2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 1 of 47 Pg ID 42
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Government.
v. Case No. 16-mj-30339
SEBASTIAN GREGERSON,
Defendant.
/
DETENTION HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE-JUDGE MONA K. MAJZOUB United States District Judge 231 US Courthouse & Federal Building 635 Lafayette Boulevard West Detroit, Michigan Thursday, August 4, 2016
APPEARANCES:
FOR THE GOVERNMENT: KATHLEEN CORKEN
FOR THE DEFENDANT: DAVID M. THOLEN
TRANSCRIBED BY: Carol S. Sapala, RMR, FCRR 313.961.7552 www.transcriptorders.com
Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 2 of 47 Pg ID 43
CONTENTS
IDENTIFICATION PAGE
WITNESSES
None.
Detention Hearing Proffer by Ms. Corken 5 Proffer by Mr. Tholen 13
Argument by Mr. Tholen21Argument by Ms. Corken36Rebuttal Argument by Mr. Tholen42
The Court's Ruling 45
Certificate of Transcriber 47
Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016 LEXHIBLTS	2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 3 of 47 Pg ID 44
IDENTIFICATION OFFERED Government's Exhibits 1-30 5	Detention Hearing 8-4-2016
IDENTIFICATION OFFERED Government's Exhibits 1-30 5	
IDENTIFICATION OFFERED Government's Exhibits 1-30 5	
IDENTIFICATION OFFERED Government's Exhibits 1-30 5	
IDENTIFICATION OFFERED Government's Exhibits 1-30 5	ЕХНТВТТЅ
Sovernment's Exhibits 1-30 Items seized during search warrant 5	
Sovernment's Exhibits 1-30 Items seized during search warrant 5	
Sovernment's Exhibits 1-30 Items seized during search warrant 5	
Items seized during search warrant 5	IDENTIFICATION OFFERED
Items seized during search warrant 5	
Items seized during search warrant 5	
Usa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Usa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Isa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-20329	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-20239	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Usa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Isa y Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Usa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Usa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Isa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Usa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Isa y Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Ilsa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
Usa v Gregerson 16-mi-30339	
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 4 of 47 Pg ID 45 $\frac{4}{2}$ Detention Hearing 8-4-2016 Detroit, Michigan 1 2 Tuesday, May 25, 2011 3 3:05 p.m. 4 (The transcriber was not 5 present at this hearing) 6 THE CLERK: Court calls case number 16-30339 7 United States of America versus Sebastian Gregerson. 8 MS. CORKEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kathleen Corken on behalf of the United States. 9 10 MR. THOLEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. May 11 it please the Court, David Tholen on behalf of 12 Mr. Gregerson. He's present, Your Honor. We are ready to proceed. 13 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 Will the defendant please state his name to the 16 court. 17 THE DEFENDANT: Sebastian Gregerson. 18 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gregerson. 19 Ms. Corken. 20 MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, this is the date and 21 time set forth for the detention hearing. 22 The Government intends to proceed by proffer with 23 the Court's permission. It's my understanding the 24 defense has no objection. 25

	2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 5 of 47 Pg ID 46 5
	Detention Hearing 8-4-2016
1	THE COURT: Very well, you may.
2	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, as for our proffer
3	THE COURT: One minute.
4	(A discussion was held at the bench
5	at side bar with all parties)
6	THE COURT: Ms. Corken, you may proceed.
7	MS. CORKEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	Your Honor, as part of our proffer, we'll be
9	relying upon the affidavits in support of the Complaint,
10	the Government's sealed filing, the Pretrial Services
11	Report which I would note recommends pretrial detention
12	of the defendant as well.
13	And in addition, Your Honor, the Government is
14	relying upon some exhibits, Government's Exhibit 1
15	through 30 that I have provided to defense counsel.
16	MR. THOLEN: That's right, Your Honor.
17	MS. CORKEN: And I would like to hand up to
18	the Court if I could.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, additionally, as far
21	as the Government's proffer goes, I would like to offer
22	the following facts related to a search warrant that was
23	executed on defendant's residence on July 31st.
24	Among the items recovered during that search were
25	seven rifles, two of which were AK-47's, one tactical
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 6 of 47 Pg ID 47 6

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1	shotgun, two handguns, neither of which were registered,
2	a hatchet, road spikes, full face ski masks, some 20
3	knives, including multiple fixed blade knives, some of
4	which were or are specifically marked for tactical or
5	combat use; included among these as well were two
6	machetes and, in addition, thousands of rounds of live
7	ammunition for the weapons, including hundreds of rounds
8	of AK-47 ammunition.

9 Your Honor, as far as Government's argument, the 10 information in the Government's proffer clearly 11 establishes that this defendant is a danger to the 12 community and that there's no condition or combination 13 of conditions that would assure the safety of the 14 community.

As the Court is well aware, the Bail Reform Act sets forth a number of factors to be considered in making the determination as to whether to be released for trial. One of the factors is the nature and circumstances of the offense.

In this case, the defendant is charged with unregistered possession of a destructive device as well as receipt of explosive materials. The items involved in those offenses are inherently dangerous.

This defendant received and possessed five highexplosive grenades, these are bombs, bombs that can be

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 7 of 47 Pg ID 48 7

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1 thrown by hand.

The five grenades that the defendant obtained were M67 grenades. Government's Exhibit 1 is a photograph of one of those grenades.

5 The M67 grenade has a fatality rate of 16 feet and 6 the serious injury radius of 49 feet. Fragments from an 7 M67 grenade can disburse as far out as 820 feet.

8 The grenade by nature is indiscriminate, it is 9 designed to kill or injure everyone within the strike 10 range of it.

In addition, Your Honor, the only purpose for having a grenade is to kill or injure individuals. There's no non violent purpose for a grenade at -- which is unlike firearms.

15 The grenade is -- its utility, its purpose and 16 function is to cause death and injury. That fact alone 17 the Government would submit is sufficient to establish 18 the defendant's dangerousness, but there is certainly 19 additional evidence to support the conclusion as to his 20 dangerousness.

