364 / 23$$65 / 23$ | seeing [3] 34/8 47/4 47/6 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 / 2562 / 762 / 1563 / 25 \\ & 64 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/21 42/5 | remorse [2] 29/18 53/8 remorseful [1] 35/8 |  | 47/6 <br> seem [1] 65/18 <br> seemed [1] 32/9 | share [2] 56/7 61/21 she [22] 13/22 19/1 |
| 43/3 50/23 |  |  |  |  |
|  | remote [1] 4/9 remotely [6] 4/4 4/13 | rioters [1] 26/9 <br> rise [1] 13/6 | seemed [1] 32/9 <br> seems [2] 16/19 53/6 <br> seen [4] 25/5 25/15 | $\begin{aligned} & 27 / 533 / 2541 / 1341 / 13 \\ & 41 / 1541 / 1641 / 16 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 25 / 125 / 1767 / 7 \\ & \text { reply [3] } 6 / 1017 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | risk [1] 45/20 risk-taker [1] 45 | 35/21 39/8 <br> Senate [1] 24/5 | 1/20 42/24 42/25 43/1 <br> 43/4 43/11 43/20 44/6 |
| 57/10 57/11 |  | RMR [2] 67/2 67/11 room [6] 25/17 25/21 25/25 26/7 26/9 66/4 rooms [2] 25/15 26/4 Rotunda [1] 24/10 rule [2] 8/24 20/14 rules [3] 56/21 57/18 62/19 |  |  |
| 62/11 62/12 | 19/1 <br> report [8] 6/6 6/13 7/10 |  |  | 44/11 44/12 44/19 44/19 44/20 <br> she's [8] 34/3 41/19 41/22 41/24 42/8 42/10 44/10 44/13 <br> shift [1] $35 / 21$ <br> shoes [1] 43/13 <br> shortly [2] 19/21 26/23 <br> shot [1] 24/1 <br> should [7] 18/22 23/13 |
| reasons [1] 28/8 | report [8] 6/6 6/13 7/10 $35 / 10$ $41 / 2459 / 759 / 12$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reporter [4] 2/12 2/12 2/13 2/13 <br> reporting [2] 66/3 67/7 <br> request [4] 6/23 17/13 <br> 59/25 64/21 <br> requested [2] 56/5 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| S |  |  |  | thank [22] 4/13 5/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/25 | 11/9 30/25 44/5 46/4 | tory [3] 12/10 | 61 | 28/ |
| 7/24 39/12 39/17 | 49/17 50/14 50/20 | 59/16 64/12 | supplemental [2] 6/22 | 29/9 30/16 30/20 40/15 |
| 15 | 51/20 65/15 | [2] 23/20 |  | 40/17 41/9 42/5 44/16 |
| ouldn't [1] 65/16 | somewhat [1] | stenography [1] 2/17 | suppor | 47/14 4 |
| ws [2] 35/19 43/11 | soon [3] 40/3 | still [9] 5/16 7/19 9/8 | suppose [3] 20/7 20 | 47/19 65/2 65/23 65 |
| [1] $30 / 10$ | sorry [9] 6/8 11/1 30/5 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 1315 / 1937 / 19 \\ & 41 / 2341 / 2448 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | thank you [14] 5/25 |
| [1] | 44/5 45/14 45/17 46/9 | stolen [2] 23/21 32 | sure [16] 3/19 4/5 4/17 | 23/9 28/11 29/7 29/9 |
|  | 46/17 60/15 | stop [2] 23/20 34/3 | 5/2 7/1 8/5 12/14 16/4 | 30/16 40/15 40/17 |
|  | sort [9] 8/25 18/5 20/14 | stopping [1] 47/11 | 17/15 18/24 20/13 25 | 44/16 44/17 |
| $\text { nilar [1] } 42 / 4$ | 22/18 23/10 24/22 | storage [2] 57/8 62/9 |  | 47/19 65/24 |
| ply [2] 12/19 4 | 32/10 33/24 4 | story [1] 52/2 |  | Thanksgiving [1] 45/6 |
| ce [4] 41/11 42/8 | south [2] | straight [4] 22/7 22/10 | surrendered [1] 40/3 | that [375] |
| /11 44/11 | space [2] 24/24 26/4 | 27/21 44/12 | suspicion [2] 57/11 | that's [37] |
| cerely [1] 46/11 | speak [2] 27/1 28/17 | Strain [7] 1/13 | 62/ | 7/18 7/21 10/10 11/4 |
| gle [2] 10/17 26/5 | Speaker [2] 25/4 42/17 | 17/1 28/10 42/1 56/1 | sw | $512 / 913 / 415$ |
| singular [2] 20/12 | Speaker's [11] 24/15 | strange [ |  | /16 18/20 19/9 19/16 |
| 20/13 | 24/20 25/14 25/16 | Street [1] 1/14 | swing [1] | 4 20/20 21/3 2 |
| sit [1] | 25/21 26/19 49/4 49/9 | stress [2] 35/22 35/23 | T | 22/9 28/19 28/20 33/11 |
| uation [3] 10/24 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 33/7 | Speaker's room [1] |  |  | 44 |
| six [3] 38/8 38/ |  |  |  | 50/13 50/20 55/25 |
| 51/23 | special [5] $55 / 356 / 2$ | students [1] $41 / 15$ | 20 30/11 33/20 34 | their [35] 4/54/6 5/10 |
| Slaight [10] | s0/6 60/12 60/23 | stuff [2] 33/8 34/9 | 37/24 43/15 46/12 | 5/21 5/24 6/22 15/25 |
| 12/13 13/15 28/13 | specifically [3] 13/22 | stupid [3] 33/2 47/8 | 47/15 | /21 18/8 18/19 1 |
| 30/17 35/4 37/7 40/15 | 21/7 42/12 | 47/ | taken [3] 15/6 51/3 | /13 26/19 27/1 27/15 |
|  | speech [1] 32/24 | subject [3] 57/15 62/1 | 52/1 | 7/15 39/2 |
|  | Spencer [2] 13/20 |  | taker [1] 45/20 | /18 47/20 47/21 |
|  | 52/25 | submit [6] 56/16 57/6 | takes [1] 35/12 | 48/25 49/3 49/11 49/13 |
| nartlink | spend [1] 19/14 | 57/13 61/8 62/7 62/14 | taking [5] 12/8 30 | 49/18 49/24 50/1 50/3 |
|  | spends [1] 19/13 | submitted [2] 6/18 | 42/13 42/18 65/6 | 4/14 54/15 54/ |
| $4 \text { 6/24 7/1 8/1 8/1. }$ | spent [2] 24/12 26/16 | 6/19 | talk [5] 17/17 18/1 | 54/20 65/15 |
| $17 \text { 8/ }$ | split [14] 14/13 14/14 | Subsection [1] 11/4 | 36/3 36/16 37/5 | them [22] 14/16 16/11 |
| 10/3 10/22 11/12 11/14 | 14/20 15/3 16/7 16/24 | Subsection 3 [1] 11/4 | talking [3] 9/2 43/8 | 18/17 25/6 26/25 27/2 |
| 11/22 12/8 12/23 14/24 | 18/9 18/13 18/15 18/17 | subsequently [1] |  | 29/24 30/2 36/10 |
| 16/6 17/7 19/24 21/18 | 18/21 21/3 21/11 38/2 |  | tamper [1] 56/18 | 40/24 43/18 43/19 45/5 |
| 22/8 22/15 23/1 25/2 | spray [1] 24/2 | substance [10] 55/ | tear [1] 24/1 | 48/19 51/4 51/4 51/24 |
| 25/4 25/23 27/7 27/10 | sprayed [2] 28/4 42/23 | 55/12 56/16 56/18 | technologica | 52/17 54/18 65/17 |
| 28/23 29/6 31/6 31/14 | squarely [1] 22/25 | 56/ | technology [5] 57/20 | theme [1] 41/18 |
| 31/23 33/1 33/14 33/21 | St [2] 2/3 2/7 | 61/8 63/5 | 57/22 62/18 62/22 | themselves [1] |
| 36/16 37/3 38/13 38/21 | staff | su | 62/25 | en [30] 4/2 $6 / 26 / 3$ |
| 40/1 40/5 41/7 | staffers [1] 26/7 | 29/13 30/6 45/18 58/2 | tell [5] 27/2 33/14 | 6/10 8/14 8/24 8/25 9/8 |
| 42/6 42/11 42/14 42/19 | stain [2] 30/6 51/ | 64/1 | 9 | 0/19 11/3 11/19 12/16 |
| 43/17 44/9 44/14 46/2 | stand [1] 23/13 | suddenly [1] 15/10 | 2/18 | 16/25 22/11 22 |
| 46/15 46/22 47/22 54/8 | standard [4] 37/19 | sufficient [1] 48/4 | temporal [1] 26/13 | 23/2 23/24 24/2 24/6 |
| 60/20 65/1 65/13 | 7/22 55/6 61/1 | suggest [13] 9/11 9/20 | te | 24/19 26/23 28/7 32/24 |
| 66 | art [3] 8/14 23/4 | 6 17/20 18 | term [13] 6/23 | 39 |