Your Honor, the defendant indicated to the undercover that five grenades was just a start, that he was interested in purchasing additional grenades beyond that.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 8 of 47 Pg ID 49 8

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

Now not only was he interested in purchasing
 additional grenades, but he was also interested in
 purchasing the Claymore mine.

And Government's Exhibit Number 2 is a photograph of a Claymore mine that the defendant described as a magical piece of equipment and said that he would pay \$250 for it.

8 The Claymore mine, Your Honor, is a, a mine that is 9 detonated or fired by remote control and it shoots steel 10 balls like a shotgun in an arc around it. Inside the 11 mine is C4 explosive that is behind a matrix of hundreds 12 of steel balls and when it's detonated, the explosion 13 drives the balls forward at high velocity in this arc.

With a Claymore mine it fires these steel balls
that are fragmented upon detonation out to 110 yards.
Like grenades a mine is, obviously, indiscriminate.
When used it kills or injures anything within striking
range.

Just as with grenades, the sole purpose of a Claymore mine is to kill and injure people. I think that that fact that these are items that are inherently dangerous and whose purpose is to injure and kill is very significant because I think it sheds light on the motive and reason that the defendant possesses this arsenal that has been recovered from his home.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 9 of 47 Pg ID 50 9

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1	As you know, Your Honor, the Complaint Affidavit
2	lays out that the defendant in the past 16 months
3	purchased a number of weapons, ammunition, tactical gear
4	and tactical training materials.
5	In the past six weeks he's purchased three guns.
6	And in the search warrant executed after the
7	defendant's arrest, the the agents are still
8	reviewing the items. Even now we can tell that the vast
9	majority of what we know he purchased was recovered in
10	the search warrant plus a whole lot more.
11	I'm not going to go through every photograph that I
12	have provided to the Court, but I do want to point out a
13	few of the photographs. And I can also give the Court
14	an opportunity to look through those photographs if
15	you'd like.
16	THE COURT: All right. Why don't you point
17	out what you'd like to point out.
18	MS. CORKEN: Okay.
19	Government's Exhibit 5, Your Honor, that is one of
20	the assault rifles the AK-47s that was recovered, that
21	is one of them.
22	Government's Exhibit 9 is a tactical shotgun that
23	was recovered.
24	Government's Exhibit 11 is a Caltrop sub 2000
25	9 millimeter gun. This is a gun that as it's depicted
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016 1 in the photograph folds in half and is so -- one of the 2 purposes is you can conceal the fact that it is a long 3 barreled qun. Exhibit 12 is when it is unfolded. 4 5 Exhibit 13 are the road spikes. б Exhibit 16 depicts the AK-47 magazines that were 7 recovered within a bag that the defendant described at 8 one point the U.C. as his go-to-bag. 9 MR. THOLEN: Your Honor, I'll object. I 10 understand it's the Government's argument. 11 I believe that any information the Government 12 decided to proffer about the undercover, it was a 13 discussion and it's unclear if the undercover described 14 that item or not. 15 MS. CORKEN: It was the defendant. 16 MR. THOLEN: I'll revisit that, judge. 17 MS. CORKEN: Exhibit 22, Your Honor, is a 18 tactical vest, tactical vest and other items. And Exhibit 30 is a number of items including body 19 20 armor with military grade plates and handcuffs. 21 Your Honor, I would just like to focus on some of 22 the statements that the defendant made to the undercover 23 that, again, I believe shed light on the purpose for 24 which he has this -- these items in his house, this 25 amassing of these weapons and ammunition as well as his

	2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 11 of 47 Pg ID 52 11
	Detention Hearing 8-4-2016
1	purchase of the grenades.
2	On July 15th as the Complaint Affidavit indicates,
3	the defendant showed the undercover a bag with the 240
4	rounds of AK-47 ammunition, described it as a
5	grab-and-go-bag.
6	THE COURT: Hold on.
7	(After a short delay, the proceedings continued)
8	THE COURT: All right. If you'd start over
9	again with that statement.
10	MS. CORKEN: Sure.
11	Your Honor, I was pointing out some statements that
12	indicate I believe or shed light on the purpose for
13	which the defendant acquired this enormous amount of
14	weapons and ammunition as well as the grenades; one such
15	statement he made on July 15th to the undercover
16	officer.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MS. CORKEN: And he showed him a bag that was
19	the Government's Exhibit 16 that I just mentioned showed
20	him that bag. It has that has a number of rounds of
21	a AK-47 ammunition, I believe it was 240 and described
22	it as that bag as a grab-and-go bag. To me that means
23	grab and go and use.
24	He also told the U.C. that he purchased pouches for
25	tactical vests to insert the M67 grenades that he

Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 12 of 47 Pg ID 53 12

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

ultimately acquired. And, again, the plan there,
 obviously, is to carry those grenades within a tactical
 vest.

One other point; he expressed his desire to the
U.C. to purchase a 40 millimeter grenade launcher and 40
millimeter grenades for it which is illegal.

7 In the context of this conversation, he also said 8 he was interested in obtaining a particular type of 40 9 millimeter grenade launcher that can be mounted on a 10 rifle and said it was made for combat.

He also made reference to the use of the grenadesagainst law enforcement if they were to come for him.

13 So, Your Honor, we would submit that the fact that 14 the grenades themselves -- there's no purpose other than 15 to harm people, the arsenal that the defendant has 16 amassed that contains combat related items, those are 17 the knives that are designed or marketed for tactical 18 use and other items, the statements that he made to the 19 undercover that I've pointed out and others, the sealed 20 filing in particular, the mind set the -- and 21 information contained therein, I think all goes to show 22 that the defendant -- the defendant's actions in 23 possessing the grenades and other items that have been 24 found are not innocuous, that these are preparations for 25 some violent act; I think that's clear from the

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 13 of 47 Pg ID 54 13

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1 evidence.

2	Even if the Court would disagree I would go back to
3	my initial point. Who, who isn't dangerous who
4	possesses grenades? That alone would be sufficient.
5	Lastly, Your Honor, the weight of the evidence is
6	another factor I would just point out that in this case,
7	the evidence is overwhelming. The all the
8	interactions with the undercover were reported, the
9	transaction which the defendant possessed the grenades
10	was also reported.
11	And so for all of those reasons, Your Honor, we
12	would ask the Court detain the defendant pre-trial.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Tholen?
14	MR. THOLEN: Thank you, Judge.
15	As I'm sure Your Honor can imagine, I take a
16	different view. And I'm aware of the Pretrial Services
17	Report, I recognize that they are ultimately
18	recommending detention in this matter. I'm going to
19	address both.
20	Issues pertaining to flight or lack thereof in my
21	estimate and I recognize I think the Government has put
22	most of its arguments behind the issue of danger. So
23	this record is clear, I do want to address both.
24	Before I get to argument, I, too, wish to proffer
25	certain information for the Court's consideration in
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 14 of 47 Pg ID 55 14

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1 this matter.

2	It's duplicative, but I would also proffer the
3	Pretrial Services Report and a lot of the biographical
4	and family information that's in there. In addition to
5	that, I'd guess I'd proffer the following.
б	Mr. Gregerson is 29 years old, born, raised and
7	lived most of his life in Michigan, specifically in the
8	Eastern District of Michigan.
9	His mother, father, sister and uncle, a grandmother
10	all live-in the same town outside of Ann Arbor. I'm
11	using that generally, but the Pretrial Services Report
12	has the specific town that's involved.
13	Mr. Gregerson grew up as an avid outdoorsman as a
14	fisherman, as a hunter. He spent time with his family
15	going fishing, going up to Houghton Lake to a family
16	member's cabin; that is part of the lifestyle of Mr.
17	Gregerson.
18	He attended Washtenaw Tech High School and it was a
19	joint program with Washtenaw Community College. He got
20	both his high school degree and he got an associate's
21	degree.
22	Beyond that, he studied for at a time at Berea
23	College in Kentucky for about a year. And more recently
24	within the last year or so, he took courses at Henry

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 15 of 47 Pg ID 56¹⁵

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

Mr. Gregerson is, as indicates in the Pretrial
 Services Report, has what I believe to be substantial
 family ties to this area.

He is married, his wife's in court today. They've
been married for about five years. They live together
in the City of Detroit. I think the Court's aware of
the address, I know the federal Government is. They
have two sons, twins that are four years old.

9 Obviously, Mr. Gregerson has great care and concern 10 for being there for his family, his wife and, also 11 taking care of his children I though the Court will take 12 this into consideration.

As indicated in the Pretrial Services Report,
unlike many of the cases the Court heard today, Mr.
Gregerson has no prior arrests, no prior criminal
convictions. None.

Mr. Gregerson has a steady employment history over the last 10 years; most recently he's worked at Wal-Mart and Target.

20 Mr. Gregerson is -- his interests are of a prepper. 21 I don't know if the Court's familiar with that term 22 survivalist-type mentality.

He's an outdoorsman and he is, as evidenced by the number of guns that he had at his residence, all legal mind you, he is a gun enthusiast.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 16 of 47 Pg ID 57 16

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

He's also interested in military history, taken
 classes in that.

In terms of survivalist, that goes kind of with his camping and hunting, outdoors stuff. He watches Bear Grill, he watches Dual Survivor, shows of that nature, even, you know, more fringe shows, if you will. That's all part of his interest and his lifestyle.

8 Mr. Gregerson has Red Cross certifications in CPR 9 and AED and he also has a certification from Red Cross 10 as an emergency medical responder.

11 The Court's heard a lot about firearms. He does 12 collect firearms. He's collected firearms a lot longer then the last 16 months. His father can document that. 13 14 Actually, quite frankly, the Federal Government can 15 because they pulled all the records of these purchases; 16 incidentally, were all done Mr. Gregerson's name, with 17 his credit card giving his address. Zero attempt to 18 allude anyone and the Government knows that.

He collects firearms, takes firearm safety. He'san NRA member and has been so for five years.

In addition to that he is CPL holder and, obviously, as this Court knows, you have to pass a background check; he did all of that, that's all verified.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 17 of 47 Pg ID 58 17

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

He has properly applied for all of the firearms that were purchased that were taken out of his residence and the Federal Government has the documentation, is well aware of that.

5 And he had to pass -- basically they indicate even 6 in the Criminal Complaint when he was under surveillance 7 either through GPS technology or being physically 8 surveyed by FBI agents or other local police officers 9 when they watched him go to three unnamed stores, one of 10 them happened to be Dunham's, the other happened to be a 11 Dearborn outdoor store, watched him go in.

12 They talked to the store or the clerk or whatever 13 and they found out that lo and behold Mr. Gregerson had 14 applied as he's supposed to for purchase of a firearm 15 and that he'd been clear for the purchase. In fact, he 16 was able to purchase a firearm. That's all documented 17 in the Complaint. There's absolutely nothing not legal 18 about that.

Mr. Gregerson by -- really corroborated by the government's own investigation did exactly everything a citizen gun purchaser is supposed to go do.

In fact, judge, the Government has provided the Court with exhibits and it's 1 through 30 and I know that the Government kind of call the Court's attention to certain of those exhibits.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 18 of 47 Pg ID 59¹⁸

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

But for Exhibits 1 and 2 everything else is legal,
 everything else is absolutely 100 percent legal for
 anyone that doesn't have a prior felony to exist.

In addition, I would proffer that Mr. Gregerson is
a Muslim, practicing Muslim, wasn't born a Muslim. He
converted to Muslim. It was somewhat of a gradual
change.

8 But when he was in high school outside of Ann 9 Arbor, he came into contact with a number of individuals 10 that were Muslim, he became friends with them. His eyes 11 were open to that religion. He decided it was the way 12 he wanted to lead his life.

13 Shortly after he left high school, he converted to 14 becoming a practicing Muslim. He is a Muslim. But he 15 was an outdoorsman, gun enthusiast, a hunter, fisherman 16 long before he became a Muslim. He's both those things 17 but they're very self sufficient things.

18 I would also proffer that the Government has 19 suggested and used in one of its arguments they detailed 20 an arsenal that's a reason to detain Mr. Gregerson at 21 this point.