| Sol [1] 36/16 |  | /23 27/10 |  | 55/25 60/13 |
| sol think [4] 8/11 |  |  |  | there [46] 6/9 6/19 7/10 |
| 122 16/6 44/9 |  |  | 59/ |  |
| 1] |  | suggestion [1] 20/5 | $717 / 1$ |  |
| [1] | statements [7] 34/20 | suggests [5] 11/8 | 51/15 52/1 | 16/17 18/19 20/10 |
|  | 37/10 37/13 37/14 | 20/10 20/11 20/15 | /24 | 21/18 22/1 22/9 24/23 |
|  | 47/21 | 52/1 | terrible [1] 51/10 | 25/4 26/6 29/7 30/23 |
| 33/11 41/2 49/10 49/17 | STATES [8] $1 / 11 / 3$ | Suite [1] 2/3 | Terrific [1] 3/17 | /22 32/23 33/1 33/9 |
| [2] | 1/11 3/3 22/2 27/17 | summer [2] 47/5 50/22 | test [1] 61/8 | 34/16 36/15 37/9 37/11 |
|  | 55/4 55/18 | Sunday [1] 19/8 | testing [2] 56/17 56/10 | 39/8 41/8 43/16 43/17 |
|  | States' [1] 28/2 | supervise [2] 56/11 | tests [1] 61/10 | 47/7 49/4 49/5 49/10 |
| 24/25 27/9 31/14 34/1 | statute [25] 8/24 10/7 | 61/25 | text [1] 16/21 | 49/13 49/16 52/22 53 |
| 49/7 51/1 51/6 53/24 | 11/5 12/19 13/14 14/6 | supervised [8] 14/23 | than [20] 8/7 8/10 9/1 | 53/19 |
| /15 | 14/9 14/24 15/5 15/12 | 15/5 15/8 15/11 15/21 | 14/7 15/7 15/9 20/10 | there's [11] 7/2 11/9 |
| mebody [7] 11/22 | 15/12 15/13 15/20 | 15/24 16/23 | 3/19 34/24 38/6 38/ | 21/10 25/20 26/6 36/1 |
| 18/15 19/5 20/16 42/17 | 15/21 15/22 17/18 | supervision [15] 15/4 | 40/13 48/4 49/11 51/7 | /2 |
|  | 17/22 17/23 18/7 21/7 | 15/10 15/23 16/22 55/5 | 52/9 58/17 59/16 63/20 | 52/16 |
| someone [1] 37/2 | 21/19 38/3 38/4 48/6 | 55/7 55/8 |  | thereafter [1] 61/10 |

    therefore
    these [15] 15/3 19/11
23/19 23/24 27/16
27/23 40/20 40/21
41/19 42/13 42/17
46/16 50/6 52/16 54/12
they [97]
they're [5] 4/6 5/25
18/16 40/21 43/5
they've [4] 35/20 37/13
41/3 43/6
thing [8] 19/18 22/4
25/12 26/22 34/10 36/5
43/14 47/10
things [9] 11/15 23/13
24/16 36/1 45/9 45/15
46/16 54/5 54/18
think [75]
thinking [4] 20/21 33/4
33/9 33/22
third [1] 21/17
this [88]
This is [1] $3 / 3$
those [16] 6/20 11/15
17/13 17/23 22/16 25/1
27/9 27/16 27/24 28/8
39/15 42/20 54/1 55/8
56/21 61/4
though [3] 14/15 20/13
41/15
thought [11] 7/20 30/25 31/2 31/15 31/16 31/25 32/23 33/12 47/9 55/24 60/8
thoughtful [1] 47/20 thoughts [1] 47/16 threatening [1] 49/1 threats [2] 41/2 45/22 three [4] 14/2 27/3 43/2 49/22
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40/2 40/6 52/25
torn [1] 29/15
total [1] 26/16
tour [1] 43/2
tours [1] 42/13
towards [1] 45/22
track [1] 37/20
tradition [2] 23/16 50/12
traditional [1] 23/3 traditionally [1] 28/19 traffic [1] 8/7
training [1] 48/14
transcript [3] 1/10 2/17 67/3
transcription [1] 2/17 transferred [2] 55/17 61/16
transition [3] 23/16 50/12 50/15
treated [2] 20/12 20/13 treatment [5] 56/21 58/1 59/11 59/13 63/5
trespass [1] 24/3 trespassed [1] 23/25 tried [1] 52/5 triple [1] 13/10 trouble [1] 51/24 true [2] 11/25 36/24 truly [5] 45/14 46/9 46/17 51/19 52/7
Trump [3] 23/21 29/22 32/18
truth [3] 27/2 33/14 33/16
truthful [3] 34/15 49/21 49/22
try [7] 18/17 27/11 27/11 34/14 44/9 50/21 52/8
trying [6] 13/13 14/14 19/18 21/21 43/10 50/7 turkey [1] 45/5