I would proffer that, well, the arsenal's been removed from the residence. In fact, the Court has photograph pictures to demonstrate that.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 19 of 47 Pg ID 60 19

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

	Decentron nearing 0 4 2010
1	So to the extent that the Government believes that
2	arsenal and Mr. Gregerson's access to it makes him a
3	danger to the community, I suggest to Your Honor that we
4	can just cross that one off because that's been removed.
5	I did say, Your Honor, that all but two of the
6	Government's Exhibits and that was Exhibit 1 and 2 were
7	legal items, and all those exhibits from 3 to 30, those
8	are the things that have been removed from the home.
9	Government's Exhibit Number 1 is the illegal
10	grenade and that is illegal. I don't dispute that that
11	was taken from Mr. Gregerson at the time of his arrest
12	when he met with the undercover agent on Sunday. So he
13	doesn't have access to those grenades, the Federal
14	Government has those. All right.
15	At the same time as part of that transaction there
16	were smoke grenades or smokers or something. While I
17	have no interest, it's something that can legally be
18	possessed.

Well, the smoker grenades, those were also seized
by the federal Government at the same time. Those are
out of his possession.

The Government has provided a picture in Government Exhibit 2 of an item that's called a Claymore mine and the Government offered some background information regarding a Claymore mine.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 20 of 47 Pg ID 61 20

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

And what is interesting is that Government's
 Exhibit Number 2 was never transacted, was never
 arranged for any kind of sale between the undercover
 agent and Mr. Gregerson.

And so this is a nice picture off The Internet but the Government doesn't have this because they never tried to sell it to Mr. Gregerson, so this is talk, all right. It was an idea, but this never was taken from a home. Mr. Gregerson never had possession of this.

I would also as part of my proffer before I get to argument, I would indicate that I mentioned earlier two of Mr. Gregerson's family members are present. His wife is present sitting next to his wife is his father.

His father lives with his wife and his family
members and daughter outside of Ann Arbor. Mr.
Gregerson's wife lives at the residence in Detroit.

But both individuals -- it's my -- it's my request that Your Honor consider granting Mr. Gregerson bond I'll get to that, but both Mr. Gregerson's wife is willing to take third-party custodianship of Mr. Gregerson should this Court feel that's necessary.

And, as an alternative, Mr. Gregerson's father, he
has agreed to make his home available as a potential
bond address for consideration.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 21 of 47 Pg ID 62 21

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1	In the event that happened, Mr. Gregerson, Senior,
2	would also take third-party custodianship of his son if
3	the Court thought that was necessary.
4	Your Honor, that concludes my proffer. I'm
5	prepared to proceed to argument.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	MR. THOLEN: Shall I?
8	THE COURT: Please.
9	MR. THOLEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	Your Honor, if I had not alluded to it, I believe
11	that the situation here, the Government is overstating
12	its case.
13	There's a charge in the Criminal Complaint it's
14	two charges and both deals with both charges, rather,
15	deal with the possession or the receipt of explosives.
16	For the purposes of our arguments, I'm not disputing
17	that.
18	I'm not disputing their explosives and I'm not
19	disputing it would be illegal for any of us to possess
20	those, but that's what the charge is, judge.
21	The Government's filed a document under seal that
22	goes way beyond that, but they haven't brought a charge
23	to support any of that information in that seal pleading
24	before Your Honor. So first and foremost it would seem
25	to me we focus on the charged offense.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 22 of 47 Pg ID 63 22

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

Now this happened Sunday and the transaction
 happened Sunday and the transfer of the smoker grenades
 and then the other grenades that all happened Sunday
 night and there was a take down.

5 The Government's had since Sunday, since they've 6 been investigating Mr. Gregerson for some 16 months now 7 that if they felt there was legitimate support to 8 supplement the Criminal Complaint with the charges that 9 I believe they allude to in the sealed pleadings, 10 they've had ample opportunity to do it.

11 It's Thursday, judge. Mr. Gregerson first came to 12 court in this building on Monday. They haven't done it. 13 So I take it that it's a concession on the Government's 14 part and that they believe that information as the Court 15 stated today really goes to danger with respect to the 16 instant offense rather than some other nebulous offense 17 out there that they haven't brought.

I think the Government overstates its case and what is of grave concern to me in the information and the sealed pleading as well as the information in the Criminal Complaint is what the Government refuses to give either you or me is the full context.

What we do know is an undercover agent. What we don't know, we know nothing about the undercover agent, we don't the sex of the undercover agent, we don't know

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 23 of 47 Pg ID 64 23

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1 how they're employed.

2	We don't know the benefit that that agent was
	getting from the FBI. Were they being given money? Was
4	their family relocated? Were they being given
5	citizenship status or trying to get a sentence
6	reduction? We don't know because the Government hasn't
7	deemed it important to tell us.

8 They didn't do it in the sealed proceeding and if 9 they had concerns about things, they could certainly 10 turn it over in a sealed proceeding, that's how we do 11 things. They chose not to do that, they certainly 12 didn't do it in their Criminal Complaint.

What I suggest, Your Honor, it's a big question mark and the Court should take that into consideration in the balance of considering these statements that the Government says, well, the undercover officer told us that Mr. Gregerson said A, B, C.

Big question mark there because we don't know the context, we don't have the full transcripts, we don't have the full conversations. They haven't brought the undercover here so you could see, you could evaluate the credibility of the undercover officer.

They could certainly set up a situation where the undercover officer testifies behind a screen or testifies *in camera*, but yet none of that's been done in

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 24 of 47 Pg ID 65 24

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1 this case.

I suggest that this Court should perhaps give that some consideration in any weight or lack thereof that it warrants to give to the undercover statements about what Mr. Gregerson allegedly had said or what he allegedly did.

By way of example, Judge, my point is I think if the Court had the full picture, things wouldn't look as concerning as the Government's kind of suggesting or not kind of, they are suggesting it's very concerned. Here's my example.

I was appointed to represent Mr. Gregerson on Monday. And Tuesday I found out from the Marshal's Service that he was being held at the Dickerson Facility. I was able to go visit him at Dickerson Facility.

Apparently while I was there, someone at my office contacted the Marshals in an attempt to help me out not knowing I was at Dickerson meeting with Mr. Gregerson and was informed by someone at the Marshal's Service that Mr. Gregerson's not at Dickerson, he's, in fact, en route to Midland. I know that not to be the case because I was there for half a day with him.

24 Knowing that information, I went to meet with him 25 later a second time that same night Tuesday night. I

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 25 of 47 Pg ID 66 25

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

logged onto the computer, I checked Dickerson's files,
 it showed him as still being there.

I got there at 6:30. You check in with the guards
and whatnot. They pulled him up on the computer and
then they looked and me and said is he a marshal's
prisoner. I said of course he is. They said they just
got him five minutes ago. You just missed him. Okay.
So next day I called, I find out where he was.
He's at Midland. I went to Midland.

My point with this is this. Some people, not me, because I thought the Government had nothing to do with that, I know the Marshals had no devious point moving him like that. But I'll tell you, judge, people that don't know the system might think something's funny about that.

16 Why are they moving this guy around? Are they
17 trying to keep him away from his attorney? Are they
18 trying to obstruct my ability to defend Mr. Gregerson.

I know better because I though the context -- in fact, there was an article that came out this week my boss was quoted in talking about how our clients get transferred all around because I know the whole picture. I know certainly it was nothing nefarious about that.

24 But, judge, you don't know and the Government's not 25 letting us know the whole picture of what went on beyond

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 26 of 47 Pg ID 67 26

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

this undercover officer and allegedly what Mr. Gregerson
 said. I think if we knew the full picture, it would not
 appear in the manner the Government's suggesting.

I've already indicated that of course the uncover's
not here, he's being hidden or protected or concealed by
the federal Government.

7 What I suggest is in 16 months of investigation
8 against Mr. Gregerson, these are the things the
9 Government did. Okay? One thing they did was GPS track
10 him for that whole time period. Okay.

Another thing they did was have people monitoring the GPS tracking. And sometimes we know from the criminal complaint actually following Mr. Gregerson to a sporting goods store and back to his home. So we know that was agents actually doing that as opposed to just tracking a machine. They did that.

They also -- it appears recorded telephone conversations that Mr. McGregor (sic) had at least with respect to the undercover officer. It's possible, it's possible, but I don't know that they tapped his phone. I'm not entitled to know that.

Beyond that, too, judge, that they did a search warrant and I think this is referenced in some sealed materials but they got access to email communications and, you know, Internet practices if you will.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 27 of 47 Pg ID 68 27

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

They have done all of that and the kicker, judge,
 is they introduced the confidential informant on top of
 everything else.

So what I would suggest to Your Honor is in 16 months what the Government succeeded in making a case on was that last Sunday night Mr. Gregerson allegedly met up with a confidential informant or whatever U.C.E. whatever that stands for they want to use that for, for a transaction involving half legal smoke grenades and half illegal grenades, that's what we got.

And there is nothing in my experience the Government put its best case -- best case forward, it puts it's best case forward in the Complaint; they bolstered that in a sealed information. So bring it. If they have more, bring it.

16 I've requested transcripts, I've requested 17 summaries of discussions, I've requested any emails. So 18 because none of it's been provided and yet the Government has had the time to go over all of that and 19 20 hand pick out statements that they either put in quotes 21 when they want to attribute it to Mr. Gregerson or they 22 just say the undercover said this or said that. All 23 right.

It gives me reason and I do believe reason I think it's reasonable for me to come to this conclusion that

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 28 of 47 Pg ID 69 28

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

they've gone through all of that and that's the best
 they could do.

And my point is during all that time in 16 months there's been no violent act on behalf of Mr. Gregerson or at his behest against any individual and he's been closely watched, closely watched by the Federal Government through all those mechanisms I just listed. Nothing.

9 In fact, at the time of his arrest, the Court might 10 look to that at the time of his arrest he didn't attempt 11 to flee or allude arresting officers.

He had -- as a CPL holder, he had his firearm with him. He didn't attempt to use that against the officers. No struggle, no resistance, didn't try to drive away, not at all.

Specifically, judge, I'll take flight first. I
think there's absolutely no flight risk in this case.
Mr. Gregerson is a U.S. citizen, Pretrial verified that
Mr. Gregerson doesn't have a passport. Never had a
passport.

21 Mr. Gregerson doesn't travel internationally.
22 There's some reference five years ago he might have gone
23 to Canada. Judge, we've got to correct the record on
24 this.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 29 of 47 Pg ID 70 29

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1	Mr. Thomas from Pretrial in talking to Mr.
2	Gregerson we need Pretrial to be aware and I want Your
3	Honor to in case this factors in.

When he was in third grade, he took a class trip to England that's not reflected in a Pretrial Services Report. So that would be some international travel but that's what we got right there. But, of course, the Government's been watching him so I suspect they know that.

He has a local bond address with his wife and two sons in Detroit, I've talked about that. Quite frankly, that's been verified because the undercover officer went there. In fact that's an interesting point.

The Government argues how dangerous Mr. Gregerson is in this arsenal of weapons and everything. But as they indicate in their pleadings, their superman undercover agent was there mid-July, didn't do a damn thing.

Didn't -- they could have got a search warrant based on that alone, they didn't do it. They obviously in my mind didn't think Mr. Gregerson posed that kind of threat despite what the Government's calling the arsenal of weaponry he had.

In the pleadings the Court has the sealed and unsealed pleadings the information the Government's

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 30 of 47 Pg ID 71 ³⁰

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

relying on to kind of tie this all up in a bow they had
 their statements before mid-July but they chose not to
 act.

With respect to flight in addition to what I'm
calling the local bond address with his wife, I
indicated he had a secondary address outside of Ann
Arbor with his parents that can be verified by Pretrial.
He's got a employment in this area.

9 What I think's so important, Your Honor, is he has 10 lived as Sebastian Gregerson. He's got his Michigan 11 I.D. driver's license in that name, that's what the 12 agents knew him as. When he was arrested he had that 13 I.D. with him.

His concealed pistol license is in that name, all his firearms applications for the, quote, arsenal are in that name.

All the items that are purchased on the Complaint pages and I believe it's page three and four, but it's the -- it's the -- I applaud the Government's effort in listing out all these transactions. It is. It's on page three and four.