turn [4] 4/2 6/3 32/24 60/13
two [22] 6/18 9/21 9/22 11/15 12/5 14/4 14/4 15/14 16/17 18/5 20/7 21/20 23/19 41/11 43/10 44/24 45/25 46/3 51/22 52/16 61/9 65/10 two-parent [1] 46/3 type [2] 33/5 33/12

## U

U.S [7] 37/17 37/20 37/23 39/13 58/13 63/16 64/7
U.S. [4] 27/20 56/8 61/17 61/22
U.S. Attorney's Office
[3] 27/20 56/861/22
U.S. District [1] 61/17
U.S.C [8] 6/22 8/16 18/2 48/3 55/13 60/23 61/13 64/14
various [1] 52/20
vehicle [2] 57/7 62/8
verification [4] 56/13
58/18 62/2 63/21
versa [1] 20/13
versus [2] $3 / 422 / 2$
very [17] 14/25 16/2
16/19 16/25 20/17 30/9 30/10 35/1 35/9 35/24
36/5 36/7 43/6 51/9 51/22 52/1 65/23
via [2] $1 / 103 / 10$
vice [1] 20/13
victimized [1] 51/1
victory [1] 23/23
video [10] 4/10 25/23
25/24 26/18 26/19 28/6
36/11 36/17 43/4 49/18
videoconference [1] 3/10
videos [6] 27/8 27/10
36/10 36/13 39/9 50/3
view [11] 7/24 9/25
10/23 13/1 17/5 17/25
18/5 23/17 27/22 51/8
51/16
violated [1] 31/6
violation [3] 8/7 57/12
62/13
violence [6] 25/3 28/3
39/6 42/23 45/22 50/16
virtue [2] 19/24 51/18
visited [1] 45/2
visits [2] 58/1 63/6
vocational [1] 48/14
voice [2] 57/21 62/24
voluntarily [1] 5/10
voting [1] 30/10
vs [1] $1 / 5$
waive [2] 4/3 4/9
waived [2] 57/19 62/21
waives [4] 4/12 58/5
58/10 63/9
waiving [3] 4/6 5/10
63/13
walk [2] 25/6 52/5
walked [1] 28/4
wall [1] 25/11
want [22] $3 / 184 / 55 / 2$
27/7 27/10 28/18 28/19
29/1 29/9 30/11 31/2
33/23 34/5 35/5 36/4
36/22 41/25 51/8 54/8
54/11 54/16 65/2
wanted [8] $4 / 1215 / 3$
15/9 15/10 15/23 23/1
23/5 42/5
warn [2] 57/14 62/15
was [100]
Washington [7] 1/5 2/4
2/8 2/15 23/19 29/21 48/20
wasn't [6] 22/7 25/8
31/6 32/4 32/16 43/17
water [1] 45/3

Way [10] 8/13 9/11 10/14 15/18 18/17 18/20 21/3 24/18 49/3 53/12
ways [4] 12/24 13/9 14/2 50/25
we [72]
We got [1] 45/12
We saw [1] 29/24
we will [2] 30/13 46/10
we'll [4] 6/3 23/3 46/14 46/15
we're [5] 3/18 42/12 42/18 43/8 43/9
we've [6] 13/7 30/14
39/8 41/22 46/2 46/14
weapon [2] 57/5 62/6
weapons [1] 27/13
week [2] 18/5 21/20
weekends [7] 19/9
19/9 20/2 20/9 21/16
21/19 52/23
weeks [2] 17/5 20/7
weighty [1] $8 / 12$
weird [1] 22/4
well [12] 7/24 10/10
14/5 16/21 19/19 22/18
27/7 31/19 53/12 54/6 55/6 61/1
went [7] 22/6 24/8 24/9
27/9 29/21 44/6 47/11
were [44] 6/18 6/19
10/5 12/23 14/2 14/6
24/6 24/8 24/16 24/23
25/17 26/7 26/7 26/8
26/12 26/12 27/13 30/2
30/23 30/24 32/10
32/22 32/23 33/12
35/15 37/13 39/8 41/15
43/13 43/14 43/22
48/20 48/25 49/4 49/16
49/20 49/20 51/1 51/2
51/3 51/6 51/20 53/7 55/25
weren't [3] 34/16 43/16 43/22
West [10] 2/6 2/7 3/8
18/24 40/16 41/5 43/21
46/18 60/3 64/23
Western [2] 55/18
61/17
what [52] $4 / 56 / 16 / 4$ 8/8 10/10 12/17 13/22 14/1 14/13 15/19 18/16 19/20 20/4 20/15 21/21 22/9 22/15 24/14 24/19 25/10 26/6 27/22 29/13 30/5 30/22 30/23 31/21 32/17 35/19 36/6 36/13 36/20 37/1 40/23 41/22 42/4 42/22 44/3 44/9 44/10 45/8 45/11 46/6 46/24 50/9 51/13 51/18 51/23 52/2 65/5 65/13 65/14
what's [2] 4/14 19/11
whatever [1] 5/25
when [28] 9/14 9/21