And I applaud this because I'm sure the Court will pick up on this all these transactions were made before. These are not the transactions where the undercover or someone's following Mr. Gregerson around to the

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 31 of 47 Pg ID 72 31

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

sporting goods store watching him buy a long gun, these
 are all computer purchases or online purchases on Amazon
 or eBay.

What I think is significant is they were done in
the name Sebastian Gregerson. They all used his charge
card to pay for it. It all goes back to his local
address in Detroit.

8 There is no attempt to conceal those purchases or 9 to put them in another name or send them to another 10 address, none of it.

And the Government -- you know the way this is all listed, I suggest, Your Honor, if we held this up to the search warrant return which, unfortunately I don't have yet, you know would be almost a mirror image, you know. There's no surprise that the items that Mr.

17 were found in his house when the Federal Government came18 to search. He's not trying to conceal anything.

With respect to danger, judge, I have a few arguments about this. I think it's crucial that Mr. Gregerson does not have a prior record; I think that's very significant. I think it's important that, you know, a number of governmental agencies have continued to check that.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 32 of 47 Pg ID 73 32

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

What I mean by that is certainly 16 months ago when 1 2 the Federal Government started investigating Mr. 3 Gregerson, they were trying to lean him -- lean on him. 4 Nothing. 5 Throughout 16 months I'm sure they checked to see б if anything popped up that missed their radar as they 7 were tracking him on GPS following him around from the 8 sporting goods store to his house or work; nothing came 9 up. 10 Pretrial Services did the same thing. They ran of 11 course they're independent, they ran a LIEN, nothing 12 comes up. Every firearm purchase here at any of the stores mentioned he had to submit his information and it 13 14 came back clean. His CPL license; he had to pass the LIEN to be able to do that. 15 16 So there's been, you know, multiple times criminal 17 history has been run on Mr. Gregerson and it always 18 comes back the same way, nothing. So I think that's very significant. I think it's 19 20 incredibly significant, as I've said, that everything 21 they took out of his house was perfectly legal. 22 It may not be your cup of tee, you may not be into 23 Rambo knives, stuff like that, but that doesn't make it 24 illegal. 25

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 33 of 47 Pg ID 74 33

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

All right. God forbid music CD's were illegal. If
 someone went into my house, I have thousands of them.
 All right. That's my thing, whatever.

Mr. Gregerson's thing in any American that doesn't have a prior felony can have an interest in legal firearms. That's what we have.

7 As I've said earlier to the extent the Government 8 says that, quote, arsenal is an instrument of danger or 9 something like that, they've taken care of that, they've 10 removed it. Gone.

11 Last thing, judge, is I think I've -- I hope I've 12 impressed the Court my skepticism with the validity of 13 statements that this undercover this mystery man or 14 women undercover agent statements that that person 15 attributes to Mr. Gregerson, but for purposes of our 16 arguments here, because I'm sure the Government was 17 accurate in putting that part of a full transcript in, 18 in their pleadings. I'm not suggesting that the 19 Government, for instance, made up statements. I'm not 20 I want to be very clear about that but I think at all. 21 context is highly relevant and we lack all context. 22 We don't know when these conversations wasn't 23 perhaps the undercover person that initially brought the

25 that perhaps was even more offensive that was said by

24

Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

subject up and Mr. Gregerson was responding to something

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 34 of 47 Pg ID 75 34

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1 the undercover person. We don't know at this point. I
2 don't know that, assuming that the Court has some
3 concern just about the fragments of statements that have
4 been put in the pleading, I'll address that.
5 I will, I will concede that there are statements
6 that are attributed to Mr. Gregerson that are

politically incorrect, that may be deemed by many peopleto be offensive, may be highly inappropriate.

9 But, judge I'll tell you, Donald Trump does all 10 those things yet he's running for President all right.

11 So this point unpopular or politically incorrect 12 statements are not crimes, they're frowned upon there's 13 no question but we're here what crime happened and I 14 think the Government can make a case that the grenade 15 deal happened certainly for purposes of this hearing. 16 But I do not agree one iota that they made anything 17 beyond that.

And what concerns me is that they have cherry-picked perhaps the most sensational of things they can after a 16-month investigation with God knows how many agents and staff working on it and they've offered up to Your Honor to say look and, yet, hidden everything else behind a curtain. Context is everything, judge.

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

So for those reasons Your Honor, I would ask -- I 1 2 don't think -- I clearly don't think flight is an issue 3 in this case.

The Government has not argued strongly that 4 flight's an issue. I understand the Government's 5 б arguments with respect to danger but I think that you 7 know, I think, I think I wrote down they have four 8 arguments.

9 The first one that this case involves grenades or 10 destructive devices which can harm people. I will 11 concede that. The Government removed those.

12 They indicate that the number of guns in the, 13 quote, arsenal that Mr. Gregerson had is an element of 14 danger or should cause concern. Well, they removed 15 that, too.

16 The third thing they focused on was the alleged 17 statements that they make Mr. Gregerson said to the 18 undercover, you know, whoever the hidden undercover 19 agent. We don't know who that person is today. And I 20 think I've made my arguments with respect to that last. 21 And finally they said, well, the weight of the 22 evidence. I gave them that one at the start of this. 23 For purposes of this hearing a grenade deal went 24 down, okay. Again, that goes back to the point they 25

Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

took those away. That alleviates the issue of danger.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 36 of 47 Pg ID 77 36

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1	So, Your Honor, for all those reasons even with
2	respect to considerations of danger I think that under
3	the bail statute Your Honor can add conditions to bond
4	such as house arrest, home confinement if need be,
5	tethering if need be, tether with GPS.
6	But I think the Government's already got that
7	covered or at least they had it for a while during this
8	investigation. They already had GPS. I don't know that
9	they stopped.
10	So this Court has tools to monitor Mr. Gregerson
11	the things that the Government points to and focuses on
12	is being instruments of danger, those have all been
13	removed from Mr. Gregerson. The Court should consider
14	that.
15	So with those out of the picture with what I
16	believe to be the holes in the, quote, context of the
17	statements they've provided, I don't think this is the
18	case the Government's suggesting to this Court that it
19	is.
20	For that reason, Your Honor, I'd ask the Court to
21	grant bond.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tholen. Ms.
23	Corken.
24	MS. CORKEN: Yes, Your Honor.
25	
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 37 of 47 Pg ID 78 37

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

Your Honor, the Government is not arguing flight
 risk in this case, the Government is arguing that the
 defendant is dangerous and should be held pending trial.
 Your Honor, Mr. Tholen repeatedly stated how in the
 dark he is about the conversations that occurred with
 the undercover and how we need to know the context and
 we don't have full transcripts.

8 Well, sitting right next to Mr. Tholen is the other 9 part of the conversation, so it's not a complete mystery 10 as to what occurred during this conversation. He could 11 certainly learn from his client.

He uses this argument to cast doubt on the statements that were relayed by the undercover which are contained in the Complaint Affidavit and the sealed filing but, yet, there's no there's no specific challenge to any of those statements and, yet, Mr. Tholen does have the source of any challenge right with him.

19 I'm suggesting that there isn't a basis to doubt 20 those statements, Your Honor, and that the context even 21 if the Court were to be given the full transcript would 22 not undermine what is stated in those documents.

23 Mr. Tholen also takes a shot at the undercover
24 officer. He is identified as an FBI employee working in
25 an undercover capacity. It is a federal agent.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 38 of 47 Pg ID 79 38

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

There is no quid pro quo for his involvement in 1 2 this case. He is a professional federal agent. 3 MR. THOLEN: Your Honor, I know the 4 Government's responding. I object to this because now 5 it sounds like the Government's proffering information б during their argument or something like that. That's 7 the problem. 8 The opportunity for the Government to put this 9 information about an undercover officer they could have 10 done it in the Complaint, they could have done it in the 11 sealed thing, they could have done it during proffer 12 here now, they didn't want to or think about it. 13 Now they're trying to back in this proffer I think 14 that's inappropriate. She's inserting new information 15 to Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: In the interest of having a 17 complete -- having a complete record, I'm going to allow Ms. Corken to rebut the arguments that you made or the 18 19 suggestions that you made on the record and you may have 20 the chance to respond to what she's saying as well. 21 MR. THOLEN: I appreciate that, Your Honor, if 22 I might, just because I'm standing in, I would continue 23 my objection. 24 It sounds as if the Government's if you will 25 vouching for the authenticity of the complete

	2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 39 of 47 Pg ID 80 39
	Detention Hearing 8-4-2016
1	transcripts which we haven't been provided and I object.
2	In no way am I accepting as factual or valid the
3	statements that the Government has attributed to Mr.
4	Gregerson, just so the record's clear.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	So the record's clear, I am going to overrule your
7	objection and allow Ms. Corken to proceed and allow you
8	to respond.
9	MR. THOLEN: Understood, Your Honor. Thank
10	you.
11	MS. CORKEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	Your Honor, Mr. Tholen also has indicated that all
13	this is explained by the fact the defendant is a
14	collector, he's a gun enthusiast he likes this stuff.
15	Well who collects grenades? Who collects mines?
16	That's that is not those types of items aren't
17	consistent with a hobbyist.
18	In addition, Your Honor, the defendant never said
19	anything to the undercover officer about, oh, I'm
20	just I just wanted grenades for my collection or
21	because I, I'm, you know, a gun enthusiast, grenade
22	enthusiast.
23	In fact, he at least had some thought in his mind
24	using them against law enforcement if they ever came for
25	him, so it wasn't a purely hobby-like interest at all.
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 40 of 47 Pg ID 81 40

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

In addition, there's an assault vest that was found
 in which he made reference to with the undercover
 employee that he bought pouches to contain those M67
 grenades.

5 How many grenades do you need if you're just 6 collecting them as a collector? Five he acquired in the 7 transaction that we know about; he had indicated he 8 wanted more.

9 In addition, he -- I think it's pretty clear from 10 the amount, the extensive amount of materials of this 11 kind that he has that this is a tremendous investment. 12 This is a lot of money.

This is an individual who works at Target yet spends a tremendous amount of money on these items that I don't believe is consistent with a hobbyist either, Your Honor.

Mr. Tholen also, also mentions the defendant's lifestyle, how he hunts. I would just note he's -- we he's never had a hunting license. We checked with the State of Michigan.

The items in the -- in the -- that were recovered in the search warrant, the tactical vest, handcuffs, spikes amassed, that's hardly consistent without outdoor activities like hunting and like the explanation that Mr. Tholen seems to be offering.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 41 of 47 Pg ID 82 41

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

Your Honor, in terms of Mr. Tholen's argument,
 well, he did nothing to conceal these purchases, he used
 the credit card in his own name.

I'm sure at the time he had no idea that down the
line anyone would be looking at those purchases.
There's something in the sealed filing that indicates he
did conceal certain activities.

8 Your Honor, Mr. Tholen seems to suggest that, well, 9 everything's removed from the residence, therefore, he's 10 no longer a danger. But we all know how easy it is to 11 get a gun. We know the defendant met somebody in a 12 parking lot, got a firearm. It's not a difficult thing 13 to do.

And I would suggest that given all the evidence that that's certainly not the solution having just removed these items from him. Obviously doesn't have the grenades now, but, again, there's no -- there's no indication or promise or guarantee that he couldn't go out buy something dangerous tomorrow.

20 With respect to the defendant's dangerousness, Your 21 Honor, I would conclude by saying that the fact that he 22 was buying grenades, the facts that are contained in 23 that sealed filing combined certainly go to establish 24 this defendant's dangerousness and we would request that 25 he be held pretrial.

	2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 42 of 47 Pg ID 83 42
	Detention Hearing 8-4-2016
1	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Tholen?
2	MR. THOLEN: You know what, I really don't
3	have anything that was the Government responding. I
4	can't restate my arguments any clearer.
5	THE COURT: I'm not saying you should restate
6	your arguments, but I wanted to give you an opportunity
7	to respond
8	MR. THOLEN: I appreciate that very much.
9	THE COURT: in any way you'd like to.
10	MR. THOLEN: Appreciate that, Your Honor. I
11	would just restate I think on two points with respect to
12	the undercover.
13	I think it's one issue the Government may have is
14	concealing the identity, they may want to do that for
15	protection of that individual or want to do it because
16	they want to utilize that individual in other
17	investigations, that's very common. I understand that.
18	They've done more then that. In fact, it wasn't
19	until the Government decided to respond to some of my
20	arguments that they start to pepper more information.
21	And I think that's telling, judge. I know Your Honor
22	allowed it, that's fine, it's out there, it's on the
23	record, but I think it just it should cause more pause.
24	There's no reason why that information wasn't put
25	in earlier and it looks like a last gasp effort by the

Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 43 of 47 Pg ID 84 43

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

Government to resuscitate their argument. That's my
 comments with respect to undercover.

With respect to the statements I mean, good Lord 16
months of investigation surveillance, reportings,
conversations, I assume debriefings with the undercover
I know it's the Federal Government, I know sometimes we
have federal holidays.

8 I have a real hard time believing that stuff that 9 happened a month ago hasn't been put in a report 10 somewhere or transcribed. Certainly they had the 11 ability to do that in the Criminal Complaint, at least 12 the parts they wanted to. It's common sense.

So to the extent the Government stands here and says, you know, the statements we put in the Complaint or in the -- under seal, those are representative and everything else backs that up. That not been my experience. And, of course, I haven't seen it though I've requested this information.

So I think you know the Court will do with that what it will. I know Your Honor's obviously been involved in many of these types of cases, these are not new concepts to Your Honor. But I think it's inappropriate because part of what I heard the Government do is effectively vouch for, one, the credibility of the undercover; and, two, vouch for the

	2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 44 of 47 Pg ID 85 44
	Detention Hearing 8-4-2016
1	representation or the completeness of the cherry-picked
2	statements that they pulled out of a 16-month
3	investigation to say that, well, there's a lot more
4	where that it came from.
5	I just don't believe that as practicing for 26
6	years in this court that that's true, because I believe
7	if they had a lot more, the Complaint would be a hundred
8	pages long.
9	I've nothing further, Your Honor. I renew my
10	request for bond.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further from
12	the Government?
13	MS. CORKEN: No.
14	THE COURT: We're going to take a 10 minute
15	recess.
16	(Whereupon court was in recess 4:05 p.m.)
17	(Whereupon court was back in session at 4:31 p.m.)
18	THE CLERK: Court recalls case number 16-30339
19	United States versus Sebastian Gregerson.
20	MS. CORKEN: Good afternoon, again, Your Honor
21	Kathleen Corken on behalf of the Government.
22	MR. THOLEN: May it please the Court, David
23	Tholen on behalf of Mr. Gregerson. He's present, judge.
24	THE COURT: Again, would the defendant please
25	state his name again, please.
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 45 of 47 Pg ID 86 45

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

THE DEFENDANT: Sebastian Gregerson.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.
The Court has taken some time to review the written
submissions that were offered as well as the exhibits,
my notes which are copious and run several pages and the
charging document.

Just for clarification purposes and for no other purpose, I would like to point out that on page six of the Criminal Complaint, paragraph 16 begins as follows: In recent months, Gregerson has several interactions with an FBI employee operating

12 in an undercover capacity.

1

13 So I don't think that that identification of 14 the.U.C. was hidden or buried in any sense or that there 15 was any attempt to hide that this was not somebody 16 trying to work a case or somebody operating for any of 17 the speculative motives that were talked about in -- on 18 this record.

Having reviewed everything in its totality,
including the Pretrial Services Report, I believe the
case comes down to whether or not this defendant poses a
danger to the community. I am not nearly as concerned
about risk of flight as I am the danger component.

In a nutshell, Mr. Tholen raises some veryexcellent points and makes some very persuasive

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 46 of 47 Pg ID 87 46

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1	arguments. Most interestingly is that he concedes that
2	except for the Government's Exhibit Exhibits 1 and 2
3	all of the other purchases were made legally and openly
4	and above board, I'm talking about the purchases
5	captured in the Government's Exhibit 3 through 30.
6	The stumbling block, obviously, are Exhibits 1 and
7	2. Exhibit 1 was purchased, Exhibit 2 was talked about
8	in conversation allegedly as any Claymore mine that
9	defendant wished to purchase and hoped to purchase for
10	\$250.
11	What does one do with these items? What does one
12	do with a grenade which, as described by the Government,
13	has a purpose of causing injury and death and no other
14	purpose? And why would anybody want to purchase a
15	Claymore mine which, again, is only used to cause death
16	and destruction?
17	I concede that the defendant purchased legally
18	multiple items that are used in combat that are used
19	that are destructive items that are AK-47s, ammo and
20	weapons in large numbers.
21	But when I look at this case in its totality and I
22	have looked at it in its totality, I cannot escape the
23	conclusion that the defendant poses a danger to the
24	community. When I reviewed the statutory factors again
25	I come to the same conclusion.

2:16-cr-20552-AJT-APP Doc # 12 Filed 08/09/16 Pg 47 of 47 Pg ID 88 47

Detention Hearing 8-4-2016

1	And when I review Pretrial Services Report and
2	Recommendation for detention, I come to the same
3	conclusion and agree that this defendant does pose a
4	danger to the community; that there are pieces certainly
5	that we don't know yet about this case, but based upon
6	what we do know at this time, I am going to order
7	detention based on danger.
8	THE CLERK: Preliminary exam will be
9	August 15th at 1:00 p.m.
10	THE COURT: What was the date, again, Ms.
11	Bartlett?
12	THE CLERK: August 15th.
13	MS. CORKEN: Thank you. Court's in recess.
14	(Whereupon hearing concluded at 4:36 p.m.)
15	
16	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
17	
18	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct
19	transcription from the digital sound recording of
20	proceedings in the above-entitled matter on the date
21	hereinbefore set forth and
22	has been prepared by me or under my direction
23	to the best of my ability.
24	
25	s/Carol S. Sapala, FCRR, RMR August 8, 2016
	Usa v Gregerson 16-mj-30339